1. The Trustee's Position.

The Trustee is able and willing to provide the processed data as requested by AMTRC but has requested that he (the estate) be reimbursed for the cost of doing so and be indemnified from any claims that may be brought by parties whose personal information is released. The cost includes the expense of Brian Nishi's time and the cost of the program service he uses to extract the information (by Sage, which charges \$1137.01 per month). AMTRC agrees to pay for Brian Nishi's time, but not for the Sage bill. It also refuses to indemnity the Trustee from any privacy claims by affected parties.

2. The Trustee's Concerns.

Subject to confirmation from AMTRC, the Trustee believes that documents it requestS affect over 7,250 consumers: 2,813 from California, and the others from all 50 states, plus Puerto Rico and Washington D.C. and 29 countries. The Trustee is uncertain what privacy or consumer-protection laws apply to this production. California law requires that consumers receive advance notice of and opportunity to object to production of their personal records under Cal. Code of Civil Procedure Section 1785.3. The Trustee is unaware of what laws may apply in other states, territories or countries. The Trustee Handbook requires that affected parties receive advance notice of the intent to produce personally identifiable information before it can be released. U.S. DOJ Exec. Office for U.S. Trustees Handbook for Chapter 7 Trustees at 5-22 (2012). The Trustee does not know if the data in question may fall within the definition of personally identifiable information.

AMTRC contends that the consumer and privacy rights of its customers are not implicated by the production because it already holds such information. However, the Trustee believes that among the data it seeks are addresses to which wine was delivered, which AMTRC may not have.

AMTRC has not shown the Trustee where in its contracts with the debtor's customers where they have waived or released any consumer or privacy rights or claims against third parties.

The Trustee is concerned that the production request is overbroad and may include data relating to (A) persons who are not requesting charge reversals and (B) persons who are no longer creditors of the debtor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Trustee questions whether the need for production is urgent. AMTRC may have only 30 days to respond to customer charge reversal claims, but the Trustee assumes that all its remedies to reverse any credit given due to fraud or mistake is preserved under its contracts and applicable law.

The Trustee is unconvinced by the cases cited by AMTRC that the Trustee and the estate will be protected from claims if the production is made pursuant to a subpoena or a bankruptcy court order without notice to the affected parties; i.e. Higgenbotham v. KCS Intern, Inc. 202 F.R.D. 444 (D. Md. 2001) and *In re Williams*, 2009 WL 1609389 (Bankr. E.D.N.C. June 8, 2009). Those cases are not binding and appear to be inapplicable.

The Trustee believes that his demand for indemnification is reasonable and should be required before any expedited production is made.

3. Conclusion

The Trustee opposes the motion on the grounds that:

- 1. The request is overbroad in that it appears to include AMTRC customers who are not requesting reimbursement and who may not be creditors of the estate.
 - 2. There is no evidence that customers waived privacy and consumer protections.
- 3 The privacy and consumer protection laws of 50 states and 29 countries are implicated.
- 4. The authorities cited by AMTRC do not support its conclusion that a subpoena will immunize the estate from claims.
 - 5. The demand for production does not appear to be urgent.
- 5. AMTRC should be required to pay all costs of production, including the cost for the software that enables the Trustee to perform the production efficiently.
- 6. AMTRC should be required to indemnify the estate from all claims that may arise as a result of the data production.

The Trustee has pending written questions to AMTRC seeking to confirm the scope of its request and the history of credit reversal claims with the estate's creditors. The Trustee hopes that such information will be made available prior to the hearing on March 3, 2016.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

WENDEL, ROSEN, BLACK & DEAN LLP

DATED: March 2, 2016

By: /s/ Mark S. Bostick

Mark S. Bostick Attorneys for Michael G. Kasolas

1 2 3 4 5 6	Mark S. Bostick (Bar No. 111241) Elizabeth Berke-Dreyfuss (Bar No. 114651) Tracy Green (Bar No. 114876) WENDEL, ROSEN, BLACK & DEAN LLI 1111 Broadway, 24 th Floor Oakland, California 94607-4036 Telephone: (510) 834-6600 Fax: (510) 834-1928 Email: mbostick@wendel.com; edreyfuss@wendel.com; tgreen@wendel.com	P		
7	Attorneys for Michael G. Kasolas, Trustee			
8				
9	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT			
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11	OAKLAND DIVISION			
12				
13	In re	Case No	o. 16-40050-WJL	
14	FOX ORTEGA ENTERPRISES, INC., dba PREMIER CRU,	Chapter 7		
15		CERTI	FICATE OF SERVICE	
16 17	Debtor.	Date: Time: Place:	March 3, 2016 2:00 p.m. 1300 Clay Street	
18		T 1	Courtroom 220 Oakland, CA	
19		Judge:	The Hon. William J. Lafferty	
20	I, Jeanne Rose, declare:			
21	I am a citizen of the United States and am employed in the County of Alameda. I am over			
22	the age of 18 years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is 1111			
23	Broadway, 24th Floor, Oakland, California, 94607-4036.			
24				
25	On the date set forth below, I caused to be served the following document(s):			
26	TRUSTEE'S RESPONSE TO AMERICAN EXPRESS TRAVEL RELATED SERVICES COMPANY, INC.'S MOTION FOR ORDER DIRECTING IMMEDIATE PRODUCTION OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS			
27	to those parties requesting special notice and of			
28	to mose parties requesting special notice and t	Juici iiitel	ested parties by.	

e: 16-40050 Doc# 137-1 Filed: 03/02/16 Entered: 03/02/16 14:24:01 Page 1 of 2

1	[X] by ECF			
2				
3	AND			
4	[X] by e-mail transmission on the parties whose names and email addresses are listed below.			
5	Scott A. Smylie, Creditor, Email: esqsas@aol.com			
6	Marlene Weinstein, on behalf of Debtor John Fox, Email: mgwtrustee@mgwtrustee.com			
7 8	Cheryl Williams, Agent, BK Servicing, LLC, on behalf of Daimler Trust, Email: notices@bkservicing.com			
9	Larry S. Zeman, Fitzgerald Yap Kreditor LLP, on behalf of William Witte Email: lzeman@fyklaw.com			
10	Josiah M. Daniel, III, Vinson & elkins LLP, on behalf of Michael D. Thomson Email: jdaniel@velaw.com			
11				
12	Albert Kennedy, Tonkon Torp LLP, on behalf of Raj Garg Email: albert.kennedy@tonkon.com			
13	Komal Chokshi, Cooper & Scully, on behalf of MSI Electronic Payments			
14	Email: komal.chokshi@cooperscully.com			
15	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that th			
16	foregoing is true and correct.			
17	Executed on March 2, 2016, at Oakland, California.			
18				
19	<u>/s/ Jeanne Rose</u> JEANNE ROSE			
20	JEHIVIL ROSE			
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				