

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF Southern Indiana**

Minute Entry/Order

Hearing Information:

Debtor: ATA Holdings Corp. & Chicago Express Airlines, Inc.
Case Number: 04-19866-BHL-11 **Chapter:** 11
Date / Time / Room: WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 04, 2006 01:30 PM NA 107
Bankruptcy Judge: BASIL H. LORCH
Courtroom Clerk: RHONDA ANDIS
Reporter / ECR: KENDRA CONGLETON

Matters:

- 17) "Compass Advisers" Compass Fee App [4078]
 - a) U.S. Trustee objection [4117]
 - b) Statement of Cred. Comm. in Support [4118]
 - c) Objection of Compass Advisers, LLP [4119]
 - d) Mtn of Compass Advisers that current hearing set be changed to a pretrial Hearing [4125]
 - e) Notice of Settlement and Request for Order Approving Settlement [4483]**R / M #:** 4,078 / 0
- 1) Fourth Claims Objection [3380]
R / M #: 3,380 / 0
- 2) Ninth Claims Objections [3734]
R / M #: 3,734 / 0
- 3) "GE Engine and CFM" [4178]
R / M #: 4,178 / 0
- 6) "U.S. Airways" re: claim nos. 2211 and 2212. [4266]
R / M #: 4,266 / 0
- 8) "City of Chicago" re: claim #1995 [4282]
R / M #: 4,282 / 0
- 11) Class 7 Trade Claims re: Marathon claim #1024 [4323]
R / M #: 4,323 / 0
- 12) "Denver International Airprot" re: claim #1109 [4291]
R / M #: 4,291 / 0
- 13) "National Union Fire Insurance Co" re: claim #'s 1597 and 2268. [4361]
R / M #: 4,361 / 0
- 7) "U.S. Airways, Inc." re: claim # 1137. [4285]
R / M #: 4,285 / 0
- 14) "Port Authority of New Jersey and New York" re: claim #1984 [4335]
R / M #: 4,335 / 0
- 15) "New York State Department of Taxation" re: claim no's 1829 and 2159 [4310]
R / M #: 4,310 / 0
- 16) "Georgia" re: claim #2024 [4315]
R / M #: 4,315 / 0

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF Southern Indiana**

Minute Entry/Order

(continue)... 04-19866-BHL-11

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 04, 2006 01:30 PM

- 19) Evidentiary Hearing: "Marion County Treasurer" re: claim # 803 [4226]
R / M #: 4,226 / 0
- 10) "Claims paid through ACH Settlements" as to AMR and American Airlines [4363]
R / M #: 4,363 / 0
- 20) Evidentiary Hearing: "City and County of Denver" (Claim Nos. 87, 2196, 2197 and 2277) [4304]
R / M #: 4,304 / 0
- 21) Evidentiary Hearing: "Michigan Dept. of Treasury" (Claim Nos. 2001 and 2161) [4318]
R / M #: 4,318 / 0
- 9) "Charter Air Services, Inc. Tropicana" (Claim No. 1201) [4334]
R / M #: 4,334 / 0
- 4) "Cortese" Hearing on Reorganized Debtors' Objection to the Claim of Diane L. Cortese (claim # 2076) [4190,4243]
R / M #: 4,190 / 0
- 5) "New York State Department of Taxation and Finance" (re: Claim no. 361,362,1942 and 2111) [4231]
R / M #: 4,231 / 0
- 18) "Compass Advisors" FeeApplication to [4385]
 - a) Notice of Settlement and Request for Order Approving Settlement [4483]
R / M #: 4,385 / 0

Appearances:

Court Reporter has official list of appearances

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF Southern Indiana**

Minute Entry/Order

(continue)... 04-19866-BHL-11

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 04, 2006 01:30 PM

Proceedings:

- 1) Continued to November 16, 2006
- 2) Settled, notice of settlement to be filed.
- 3) Continued to November 16, 2006
- 4) Claim allowed as a Class 7 (unsecured)
- 5) Continued to November 16, 2006
- 6) Continued to November 16, 2006
- 7) Continued to November 16, 2006
- 8) Continued to November 16, 2006
- 9) Court takes matter under advisement and to issue order
- 10) American Airlines has agreed to the disallowance of the claim
- 11) Debtors have withdrawn final objection, all matters resolved
- 12) Settled, notice of settlement to be filed, parties request matter be continued to November 16, 2006
- 13) Continued to November 16, 2006
- 14) Continued to November 16, 2006
- 15) Continued to November 16, 2006
- 16) State of Georgia has filed a withdrawal of claim
- 17) No objections, Granted
- 18) No Objections, Granted
- 19) Parties have reached an agreement; Marion County to withdrawal claim
- 20) Continued to November 16, 2006
- 21) Continued to November 16, 2006

IF COUNSEL HAS BEEN DIRECTED BY THE COURT TO SUBMIT AN ORDER BASED ON THE COURT'S RULING OR THE PARTIES' AGREEMENT, THEN NO FURTHER NOTICE OR REMINDER WILL BE ISSUED. THE COURT WILL NOT KEEP A CASE OPEN SOLELY BECAUSE THE ORDER WAS NOT SUBMITTED WITHIN THE TIME PERIOD DIRECTED BY THE COURT. IN SUCH INSTANCE, A REOPENING FEE WILL APPLY.