



PlecaDIIII

. fidential

ו (וילון ץ Proponents' Ex. H וא-5ווא-ן Piccadilly

12-51127 - #1365-1 File 01/14/14 Enter 01/22/14 13:28:01 Exhibit Proponents Ex. H Pg 1 of 21



Limiting Conditions and Factors Affecting Conclusions

This valuation analysis was prepared pursuant to the engagement of Imperial Capital, LLC ("Imperial") by Yucaipa Corporate Initiatives Fund II, LP ("Yucaipa"). The purpose of this valuation analysis is to summarize Imperial's conclusions as to the enterprise value of Piccadilly Restaurants, LLC ("Piccadilly" or the "Company") based upon information with respect to Piccadilly's operations that has been prepared by the management of the Company and their advisors as of the date hereof. This valuation analysis does not constitute an opinion with respect to the merits of the Company's underlying business decision to rely on the information provided by its advisors, any legal, tax or accounting issues relating to the Company, any terms of the Transaction or the relative merits of the Transaction as compared to any alternatives discussed by the Company. Imperial will not, as part of this valuation analysis, opine or give advice on any issues of solvency.

All budgets, projections, financial analyses, reports and other information with respect to Piccadilly's operations contained herein have been prepared by the management of the Company and their advisors and involve numerous and significant subjective determinations made by such management and their advisors. The estimates, budgets and projections contained herein may or may not be achieved and differences between projected results and those actually achieved may be material and adverse. Imperial has assumed that the financial forecasts have been reasonably prepared in good faith and are based upon the best currently available estimates and judgments of the Company's management and their advisors as to the future financial performance of Piccadilly as of the date of this report. Imperial has relied, without independent investigation or verification, on the accuracy and completeness of all such information and the conclusions contained herein are conditioned upon such information being accurate and complete in all respects. No representation or warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the accuracy, completeness of fairness of such information and nothing contained herein is, or shall be relied upon as, a promise or representation, whether as to the past or the future. Imperial does not take any responsibility for the accuracy of the information provided by the Company or its advisors or for the completeness of any of the accompanying material. Imperial has not been requested to, and did not make an independent evaluation or appraisal of the assets or liabilities (contingent or otherwise) of Piccadilly's assets, nor has Imperial been furnished with any such evaluations or appraisals or reports of such physical inspections, nor has Imperial assumed any responsibility to obtain any such evaluations, appraisals or reports.

This report contemplates facts and conditions known by Imperial and existing as of the date of this report. Events and conditions subsequent to this date, including but not limited to updated projections, as well as other factors, could have a substantial impact upon the Company's value.

of 21

Imperial Capital 12-51127 - #1365-1 File 01/14/14 Enter 01/22/14 13:28:01 Exhibit Proponents Ex. H Pg 2 2

Imperial Capital



Piccadilla

Limiting Conditions and Factors Affecting Conclusions

This valuation analysis summarizes Imperial's view on the total enterprise value of Piccadilly's ongoing business operation based on the information provided by the Company and its advisors. The valuation conclusions provided herein do not reflect any other "contingent" assets to which the Company's stakeholders may be entitled. The preparation of this valuation analysis involved various determinations as to the most appropriate and relevant methods of financial analyses and the consideration of various factors and the application of those methods to particular circumstances. This valuation analysis summary does not purport to be a complete description of all of the analyses undertaken to support our conclusions. Furthermore, in arriving at its valuation analysis, Imperial did not attribute any particular weight to any analysis or factor considered by it, but rather made qualitative judgments as to the significance and relevance of each analysis and factor. Accordingly, Imperial's analyses must be considered as a whole. Considering any portion of such analyses and of the factors considered, without considering all analyses and factors, could create a misleading or incomplete view of the process underlying the conclusions expressed herein. Any estimates contained in these analyses are not necessarily indicative of actual values or predictive of future results or values, which may be significantly more or less favorable than as set forth herein.

Pursuant to the terms of the engagement letters between Imperial and Yucaipa, Imperial has and/or will receive compensation in connection with services provided to Yucaipa in connection with this valuation analysis. Additionally, pursuant to the terms of the engagement letter between Imperial and Yucaipa, Yucaipa has agreed to indemnify Imperial from certain liabilities arising from this engagement and the preparation of this valuation analysis.

4

· `\



Table of Contents

IC

- I. Executive Summary
- II. Summary Financials
- III. Valuation Analysis

Executive Summary

__.,fidential

6





Imperial Capital, LLC has been engaged by Yucaipa Corporate Initiatives Fund II, LP to render a valuation analysis of Piccadilly Restaurants, LLC for the following objectives:

 Determine a current business enterprise valuation based on a review of the Company's historical and projected financial information as well as utilizing comparable companies analysis, comparable M&A transaction analysis and a discounted cash flow ("DCF") analysis

In conducting our analysis, we performed the following activities:

- Reviewed and analyzed certain historical and projected financial information of Piccadilly that was filed with court and / or provided by Piccadilly management and their advisors ⁽¹⁾
- Reviewed certain publicly available information relating to public company and M&A valuations deemed relevant to Piccadilly

Summary Financials

___, fidential



Summary Financials

2013 Monthly Financials

Actionis Actionis Actionis Actionis Actionis Profestiones Profestines Profestines Profe											2	01	3 Monthly										
Key Operating Metrics: Control 2 Control 2 <th></th> <th>F</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Actua</th> <th>ls</th> <th></th>		F							Actua	ls													
Guist Count 1,130,091 1,241,594 1,262,616 1,268,060 1,216,000 1,103,000 1,143,015 1,175,207 1,143,015 1,175,207 1,143,015 1,175,207 1,143,015 1,175,207 1,143,015 1,175,207 1,143,015 1,175,207 1,258,021 5,580,81 Average Customer Check \$ 8,16 \$ 8,61 \$ 8,61 \$ 8,61 \$ 8,65 \$ 8,76 0,0% </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>Jan-13</th> <th></th> <th>Feb-13</th> <th>Mar-13</th> <th>Apr-13</th> <th></th> <th>May-13</th> <th></th> <th>Jun-13</th> <th></th> <th>Jul-13</th> <th>Aug-13</th> <th>Se</th> <th>p-13</th> <th>Oct-13</th> <th></th> <th>Nov-13</th> <th></th> <th>Dec-13</th> <th>12</th> <th>2/31/13</th>			Jan-13		Feb-13	Mar-13	Apr-13		May-13		Jun-13		Jul-13	Aug-13	Se	p-13	Oct-13		Nov-13		Dec-13	12	2/31/13
And Higge Classified LifeLik s 0.16 S 0.16 S 0.16 S 0.10 C 0.00 C 0.00 <thc< th=""></thc<>			1,130,901		1,241,594	1,662,616	1,268,460		1,216,000		1,446,000		1,103,000	1,191,000	1,	427,000	1,143,015						
Substrint Nov 4.25 1.98 (1,0)* 1.28 1.09	Average Customer Check	\$	8.18	\$	8.52	\$ 8.66	\$	\$		5		5		\$ +	;		\$ 	-		\$		\$	
Salite Salite's Salit	% Growth		N/A	l I	4.2%	1.6%	(1.8)%		3.2%														
Grassistant \$ 9.252 \$ 10.84 \$ 10.798 \$ 10.261 \$ 9.231 \$ 10.226 \$ 12.207 \$ 9.631 \$ 10.226 \$ 12.207 \$ 9.631 \$ 10.226 \$ 12.207 \$ 9.631 \$ 10.226 \$ 12.432 (44.40) (53) (44.40) (44.40) (44.40) (44.40) (44.40) \$ (1.649) (34.41) (2.420) (2.441) 12.4411 12.4411 12.4402 (2.440) (2.171) (2.884) (2.171) (2.884) (2.171) (2.882) (2.171) (2.892) (2.713) (2.414) (2.226) (2.747) (2.216) (2.247) (2.197) (2.304) (2.273) (2.442) (2.862) (2.717) (2.305) (2.442) (2.806) (2.177) (2.305) (2.442) (2.806) (2.174) (2.442) (2.806) (2.174) (2.442) (2.806) (2.174) (2.442) (2.806) (2.174)	Same Store Sales Growth		(3.7)%	5	(3.3)%	3.3%	2.6%		3.6%		2.2%		0.2%	0.9%		0.9%	0.9%		N/A		N/A		N/A
Structures Structu	(\$ in thousands)																						
Text datas 5/07 s 10/257 10/	Sales Deductions	\$	(173)		(346)	\$ (573)	\$ (432)	\$	(414)	; 	(499)	\$	(344)	\$ (358)	;	(388)	\$ (312)		(244)	\$	(316)	\$	(4,400)
Toto Usas (2,069) (3,122) (1,027) (2,017) (2,017) (2,017) (2,017) (2,017) (2,017) (2,017) (2,017) (2,013) (2,173) (2,173) (2,144) (2,226) (2,174) (2,123) (2,144) (2,226) (2,177) (2,205) (2,177) (2,206) (2,173) (2,144) (2,226) (2,174) (2,228) (2,144) (2,248) (2,174) (2,442) (2,656) (2,174) (2,442) (2,665) (2,174) (1,648) (1,619) (1,104) (1,104)						•	•		•								•		•		(3,750)		(37.981)
Labor Cost (2,229) (2,256) (2,304) (2,319) (2,292) (2,805) (2,217) (2,304) (2,723) (2,194) (2,266) (2,747) (2,286) % of cross Sales 2,41% 21.3% 20.2% 21.5% 21.5% 21.7% 22.6% 22.4% 22.7% 22.8% 22.4% 22.4% 22.4% 21.6% 21.9% Unit Operating Expenses (2,117) (2,229) (2,764) (2,331) (2,447) (2,708) (2,194) (2,065) (2,174) (2,462) (2,176) (2,065) (2,174) (2,462) (2,169			• • •		• • •		• • •		• • •										• • •				• • •
Unit-Level Porfit 1,850 2,630 4,137 2,586 2,550 3,374 2,358 2,259 2,773 2,350 2,4650 3,472 33,089 Unit Expenses: Management compensation (848) (867) (1,063) (609) (813) (1,010) (794) (820) (1,007) (721) (751) (951) (10,454) Occupancy charges (970) (923) (910) (861) (137) (51) (42) (640) (45) (45) (45) (45) (45) (45) (45) (45) (45) (45) (46) (45) (46) (45) (45) (45) (45) (45) (45) (45) (45) (45) (45) (45) (45) (45) (45) (45) (45) (45) <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>(2,228)</td><td>)</td><td>(2,256)</td><td>(2,904)</td><td>• • •</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>• • •</td><td>• • •</td><td></td><td>• • •</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>• · •</td><td></td><td>• • •</td></td<>			(2,228))	(2,256)	(2,904)	• • •						• • •	• • •		• • •					• · •		• • •
Unit-Level Profit 1,850 2,630 4,137 2,696 2,550 3,374 2,358 2,259 2,773 2,350 2,650 3,472 33,098 Unit Expenses: Unit Expenses: (1,063) (609) (613) (1010) (794) (620) (1,007) (721) (751) (951) (10,473) Unit Expenses: (56) (57) (20) (45) (41) (37) (51) (422) (420) (1,616) (1,606) (1,806) (21,475) Unit-level EBITDA (24) 782 2,144 980 813 1,415 641 545 883 734 1,044 1,666 11,523 Total Unit Unit Parseness (571) (686) (747) (619) (578) (762) (588) (447) (795) (389) (609) (751) (7542) Operating EBITDA (594) 96 1,395 \$ 367 \$ 226 2 - 164 - - -	Unit Operating Expenses		(2,117))	(2,229)	(2,764)	(2,331)		(2,447)		(2,708)		(2,193)	(2,488)		(2,701)	(2,065)		(2,174)		(2,442)		(28,658)
Management compensation (848) (867) (1,063) (809) (813) (1,010) (794) (820) (1,007) (721) (751) (951) (10,454) Occupancy charges (970) (923) (910) (861) (883) (912) (872) (852) (652) (650) (45)	Unit-Level Profit		1,850		2,630	4,137	2,696		2,550		3,374		2,358	2,259		2,773	2,350		2,650		3,472		33,098
Childreven EBITDA (24) 762 2,144 360 615 1,415 Crit Cri	Management compensation Occupancy charges Unit-level G&A		(970) (56)))	(923) (57)	(910) (20)	 (861) (45)		(883) (41)		(912) (37)		(872) (5 <u>1</u>)	 (852) (42)		(820) (64)	(850) (45))	(811) (45)		(811) (45)		(10,473) (548) (21,475)
Operating EBITDA (571) (686) (147) (615) (376) (162) (607) (167) (17) (17) (17) (17) (17) (17) (17) (17)	Unit-level EBITDA		(24))	782	2,144	980		813		1,415		641	545		883	734		1,044		1,666		11,623
Operating EBITDA (594) 36 1,356 361 236 633 53 51 60 61 64	Total Corporate Expenses		(571))	(686)	(747)	(619)		(578)		(762)		(588)	(447)		(795)	(389))	(609)		(751)		(7,542)
(Camp/Loss Fixed Assets 15 90 0 7 2 2 10 104	Operating EBITDA		(594))	96	1.396	361		236		653		53	97		88	345		434		915		4,081
Note EDITION (581) \$ 164 \$ 1,355 \$ 367 \$ 236 \$ 654 \$ 0.5% 0.5% <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>164 -</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>(7)</td>						-			-				2	-		164 -	-		-		-		(7)
x of Urbst sales (6.3)% 1.7% 9.7% 3.4% 2.2% 3.1% 0.0% 0	Total EBITDA	\$	(581)) \$	184	\$ 1,395	\$ 367	\$		5		\$		\$ •	\$		\$	-		\$		\$	
Savings from Store Closures ** - - - - 185 Rent Reductions ⁽²⁾ - - - - 509 Merchant De-Stocking Impact ⁽³⁾ - - - - 509 EBITDA Improvement Initiatives - - - - - 509 Gain on Sales of Real Estate - - - - - - - 6,306 Adjusted EBITDA \$ (581) \$ 184 \$ 1,395 \$ 367 \$ 236 \$ 654 \$ 55 \$ 97 \$ 252 \$ 345 \$ 455 \$ 1,129 \$ 6,306 - 509 Adjusted EBITDA \$ (581) \$ 184 \$ 1,395 \$ 367 \$ 236 \$ 654 \$ 55 \$ 97 \$ 252 \$ 345 \$ 455 \$ 1,129 \$ 6,306 -	% of Gross Sales		(6.3)%	i	1.7%	 9.7%	 3.4%		2.2%		5.1%		0.6%	 0.9%		2.1%	 3.6%		4.2%		1.2%		
Merchant De-Stocking Impact (3) - - - - - 509 EBITDA Improvement Initiatives - - - - - 25 218 243 Gain on Sates of Real Estate - - - - - - 25 218 243 Adjusted EBITDA \$ (581) \$ 184 \$ 1,395 \$ 367 \$ 236 \$ 654 \$ 55 \$ 97 \$ 252 \$ 345 \$ 455 \$ 1,129 \$ 6,306 % of Gross Sates (6.3)% 1.7% 9.7% 3.4% 2.2% 51% 0.6% 0.9% 2.1% 3.6% 4.4% 8.9% 4.7%	Savings from Store Closures (1)		-		-	-	-		-		-		-	-			-		-		-		
EBITDA Improvement Initiatives - - - 25 216 243 Gain on Sales of Real Estate - - - - - - (254) Adjusted EBITDA \$ (581) \$ 184 \$ 1,395 \$ 367 \$ 236 \$ 654 \$ 55 \$ 97 \$ 252 \$ 345 \$ 455 \$ 1,129 \$ 6,306 % of Gross Sales (6.3)% 1.7% 9.7% 3.4% 2.2% 5.1% 0.6% 0.9% 2.1% 3.6% 4.4% 8.9% 4.7%					-		-		-		•		-	-		-	-		-		•		509
Gain on Sales of Real Estate -			-		-	-	-		-		-		-	-		-	-		25		218		
Adjusted Enitor \$ (581) \$ 184 \$ 1,395 \$ 507 \$ 236 \$ 654 \$ 656			-		-	-	-		•		-		-	•		-	 -		-		-		
		\$					\$	•		\$		\$		\$	\$		\$ 	•		•		-	
	Capital Expenditures	5				 	\$ 		340	\$	241	\$	286	\$ 132 \$	\$	132	\$ 132	\$	213	\$	210	\$	2,634

Source: Financials provided by Piccadilly's financial advisors.

(1) includes EBITDA contributions from all stores closed during or prior to the YTD period, the Chesapeake store which will be closed at year end 2013, and stores contemplated to be closed by the sale leaseback agreement; does not include Tamarac property which the Company will continue to pay occupancy costs. Also includes adjustments for advertisement and insurance reallocation and lost rebates.

(2) Annualized impact of rent reduction of ~\$360,000 per year beginning in July 2013.

(3) One-time expense in January through March 2013 due to supplier de-stocking Piccadilly's proprietary items.



Imperial Capital 12-51127 - #1365-1 File 01/14/14 Enter 01/22/14 13:28:01 Exhibit Proponents Ex. H Pg 8 of 21

Summary Financials



Projected Financials

٠..

					Fi	scal Year Endin	ig Dec	ember 31,					
			Actual						Projected				
		2010	2011	2012		2013P		2014P	2015P		2016P	2017P	
Key Operating Metrics: Number of Units		106	88	72	2	60		60	6'	I	63		65
Guest Count		22,675,272	20,715,831	18,206,270		15,350,817		17,608,300	18,933,798		20,700,404	22,115,	377
Average Customer Check % Growth	S	7.83 N/A	\$	\$ 8.27 3.6%	-	8.69 5.1%	\$	8.28 (4.7)%	\$ 8.20 (1.0)%		8.16 <i>(</i> 0.5)%		8.09 0.8)%
(\$ in thousands)													
Gross Sales Sales Deductions Net Sales	\$	177,637 (1,952) 175,686	\$ 165,293 (2,317) 162,977)	133,422 (4,400) 129,022	\$	145,797 (3,551) 142,245	\$ 155,224 (3,597 151,627)	168,813 (3,702) 165,110	(3,	, 934 , <u>688)</u> ,246
Food Cost % of Gross Sales		(51,597) 29.0%	(48,389) 29.3%	(44,569))	(37,981) 28.5%		(41,567) 28.5%	(44,916 28.99	•	(49,419) 29.3%	• •	,025) 29.6%
Labor Cost % of Gross Sales		(39,925) 22.5%	(37,189) 22.5%			(29,285) 21.9%		(30,455) 20.9%	(32,208 20.79	6	(34,905) <i>20.7</i> %		,008) 20.7%
Unit Operating Expenses		(40,192)	(37,668)	(32,302))	(28,658)		(29,043)	(30,242		(32,155)	•	,504)
Unit-Level Profit		43,972	39,732	38,263		33,098		41,180	44,261		48,632	51,	,710
Unit Expenses: Management compensation Occupancy charges Unit-level G&A Total Unit Other Expenses		(16,069) (15,163) (749) (31,981)	(14,891) (14,623) (775) (30,288)	(13,055) (735))	(10,454) (10,473) (548) (21,475)		(11,512) (10,033) (576) (22,121)	(12,064 (10,338 (616 (23,017))	(12,696) (10,699) (678) (24,073)	(11	,573) ,259) <u>(788)</u> ,620)
Unit-level EBITDA		11,991	9,444	11,595		11,623		19,059	21,244	ļ.	24,558	26,	,089
Total Corporate Expenses		(6,519)	(7,160)	(6,537))	(7,542)		(9,012)	(9,587)	(9,725)	(9	,800)
Operating EBITDA		5.472	2,284	5.058		4,081		10,048	11,657	,	14,834	16	,189
(Gain)/Loss Fixed Assets Natural Disaster Loss		(77)	152 (8)	17 (26		272 (7)		(84) -	(84	i) -	(84) -		(84)
Total EBITDA % of Gross Sales	\$	5,394 3.0%	\$ 2,427 1.5%		-	4,346 3.3%		9,964 6.8%	\$ 11,573 7.55		14,750 8.7%	\$ 16	,105 9.0%
Savings from Store Closures ⁽¹⁾ Rent Reductions ⁽²⁾ Merchant De-Stocking Impact ⁽³⁾ EBITDA Improvement Initiatives Gain on Sales of Real Estate		-	- - - -	-		1,276 509 243 (254) -	1	628 - - - -	61:	-	578 - - - -		586 - - - -
Adjusted EBITDA	\$	5,394		•		6,306		10,451 7.2%	\$ 12,043 7.8		15,179 9.0%	\$ 16	5,542 9.2%
% of Gross Sales		3.0%	1.5%	والمتحدث والمتحدي والمتحد والم		4.7%					4,906	¢ A	1,906
Capital Expenditures		N/A	N/A	\$ 2,763	\$	2,634	5	3,506			~ <u>1</u> 300	<u>y</u>	1000 1

Source: Financials provided by Piccadilly's financial advisors.

(1) Includes EBITDA contributions from all stores closed during or prior to the YTD period, the Chesepeake store which will be closed at year end 2013, and stores contemplated to be closed by the sale leaseback agreement; does not include Tamarac property which the Company will continue to pay occupancy costs. Also includes adjustments for advertisement and insurance reallocation and lost rebates.

(2) Annualized impact of rent reduction of -\$360,000 per year beginning in July 2013.

(3) One-time expense in January through March 2013 due to supplier de-stocking Piccadilly's proprietary items.

Imperial Capital

9

12-51127 - #1365-1 File 01/14/14 Enter 01/22/14 13:28:01 Exhibit Proponents Ex. H Pg 9

of 21

. . .

.

I. Valuation Analysis

12-51127 - #1365-1 File 01/14/14 Enter 01/22/14 13:28:01 Exhibit Proponents Ex. H Pg 10 of 21

Confidential

fidential.

·~...



Imperial Capital's analysis employed three standard valuation methodologies: Comparable Companies Analysis, Comparable M&A Transaction Analysis and Discounted Cash Flow Analysis. All three methodologies were weighted equally in our analysis.

Methodology	Description
Comparable Companies	 Application of current EBITDA trading multiples of comparable companies to Piccadilly's projected 2013 results Comparable company universe includes public companies focused on the casual and family dining restaurant segments
	 Analysis of comparable M&A transactions and the application of their implied EBITDA multiples to Piccadilly's projected 2013 results
Comparable M&A Transactions	 Transaction universe includes companies in the restaurant sector sold since 2010
	 In conducting our analysis, we reviewed and evaluated 14 total relevant M&A transactions of which 7 deals had reliable valuation information and financial metrics publicly available
Discounted Cash Flow	 Calculates the estimated present value of (i) Piccadilly's unlevered, after-tax free cash flows for the fiscal years ("FY") ending December 31, 2014 - 2017 and (ii) a terminal value for Piccadilly at the conclusion of the projected period ending FY2017
	 Terminal value (i.e., at FY2017 horizon) calculated utilizing an 6.0x EBITDA exit multiple, which is based upon the average valuation metric calculated from the Comparable M&A Transactions analysis
	 Discount rate based on a weighted average cost of capital ("WACC"), which is calculated utilizing the average market risk factors (i.e., beta) of the companies evaluated in the Comparable Companies analysis as a proxy for Piccadilly's discount rate
	 Projections utilized in the DCF were provided by Piccadilly management
•••••	

尼



Valuation Summary

Based on an equal weighting of the selected methodologies, the concluded enterprise value range is \$48 million to \$59 million, with a midpoint value of \$54 million



12-51127 - #1365-1 File 01/14/14 Enter 01/22/14 13:28:01 Exhibit Proponents Ex. H Pg 12 of 21



Valuation Summary

IC

Implied Ente	erprise Va	lue Rai	nges				
Methodology	Metric	Implied	i Multi	iples ⁽¹⁾	E	nterpris Value	e
(\$ in millions)	hannanista anna arainte al	a dia amin'ny fi	and the second	Antonio antonio della di			
Discounted Cash Flow							
4 Year Discounted Cash Flow Analysis	\$16.5	4.5x	-	6.5x	\$56	-	\$78
Selected Companies							
Casual and Family Dining							
Piccadilly (EV / 2013P Adjusted EBITDA)	\$6.3	8.2x	-	9.2x	\$52	-	\$58
Selected M&A Transactions							
Casual and Family Dining							
Piccadilly (EV / 2013P Adjusted EBITDA)	\$6.3	5.6x	-	6.6x	\$35	-	\$42
Piccadilly Summary					Low		<u>High</u>
Average					\$48	-	\$59
Median					\$52	-	\$58

(1) Multiples ranges are determined as average of comparable multiples +/- 0.5x for EBITDA and WACC of 22% to 25% and Terminal Multiples of 4.5x to 6.5x for the Discounted Cash Flow Analysis.



Comparable Companies Analysis

Selection Methodology and Summary

- We considered a range of companies with the following characteristics:
 - Owners and franchisers of restaurants focused on casual and family dining
 - Primary operations in North America
- While there is no single public company that is in all aspects comparable to Piccadilly, there are certain attributes
 of each of the following companies that make them relevant as part of the comparable group

Comparable Company Universe

- Bob Evans Farms, Inc. (NASDAQ:BOBE)
- Brinker International, Inc. (NYSE:EAT)

- Frisch's Restaurants, Inc. (AMEX:FRS)
 Luby's Inc. (NYSE:LUB)
- Cracker Barrel Old Country Store, Inc. (NASDAQ:CBRL)
- Famous Dave's of America, Inc. (NASDAQ:DAVE)
- Red Robin Gourmet Burgers, Inc. (NASDAQ:RRGB)
 Ruby Tuesday, Inc. (NYSE:RT)

Metric	Valuation Multiple Range ⁽¹⁾	Implied Enterprise <u>Value Range</u>
2013P EBITDA - \$6.3M	8.2x – 9.2x	\$52M \$58M

fidential

Comparable Companies Valuation Detail



(\$ in millions, except per share values)

		Sto	k Price	Stock Pe	rlormance	Enterprise		LTM	2014P G	rowth %	Food	Labor	Food	Labor	EBITDA	EV / E	BITDA	Net Debt /	Same Store
Ticker	Company	(12/	10/2013)	1 Year	1 Month	Value (EV)	R	evenue	Revenue	EBITDA	Margin %	L T M	CY2013	LTM EBITDA	Sales Growth 17				
Casual and	d Family Dining																		
EAT	Brinker International, Inc.	5	45.94	49.4%	0.0%	\$ 3,827.5	5	2,846.1	3.5%	6.4%	N/A	31.4%	N/A	68.6%	14.2%	9.4x	9.3x	1.8x	(1.2)%
CBRL	Cracker Barrel Old Country Store, Inc.		107.34	75.3%	(4.3)%	2,897.3		2,670.5	3.5%	13.8%	N/A	36.7%	N/A	63.3%	10.9%	9.9x	10.6x	1.2x	2.7%
BOBE	Bob Evans Farms, Inc.		51,31	26.8%	(4.6)%	1,567.7	,	1,608.9	2.1%	15.8%	N/A	31.8%	N/A	68.2%	10.4%	9.3x	9.3x	1.2x	(0.6)%
RRGB	Red Robin Gourmet Burgers Inc.		73.35	130.2%	(8.5)%	1,117.1		998.6	10.2%	13.0%	N/A	33.0%	N/A	67.0%	10.8%	10.3x	10.4x	0.6×	4.2%
RT	Ruby Tuesday, Inc.		7.19	(8.1)%	19.0%	678.1		1,251.5	(0.2)%	8.2%	N/A	33.5%	N/A	66.5%	7.8%	7.0x	10.1x	2.5x	(11,4)%
LUB	Luby's, Inc.		7.46	19.2%	(1.2)%	229.1		371.8	N/A	7.4%	26.5%	31.9%	73.5%	68.1%	6.8%	9.0x	8.0x	0.7×	(0.2)%
FRS	Frisch's Restaurants, Inc.		24.05	26.4%	(0.3)%	134.7	,	203.7	1.4%	N/A	33.5%	36.4%	66.5%	63.6%	10.7%	6.2x	N/A	0.6x	(1.0)%
DAVE	Famous Dave's of America Inc.		19.57	115.5%	(3.0)%	157.0)	156.1	4.0%	N/A	27.2%	28.5%	72.8%	71.5%	7.3%	13.8x	N/A	1.1x	(0.6)%
Mean			-	43.5%	-0.4%				3.5%	10.8%	29.1%	32.9%	70.9%	67.1%	9.9%	8.7x	9.8x	1.0x	-1.0%
Median				26.8%					3.5%	10.6%	27.2%	32.5%	72.8%	67.5%	10.6%	9.3x	9.7x	1.2x	-0.7%
Piccadilly	Restaurants, LLC - 2013						\$	133,4	•	•	29.2%	21.9%	70.8%	78.1%	4.7%				
Piccadilly	Restaurants, LLC - 2014						\$	145.8	9.3%	65.8%	28.5%	20.9%	71.5%	79.1%	7.2%				

Source: Imperial Capital, LLC, Capital IQ, Bloomberg and Company SEC Filings.

Enterprise Value is defined as market capitalization plus net debt, minority interest and preferred equity.

Italicized, NA, and NM values are excluded from mean and median calculations.

(1) Represents year-over-year growth for most recent quarter.



Comparable M&A Transactions Analysis

Selection Methodology and Summary

- We considered a wide range of transactions in the Restaurant industry, including most specifically deals in the casual and family dining categories
- Transaction universe includes Restaurant companies sold since 2010, with deal sizes ranging from approximately \$10 million to over \$750 million
- In conducting our analysis, we reviewed and evaluated 14 total relevant M&A transactions which we believe to be relevant to Piccadilly
- Our analysis applies the mean of the comparable transactions' EBITDA multiples to Piccadilly's 2013P results, as summarized below:

Ca	sual and Family Dini	ng
<u>Metric</u>	Valuation <u>Multiple Range⁽¹⁾</u>	Implied Enterprise Value Range
2013P EBITDA - \$6.3M	5.6x – 6.6x	\$35M – \$42M



Comparable M&A Transactions Detail



Casual and Family Dining

(\$ in millions)

Date	Target (Brands)	Acquirer	Implied Enterpris Value ("EV		EV / LTM EBITDA
Apr-13	Macaroni Grill	Ignite Restaurant Group, Inc. (NasdaqGS:IRG)	\$ 5	4.1 NA	NA
Dec-12	Paradise Cheeseburgers, LLC	Luby's Inc.	1	1.0 NA	NA
Jun-12	J. Alexander's Corp. (OTCPK:JAXR)	American Blue Ribbon Holdings, LLC	10	0.2 11.1	9.0x
Feb-12	O'Charley's Inc. (NasdaqGS:CHUX)	American Blue Ribbon Holdings, LLC	19	7.9 37.3	5.3x
Dec-11	First Watch Restaurants, Inc.	Freeman Spogli & Co.		NA NA	NA
Nov-11	Giordano's Enterprises, Inc. (1)	Origin Capital Management LLC; Victory Park	6	1.6 10.3	6.0x
Jul-11	Arby's Restaurant Group, Inc.	Roark Capital Group	34	9.5 75.0	4.7x
Mar-11	Bruegger's Enterprises Inc.	Groupe Le Duff SA	9	1.0 14.8	6.2x
Jan-11	Hooters of America, Inc.	Chanticleer Holdings Inc.; KarpReilly; H.I.G. Capital	25	0.0 35.5	7.0x
Nov-10	Claim Jumper Restaurants, LLC	Landry's Restaurants Inc.	7	6.6 NA	NA
Nov-10	Bubba Gump Shrimp Company Restaurants, Inc.	Landry's Restaurants Inc.	12	0.0 NA	NA
Sep-10	Max & Ermas Restaurants Inc.	American Blue Ribbon Holdings, LLC	2	8.0 NA	NA
Jul-10	Magic Brands LLC (Fuddruckers & Koo Koo Roo)	Luby's Inc. (NYSE:LUB)	6	3.5 NA	NA
Jun-10	On the Border Mexican Grill & Cantina	Golden Gate Capital	18	0.0 30.0	6.0x

Mean	6.1x
Median	6.0x

Source: Imperial Capital, LLC, Capital IQ, Bloomberg, Company SEC Filings and public press releases and news sources.

Italicized, NA, and NM values are excluded from mean and median calculations.

(1) Revenues based on annualized budget for 13 weeks ending 7/29/11.

17

12-51127 - #1365-1 File 01/14/14 Enter 01/22/14 13:28:01 Exhibit Proponents Ex. H Pg 17 of 21



Discounted Cash Flow Valuation Summary

The DCF analysis calculates the present value of Piccadilly's forecasted results through FY2017 by discounting future cash flows and the terminal exit value at a rate reflecting the Company's risk profile and assumed time value of money

- DCF analysis is based on Piccadilly's forecasted unlevered free cash flows for the forecasted fiscal years 2014-2017
 - The cash flows are calculated based on projected operating income (net of any non-operational, non-recurring expenses included in the budget) less taxes, plus depreciation and amortization, less projected capital expenditures, less any projected working capital investment (or plus any cash proceeds generated from annual changes in working capital)
- The terminal value is based on an assumed exit at the conclusion of FY2017, at a valuation based on the Comparable M&A transactions analysis
 - We applied the median LTM EBITDA valuation multiple from the comparable M&A transactions of 6.0x to generate an appropriate terminal value
 - The projected free cash flows and terminal value are discounted to December 31, 2013 (prior to commencement of the first projected period), at a weighted average cost of capital ("WACC") that considers the marginal costs of all sources of capital
 - WACC was calculated at 23.0%, which is based on current market costs of capital, equity risk premiums and market risk metrics (i.e. "beta" or "β") of the companies comprising the comparable public universe
 - The DCF analysis uses the following ranges:
 - WACC from 22% to 25%
 - Terminal EBITDA multiples from 4.5x to 6.5x
 - The DCF analysis is to a large extent driven by the growth in EBITDA over the projected period, which is based on management projections, and to which Imperial Capital has applied no discount

,fidential



Discounted Cash Flow Valuation Detail

Projected Cash Flows

The s

(\$ in thousands)	Fiscal Year Ending December 31,							
		2014P		2015P		2016P		2017P
Sales								
Gross Sales	\$	145,797	\$	155,224	\$	168,813	\$	178,934
Sales Deductions		(3,551)		(3,597)		(3,702)		(3,688)
Net Sales		142,245		151,627		165,110		175,246
% Growth		10.2%		6.6%		8.9%		6.1%
Food and Labor Cost		(72,022)		(77,124)		(84,324)		(90,032)
% of Gross Sales		49.4%		49.7%		50.0%		50.3%
Unit Level Profit	\$	70,223	\$	74,503	\$	80,787	\$	85,214
Operating and Corporate Expenses	•	(60,260)		(62,930)		(66,037)		(69,109)
% of Gross Sales		42.4%		41.5%		40.0%		39.4%
Savings from Store Closings ⁽¹⁾		488		468		429		436
Adjusted EBITDA		10,451	\$	12,042	\$	15,179	\$	16,542
Less: Taxes ⁽²⁾		(1,301)		(2,177)		(3,582)		(4,017)
Less: Capital Expenditures		(3,506)		(4,250)		(4,906)		(4,906)
Less: (Inc) Dec in Working Capital		288		(136)		(118)		(309)
Free Cash Flows		5,932		5,478		6,573		7,310
Terminal Cash Flows (@ 6.0x 2017 Adjusted EBITDA)		-		-		-		99,249
Total Free Cash Flows	\$	5,932	\$	5,478	\$	6,573	\$	106,559

(1) Includes EBITDA contributions, advertisement and insurance reallocations and lost rebates.

(2) Assumes tax rate of 45.0%.

Imperial Capital

12-51127 - #1365-1 File 01/14/14 Enter 01/22/14 13:28:01 Exhibit Proponents Ex. H Pg 19 of 21

Discounted Cash Flow Valuation Detail



Valuation Sensitivity

NPV Calculation @ 12/31/2013	
NPV of Free Cash Flows	\$ 18,653
NPV of Terminal Cash Flows	 53,305
Enterprise Value	 71,958
Multiple of 2014P EBITDA	6.9x
Assumptions	
2014P Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 10,451
Terminal EBITDA Multiple	6.0x
Discount Rate	23.0%

Enterprise Value - Sensitivity Analysis

			<u>Discou</u>	int Rate	
	_	22.0%	23.0%	24.0%	25.0%
	4.5x	\$59,829	\$58,632	\$57,471	\$56,347
Terminal	5.0x	\$64,381	\$63,074	\$61,807	\$60,579
EBITDA	5.5x	\$68,934	\$67,516	\$66,142	\$64,811
Multiple	6.0x	\$73,486	\$71,958	\$70,477	\$69,043
	6.5x	\$78,038	\$76,400	\$74,813	\$73,275

fidential.

Un ICCADILL



Based on the marginal costs of debt and equity capital, and the market risk and volatility standards of the comparable company universe, we calculate a WACC of 23.0% to be applicable to Piccadilly

				Wei	ghted Avera	ge Co	ost of Capita						
Variable	Value	Source											
Rd =	5.56%	(a) BAML High Yield Index - as of December 9, 2013											
t =	45.00%	Assumed Marginal Tax Rate											
Rf =	2.86%	(b) 10-yr. Treasury Bond Rate - as of December 9, 2013											
Rm - Rf =	6.62%	(c) Equity Risk Premium											
ß =	1.45	(d) Relevered Beta based on Family and Casual Dining - as of December 9, 2013											
D % =	16.30%	(e) Debt/Capital Ratio											
E % =	83.70%	Equity/Capital Ratio											
Rsm =	11.77%	(f) Decile 10'z' Premium											
Ris =	2.50%	(g)	Investmen	t Specif	ic Risk								
]	Rd x (1 - t)	X	D%]	+	[(Rf	+ (ß x	(Rm - Rf)) +	Rsm	+	Ris) x	E%]
ſ	5.56% x (1 - 45.0%)	x	16.30%]+[2.86%	+ (1.45 x	6.62%)+	11.77%	+	2.50%) x	83.70%
WACC =	Rd (1-t)	x	D%	+	Re	x	E%						
ſ	3.06%	x	16.30%]+[26.7%	x	83.70%]						
	WACC (rounded) =		23.0%	-									

(d) Source: Adjusted Beta per Bloomberg.

(e) Based on the current Debt/Capital of the selected comparable companies.

(f) Equity size premium based on 10z decile premium (companies with market capitalizations of ~\$1.03MM - \$86.76MM) per Ibbotson 2012 Yearbook.

(g) Risk related to reorganization.

Imperial Capital

· . . ·

21

12-51127 - #1365-1 File 01/14/14 Enter 01/22/14 13:28:01 Exhibit Proponents Ex. H Pg

21 of 21