## Exhibit C

**November 27, 2018 Hearing Transcript** 

1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2	In re:  Case No. 15-11951-shl New York, New York November 27, 2018  Debtor. 10:45 a.m 11:04 a.m.
4 5	- TRANSCRIPT -
6	
7	AIRFASTTICKETS, INC.
8	AND LIQUIDATING TRUST OF AIRFASTTICKETS, INC.
9	15-11951-SHL, CHAPTER 11
10	DOC NOS 280 AND 301, STATUS CONFERENCE
11	RE FAREPORTAL ORDERS CONCERNING FIRST OMNIBUS OBJECTION
12	OF THE LIQUIDATING TRUST OF AIRFASTTICKETS, INC.
13	TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. 86 FILED BY FAREPORTAL INC.
14	(COURTCALL APPROVED)
15	BEFORE THE HONORABLE SEAN H. LANE
16	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For the Debtor:	NICHOLAS A. MARTEN, ESQ. Arent Fox LLP
		1301 Avenue of the Americas, 42nd Floor
3		New York, New York 10019-6040 (212) 484-3900; (212) 484-3990 fax
4		nicholas.marten@arentfox.com
5	For the Debtor:	ARAM ORDUBEGIAN, ESQ.
6	101 0110 202001	Arent Fox LLP 555 West Fifth Street, 48th Floor
7		Los Angeles, California 90013
8		(213) 629-7410; (213) 629-7401 fax aram.ordubegian@arentfox.com
9		
10	For Fareportal, Inc.:	MALANI J. CADEMARTORI, ESQ. ROBERT FRIEDMAN, ESQ.
11		Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP 30 Rockefeller Plaza
12		New York, New York 10112
13		(212) 653-8700; (212) 653-8701 fax
14	For AFT UK,	ANDREW M. CARTY, ESQ.
15	Administrators:	Brown Rudnick LLP 7 Times Square
16		New York, New York 10036 (212) 209-4959; (212) 938.2928 fax
17		acarty@brownrudnick.com
18		
19	Transcriber:	AA EXPRESS TRANSCRIPTS 195 Willoughby Avenue, Suite 1514
20		Brooklyn, New York 11205 (888) 456-9716
21		aaexpress@court-transcripts.net
22	(5)	
23	(Proceedings recor	ded by electronic sound recording)
24		
25		

In re Airfasttickets, Inc. - 11/27/18 3 THE COURT: All right. The next case that's on is 1 2 Airfasttickets, Inc. and Liquidating Trust of Airfasttickets, Inc. A status conference, dealing with the issue of 3 4 Fareportal's proof of claim, and proceedings happening outside 5 this court that relate to that. So, let me get the appearances 6 from counsel. 7 MR. ORDUBEGIAN: Good morning, Your Honor, Aram Ordubegian and Nicholas Marten, on behalf of the Liquidating 8 9 Trust of the Reorganized Debtor. Thank you. 10 MS. CADEMARTORI: Good morning, Your Honor, Malani 11 Cademartori and Robert Friedman, Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & 12 Hampton, on behalf of Fareportal, the Claimant. 13 THE COURT: All right. 14 MR. FRIEDMAN: Good morning. 15 THE COURT: Good morning. So, we've been doing this a 16 while now. And it all has to do with Fareportal having a claim 17 in this case as against these Debtors, potentially, a claim, I think one's been filed. And assets were sold to an entity 18 19 called Travana. And Fareportal had some issues with Travana as 20 well and trying to get information as to what the status is of 21 Fare portal's claim. Who, if anybody, wants to sue, and what 22 makes sense, as well as trying to figure out the practicalities 23 of discovery given that this is a liquidating trust at this 24 point in this case. So, there's been some discussion back and 25 forth, and I think Travana has a California bankruptcy, if I'm

In re Airfasttickets, Inc. - 11/27/18 4 remembering this correctly, and there's been some discussion about trying to get information there. So, I think we've met in March and May and June and August, so we're back again just to see where things are.

MS. CADEMARTORI: Good morning, Your Honor, Malani Cademartori, Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton, on behalf of Fareportal.

As I believe I reported in our last telephonic status conference, and in many before that, we had spent a significant amount of time, and spent a significant amount of money frankly, trying to work out what was happening in Travana, who, as you mentioned, bought assets from Airfast to start basically the same business that Travana had undertaken, and then they went into Chapter 7. But we spent a significant amount of time with that estate in that court, with that trustee trying to do discovery, which would assist us in this case in terms of not burdening this estate with figuring out what our claim was, potentially getting the source code that they had bought from the Airfast estate so that we could do a comparison or get any other discovery that would allow us to make headway here in terms of our claim.

THE COURT: Yes. I also thought that the issue was that Fareportal's view was that there was an infringing use of your technology. So, I think one of the first instincts I had was to look to Travana. Because at the time, when we first

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In re Airfasttickets, Inc. - 11/27/18 5 started talking about this, Travana was an operating entity, and therefore, from your point of view, there was an ongoing infringement, --MS. CADEMARTORI: Correct. THE COURT: -- as opposed to Airfast, which was essentially mothballed. MS. CADEMARTORI: Yes. THE COURT: It sounds like that's no longer the case. MS. CADEMARTORI: And at that time, Your Honor, the whole reason we ended up here is because we had a lawsuit against Travana and one of our former employees, who had never been at Airfast. And that's how we found out about the Airfast bankruptcy and that Travana had purchased from the Airfast Bankruptcy the source code, and what we believed was the basis for how they began their business, which was the same as our business. And at that point, we sort of looked back and we had had a previous, prior to Airfast bankruptcy, lawsuit against one of Airfast CTO at the time, who then ended up at Travana as well, as well as Airfast for infringement. That was settled out. And then the bankruptcy happened, and we didn't know about it. Fast forward to Travana filing, we started to do all this work with the Travana trustee. We tried very hard, at least from my point of view, and I can say whatever I want, but to do a deal there, to do discovery to figure out what was going

In re Airfasttickets, Inc. - 11/27/18

on, to get the source code so we could do a comparison and then come back here, so as not to burden this estate, because we were already after confirmation at that point.

For reasons that I frankly can't completely explain, and I think everybody is a little in the dark, that all fell apart. Part of the deal we were supposed to do, and I had previously explained this to the Court, with Travana was basically to buy those assets out of a Chapter 7, which is almost unheard of; out of a Chapter, get it, and then deal with it that way so we could come back to this Court and say, okay, we have the stuff we need, here's our evidence to support what we thought was a very colorable claim already, but clearly, you know, here's what we have, let's talk about where our claim was.

Because everything fell apart with Travana, and it seems that it is not resuscitatable at this point, we find ourselves back here. Discovery was done in the Travana case, but we do not have what we feel are the most important parts, which we thought we were going to get, which were copies of the source code, copies of documentation that would provide us the information, especially vis-à-vis what Airfast had sold, which was all of that stuff to Travana . So, we now find ourselves, and both sides took very seriously your suggestion at the last status conference that we get together because time had passed. That we get together and figure out a way forward.

So, we have had at least one conversation, besides the

In re Airfasttickets, Inc. - 11/27/18 7 conversation that we had today, at least one conversation on a way forward. We are trying to figure that out. We are concerned that we will get a lot of pushback through the Travana estate, because frankly, that's where we have to go now. And I think we are trying to figure out way forward. Maybe making a motion in that court to get the protective order to cover the Airfast Liquidating Trust as well, so that we can share with them what we have received in terms of discovery in that case, potentially doing a subpoena. So, we're working all of that out right now.

THE COURT: Well, let me ask about it from another perspective, which is I don't know how much money is left in the Airfast estate. Because that sort of very much colors what the end game is here. So, it's another way to look at things. It's not the world you may hope to live in, but it's the world you actually live in. So, it would suggest, to borrow a concept from discovery, generally some proportionality as to what we're doing. So, I don't know if conversations have been had along those lines, and they were also had in Travana, which has a similar issue. And I don't know if these assets have gone on to some other party or not, which was from your point of view, the issue prior to Airfast and Travana. So, I don't know if there's been thoughts about either of those issues.

MS. CADEMARTORI: Your Honor, we have had preliminary conversations addressing exactly what you say. It is the world

```
In re Airfasttickets, Inc. - 11/27/18
                                                                     8
    we live in. So, we have had preliminary conversations. We have
 1
 2
    made an offer in connection with, really just for lack of a
    better phrase, ending the matter, so that everybody can move on.
 3
 4
    With the knowledge and understanding of what is available in the
 5
    estate, I'm not going to tell you that those discussions have
    foreclosed this point. I think they're ongoing. I would allow
 6
 7
    the trust counsel to explain to you their point of view with
    respect to actually talking about really the economics of where
 8
 9
    we are, and what is the stumbling block for them. So, if you
10
    would like, I could turn it over to --
11
              THE COURT: All right. Let me hear from the trust.
12
              MR. ORDUBEGIAN: Thank you, Your Honor, Aram
13
    Ordubegian, on behalf of the Trust.
              Your Honor, I think you've hit the nail right on the
14
15
           So, there's only a few million dollars in the trust.
    That's nothing surprising. That's been the status at the time
16
17
    of confirmation, and --
              THE COURT: And what's the creditor in terms of the
18
19
    claims that remain unpaid?
20
              MR. ORDUBEGIAN: Hundreds of millions of dollars. And
    we were able to get a very solid D&O recovery, so there's a few
21
22
    million dollars that are there.
23
              THE COURT: So, what would you expect the payout would
24
    be, putting aside any Fareportal claim?
25
              MR. ORDUBEGIAN: Yeah, we did that analysis.
```

In re Airfasttickets, Inc. - 11/27/18

MR. MARTEN: Your Honor, the latest analysis, putting aside the Fareportal claim and any litigation related to the Fareportal claim, is about 4.6 percent.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. ORDUBEGIAN: So, this is your classic sort of pennies type of distribution. And, Your Honor, as you saw from the status report we prepared, we're trying to be very objective. We're not trying to make this anymore expensive. The issue that we ran into, and we do want to settle, we've suggested we go to mediation and maybe the Court will order it today or down the line. But the problem has become, because there is no evidence attached to the proof of claim, it's very hard from the prospective of the trustee to cut any sort of a deal. And by the way, this is going to be an allowed unsecured claim at the end of the day. So, it's going to be a small distribution no matter what the number is. So, we're ready to —

THE COURT: Well, the reason I asked about the number is I remember representing the government in a large telecom case. It was a big environment claim. It was a huge environmental claim. But at the end of the day, I think that recovery was two cents on the dollar. So, taking a very large number and running it through the two-cent thing, it became, I wouldn't say a nuisance claim, but it was not a large payout. And there was really nothing left to fight about. Because it

In re Airfasttickets, Inc. - 11/27/18 10 would have cost more money to fight about it, then to reach some 1 2 sort of agreement as to what should be paid. So, that's why I asked what the number is. And that number is only going to go 3 4 down, as I understand it, in terms of the cannibalization of the 5 state assets to further litigate issues. And my understanding 6 is, this is the only thing left in the estate. 7 MR. ORDUBEGIAN: This is the only thing left in the estate. And this is why we've been very sort of quiet and 8 9 cooperative to allow Fareportal to hopefully resolve the issues 10 on Travana side. And Your Honor, this plan was confirmed two 11 years ago. So, we've actually got creditors -- there haven't 12 been any distributions. We've got creditors calling us and 13 saying, what's going on? 14 So, we do want to be helpful in any way that we can to 15 help them share whatever information they've received from their 16 litigation with Travana, so that we can have a rational 17 discussion with the trustee and say, this is why they have X, Y 18 or Z claim, so we could cut a deal. 19 THE COURT: Is there a face value to the Fareportal 20 claim? 21 MS. CADEMARTORI: Your Honor, we filed the Fareportal 22 claim as no less than 10 million simply based on what the same 23 to prevent infringement claim would be for what we believe 24 occurred with respect our source code and with respect to sort

of getting that Airfast site up and running when it got up and

25

In re Airfasttickets, Inc. - 11/27/18 11 running with our former CTO. So, that was the basis of the 1 2 claim that we filed. We obviously made it unliquidated because it needed to be proven at trial. And a lot of that was very 3 4 much based on getting the source code, finding out what 5 documents sort of sussed out in terms of what went from Airfast to Travana with really the same people. So, it was a \$10 6 7 million base amount I guess you could say. When we've had our discussions, our settlement 8 9 discussions, we have very much taken into account the pennies on 10 a dollar that we would receive. Obviously, I'm not going to 11 disclose what we've talked about. THE COURT: No, no, I don't want to hear it. 12 13 problem always in this situation with the cost of litigation are the real dollars, not the pennies on the dollar. 14 15 MS. CADEMARTORI: Right. And we have taken that into consideration. 16 17 THE COURT: So, it would seem that if you could get -and my understanding is, right, that you're trying to do is get 18 19 them access to the information that you have so that they can 20 make their own evaluation. At least the parties have some reason/basis to figure out how to value the claim. 21 22 MS. CADEMARTORI: Yes. I mean, frankly, Your Honor, 23 one of the biggest issues is we can't even explain to them what 24 we've received or what we know from the Travana case because of 25 the confidentiality. We are open to --

In re Airfasttickets, Inc. - 11/27/18

THE COURT: Why is there such a blanket confidentiality? Well, maybe it's better off I don't even ask that question. So, it is what it is. But I would think that since that's relevant to this case, could there be like a 2004 request in this case? And if somebody wants the response to be subject to -- right? It's about a liability of the estate.

MR. ORDUBEGIAN: Right. Your Honor, what we've discussed is that the confidentiality agreement is per stipulated order in California. We are going to do a joint motion to get our estate to be covered by that confidentiality agreement. At the same time, if we fall back on how you prove a proof of claim. Who's got the burden? At a certain point, if we move forward, Fareportal is going to have to, as the plaintiff, effectively, prove of their claim. So, they need to subpoena, if necessary, these source codes, documents, all the things that we sold, so that they could prove of their claim. We're hoping that on a two-track system like that, we'll either have enough information to cut a deal, or if we can't Fareportal does what a claimant usually does, which is supplement their proof of claim, and we have, hopefully, a short mini-trial here. Though we are trying to avoid that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Right. All right. That's the line. So, what's the next step? It sounds like another status conference maybe 60 days out, given the holidays. It could be more. And certainly, if there's anything you need from me. Again, you all

In re Airfasttickets, Inc. - 11/27/18 13 have thought about the sequencing of this, and so I'm just 1 2 throwing out ideas that may or may not fit. But certainly, if there was a request for a 2004 about a liability of the estate, 3 4 based on the proof of claim, I can't see how that wouldn't be 5 granted. And then somebody would have to explain that they 6 can't produce the stuff. And then at least you have a factual 7 backdrop to say, we need this for the estate. Now, you could simply site to this transcript and the 8 9 understanding that you have that that's the case anyway, and 10 you're trying to avoid the expense of doing that. So, I'll 11 leave that to you all. But it would seem to me that that's kind 12 of a no brainer from a 2004 perspective if you absolutely had to 13 and decide you wanted to take an extra step that you're trying 14 to avoid. 15 MR. ORDUBEGIAN: Your Honor, we were thinking that maybe a status conference about 30 days out. Maybe in mid --16 17 THE COURT: With all due respect, given what you've told me and the holidays, I think 30 days is --18 19 MR. ORDUBEGIAN: Yeah, I was thinking maybe mid-20 January. I like your optimism, but -- yeah? 21 THE COURT: 22 MR. ORDUBEGIAN: Mid-January, maybe, Your Honor? 23 quess the reason being, Your Honor, whether it's an upcoming 24 status conference, or an evidentiary hearing, or trial date, I 25 think I'm probably going to be the one in front of the Travana

```
In re Airfasttickets, Inc. - 11/27/18
                                                                   14
 1
    judge asking for the motion that I suggested. So, I just want
 2
    there to be a hook.
              THE COURT: That's fine. That's absolutely fine. So,
 3
 4
    why don't you do this? Why don't you talk to Ms. Ebanks on the
 5
    way out? Since you're both here, pick a date that works for
 6
    you.
 7
              MR. ORDUBEGIAN: Okay.
              THE COURT: And what I will also say is, you pick a
 8
 9
    date, and if for some reason it makes sense to move that date
10
    off, then you call chambers and move it off.
11
              MR. ORDUBEGIAN:
                               Sure.
12
              THE COURT: That's fine.
13
              MR. ORDUBEGIAN: And, Your Honor, you've been very
    helpful in that regard. We do appreciate it.
14
15
              THE COURT: Well, you know, you're between a rock and
16
    a hard place in many different ways. I see counsel --
17
                         Your Honor, may I be heard, please?
              MR. CARTY:
              THE COURT:
18
                          Sure.
19
              MR. CARTY: Hi, Your Honor, Andrew Carty, from Brown
20
    Rudnick, on behalf of the AFT UK Administrators.
21
              I just wanted to rise. We're the largest general
22
    unsecured creditor in this case. It's been two years since the
23
    plan has been confirmed, and I believe it went effective shortly
24
    thereafter. We haven't received any distribution. We've been
25
    waiting patiently. We've urged the US Trustee to maybe make an
```

In re Airfasttickets, Inc. - 11/27/18

interim distribution or a partial distribution reserved for the Fareportal claim. Thus far, the Trustee hasn't seen the wisdom of that approach. But I just wanted to rise to let you know that there are other parties that are being affected by this, and we would really appreciate moving this forward so that general unsecured creditors, who, as we know, are receiving a fairly small distribution anyway, can get their distribution, and this case can be resolved.

THE COURT: If it makes you feel better, I am sure it's a small solve. The Trustee has been beating that drum since all during the time we've been having these status conferences. So, we're really trying to untie the Gordian knot. So, I totally agree. I think there's nobody here who would take a contrary view. I'm not going to delve into the notion of having interim distribution versus waiting. You all have more advance discussions on that line, and don't need me sort of floundering in like a bull in a china shop and throwing out glib decide. So, I'll let you work your way through that.

I think the idea is that in a case like this, they're probably hoping to have one distribution for purposes of the cost involved. So, since you've been hanging tight this long, maybe hang tight another round or so, and we'll see what we can do. But certainly, I know the Trustee has been saying that that time has come. And so, I certainly understand your perspective.

MR. CARTY: Thank you, Your Honor.

## 15-11951-shl Doc 366-3 Filed 02/13/19 Entered 02/13/19 15:57:34 Exhibit C - 11.27.18 Hearing Transcript Pg 17 of 18

In re Airfasttickets, Inc. - 11/27/18 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. All right. why don't you get a date from Ms. Ebanks. If there is anything you want me to do, if you think for example, for purposes of belt and suspenders, it would be helpful to have a 2004 order, you know you can always submit an agreed upon order. There's lots of ways to do it. But you know better than I do what you actually need, and what's an efficient use of your time. we'll get back together in January or later, depending on how it all works out. Thank you very much for being here today. happy holidays. - 000 -

## 15-11951-shl Doc 366-3 Filed 02/13/19 Entered 02/13/19 15:57:34 Exhibit C - 11.27.18 Hearing Transcript Pg 18 of 18

	17
1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, Rochelle V. Grant, certify that the foregoing is a
4	correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording
5	of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
6	Dated: December 3, 2018
7	Locule V. Skant
8	Joenello . Stant
9	Signature of Approved Transcriber
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	