

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN INDIANA**

Minute Entry/Order

Hearing Information:

Debtor: ATA Holdings Corp. & Chicago Express Airlines, Inc.
Case Number: 04-19866-BHL-11 **Chapter:** 11
Date / Time / Room: WEDNESDAY, APRIL 27, 2005 09:00 AM NA 107
Bankruptcy Judge: BASIL H. LORCH
Courtroom Clerk: KRISTIN GOSS
Reporter / ECR: LEE YOUNG

Matter:

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN INDIANA**

Minute Entry/Order

(continue)... 04-19866-BHL-11

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 27, 2005 09:00 AM

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN INDIANA**

Minute Entry/Order

(continue)... 04-19866-BHL-11

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 27, 2005 09:00 AM

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN INDIANA**

Minute Entry/Order

(continue)... 04-19866-BHL-11

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 27, 2005 09:00 AM

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN INDIANA**

Minute Entry/Order

(continue)... 04-19866-BHL-11

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 27, 2005 09:00 AM

Continued Hearing on Motion for Entry of an Order Authorizing Debtors to Reject Airport Lease and Use Agreement with The Greater Orlando Aviation Authority and Objection filed thereto to by Greater Orlando Aviation Authority [1716, 1721] IF NEEDED- Parties working on resolving Cross Default and will call if matter is resolved and t/c not needed
R / M #: 0 / 0

Appearances:

Terry E Hall, ATTORNEY FOR ATA Holdings Corp.
Roy Kobert, ATTORNEY FOR Greater Orlando Airport
Angela Ferrante - Creditors Comm.
Randy Eyster, Local Counsel for Greater Orlando
Jeff Graham, ATTORNEY FOR Debtor

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN INDIANA**

Minute Entry/Order

(continue)... 04-19866-BHL-11

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 27, 2005 09:00 AM

Proceedings:

COURT'S FINDINGS: TC held. Motion GRANTED. Lease rejection effective on 4/30/05. Order to be submitted per agreements stated on the record, by Terry Hall.

IF COUNSEL HAS BEEN DIRECTED BY THE COURT TO SUBMIT AN ORDER BASED ON THE COURT'S RULING OR THE PARTIES' AGREEMENT, THEN NO FURTHER NOTICE OR REMINDER WILL BE ISSUED. THE COURT WILL NOT KEEP A CASE OPEN SOLELY BECAUSE THE ORDER WAS NOT SUBMITTED WITHIN THE TIME PERIOD DIRECTED BY THE COURT. IN SUCH INSTANCE, A REOPENING FEE WILL APPLY.