

Settlement Date and Time: March 10, 2004 at noon
Objection Deadline: March 9, 2004 at noon

Michael P. Richman (MR-2224)
Michelle R. Holl (MH-6837)
MAYER, BROWN, ROWE & MAW LLP
1675 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-2500
Attorneys for SBC Telecommunications, Inc.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
In re: : Chapter 11 Cases
: :
ALLEGIANCE TELECOM, INC., et al., : Case No. 03-13057 (RDD)
: :
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)
-----X

**NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT OF AGREED ORDER PROVIDING RELIEF IN
RESPECT OF MOTION BY SBC TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. TO COMPEL
IMMEDIATE PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIM**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that annexed hereto is a copy of a proposed order (the “Order”) providing relief in respect of the Motion of SBC Telecommunications, Inc. to Compel the Immediate Payment of Administrative Expense Claim Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §503(b)(1)(A), dated January 29, 2004 (the “Motion”), on the terms and conditions set forth on the record by the Court at the hearing on the Motion held February 25, 2004.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Order will be presented for settlement and signature on March 10, 2004 (the “Settlement Date”) at 12:00 noon to the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that objections or counterproposals, if any, to the proposed Order must be made in writing and received by Bankruptcy Judge Drain’s

Chambers, United States Bankruptcy Court, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004, and by Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw LLP, counsel for SBC Telecommunications, Inc., 1675 Broadway, New York, New York, 10019, Attention: Michael P. Richman, Esq., no later than noon on March 9, 2004. Unless objections and counterproposals are received by that time, the Order may be signed.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that if any written objection or counterproposal is timely filed, to the extent that a hearing is necessary, such hearing will be held on a date to be established by the Court.

Dated: New York, New York
March 5, 2004

MAYER, BROWN, ROWE & MAW LLP

By: s/Michael P. Richman
Michael P. Richman (MR-2224)
Michelle R. Holl (MH-6837)
Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw LLP
1675 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-2500

Counsel for SBC Telecommunications, Inc.,
Illinois Bell Telephone Company d/b/a SBC
Illinois, Indiana Bell Telephone Company
Incorporated d/b/a SBC Indiana, Michigan
Bell Telephone Company d/b/a SBC
Michigan, The Ohio Bell Telephone
Company d/b/a SBC Ohio, Pacific Bell
Telephone Company d/b/a SBC California,
Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. d/b/a
SBC Missouri and/or SBC Texas, and
Wisconsin Bell, Inc. d/b/a SBC Wisconsin

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
: In re: : Chapter 11 Cases
: :
: ALLEGIANCE TELECOM, INC., et al., : Case No. 03-13057 (RDD)
: :
: Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)
-----X

**AGREED ORDER PROVIDING RELIEF IN RESPECT OF MOTION BY SBC
TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. TO COMPEL THE IMMEDIATE PAYMENT OF
ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIM PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §503(b)(1)(A)**

Upon consideration of the “Motion by SBC Telecommunications, Inc. to Compel the Immediate Payment of Administrative Expense Claim Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §503(b)(1)(A)”, dated January 29, 2004 (the “Motion”) and upon all parties in interest having been duly served; and a hearing with respect to the Motion having been held on February 25, 2004 (the “Hearing”), and all parties in interest having had an opportunity to appear and be heard, and this Court having considered the Motion and all other pleadings and papers heretofore filed and all prior proceedings; and upon the record of the Hearing and the Court’s findings and conclusions of law made at the Hearing; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefore; it is

ORDERED, that pending further action by the Court with respect to the contracts and tariffs that govern the telecommunications services relationships between SBC Telecommunications, Inc. and affiliates (“SBC) and the Debtors (collectively, the “Service Documents”), it is the purpose and intent of the Court’s prior Order Deeming Utilities Adequately Assured of Future Performance and Establishing Procedures for Determining Requests for Additional Adequate Assurance, dated May 15, 2003, that (except as otherwise ordered by the Court) the Debtors timely comply with all the terms and conditions of the Service Documents, and Debtors shall so comply immediately, including but not limited to, the

procedures (if any) set forth in those Service Documents governing billing disputes between the parties; and it is further

ORDERED that in furtherance of the foregoing, where applicable Service Documents require payment or escrow of disputed amounts as a condition of dispute resolution, and pending such resolution, the Debtors shall pay or escrow the applicable amounts as required, except that where the Debtors dispute payment of a billed amount on the basis that such amount should not be paid as an administrative expense because it was billed in respect of a service that conferred no benefit on the estates, including, but not limited to, billed charges that relate to terminated, rejected or abandoned services (“Administrative Claim Dispute”), the Debtors need not pay or escrow such amount, but instead shall, within thirty (30) days after the Debtors’ receipt of any bill date that is dated March 1, 2004 or later, provide SBC with a schedule identifying the amounts and services with sufficient specificity to enable the Administrative Claim Dispute to be brought before this Court for resolution; and it is further

ORDERED that to the extent the applicable Service Documents require payments or an escrow for disputed billings, then all payments and escrows that are required in respect of the billings provided to the Debtors prior to March 1, 2004, and the schedule setting forth any Administrative Claim Dispute amounts, shall be paid, escrowed and otherwise provided to SBC by the later of (a) 15 days from the receipt by the Debtors of a letter setting forth the Service Document citations requiring advance payments and escrows, and the amounts due in respect of each such Service Document, (b) 5 days from the entry of this Order; and (c) the date provided in the applicable Service Document; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and finally determine any Administrative Claim Dispute and any disputes in connection with or related to the question

whether advance payment or escrow is required under one or more of the governing Service Documents as a condition of dispute resolution.

SO ORDERED THIS __th
DAY OF MARCH, 2004

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE