Exhibit A

Transcript of September 30, 2013 Hearing

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN RE:	)	Case No. 13-11761(BLS)
	)	Chapter 11
AGFEED USA, LLC, *et al.*	)	
	)	Courtroom No. 1
Debtors.	)	824 Market Street
	)	Wilmington, Delaware 19801
	)	
	)	September 30, 2013
	)	1:30 P.M.

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING
BEFORE HONORABLE BRENDAN L. SHANNON
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Debtors:	Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor, LLP By: ROBERT BRADY, ESQ. 1000 N. King Street Rodney Square Wilmington, Delaware 19801 (302) 571-6600
For U. S. Trustee:	Office of the United States Trustee By: DAVID BUCHBINDER, ESQ. 844 King Street, Suite 2207 Lockbox 35 Wilmington, Delaware 19801 (302) 573-6491
ECRO:	Dana Moore
Transcription Service:	Reliable 1007 N. Orange Street Wilmington, Delaware 19801 Telephone: (302) 654-8080 E-Mail: gmatthews@reliable-co.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording: transcript produced by transcription service.

For Ad Hoc Committee of Equity Security:	Elliott Greenleaf By: RAFAEL ZAHRALDDIN, ESQ. 1105 Market Street, Suite 1700 Wilmington, Delaware 19801 (302) 384-9400
For Official Committee Of Unsecured Creditors:	Greenberg Traurig By: MATTHEW GENSBURG, ESQ. 77 West Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60601
For Shareholders:	By: JAMES REGNANTE

INDEX

	Page
NOTICE OF AGENDA MATTERS:	
For the Debtors, by Mr. Brady	4,31, 61
For Shareholders, by Mr. Regnante	7
For the U.S. Trustee, by Mr. Buchbinder	30,33
For Ad Hoc Committee, by Mr. Zahralddin	77
For OCUC, by Mr. Gensburg	81

or I can simply tender their declarations to have them admitted. How does the Court desire to proceed this afternoon?

THE COURT: Well I appreciate getting both of the declarations in advance and I've had an opportunity to review the declarations and, obviously, there's voluminous materials attached that I've had a chance to go through and understand. So I don't believe that I need a lengthy proffer, and I would ask I think you're tendering them for admission in support of your case in chief in connection with the examiner motion?

MR. BUCHBINDER: That is correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I would ask first if anybody objects to admission for purposes of the examiner motion? Mr. Brady?

MR. BRADY: Your Honor, thank you, I did speak with Mr. Buchbinder about this last week. With Respect to Ms. Starr's declaration that merely references publically available information. We have no objection about that.

Much of what's in Mr. Webster's declaration is also part of the public record. But certain parts of the declaration are Mr. Webster's personal recollection of events or conclusions that he's reached. And I think it's fair to say that in certain of those instances, the Debtors would take issue with either his recollection or his conclusions. But as I told Mr. Buchbinder based on the objections filed by the Debtor and the committees, I don't think we need to go

into those issues. In other words, the Debtors aren't here arguing there are or are not causes of action. That there are good causes of action or bad causes of action. That no investigation is necessary. Our position really is that the investigation and the pursuit, the state cause of action will happen at the right time by the right party in this case. And we're confident of that.

So I have no objection to the admission of the declaration with a reservation on those points in Mr. Webster's declaration that are his recollection of events or his conclusions, because they go to the heart of are there claims. And that is a decision that will be made by, again, the right party at the right time in the case.

THE COURT: Whether it be an examiner, the Debtor, a committee or a trustee or someone else.

MR. BRADY: Exactly.

THE COURT: As I understand it.

MR. BRADY: So we have no problem with admission of the declaration, and we just reserve on that point.

THE COURT: I want to make sure that I understand that distinction and then I'll hear from Mr. Buchbinder in response and it may be the distinction or it may be that there's not an issue. But the Debtors' concern is that or some of the items, and I read the affidavit. I understand the areas that you're referring to. The Debtors' concern is

that this at a subsequent hearing or in a subsequent proceeding be deemed law of the case where the estate or somebody would be collaterally estopped from responding or challenging some of these statements. Is that how I understand it?

MR. BRADY: Exactly, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And that their admission would have that effect. Okay I understand. So the Debtors' wish is to condition the admission subject to an opportunity to revisit those issues at a subsequent hearing.

MR. BRADY: And when those issues are truly at issue, if you will.

THE COURT: Okay I think I understand. Mr. Buchbinder.

MR. BUCHBINDER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Does that, I think I understand the condition that Mr. Brady's identified. Does that make sense to you?

MR. BUCHBINDER: Yes it does, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I agree. Let me ask does anyone wish -- first there's a request for admission of the declarations. And I would note that parties are entitled to demand that direct testimony be elicited by direct and live rather than by introduction of a declaration. With that proviso does anyone wish that the witnesses be put on the stand for direct