

EXHIBIT E

Michael Rozen

From: pweitz
Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2005 8:50 AM
To: Michael Rozen
Subject: Re: P: despite your racing out at the end, it was good to see you yesterday. It's been far too long since
Attachments: Mime.822

My clients have nothing to lose and everything to gain your positions have not only created enormous credibility issues between you and me but I'm outraged by the numbers you paid everyone else (historicals) and are refusing to pay us ! Save your nonsense excuses for someone who doesn't know better ! By the way nobody would care if I was paid differently they just care that I stop objecting !

REDACTED

Original Message

From: "Michael Rozen" <mkrozen@feinberggroup.com>
Date: Tue, 20 Dec 2005 08:40:06
To: <pweitz@myengular.blackberry.net>, <pweitz@weitzlux.com>
Subject: P: despite your racing out at the end, it was good to see you yesterday. It's been far too long since

P: despite your racing out at the end, it was good to see you yesterday. It's been far too long since we've hung out, so let's make a definitive plan for early in '06.

let me ask you one question...what is to be gained by your seemingly hardline position? set aside the number for a minute and just look at "terms:" you know full well that it's impossible, for multiple reasons, to do anything different for you than for others, on either front, so seeking such a substantial difference can only be designed to kill any possibility of getting something done. but, I ask myself, why come to the meeting and say at the outset that you want to make a deal, only to set up a condition that you know is impossible to meet, thereby undermining the thing you said you wanted to achieve? It doesn't make any sense. As to the dollars, while you may feel aggrieved about how Quig has played out so far, what is to be gained by continuing to fight? (a) you will lose the vote; (b) you will likely lose before the bankruptcy court and we will have a confirmed plan, which will cause us to pay the remaining 50% to the participants; (c) your best case is on appeal, and we all know how uncertain a road that is; (d) even if you prevail, years from now, on an appeal, Quig is still a limited fund and there is simply no way to get blood from a stone, unless (e) you somehow persuade a court to pierce the veil, which we both know (and all of your brethren have conceded) is about as unlikely a possibility as any we've ever discussed. So, where does that leave us? I'm not in your shoes, so maybe there is something I don't fully comprehend, however it seems to me that the "best" result here, under the circumstances, is to make the

5/6/2008

Quit deal and stop being an objector. /

REDACTED

whatever the case, i hope you have a relaxing and enjoyable holiday and
i look forward to seeing you in early January.

M

Sent via BlackBerry a service from AT&T Wireless.

5/16/2008