Exhibit B

```
Page 1
  1
  2
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
  3
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
  4
 5
      In re:
 6
      ALLEGIANCE TELECOM, INC., et al.,
 7
                           Debtors.
 8
      Chapter 11 Case No. 03-13057 (RDD)
 9
10
                 Deposition of MARK TRESNOWSKI, ESQ. held
           at the offices of Sidley Austin Brown & Wood
11
           LLP, 787 Seventh Avenue, New York, New York,
12
13
           on Tuesday, May 18, 2004, commencing at
14
           9:13 a.m., before James W. Johnson, Registered
           Professional Reporter and a Notary Public of
15
16
           the State of New York.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1			
	Page 2	,	Page 3
1 2		2	
2	ADDEADANCEC	3	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by
3	APPEARANCES:	4	· •
4	AIZDI CILAD CED ALICCULALIED & FELD LLD	1	and between the attorneys for the respective parties herein, that the filing and sealing of
5	AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP	6	the within deposition be waived.
6	Attorneys for the Official Committee	7	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that
7	of Unsecured Creditors	8	all objections, except as to the form of the
8	590 Madison Avenue	9	question, shall be reserved to the time of the
9	New York, New York 10022-2524	10	trial.
10	BY: COLIN M. ADAMS, ESQ.	11	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that
11	BLOSSOM KAN, ESQ.	12	the within deposition may be sworn to and
12	CIDLEY ALICTNI DROWNI & WOOD LLD	13	signed before any officer authorized to
13	SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP	14	administer an oath with the same force and
14	Attorneys for KMC Telecom	15	effect as if signed and sworn to before the
15	787 Seventh Avenue	16	Court.
16 17	New York, New York 10019	17	Court.
18	BY: KIMBERLY A. JOHNS, ESQ.	18	
19	TOGUT SEGAL & SEGAL LLP	19	
20	Attorneys for the Debtor	20	
21	One Penn Plaza	21	
22	New York, New York 10119	22	
23	BY: GERARD DI CONZA, ESQ.	23	- oOo -
24	JONATHAN HOOK, ESQ.	24	
25	JONATHAN HOOK, ESQ.	25	
	Page 4		Page 5
1	Tresnowski	1	Tresnowski
2	MARK TRESNOWSKI, called as a	2	the e-mails I was able to recall what my specific
3	witness, having been first duly sworn by a	3	role in the issues that I was focusing on was.
4	Notary Public, was examined and testified		
1 4	Notary Fublic, was examined and testined	4	Q. What were those aspects?
5	under oath as follows:	ľ	į.
1		4	Q. What were those aspects?
5	under oath as follows:	4 5	Q. What were those aspects?A. One was, at the time we entered into a
5 6 7 8	under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. JOHNS: Q. Mr. Tresnowski, did you do anything to prepare for today's deposition?	4 5 6 7 8	Q. What were those aspects? A. One was, at the time we entered into a transaction that their, KMC wanted to have us
5 6 7 8 9	under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. JOHNS: Q. Mr. Tresnowski, did you do anything to prepare for today's deposition? A. I reviewed some, but not all, of the	4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. What were those aspects? A. One was, at the time we entered into a transaction that their, KMC wanted to have us contract with a company that they were just forming. I think it was a limited liability
5 6 7 8 9	under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. JOHNS: Q. Mr. Tresnowski, did you do anything to prepare for today's deposition? A. I reviewed some, but not all, of the e-mails that were produced, and I've had several	4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. What were those aspects? A. One was, at the time we entered into a transaction that their, KMC wanted to have us contract with a company that they were just forming. I think it was a limited liability company, and we had a lot of concerns about the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. JOHNS: Q. Mr. Tresnowski, did you do anything to prepare for today's deposition? A. I reviewed some, but not all, of the e-mails that were produced, and I've had several conversations with my attorney, Mr. DiConza.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. What were those aspects? A. One was, at the time we entered into a transaction that their, KMC wanted to have us contract with a company that they were just forming. I think it was a limited liability company, and we had a lot of concerns about the wherewithal of that company to perform and where it
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. JOHNS: Q. Mr. Tresnowski, did you do anything to prepare for today's deposition? A. I reviewed some, but not all, of the e-mails that were produced, and I've had several conversations with my attorney, Mr. DiConza. Q. The e-mails that you reviewed, were they	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. What were those aspects? A. One was, at the time we entered into a transaction that their, KMC wanted to have us contract with a company that they were just forming. I think it was a limited liability company, and we had a lot of concerns about the wherewithal of that company to perform and where it fit into the KMC capital structure, and so that's
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. JOHNS: Q. Mr. Tresnowski, did you do anything to prepare for today's deposition? A. I reviewed some, but not all, of the e-mails that were produced, and I've had several conversations with my attorney, Mr. DiConza. Q. The e-mails that you reviewed, were they produced by Allegiance?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. What were those aspects? A. One was, at the time we entered into a transaction that their, KMC wanted to have us contract with a company that they were just forming. I think it was a limited liability company, and we had a lot of concerns about the wherewithal of that company to perform and where it fit into the KMC capital structure, and so that's something I specifically got involved in and dealt
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. JOHNS: Q. Mr. Tresnowski, did you do anything to prepare for today's deposition? A. I reviewed some, but not all, of the e-mails that were produced, and I've had several conversations with my attorney, Mr. DiConza. Q. The e-mails that you reviewed, were they produced by Allegiance? A. Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. What were those aspects? A. One was, at the time we entered into a transaction that their, KMC wanted to have us contract with a company that they were just forming. I think it was a limited liability company, and we had a lot of concerns about the wherewithal of that company to perform and where it fit into the KMC capital structure, and so that's something I specifically got involved in and dealt with.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. JOHNS: Q. Mr. Tresnowski, did you do anything to prepare for today's deposition? A. I reviewed some, but not all, of the e-mails that were produced, and I've had several conversations with my attorney, Mr. DiConza. Q. The e-mails that you reviewed, were they produced by Allegiance? A. Yes. Q. Did you review any documents produced by	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. What were those aspects? A. One was, at the time we entered into a transaction that their, KMC wanted to have us contract with a company that they were just forming. I think it was a limited liability company, and we had a lot of concerns about the wherewithal of that company to perform and where it fit into the KMC capital structure, and so that's something I specifically got involved in and dealt with. Bill Stewart, who I believe was the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. JOHNS: Q. Mr. Tresnowski, did you do anything to prepare for today's deposition? A. I reviewed some, but not all, of the e-mails that were produced, and I've had several conversations with my attorney, Mr. DiConza. Q. The e-mails that you reviewed, were they produced by Allegiance? A. Yes. Q. Did you review any documents produced by the KMC estate?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. What were those aspects? A. One was, at the time we entered into a transaction that their, KMC wanted to have us contract with a company that they were just forming. I think it was a limited liability company, and we had a lot of concerns about the wherewithal of that company to perform and where it fit into the KMC capital structure, and so that's something I specifically got involved in and dealt with. Bill Stewart, who I believe was the chief financial officer, and Roscoe Young and Ann
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. JOHNS: Q. Mr. Tresnowski, did you do anything to prepare for today's deposition? A. I reviewed some, but not all, of the e-mails that were produced, and I've had several conversations with my attorney, Mr. DiConza. Q. The e-mails that you reviewed, were they produced by Allegiance? A. Yes. Q. Did you review any documents produced by the KMC estate? A. I don't believe so.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. What were those aspects? A. One was, at the time we entered into a transaction that their, KMC wanted to have us contract with a company that they were just forming. I think it was a limited liability company, and we had a lot of concerns about the wherewithal of that company to perform and where it fit into the KMC capital structure, and so that's something I specifically got involved in and dealt with. Bill Stewart, who I believe was the chief financial officer, and Roscoe Young and Ann Falvey, who at the time was, I think she may have
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. JOHNS: Q. Mr. Tresnowski, did you do anything to prepare for today's deposition? A. I reviewed some, but not all, of the e-mails that were produced, and I've had several conversations with my attorney, Mr. DiConza. Q. The e-mails that you reviewed, were they produced by Allegiance? A. Yes. Q. Did you review any documents produced by the KMC estate? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did any of the e-mails you reviewed	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. What were those aspects? A. One was, at the time we entered into a transaction that their, KMC wanted to have us contract with a company that they were just forming. I think it was a limited liability company, and we had a lot of concerns about the wherewithal of that company to perform and where it fit into the KMC capital structure, and so that's something I specifically got involved in and dealt with. Bill Stewart, who I believe was the chief financial officer, and Roscoe Young and Ann Falvey, who at the time was, I think she may have been a college grad at that time, but I looked at
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. JOHNS: Q. Mr. Tresnowski, did you do anything to prepare for today's deposition? A. I reviewed some, but not all, of the e-mails that were produced, and I've had several conversations with my attorney, Mr. DiConza. Q. The e-mails that you reviewed, were they produced by Allegiance? A. Yes. Q. Did you review any documents produced by the KMC estate? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did any of the e-mails you reviewed refresh your memory?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. What were those aspects? A. One was, at the time we entered into a transaction that their, KMC wanted to have us contract with a company that they were just forming. I think it was a limited liability company, and we had a lot of concerns about the wherewithal of that company to perform and where it fit into the KMC capital structure, and so that's something I specifically got involved in and dealt with. Bill Stewart, who I believe was the chief financial officer, and Roscoe Young and Ann Falvey, who at the time was, I think she may have been a college grad at that time, but I looked at that issue. I reviewed their credit agreement to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. JOHNS: Q. Mr. Tresnowski, did you do anything to prepare for today's deposition? A. I reviewed some, but not all, of the e-mails that were produced, and I've had several conversations with my attorney, Mr. DiConza. Q. The e-mails that you reviewed, were they produced by Allegiance? A. Yes. Q. Did you review any documents produced by the KMC estate? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did any of the e-mails you reviewed refresh your memory? A. Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. What were those aspects? A. One was, at the time we entered into a transaction that their, KMC wanted to have us contract with a company that they were just forming. I think it was a limited liability company, and we had a lot of concerns about the wherewithal of that company to perform and where it fit into the KMC capital structure, and so that's something I specifically got involved in and dealt with. Bill Stewart, who I believe was the chief financial officer, and Roscoe Young and Ann Falvey, who at the time was, I think she may have been a college grad at that time, but I looked at that issue. I reviewed their credit agreement to determine whether there really was a necessity to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. JOHNS: Q. Mr. Tresnowski, did you do anything to prepare for today's deposition? A. I reviewed some, but not all, of the e-mails that were produced, and I've had several conversations with my attorney, Mr. DiConza. Q. The e-mails that you reviewed, were they produced by Allegiance? A. Yes. Q. Did you review any documents produced by the KMC estate? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did any of the e-mails you reviewed refresh your memory? A. Yes. Q. Which ones?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. What were those aspects? A. One was, at the time we entered into a transaction that their, KMC wanted to have us contract with a company that they were just forming. I think it was a limited liability company, and we had a lot of concerns about the wherewithal of that company to perform and where it fit into the KMC capital structure, and so that's something I specifically got involved in and dealt with. Bill Stewart, who I believe was the chief financial officer, and Roscoe Young and Ann Falvey, who at the time was, I think she may have been a college grad at that time, but I looked at that issue. I reviewed their credit agreement to determine whether there really was a necessity to structure it that way.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. JOHNS: Q. Mr. Tresnowski, did you do anything to prepare for today's deposition? A. I reviewed some, but not all, of the e-mails that were produced, and I've had several conversations with my attorney, Mr. DiConza. Q. The e-mails that you reviewed, were they produced by Allegiance? A. Yes. Q. Did you review any documents produced by the KMC estate? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did any of the e-mails you reviewed refresh your memory? A. Yes. Q. Which ones? A. The, there were several aspects of this	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. What were those aspects? A. One was, at the time we entered into a transaction that their, KMC wanted to have us contract with a company that they were just forming. I think it was a limited liability company, and we had a lot of concerns about the wherewithal of that company to perform and where it fit into the KMC capital structure, and so that's something I specifically got involved in and dealt with. Bill Stewart, who I believe was the chief financial officer, and Roscoe Young and Ann Falvey, who at the time was, I think she may have been a college grad at that time, but I looked at that issue. I reviewed their credit agreement to determine whether there really was a necessity to structure it that way. So I was involved on that issue, and
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. JOHNS: Q. Mr. Tresnowski, did you do anything to prepare for today's deposition? A. I reviewed some, but not all, of the e-mails that were produced, and I've had several conversations with my attorney, Mr. DiConza. Q. The e-mails that you reviewed, were they produced by Allegiance? A. Yes. Q. Did you review any documents produced by the KMC estate? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did any of the e-mails you reviewed refresh your memory? A. Yes. Q. Which ones? A. The, there were several aspects of this transaction that I was involved in, and I didn't,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. What were those aspects? A. One was, at the time we entered into a transaction that their, KMC wanted to have us contract with a company that they were just forming. I think it was a limited liability company, and we had a lot of concerns about the wherewithal of that company to perform and where it fit into the KMC capital structure, and so that's something I specifically got involved in and dealt with. Bill Stewart, who I believe was the chief financial officer, and Roscoe Young and Ann Falvey, who at the time was, I think she may have been a college grad at that time, but I looked at that issue. I reviewed their credit agreement to determine whether there really was a necessity to structure it that way. So I was involved on that issue, and then really the other, probably, primary
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. JOHNS: Q. Mr. Tresnowski, did you do anything to prepare for today's deposition? A. I reviewed some, but not all, of the e-mails that were produced, and I've had several conversations with my attorney, Mr. DiConza. Q. The e-mails that you reviewed, were they produced by Allegiance? A. Yes. Q. Did you review any documents produced by the KMC estate? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did any of the e-mails you reviewed refresh your memory? A. Yes. Q. Which ones? A. The, there were several aspects of this	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. What were those aspects? A. One was, at the time we entered into a transaction that their, KMC wanted to have us contract with a company that they were just forming. I think it was a limited liability company, and we had a lot of concerns about the wherewithal of that company to perform and where it fit into the KMC capital structure, and so that's something I specifically got involved in and dealt with. Bill Stewart, who I believe was the chief financial officer, and Roscoe Young and Ann Falvey, who at the time was, I think she may have been a college grad at that time, but I looked at that issue. I reviewed their credit agreement to determine whether there really was a necessity to structure it that way. So I was involved on that issue, and

1 Tresnowski

have Level 3 essentially buy out and terminate what we called the PRI agreement.

And the entire KMC team asked me to look at their term sheet, send me a copy of their term sheet, and then there were subsequent e-mails and discussions with Roscoe Young specifically about their, their need to continue the collocation agreement after the PRI agreement was terminated.

I talked to Roscoe about that several times, and there were e-mails that I looked at that refreshed my recollection of that series of discussions.

Q. You said you spoke to Mr. Young about the need to continue the collocation.

When were those conversations?

- A. They were probably in the December timeframe, to the best of my recollection.
 - Q. Of what year?
- A. I'm sorry, December of 2003.
 - Q. And what was the substance of those conversations?
- A. Roscoe was very, very concerned about the possibility that the collocation agreement wouldn't continue after the PRI agreement was

Tresnowski

terminated.

He -- I specifically recall, just

because it impressed me at the time that he was willing to fly to Chicago just to meet with me on that one topic, and I was impressed that the president of the company was so concerned about it that he'd want to make a special trip, and I told him that that wasn't necessary.

He talked to our chairman, Royce Holland, and that was basically it. I just knew that, for whatever reason, the continuation of the collocation agreement after the termination of the PRI agreement was critically important to KMC.

Q. What did you tell Mr. Young in these conversations?

A. You know, I don't have a specific recollection. I know that my concern was that he wanted to extend the term of the -- beyond its current term, and he, you know, we talked about the pricing of, what they would pay us for the collocation space, and I don't think the discussions went very far.

I mean, I, as I recall, he said we would pay current market rate for, for that space, and

Page 8

Page 6

Tresnowski

then, to the best of my recollection, it kind of died out.

My impression was that their discussions with Level 3 about a buyout, just, they couldn't close the gap on valuation, so I don't have firsthand knowledge of that, but my impression is that that avenue of solving their problem wasn't going to work, so the discussion stopped.

Q. Did you ever discuss with Mr. Young whether or not the PRI agreement and collocation agreement were integrated contracts?

A. No.

Q. Did you ever discuss that with anyone else?

MR. DI CONZA: I'm going to caution the witness not to divulge discussions with other employees at Allegiance if Mr. Tresnowski was acting as general counsel.

A. Up until this became an issue, I don't think we ever considered that there was any possibility they were one contract.

Q. Would you please describe for me your education and subsequent training.

A. I went to the University of Illinois in

Tresnowski

Champaign-Urbana, got a bachelor of arts in psychology and also got a CPA at the same time, and then went to the University of Virginia Law School in Charlottesville, graduated law school in 1986, and that was the end of my formal education.

Q. And how were you employed subsequent to graduating from law school?

A. I went directly to Kirkland & Ellis in Chicago in 1986, and became a partner there in 1992. I think I became a share partner in 1995, which is a real partner, if they publicly say that, but -- and in February of 1999 I left Kirkland and joined Allegiance Telecom full-time as general counsel.

Q. While you were at Kirkland & Ellis what was your area of practice?

A. I would say it was corporate finance, mergers and acquisitions, corporate governance and private equity.

Q. As general counsel of Allegiance what were your responsibilities?

MR. DI CONZA: And, again, I'm going to caution the witness not to divulge any attorney/client privileged communications.

Page 9

Page 13

Tresnowski

A. The, the, my role at Allegiance was to, on the management side, to manage the legal function, the regulatory function and the human resources function, and for a period of time I also managed the real estate function.

Q. What do you mean by "manage the legal function?"

A. Well, I was, I was in charge of the law department so we had, you know, at various times -- it started out, we always had myself and another lawyer who was nonregulatory.

There were also regulatory lawyers, and then over time we added, I think, up to three more lawyers, up to four lawyers, a couple of legal assistants, and I tended to focus primarily on the areas of expertise, when it came to legal issues, that I had developed at Kirkland, so the corporate governance issues, did all the acquisition work, all the financing work.

I was less involved in the commercial, what I would call commercial transactions then, unless for some reason they were critical to the company.

Q. In the period of 2001 to 2002 who at

Tresnowski Allegiance was involved in the commercial transaction aspect of the legal department?

A. The -- what we would typically do, if it was a complex commercial arrangement I'd actually use outside counsel.

There was a group that Brown and Rudnick -- I'm sorry, Piper Rudnick, different law firm, Piper Rudnick Chicago, that had developed, really, a kind of a unique expertise in telecommunications commercial transactions.

Karen O'Connor was the partner that I worked with, so I would bring Karen in on complex commercial arrangements that were, you know, particularly important to the company, and then if I had a routine-type commercial arrangement I'd generally have one of the attorneys in Dallas, like Randall Hand.

Randall's a, kind of a general commercial lawyer who's done a lot of, you know, off-the-shelf type things, and my recollection of this particular case is what I did was Karen handled the PRI agreement and Randall had separately handled the collocation agreement, because that was more of a, first of all, it was a

Page 12

Pa

Tresnowski different project.

I considered it a different project and considered it to be a routine kind of, you know, collocation is just stuff we sell. It's just kind of commodity, and Randall may have been involved in some aspects of the PRI agreement. I don't, I don't know, but I know Karen was going to run that project.

Q. So you're typically not involved in collocation agreements?

A. No. Not at this stage of the company. When I started I was involved in everything.

Q. Who did you report to at Allegiance?

15 A. Royce Holland, the chairman and chief 16 executive officer.

Q. Did you report to anyone else?

18 A. No.

Q. In 2001/2002 what was the business of Allegiance?

A. The business was, I suppose it was probably best divided into three kind of components. One, the largest components, was providing a full suite of communication services.

25 By that I mean local, long distance, Internet

1 Tresnowski

access, web hosting to small and medium enterprises, just to businesses not to residences, and not to really large corporations, generally. That was the one of the core focuses.

One of the others was what we call the wholesale business, and it really was founded on the core business.

Once you build a network throughout the major cities of America, you know, one of the things you want to do is use it as much as you can, because you've invested that capital, and so we looked for opportunities to use that network, and at the time Genuity, which was a major provider for America On Line, what Genuity did was -- American On Line doesn't own their own networks.

At least I don't think they do, so what they do is they contract out with companies so that when you dial up on AOL, you know, there's a whole system of networks that are involved in that.

Genuity handled both what we call the long-haul piece of that, but Genuity didn't have any local network, so they had to go out to people like Allegiance, companies that operated local networks, and we provided, actually, the banks of

Page 17

Tresnowski

modems so that, for example, in -- I think this is a fairly accurate statistic at the time -- if you were in New York City or Chicago and you dialed an AOL number, there's a one in four chance you would dial into an Allegiance switch and an Allegiance modem and then it would hand it off to Genuity.

So that became a relatively significant part of our business. We had other wholesale customers, much smaller ones than that, where we provided services to, basically, other carriers who had a different focus in the marketplace.

And then our third business was web hosting, where we, it, essentially there's three ways to provide those type of services. One is, you have what's called a shared hosting, where several customers will share a server that's in our facilities.

The other service -- and these kind of
go, you know, in order of more involvement, more
expensive. The other would be dedicated hosting,
where the customer would have their own server, and
then finally we had what we called collocation,
which is really dedicated hosting, where the
customer had access and the customer would, would

Tresnowski

kind of manage the equipment on our premises, or we might manage some of the forum, but it was much more of an ownership-type arrangement.

And that -- I want to distinguish -- that's not necessarily the same collocation we're talking about with KMC. That's a word that's used a lot in the industry and can mean just, you know, people have equipment on your premises, they'll call it collocation.

When I'm using it there, I'm specifically talking about web hosting, where you're hosting a web site, so those were, those were our key businesses at that, at that time.

Q. Are you familiar with KMC?

A. Yes

Q. When did you first become familiar with KMC?

A. I had a general notion that they were another CLIC out there in the market, and my first real involvement with them was this transaction.

Q. When did you first become involved in the transaction?

A. I don't recall the specific date, but I do recall there was a meeting in Dallas with

Page 16

Tresnowski

Roscoe, and I recall it was the first time I'd met Roscoe and Kevin Bittner. I believe Royce was, he

Roscoe and Kevin Bittner. I believe Royce was,
may have been there for part of the meeting. I'm

5 not sure, and, to be honest with you, I don't, I don't recall who else was in the meeting from

don't recall who else was in the meeting from Allegiance.

Q. Do you remember approximately when this meeting occurred?

A. Yeah, I'm sorry, I just, I don't. It was at the beginning of the process. It was -- the -- the rough agenda of the meeting was, you know, we had, we were entering into an amended agreement Level 3 that I was very involved in and that was going to significantly expand the number of markets and the extent in the markets that we provided service to Genuity.

I said Level 3; I meant Genuity, that We were going to expand the Genuity contract, and part of the expanse was to go into, have service in some of the markets where we didn't have a network, so we, we had a need for either getting a subcontractor or building a network in those markets.

And we were, we had, someone approached

Tresnowski

KMC. I'm not sure if they approached us or we

3 approached them, but we were talking to them about

whether there was a mutually beneficial way inwhich they could provide that service, so it was

one of those kind of high-level, you know, "does this make sense" type meetings.

Q. What was the result of this meeting?

A. I think there was, I think there was no conclusion. I think it was, my recollection is that there was, there was --

MR. DI CONZA: I don't want the witness to speculate here. Only if you know.

A. I know, I mean, I know the focus of the meeting was on the economics of the transaction, and by that I mean the pricing of the PRI services and whether, you know, whether we could make money and they could make money and whether those numbers were the same, and I don't think there was a conclusion at that meeting.

Q. What were the pricing, what pricing was discussed?

A. The -- I recall that the pricing
discussions were really focused on, on one, one
thing, because it's a very, it's a very simple

Tresnowski

business arrangement.

1 2

We get paid a certain amount per modem by Genuity, and then, you know, KMC would charge us a certain amount, so you had to have a sufficient margin in there to make it worth your while, and KMC had to have a sufficient margin in there to make it worth their while.

And I think that was, I specifically recall the time is, depending on where that number came out, we said maybe we should build our own networks or maybe we should have these guys do it, so it was all focused on the modem pricing. That's my recollection.

I mean, I, I'm not a technology expert, so I may, I may have misunderstood what they were talking about, but that's my recollection.

Q. Do you recall what the specific prices that were discussed were?

A. No.

Q. What was the sufficient margins that Allegiance needed to make it worthwhile?

A. Yeah, I don't know exactly what it was, but I do know that that was the issue, because, again, I specifically remember talking -- this was

Tresnowski

not a legal issue -- just talking about at what point are you not making enough money so that it would actually make sense to raise the capital and actually build those networks yourself.

Q. And you said that there were discussions that KMC needed to make a sufficient margin on the transaction as well?

A. I don't know if there were specific discussions on that. I mean, I think that was just my recollection of, the nature of the meeting was basically one of those meetings where Roscoe, I think, you know, was kind of, the message was, hey, if this makes sense, if we can both make money, we'll do a deal; if we can't, we won't do a deal.

Q. Did KMC and Allegiance continue to discuss a possible business relationship after this meeting?

A. Yes, they did.

Q. Who was involved in those discussions?

A. I'll answer in the negative. I became less involved, and I don't have a real clear recollection, other than reading the e-mails that indicate that John Nishimoto was involved. Jeff

25 Feinberg was involved.

Page 20

Page 18

Tresnowski

I know Karen O'Connor was involved, because I would -- at that point I really kind of just focused on other things and got reinvolved when this issue came up about, you know, the special entity they were setting up, but really relied on Karen to manage the legal aspects of the deal.

Q. Any particular reason why you became less involved?

A. I'd have to see what's was going on, but it's, it was, it was probably just a time management issue.

In other words, the, the things I tended to not delegate at all were issues like acquisitions, and we did a lot of acquisitions. We did, I think, 13 of them, and so I was very focused on that, very focused on all the SEC obligations and filings, financings, and so I don't recall there being any reason, other than just it was in good hands.

I was going to focus on some other things. Karen is a lawyer I think very highly of, so I tended to, if I was going to -- I don't want to offend any of my colleagues in private practice, Page 21

Tresnowski

but if I was going to spend the money I was going to just rely on her, and it didn't make any sense for me to spend my time when I had to hire someone from the outside come in and take over.

Q. At this meeting in Dallas that you mentioned, did the topic of co-location space come up?

A. I don't recall that it came up. And let me be specific about that. I recall the meeting, and I don't recall that being discussed. It's not that I don't recall what was discussed, but, to my knowledge, that never was discussed.

Q. When was the subject of collocation space provided by Allegiance first discussed between the parties?

A. I don't know.

Q. Were you involved in any discussions regarding co-location?

A. I don't recall any. Not during the documentation of the transactions; just subsequent to them, as I said, like December of 2003.

Q. Were you involved in any discussions regarding the pricing of collocation space?

A. Not to my recollection.

Q. The court reporter has handed you what's 14 15 been marked as KMC Exhibit 1. Could you take a 16 moment --

A. Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

17

20

22

24

18 Q. - and look at it, and tell me if you're 19 familiar with this document.

A. Yes, I'm familiar with this document.

21 O. And what is this document?

A. This is what we refer to as the PRI

23 agreement with KMC Telecom.

> Q. Are you familiar with the terms of this agreement?

signed.

Q. Were you involved in the negotiation of any of the terms of this agreement?

A. I don't, I don't believe so.

Q. Were you involved in the revision of any of the terms of this agreement?

A. I don't believe so.

Q. Who executed this document on behalf of Allegiance?

23 A. Jeff Feinberg.

24 Q. And what was his position as of 25 February 11, 2002?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q. And you don't recall reviewing this

25

25

identification.)

paragraph two compare to Allegiance's standard

A. I don't, I don't know that you could say

collocation they would get a rate; if someone had

like, I guess we're going to be at 14 sites or

these rates are highly negotiated.

something, you'd get a different rate, so I think

we have standards rates, because if someone had one

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

rates?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

space?

KMC have any third parties that it intended to

Q. If KMC had no third parties, would the

collocation agreement without the PRI agreement

MR. DI CONZA: Objection, calls for

service as third-party agents for collocation

A. I have no knowledge of that.

have been profitable for Allegiance?

Page 41

Tresnowski

1 2

5

Q. Has Allegiance ever sent its invoice to KMC under the collocation agreement with respect to third parties?

A. I don't know if we have. I know that my understanding is that we have no way of knowing if they're using it for third parties, so I don't know how we could send an invoice. My understanding is that KMC is obligated to pay us and notify us that they're using it for third parties.

Q. What is your understanding as to where the obligation to notify Allegiance comes from?

A. Well, when we got into this and KMC, my understanding, KMC told XO that they were using it for third parties, and my understanding is that was news to us, because they had never paid us for that.

So I, I actually, I was on the road somewhere, went back to Chicago, where I worked, and I went down to our switch site and talked to the manager of their Chicago switch, and I said, can you show me the KMC collocation boxes.

can you show me the KMC collocation boxes.
 So he walked me back there and showed me
 them, so I said something to the effect of, is
 there any way to tell how KMC is using those, in

Tresnowski

terms of whether they're servicing us or third parties.

And he said no, there's no way you'd be able to tell, and then, you know, it seems pretty clear to me that it is not permissible under the agreement to provide service to third parties where only you would know whether you were doing it or not, and just not pay for it, when the agreement explicitly says you can't do that.

Q. Going back to the obligation to notify, where do you have --

A. There's an obligation.

Q. -- that obligation?

MR. DI CONZA: I think that's been asked and answered.

A. Yeah, there's an obligation that's crystal clear in the agreement to not use it for third parties unless you're paying, so forget about notifying. That's the obligation. If you use it for third parties you're in breach. That's very clear.

Q. What was the name of the, I think you said, manager at the switch site in Chicago?

A. I believe his name is Jennings,

Page 40

Tresnowski

something like that, and I don't know if, as I think about it, he may have, he may have referred me, he let me into the switch site. He may have given me someone who had more specific knowledge about the collocation box.

As a matter of fact, now I recall it, that there was a, a guy whose job it was to kind of monitor things like that, so I think he's the gentleman. I don't know his name, who showed me the facilities.

Q. How --

A. Jensen (ph), I'm sorry, Jensen is his name, the manager of the switch site.

Q. How would KMC use equipment in Allegiance's collocation space to provide services to their third parties?

A. I don't know. I mean, that was, that was, in, to a great extent, that was my question. I mean, I was curious, and that's why I wanted to actually physically see the box and see, hook up a different pipe, see, you know, does a different light go off when a third party uses it, because I just didn't know, flat out.

The answer is, according to this

Tresnowski

gentleman, we wouldn't know.

Q. But you don't know his name?

A. I don't know. I'm sure I could find out.

Q. Do you know what his position was?

A. I don't know.

Q. Did you ask anyone else whether or not Allegiance had been notified about KMC's use of equipment for third party services?

A. I think I had asked, I know I asked John Nishimoto and John Dumbleton.

Q. When did you ask them?

A. I believe it was just in the context of, of -- when this dispute arose, when we were talking to, I was talking to Anne Falvey and I was talking to the people at XO, trying to find some way to get XO to come in and provide collocation services, it just came up in that context, so I asked John and John separately whether they knew if KMC was servicing third parties.

Q. And what did they tell you?

A. My recollection is that they both said that they didn't --

MR. DI CONZA: To the extent that this

Page 42

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

transcripts?

Tresnowski is not privileged --

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

10

11

12

14

24

25

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

run.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

- A. My recollection is that both said they didn't know, I mean, that, I think it was that KMC could very well be doing that, but they didn't know.
- Q. Are you familiar with KMC's motion for an order to determine the infrastructure interconnection agreement is integrated with the primary rate interface services agreement?
 - A. Yes, I am.
- 13 Q. Have you read that motion?
 - A. I believe so.
- 15 O. Have you read Constance Loosemore's affidavit submitted in connection with that motion? 16
- 17 A. No. I mean, I was sent her affidavit. 18 I'm sorry, I thought you meant deposition. Yes, I read her affidavit. 19
- 20 Q. And you've read the objection of 21 Allegiance and the creditors' committee in response 21 22 to that motion?
- 23 A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. You mentioned deposition transcripts.

Have you reviewed any deposition

Tresnowski

A. Yes, I just -- that's what I thought you had referred to. I, I received the transcripts. I didn't really, I think I glanced at them, but I

didn't really, didn't really read them.

O. Was there anything in Ms. Loosemore's affidavit that you disagreed with?

A. There was. And, you know, I, I have one specific recollection.

I'm sure if I read it I'd have more, but there was a, there was an argument, I believe, that the, that the PRI pricing, I know the PRI price -the pricing on the PRI agreement was somehow influenced by the pricing of the collocation agreement, the fact that there was no charge for collocation services and somehow that affected the PRI pricing, I just don't believe that to be true.

Why don't you believe that to be true?

Again, I think that the, the -- my impression -- and, again, I'm not an expert here, but my impression is that collocation services are not a, you know, you can buy it from anybody, and it's not a -- the way they're sold is different.

For example, I was just talking to John

Page 44

Tresnowski

Ryan at Level 3 -- he's their assistant general counsel -- in connection with this dispute.

I think he said something like, you know, we'd give them collocation space, it's, it's not, you know, you know, it's not, you've got the space, it's a fixed cost, you've got the power, and, you know, you -- and I asked him, I said, why would you give the space away.

And I think he said that, you know, you get other stuff from them. You get, they buy transport from you, and sometimes, you know, if you 12 have a business relationship with them you just feel more comfortable that they're, they're providing services, if they're providing services, that they're providing services out of their facilities, because we know how our facilities are

You know, we take great pains to make sure that they're fireproof, heatproof, all that kind of stuff, and I just think there's a level of comfort going that they're run that way.

22 23 So I just, I don't think that the --24 there's, in the Loosemore affidavit there's kind of 25 an implication that there was some really

Page 45

Tresnowski

calculated interplay between the pricing structures, and I just don't think that's true.

Q. Were you involved in the negotiation of the pricing under the PRI agreement?

MR. DI CONZA: I believe that was asked and answered.

A. I -- no. Other than, again, I had mentioned the meeting with Roscoe and people early on, and there was a, my impression again, there was a, the pricing of the PRI was, it was all relative to the pricing of the Genuity contract, and it all kind of flowed down from there, which was relevant to the pricing of the AOL contract.

And it, you know, that's why that kind of informs my opinion that I find it hard to believe that the collocation agreement has any real impact on that, I'm sorry, the PRI -- the pricing.

Q. Does Allegiance have a document retention policy?

A. Not my knowledge. I mean, we have, we have policies that impact document retention, but I don't believe we have a comprehensive retention policy.

One of the things we do is we don't save

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Tresnowski e-mails very long, unless the individual user takes steps to save them, and that was driven by a, there's actually a cost concern, because to save e-mails is, is actually, I was impressed by the fact that it's several hundred thousand dollars for storage space. So several, you know, maybe three or four years back, I don't recall when, but at some point we just said, I think the general rules are to wipe out the e-mails after 90 days or something like that. (Discussion off the record.) (Recess taken.) Q. I just have a couple more questions. A. Okay. Q. Other than the first meeting that we discussed earlier, did you attend any other meetings regarding the transaction? A. I don't believe so. Q. Was there anything in the objection of Allegiance and the creditors' committee that you disagreed with? A. No. MS. JOHNS: That's all I have.	23 24	Tresnowski MR. DI CONZA: Okay. You're do (Time noted: 10:42 a.m.) MARK TRESNOWSKI Sworn and subscribed to before me this day of 2004. NOTARY PUBLIC	Page 47
25	Page 48	25		Page 49
1 2	CERTIFICATE	1 2		
3 4	STATE OF NEW YORK)	3		PAGE
5 6	ss COUNTY OF NEW YORK)	5	Mark Tresnowski Ms. Johns 4	
7 8 9	I, JAMES W. JOHNSON, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public within	7 8	EXHIBITS	
10 11	and for the State of New York, do hereby certify:	9 10	KMC PAGE 1 Primary Rate Interface Services	
12 13	That MARK TRESNOWSKI, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly	11 12	Agreement 24 2 E-Mail dated Nov 28, 2001 from	
14 15	sworn by me and that such deposition is a true	13	Mikhael Vitenson, KMC 000519-580	26
16	record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related	14 15	3 Infrastructure Interconnection Agreement 28	
17 18	to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage and that I am in no way interested	16	4 E-Mail dated Feb 11, 2002 from Karen	
19	in the outcome of this matter.	17 18	O'Connor to Anne Falvey and Mikhael Vitenson, KMC 000010-14 33	
20 21	IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set	19	vitenson, Kivic 000010-14	
22	my hand this 18th day of May 2004.	20		
23		21		i
24	JAMES W. JOHNSON Pagistration #01105000025	22 23		
Z '1	Registration #01J05000925 Commission Expires 9/4/2006	24		
25		25		

	"
	A
	able 5:2 33:13 39:5
	about 5:10 6:7,10,14
	6:23 7:7,20 8:5 15:7
	15:12 17:3 18:17
	10.12 17.5 16.17
	19:2 20:5 21:10
	23:9 39:19 40:3,6
	41:9
	access 13:2 14:25
	according 40:25
,	
	accurate 14:3
	acquisition 10:19
	acquisitions 9:19
	20:16,16
ı	acting 8:19
-	action 48:17
1	
1	actually 11:5 13:25
1	19:4,5 22:25 29:23
1	22 2 2 2 2 10 40 21
1	33:2,8 38:18 40:21
ı	46:4,5
	ADAMS 2:10
ı	
1	added 10:14
1	address 27:8,9 34:11
ı	
ı	34:13
I	administer 3:14
١	affected 43:17
1	
ı	affidavit 42:16,17,19
ı	43:8 44:24
١	
١	after 4:25 5:24 6:9,25
ı	7:13 19:17 22:18,21
ı	33:18 46:11
ı	
ı	again 9:23 18:25
ı	22:17 23:10 28:12
ı	30:20 32:25 36:7,11
ı	
ı	37:3 43:20,21 45:8
ı	45:10
ı	
ı	agenda 16:12
١	agents 36:19
I	agreed 3:3,7,11 24:7
ı	agreement 5:10 6:20
ı	agreement 5:19 6:3,9
I	6:9,24,25 7:13,14
I	8:11,12 11:23,24
١	
١	12:7 16:14 22:5,6,9
ı	22:10 24:6,11,23,25
ı	25:4,9,16,19 26:14
ı	26.16.27.17.20.20.6
ı	26:16 27:17,20 28:6
ı	28:24 29:6,8,11,13
l	29:14,15,22,23,24
ı	
l	30:2,13,17,19 31:8
ı	31:18 32:2,12,13,18
۱	
ı	32:19 33:6,8,17,18
ı	33:19,21,23,23 35:4
١	35:5,18,19,24 36:23
١	
۱	36:23 38:3 39:7,9
۱	39:18 42:10,11
l	43:14,16 45:5,17
۱	
ı	49:11,15
١	agreements 12:11
ı	31:11,13,14,21
1	
1	33:15 34:22,24
1	

AKIN 2:5
al 1:6
Allegiance 1:6 4:13
8:18 9:14,21 10:2
11:2 12:14,20 13:24
14:6,6 16:7 18:22
19:16 21:15 24:5,11
25:22 26:14 27:18
27:20 28:15 30:14
30.17 31.10 18 20
30:17 31:10,18,20
31:22 32:7 33:7 35:3,20,23 36:14,24
38:2,12 41:9 42:21
45:19 46:22
Allegiance's 22:12
37:18 40:16
although 23:18
always 10:11
amended 16:13
America 13:10,15
American 13:15
among 26:11
amount 18:3,5
Ann 5:16
Anne 26:25 34:3
41:16 49:17
another 10:11 15:20
answer 19:21 26:20
40:25
answered 39:16 45:7
anybody 43:23
anyone 8:14 12:17
23:24 41:8
anything 4:7 43:7
46:21
AOL 13:19 14:5
45:14
appears 32:3
approached 16:25
17:2,3
approval 23:24
approximately 16:8
area 9:17 37:6
areas 10:17
argument 43:12
arose 22:18,19,20
29:13,19 41:15
arrangement 5:24
11:5,16 15:4 18:2
arrangements 11:14
arts 9:2
asked 6:4 39:15 41:11
41:11,19 44:8 45:6
aspect 11:3
aspects 4:22 5:4 12:7
20:7
assignment 29:21
assistant 44:2
assistants 10:16
attachments 27:2

34:16 attend 46:18 attorney 4:11 22:15 attorneys 2:6,14,20 3:4 11:17 attorney/client 9:25 Austin 1:11 2:13 authority 23:6 26:13 30:16 authorized 3:13 avenue 1:12 2:8,15 8:8 aware 32:11 away 44:9 a.m 1:14 47:3 В bachelor 9:2 back 28:4,14 31:5 38:19,23 39:11 46:9 banks 13:25 based 31:2,15,17 19:12 28:16 Bates 27:2 34:3 14:8 19:21 20:9 become 15:17.22 26:4 27:6 47:9 beginning 16:11 30:14,17 46:20

basically 7:11 14:11 became 8:20 9:10.11 before 1:14 3:13,15 22:19,19 25:5,13 behalf 25:21 26:14 being 20:20 21:11 believe 4:17 5:15 16:3 25:17,20 26:15 27:7 30:18 34:18 39:25 41:14 42:14 43:12 43:18,19 45:6,17,23 beneficial 17:4 benefit 36:4 best 6:18 8:2 12:22 between 3:4 21:16 24:11 27:12 45:2 beyond 7:19 bifurcate 22:18 Bill 5:15 Bittner 16:3 blood 48:17 BLOSSOM 2:11 both 13:21 19:14 32:16 41:23 42:4 box 40:6,21 boxes 38:22 breach 39:21 bring 11:13 26:9 broadband 28:16 Brown 1:11 2:13 11:7

build 13:9 18:11 19:5 building 16:23 business 12:19.21 13:7,8 14:9,13 18:2 19:17 26:8 36:13 44:13 businesses 13:3 15:14 buy 6:2 43:23 44:11 buyout 8:5

 \mathbf{C} C 2:3 37:8,9,11,14 48:2,2 calculated 45:2 call 10:22 13:6,21 15:10 called 4:2 6:3 14:16 14:23 calls 36:25 came 10:17 18:11 20:5 21:9 41:19 capable 26:7 capital 5:12 13:12 19:4 carriers 14:11 case 1:8 11:22 caution 8:16 9:24 22:14 cc 34:12 certain 18:3,5 certify 48:11,16 chairman 7:10 12:15 Champaign-Urbana 9:2 chance 14:5 Chapter 1:8 charge 10:9 18:4 23:13,14,21 43:16 Charlottesville 9:5 chart 37:17 Chicago 7:5 9:10 11:9 14:4 38:19.21 39:24 chief 5:16 12:15 circumstances 35:7 **cities** 13:10 City 14:4 claim 29:23 clear 19:22 39:6,18,22 clearly 35:24 **CLIC** 15:20 client 22:16 close 8:6 25:12 **COLIN 2:10** colleagues 20:25 college 5:18 collocate 23:14 32:4 collocated 35:13 collocation 6:8,15,24

7:13,22 8:11 11:24

12:5,11 14:23 15:6

15:10 21:14,24 22:5 22:12,24 24:6,7 29:6,10 30:13,17,19 31:7,11,13,18,21,25 33:8,14,18,21,23 34:24 35:4,9,18 36:10,15,19,23 37:13,22 38:3,22 40:6,16 41:18 43:15 43:17,22 44:5 45:17 come 21:5,7 41:18 comes 38:12 comfort 44:22 comfortable 44:14 commencing 1:13 comments 31:4 commercial 10:21,22 11:2.5.11.14.16.20 Commission 48:24 committee 2:6 42:21 46:22 commodity 12:6 commodity-type 23:11 communication 12:24 communications 9:25 22:16 **companies** 13:18,24 company 5:7,10,11 7:7 10:24 11:15 12:12 23:2 24:12 compare 37:18 competent 26:6 complex 11:5,13 components 12:23,23 comprehensive 45:23 conceivable 35:6 conceive 35:8 concern 7:18 46:4 **concerned** 6:23 7:7 concerns 5:10 **conclusion** 17:10,20 **connection** 42:16 44:3 connections 28:16,20 connectivity 35:14 **considered** 8:21 12:3 12:4 Constance 42:15 context 29:17 41:14 41:19 continuation 7:12 continue 6:8,15,25 19:16 33:7 contract 5:7 8:22 13:18 16:19 28:11 45:12,14 contracts 8:12 26:6 conversation 32:25

conversations 4:11

6:16,22 7:16 33:11

CONZA 2:23 8:16 **depending** 18:10 37:5 30:19 31:7 expand 16:15,19 33:8 fixed 44:7 deposition 1:10 3:6,12 9:23 17:12 22:14 drafting 27:23 28:2 expanse 16:20 flat 40:24 4:8 31:3 42:18,24 drafts 28:4 29:15 31:4 24:2,8 26:19 27:21 **expect** 34:25 flowed 45:13 42:25 48:13,14 expensive 14:21 30:11,23 36:25 driven 46:3 fly 7:5 39:15 41:25 45:6 describe 8:23 duly 4:3 48:13 expert 18:15 28:12 focus 10:16 14:12 47:2 details 4:25 **Dumbleton 23:5,23** 43:21 17:14 20:22 **copy** 6:5 **determine** 5:20 42:9 41:12 expertise 10:17 11:10 focused 17:24 18:13 core 13:5,8 determined 37:15 **during** 21:20 37:7 20:4,17,18 corporate 9:18,19 developed 10:18 11:9 Expires 48:24 focuses 13:5 E 10:18 DI 2:23 8:16 9:23 explicitly 33:4 39:10 focusing 5:3 corporations 13:4 17:12 22:14 24:2,8 E 2:3,3 4:2 48:2,2 extend 7:19 follows 4:5 correct 34:13 26:19 27:21 30:11 49:3 extent 16:16 26:19 force 3:14 cost 22:13 44:7 46:4 30:23 36:25 39:15 earlier 46:18 40:19 41:25 forget 39:19 counsel 8:19 9:15,21 41:25 45:6 47:2 early 45:9 e-mail 26:23 27:6,8,9 form 3:8 24:8 27:21 11:6 44:3 dial 13:19 14:6 economics 17:15 27:14 33:25 34:11 30:11 counterparties 31:21 dialed 14:4 education 8:24 9:6 34:13,14,17 49:12 formal 9:6 counterparty 31:15 DiConza 4:11 effect 3:15 38:24 49:16 forming 5:8 **COUNTY 48:6 died** 8:3 either 16:22 e-mails 4:10,12,18,24 forth 28:4 31:5 37:12 couple 10:15 46:15 differ 31:14 Ellis 9:9,16 5:2 6:6,11 19:23 48:13 court 1:2 3:16 24:14 different 11:8 12:2,3 employed 9:7 forum 15:3 31:2,6 46:2,5,11 27:4 29:2 14:12 31:24 37:24 employees 8:18 forwarded 27:18 F co-lo 32:13 40:22,22 43:24 end 9:6 founded 13:7 **co-location** 21:7,19 direct 23:18 F 48:2 enough 19:3 37:4 four 10:15 14:5 46:9 32:18 directly 9:9 entered 5:5 24:6 35:3 facilities 14:18 40:11 free 24:7 **CPA** 9:3 disagreed 43:8 46:23 entering 16:13 44:17,17 from 9:8 16:6 21:5 credit 5:19 discounts 36:11 enterprises 13:3 facility 35:14 23:24 26:24 28:14 creditors 2:7 42:21 discretion 23:21 entire 6:4 25:13 fact 5:25 40:7 43:16 28:19 32:4 34:2,8 46:22 discuss 8:10,14 19:17 entity 20:6 38:12 43:23 44:11 critical 10:23 discussed 17:22 18:19 equipment 15:2,9 fairly 14:3 25:2 31:3 44:12 45:13 49:12 critically 7:14 21:11,12,13,15 23:14 32:4 40:15 Falvey 5:17 26:25 49:16 crystal 39:18 46:18 full 12:24 41:10 34:3 41:16 49:17 curious 40:20 **discussion** 8:9 22:20 equity 9:20 familiar 15:15.17 full-time 9:14 current 7:20,25 46:13 **ESQ** 1:10 2:10,11,17 24:19.20.24 29:7 function 10:4.4.5.6.8 customer 14:22,25,25 discussions 6:7.13 2:23.24 37:9 42:8 further 3:7,11 48:16 36:12 7:23 8:4,17 17:24 essentially 6:2 14:14 far 7:23 customers 14:10,17 \mathbf{G} 19:6,10,20 21:18,23 28:13 Feb 49:16 22:11 estate 4:16 10:6 gap 8:6 February 9:13 25:25 D dispute 22:18,19,19 et 1:6 34:2,15 general 8:19 9:14,21 **D** 49:3 29:13.17.18 41:15 even 31:3 feel 44:14 11:19 15:19 23:9,9 Dallas 11:17 15:25 44:3 ever 8:10,14,21 24:5 Feinberg 19:25 23:5 28:7 30:22,25 31:3 21:6 distance 12:25 23:12 25:4 32:15,17 38:2 23:23 25:23 30:15 35:16 44:2 46:10 date 15:24 34:14 distinguish 15:5 every 25:8 Feinberg's 34:19 generally 11:17 13:4 dated 26:23 33:25 DISTRICT 1:2.3 everything 12:13 **FELD 2:5** 31:16 35:10 37:10 49:12.16 divided 12:22 exactly 18:23 26:10 gentleman 40:10 41:2 filing 3:5 day 47:9 48:21 divulge 8:17 9:24 **EXAMINATION 4:6** filings 20:19 Genuity 13:14,15,21 davs 46:11 22:15 49.4 final 25:12,12 13:22 14:7 16:17,18 deal 19:15,15 20:8 document 24:19,20 examined 4:4 **finally 14:23** 16:19 18:4 26:4 dealt 5:13 24:21 25:13,21 29:4 28:10 45:12 **example** 14:2 43:25 finance 9:18 Debtor 2:20 29:5 30:7,10 34:7 except 3:8 financial 5:16 **GERARD 2:23** 36:17 45:19,22 Debtors 1:7 execute 26:13 30:16 financing 10:20 getting 16:22 29:15 **December** 6:17.20 documentation 21:21 32:12,16 financings 20:19 36:5,11 21:22 33:2 documents 4:15 32:16 executed 25:21 30:13 find 27:16 41:4,17 give 44:5.9 decisions 23:2 35:2 33:18 45:16 given 25:8 40:5 48:15 dedicated 14:21,24 doing 28:2 39:8 42:6 execution 22:4 32:12 fireproof 44:20 glanced 43:5 deep 36:10 dollars 46:6 executive 12:16 firm 11:9 go 13:23 14:20 16:20 degree 23:6 done 11:20 47:2 Exhibit 24:10,15 first 4:3 11:25 15:17 40:23 delegate 20:15 dot 27:11 26:23 27:5 28:23 15:20,22 16:2 21:15 going 8:9,16 9:23 12:8 deliver 28:14 down 38:20 45:13 29:3 31:17 33:25 46:17 16:15,19 20:11,22 department 10:10 draft 25:8 34:6 37:8,9,9,11,14 firsthand 8:7 20:24 21:2,2 22:14 11:3 drafted 26:16 27:20 **EXHIBITS** 49:8 fit 5:12 23:11 28:4 31:5

				Page
33:5 37:23 39:11	23:17,19 35:16	33:3 36:11 37:6	knowing 38:6	make 7:8 17:7,17,18
44:22	43:21,22 45:10	39:9 40:24 41:14,19	knowledge 8:7 21:13	18:6,8,22 19:4,7,14
good 20:21 35:11,16	impressive 26:7	43:3,18,25 44:13,21	30:8,12 32:14 36:21	21:3 37:6 44:19
gotten 27:11	INC 1:6	44:23 45:3 46:10,15	40:5 45:21	
governance 9:19		44.23 43.3 40.10,13	40:3 43:21	makes 19:14
, 0	indicate 19:24	K	T	making 19:3
10:19	individual 46:2		L	manage 10:3,7 15:2,3
grad 5:18	industry 15:8	K 4:2,2 27:12	large 13:4	20:7
graduated 9:5	influenced 43:15	KAN 2:11	largest 12:23	managed 10:6
graduating 9:8	informal 23:3	Karen 11:12,13,22	law 9:4,5,8 10:9 11:8	management 10:3
great 40:19 44:19	informs 45:16	12:8 20:2,7,23 25:9	lawyer 10:12 11:20	20:13
group 11:7	infrastructure 28:23	34:2,20 49:16	20:23	manager 38:21 39:24
guess 34:19 37:23	42:9 49:14	Kevin 16:3	lawyers 10:13,15,15	40:14
GUMP 2:5	integrated 8:12 42:10	key 15:14	lead 26:4 35:12 36:12	margin 18:6,7 19:7
guy 40:8	intended 36:18	KIMBERLY 2:17	leads 37:4	margins 18:21
guys 18:12	interconnection 28:24	kind 8:2 11:10,19	least 13:17	Mark 1:10 47:6 48:12
9-7	42:10 49:14	12:4,5,22 14:19	left 9:13	49:5
H	interested 48:18	15:2 17:6 19:13		- P
hand 11:18 14:7	interface 24:10 42:11	I .	legal 10:3,7,15,17	marked 24:13,15 27:3
26:25 48:21	49:10	20:3 23:17,19 28:4	11:3 19:2 20:7	27:5 28:24 34:4
		40:8 44:21,24 45:13	less 10:21 19:22 20:10	market 7:25 15:20
handed 24:14 27:4	Internet 12:25 35:15	45:15	let 21:9 29:12 40:4	28:15
29:2	interplay 45:2	Kirkland 9:9,13,16	level 5:25 6:2 8:5	marketplace 14:12
handled 11:23,24	invested 13:12	10:18	16:14,18 25:3 29:22	markets 16:16,16,21
13:21	invoice 38:2,8	KMC 2:14 4:16 5:6	33:5 44:2,21	16:24 28:8,9,14,20
hands 20:21	involved 4:23 5:13,22	5:12,25 6:4 7:14	levels 23:10	28:20
hard 45:16	10:21 11:2 12:6,10	15:7,15,18 17:2	liability 5:9	markup 27:17
HAUER 2:5	12:13 13:20 15:22	18:4,7 19:7,16	light 40:23	marriage 48:18
having 4:3 22:20	16:14 19:20,22,24	22:12 24:5,10,12,15	like 11:17 13:24 20:15	matter 40:7 48:19
hearing 29:21	19:25 20:2,10 21:18	24:23 26:23 27:2,5	21:22 22:9 23:4,6	may 1:13 3:12 5:17
heatproof 44:20	21:23 23:2 25:15,18	27:18 28:9,19,23	23:20 31:4 34:20	12:6 16:4 18:16,16
held 1:10	28:21 30:4,9 45:4	29:2,22 31:17 32:4	37:23 40:2,9 44:4	29:15 36:3 40:3,3,4
her 21:3 42:17,19	involvement 5:24	32:11,15,17 33:25	46:12	
hereinbefore 48:13	14:20 15:21 23:18			48:21
hereunto 48:20	1	34:4,6 35:4 36:14	limited 5:9	maybe 18:11,12 46:8
1	involvements 4:25	36:18,22 37:8 38:3	line 13:15,16 27:9	mean 7:24 10:7 12:25
hey 19:13	issue 5:19,22 8:20	38:9,13,14,22,25	34:12	15:8 17:14,16 18:15
high 25:2	18:24 19:2 20:5,13	40:15 41:20 42:5	list 23:20	19:10 27:23,24 31:4
highly 20:23 37:25	issues 5:3 10:17,19	49:9,13,18	LLC 24:12	36:6 40:18,20 42:5
high-level 17:6	20:15	KMC's 41:9 42:8	LLP 1:12 2:5,13,19	42:17 45:21
him 7:9 26:5,9,9 44:8		KMC/Allegiance	local 12:25 13:23,24	meant 16:18 42:18
hire 21:4 26:9	J	27:17	long 12:25 23:12 46:2	medium 13:2
hired 26:4	J 34:2	knew 7:11 41:20	long-haul 13:22	meet 7:5
Holland 7:11 12:15	James 1:14 48:8,23	know 7:17,18,20	look 6:4 24:18 29:3	meeting 15:25 16:4,6
honest 16:5	Jeff 19:24 23:4 25:23	10:10 11:14,20 12:4	34:6 35:2	16:9,12 17:8,15,20
honor 33:7	26:3,3 30:15 34:19	12:8,8 13:10,19	looked 5:18 6:11	19:11,18 21:6,10
hook 2:24 40:21	Jennings 39:25	14:20 15:8 16:13	13:13	45:9 46:17
hosting 13:2 14:14,16	Jensen 40:13,13	17:6,13,14,14,17	looking 4:25 31:2	meetings 17:7 19:12
14:21,24 15:12,13	job 40:8	18:4,23,24 19:9,13	looks 34:20	
human 10:4	John 19:24 23:5,5	20:2,5 21:17 22:3		46:19
hundred 46:6			Loosemore 44:24	memory 4:19
nunui cu 70,0	41:11,12,19,20 43:25	23:8,10,11,20 24:4	Loosemore's 42:15	mentioned 21:7 42:24
T		24:4,9 25:8,11,11	43:7	45:9
1 2 4 1 2 4 1 2	Johns 2:17 4:6 46:25	25:12 26:17,20	lot 5:10 11:20 15:8	mergers 9:19
identification 24:13	49:5	27:22,24 28:3 31:19	20:16 22:25 28:3,17	message 19:13
27:3 28:25 34:5	Johnson 1:14 48:8,23	31:23 32:9 33:4,16	28:21 36:12	met 16:2
Illinois 8:25	joined 9:14	35:2,10,13 37:3,16	lots 35:15	might 15:3
impact 45:18,22	JONATHAN 2:24	37:20 38:5,5,7 39:5		Mikhael 26:24 34:3
implication 44:25	just 5:7 7:3,5,11 8:5	39:8 40:2,10,18,22	M	49:13,17
imply 27:25	12:5,5 13:3 15:8	40:24 41:2,3,4,6,7	M 2:10 4:2	misunderstood 18:16
important 7:14 11:15	16:10 19:2,10 20:4	41:11 42:5,7 43:9	made 29:22	modem 14:7 18:3,13
33:12	20:12,20 21:3,21	43:13,23 44:5,6,6,8	Madison 2:8	modems 14:2
impressed 7:4,6 46:5	22:9,14 26:21 27:13	44:10,12,17,19	maintenance 35:15	moment 24:16 27:13
impression 8:4,7	27:25 28:15 29:12	45:15 46:8	1	29:3
pr 0001011 0, 1, /	27.25 20.15 27.12	TJ.1J TU.0	major 13:10,14	49.3

				·
money 17:17,18 19:3	O 4:2	P 2:3,3	43:13,14,18 45:5,11	rate 7:25 24:10 37:22
19:14 21:2 33:7	oath 3:14 4:5	PAGE 49:4,9	45:18	37:24 42:11 49:10
monitor 40:9	objection 24:8 27:21	pages 33:22 34:21,23	price 23:6 43:13	rates 23:20 37:19,21
more 10:14 11:25	30:11,23 36:25	paid 18:3 36:2,3	priced 37:5	37:25
14:20,20 15:4 28:21	42:20 46:21	38:16	prices 18:18 22:24	RDD 1:8
33:3 40:5 43:11	objections 3:8	pains 44:19	23:25 37:14,17	re 1:5
44:14 46:15	obligated 38:9	paragraph 37:18	pricing 7:21 17:16,21	read 25:4,13 27:13
motion 42:8,13,16,22	obligation 38:12	part 14:9 16:4,19 26:8	17:21,23 18:13	42:13,15,19,20 43:6
much 13:11 14:10	39:11,13,14,17,20	29:23	21:24 23:2 37:12	43:11
15:3 23:15,15,16	obligations 20:18	particular 11:22 20:9	43:13,14,15,18 45:2	reading 19:23
mutually 17:4	occurred 16:9	particularly 11:15	45:5,11,12,14,18	real 9:12 10:6 15:21
myself 10:11	off 14:7 40:23 46:13	parties 3:5 21:16 32:8	primarily 10:16	19:22 45:17
	offend 20:25	36:2,15,18,22 38:4	primary 5:23 24:10	really 5:20,23 11:10
N	officer 3:13 5:16	38:7,10,15 39:3,7	42:11 49:10	13:4,7 14:24 17:24
N 2:3 4:2 49:3	12:16	39:19,21 40:17	prior 22:4 30:2 34:8,9	20:3,6 23:18 26:8
name 39:23,25 40:10	offices 1:11	41:21 48:17	PRIs 28:15	26:17 31:9 33:12
40:14 41:3	Official 2:6	partner 9:10,11,12	private 9:20 20:25	43:5,6,6 44:25
nature 19:11 32:9	off-the-shelf 11:21	11:12	privileged 9:25 22:22	reason 7:12 10:23
necessarily 15:6	okay 26:21 27:15	party 40:23 41:10	42:2	20:9,20
necessary 7:9	46:16 47:2	pay 7:21,25 33:6 38:9	probably 5:23 6:17	recall 4:24 5:2 7:3,24
necessity 5:20	Once 13:9	39:9	12:22 20:12 22:22	15:24,25 16:2,6
need 6:8,15 16:22	one 2:21 5:5 7:6 8:22	paying 39:19	34:19	17:23 18:10,18
35:14	11:17 12:23 13:5,6	Penn 2:21	problem 8:8	20:19 21:9,10,11,12
needed 18:22 19:7	13:10 14:5,15 17:6	people 13:23 15:9	process 16:11 23:3	21:20 22:7,20 25:6
32:18	17:24,24 19:12	22:25 23:4 26:11	produced 4:10,13,15	25:7 26:2,10,22
negative 19:21	37:21 43:9 45:25	41:17 45:9	product 35:17	29:14,15,25 30:3
negotiated 26:11,12	ones 4:21 14:10 36:5	per 18:3	Professional 1:15	33:19 40:7 46:9
31:16 37:25	only 17:13 30:25	perform 5:11	48:9	receive 33:17,22
negotiating 5:25	32:15,17 39:8	period 10:5,25	profitable 35:19,22	received 43:4
negotiation 25:15	oOo 3:23	permissible 39:6	36:7,8,13,24	receiving 33:19
30:5 45:4	operate 28:10	personal 34:18	project 12:2,3,9	Recess 46:14
negotiator 26:4,7	operated 13:24 28:9	Peter 22:2	proposal 33:5	recognize 34:7,8,9
network 13:9,13,23	opinion 45:16	ph 40:13	provide 14:15 17:5	recollection 6:12,18
16:21,23 26:5	opportunities 13:13	physically 40:21	23:16 24:7 28:8	7:18 8:2 11:21
networks 13:16,20,25	opportunity 26:9	piece 13:22	32:3,5,7,8 39:7	17:10 18:14,17
18:12 19:5 never 21:13 38:16	order 14:20 42:9	pipe 40:22	40:16 41:18	19:11,23 21:25
New 1:3,12,12,16 2:9	originally 27:18 other 5:23 8:17 14:9	Piper 11:8,9 Plaza 2:21	provided 13:25 14:11	27:19,23,25 29:12
2:9,16,16,22,22		please 8:23 27:16	16:17 21:15	29:16 30:21,22,25
14:4 48:4,6,10	14:11,19,21 19:23 20:4,14,20,22 24:5	point 19:3 20:3 46:10	provider 13:14	31:7 33:20,24 34:10
news 38:16	28:2 32:8 35:12,15	policies 45:22	providing 12:24	41:23 42:4 43:10
Nishimoto 19:24 23:5	36:7,13 37:5 44:11	policy 45:20,24	35:25 36:3 44:15,15 44:16	record 46:13 48:15 refer 24:22
23:24 41:12	45:8 46:17,18	position 25:24 41:6	provision 22:12	referred 40:3 43:4
nonregulatory 10:12	others 13:6 31:24	possibility 6:24 8:22	psychology 9:3	referring 29:6,19,20
Notary 1:15 4:4 47:13	out 6:2 8:3 10:11	possible 19:17	Public 1:15 4:4 47:13	refresh 4:19 27:19
48:9	13:18,23 15:20	power 23:15 44:7	48:9	31:6
note 34:18	18:11 40:24 41:5	practice 9:17 20:25	publicly 9:12	refreshed 6:12
noted 47:3	44:16 46:11	premises 15:2,9	purpose 28:5 29:10	regarding 21:19,24
notified 41:9	outcome 48:19	preparation 29:21	31:25	22:11 46:19
notify 38:9,12 39:11	outside 11:6 21:5	31:2		Registered 1:14 48:8
notifying 39:20	outsourcing 26:5	prepare 4:8	Q	Registration 48:24
notion 15:19	over 10:14 21:5 26:9	president 7:7 26:3	question 3:9 40:19	regulatory 10:4,13
notions 23:9	overall 28:10	pretty 35:24 39:5	questions 46:15	reinvolved 20:4
Nov 49:12	own 13:16,16 14:22	PRI 6:3,9,25 7:14		related 37:13 48:16
November 26:24	18:11	8:11 11:23 12:7	R	relationship 19:17
number 14:5 16:15	ownership-type 15:4	17:16 24:22 27:20	R 2:3 4:2,2 48:2	44:13
18:10	O'Connor 11:12 20:2	28:5,20 29:15,22,24	raise 19:4	relative 45:11
numbers 17:18	34:2 49:17	32:12,19 33:6,14,17	Randall 11:18,23 12:6	relatively 14:8
		33:19,23 34:23 35:4	26:25	relevant 45:13
0	P	35:19 36:23 43:13	Randall's 11:19	relied 20:7
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

sometimes 44:12 39:24 40:4,14 46:10 rely 21:3 21:3 37:6 third 14:13 36:2,18 remember 16:8 18:25 sent 27:10 38:2 42:17 somewhere 38:19 sworn 3:12,15 4:3 sorry 6:20 11:8 16:10 47:8 48:14 36:22 38:4,7,10,15 report 12:14,17 separate 34:21 separately 11:24 40:13 42:18 45:18 system 13:20 39:2,7,19,21 40:17 reporter 1:15 24:14 40:23 41:10,21 27:4 29:2 48:9 **SOUTHERN 1:3** 41:20 T series 6:12 space 7:22,25 21:7,15 third-party 36:19 require 35:25 server 14:17,22 T 4:2 27:12 48:2,2 thought 25:11 42:18 required 36:14,16 21:24 22:12 23:15 reserved 3:9 service 14:19 16:17 24:7 32:3 36:15,20 take 21:5 24:15 27:13 43:3 thousand 46:6 residences 13:3 16:20 17:5 23:12 40:16 44:5,7,9 46:7 29:3 30:4 34:6 44:19 three 10:14 12:22 resources 10:5 28:8 35:11,25 36:3 special 7:8 20:6 14:14 46:8 36:5,15,19 39:7 **specific** 5:2 7:17 taken 46:14 respect 38:3 respective 3:4 services 12:24 14:11 15:24 18:18 19:9 takes 46:2 through 28:15 throughout 13:9 response 42:21 14:15 17:16 23:11 21:10 26:2 30:20 talked 6:10 7:10,20 responsibilities 9:22 32:9 40:5 43:10 time 3:9 5:5,17,18 7:4 23:16 24:11 32:5,6 38:20 9:3 10:5,14 13:14 responsibility 22:23 32:7,8,10 35:10,12 specifically 5:13 6:7 talking 15:7,12 17:3 14:3 15:14 16:2 result 17:8 36:12 37:5.13 40:16 7:3 15:12 18:9.25 18:17.25 19:2 41:15 retention 45:20,22,23 25:7 29:20 41:16.16 43:25 18:10 20:12 21:4 41:10,18 42:11 review 4:15 22:5,8 43:17,22 44:15,15 speculate 17:13 24:3 team 6:4 22:18 30:2 32:16 44:16 49:10 25:10 30:24 technology 18:15 36:17 47:3 timeframe 6:18 33:2 reviewed 4:9,12,18 servicing 39:2 41:21 speculation 37:2 28:12 Telecom 1:6 2:14 9:14 5:19 29:17 42:25 set 22:23 23:6,25 spend 21:2,4 times 6:11 10:10 reviewing 4:24 22:7 48:13.20 spoke 6:14 24:11.12.23 title 26:2 ss 48:5 telecommunications today's 4:8 29:25 sets 37:12 revision 25:18 30:10 setting 20:6 stage 12:12 together 25:10 11:11 Seventh 1:12 2:15 **Stamped** 27:2 34:4 tell 7:15 24:18 25:6 **TOGUT 2:19 right** 23:9 several 4:10,22 6:10 told 7:8 32:17,20 road 38:18 standard 31:10,13,14 29:4 32:15,22,24 role 5:3 10:2 14:17 46:6.8 31:17,20 37:18 34:7 38:25 39:5 38:14 Roscoe 5:16 6:7.10.23 share 9:11 14:17 standards 31:24 41:22 topic 7:6 21:7 16:2,3 19:12 32:21 **shared** 14:16 37:21 tend 35:14 traffic 28:14,17,19 33:3,12 45:9 sheet 6:5.6 33:4 started 10:11 12:13 tended 10:16 20:14,24 training 8:24 rough 16:12 show 38:22 State 1:16 48:4.10 tends 35:12 transaction 4:23 5:6 routine 12:4 22:10 showed 38:23 40:10 11:3 15:21,23 17:15 STATES 1:2 term 6:5,5 7:19,20 routine-type 11:16 side 10:3 statistic 14:3 19:8 23:4 46:19 33:4.8 routing 28:19 **Sidley** 1:11 2:13 transactions 10:22 steps 46:3 terminate 6:2 33:6 Royce 7:10 12:15 16:3 signature 33:22 34:21 terminated 6:9 7:2 Stewart 5:15 11:11 21:21 Rudnick 11:8,8,9 34:23 STIPULATED 3:3,7 termination 7:13 transcripts 42:24 rules 46:10 signed 3:13,15 25:14 3:11 32:19 43:2,4 run 12:8 44:18,22 33:15 36:17 transport 44:12 stopped 8:9 terms 24:24 25:16,19 Ryan 44:2 significant 14:8 Tresnowski 1:10 4:1 storage 46:7 29:7 39:2 significantly 16:15 STRAUSS 2:5 testified 4:4 4:7 5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1 S simple 17:25 structure 5:12.21 testimony 48:15 8:18 9:1 10:1 11:1 S 2:3 4:2,2 single 25:8 structures 45:3 text 27:14 12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1 salespeople 23:22 site 15:13 38:20 39:24 stuff 12:5 44:11,21 thanking 34:20 16:1 17:1 18:1 19:1 same 3:14 9:3 15:6 40:4,14 subcontractor 16:23 their 5:6,19 6:5,5,8,8 20:1 21:1 22:1 23:1 17:19 32:16 sites 37:23 subject 21:14 8:4,8 13:16 14:22 24:1 25:1 26:1 27:1 save 45:25 46:3,4 small 13:2 submitted 42:16 18:8 26:5 38:21 28:1 29:1 30:1 31:1 savs 39:10 smaller 14:10 28:9 subscribed 47:8 40:17 44:2,16 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 school 9:4,5,8 sold 36:10 43:24 subsequent 6:6 8:24 thing 17:25 32:17 36:1 37:1 38:1 39:1 sealing 3:5 solving 8:8 9:7 21:21 33:11 things 11:21 13:11 40:1 41:1 42:1 43:1 some 4:9 10:23 12:7 **SEC 20:18** substance 6:21 20:4,14,23 35:15 44:1 45:1 46:1 47:1 second 27:8 15:3 16:20 20:22 sufficient 18:5,7,21 40:9 45:25 47:6 48:12 49:5 see 20:11 40:21,21,22 23:6,14,21 36:4 19:7 think 5:9,17 7:22 8:21 trial 3:10 41:17 44:25 46:9 suite 12:24 seek 23:24 9:11 10:14 13:17 trip 7:8 suppose 12:21 35:6 seems 31:4 39:5 somehow 43:14,17 14:2 17:9,9,10,19 true 43:18,19 45:3 seen 27:6 someone 16:25 21:4 sure 16:5 17:2 24:17 18:9 19:10.13 20:17 48:14 SEGAL 2:19,19 23:13 26:7 35:13 27:15 28:21 41:4 20:23 22:9,21 23:8 trying 41:17 sell 12:5 23:10,12 37:21,22 40:5 43:11 44:20 26:3 36:6 37:24 Tuesday 1:13 something 5:13 37:24 survive 32:18 35:9,9,17 39:15,23 40:3,9 turn 37:8 38:24 40:2 44:4 send 6:5 28:17 38:8 Swenson 22:2 41:11 42:5 43:5,20 two 34:21,21 37:18

switch 14:6 38:20,21

44:4,10,21,23 45:3

type 11:21 14:15 17:7

sense 17:7 19:4,14

46:11

	1	1		
typically 11:4 12:10	15:13	years 46:9	7	
ij promij izavi izavi	well 10:9 19:8 23:3	York 1:3,12,12,16 2:9		1
U	•		787 1:12 2:15	
	32:25 35:9,24 38:13	2:9,16,16,22,22		
under 4:5 28:10 35:7	42:6	14:4 48:4,6,10	9	
37:17 38:3 39:6	went 7:23 8:25 9:4,9	Young 5:16 6:7,14	9/4/2006 48:24	1
45:5	38:19,20	7:15 8:10	1	}
		1 /:15 6:10	9:13 1:14	
understanding 28:7	were 4:10,12,22 5:4,7		90 46:11	
28:13,18 35:11 36:9	6:6,11,16,17 8:12	#		ļ.
37:4 38:6,8,11,14	8:22 9:7,16,22	# 01J05000925 48:24		
38:15	10:13,23 11:14 14:4			
		0]
understood 26:8	15:13,14,19 16:13			
unique 11:10	16:19,25 17:3,19,21	000010-14 34:4 49:18		!
UNITED 1:2	17:24 18:16,19,19	000519-580 27:2		
University 8:25 9:4	19:6,9 20:6,15	49:13	İ	
unless 10:23 39:19	21:18,23 23:9,17	03-13057 1:8		
I .		03-1305/ 1.6		
46:2	25:15,18 28:3 30:4			
Unsecured 2:7	30:9 31:4 32:6,11	11		
until 8:20 29:13,18	33:11,14,18 37:14	1 24:10,15 49:10		1
unusual 22:8 36:9	38:14 39:8 41:15	10:42 47:3		1
	45:4			
use 11:6 13:11,13	1	10019 2:16		i
23:22 36:14 39:18	we'll 19:15 33:5	10022-2524 2:9		
39:20 40:15 41:9	we're 15:6 23:11 33:4	10119 2:22		
used 15:7	36:4 37:23	11 1:8 25:25 34:2		
user 46:2	we've 29:5	49:16		
•				
uses 40:23	WHEREOF 48:20	11th 34:15		
using 15:11 38:7,10	wherewithal 5:11	13 20:17		
38:14,25	while 9:16 18:6,8	14 37:23		
	whole 13:19	18 1:13		
V	wholesale 13:7 14:9	1		
·		18th 48:21		
valuation 8:6	willing 7:5	1986 9:5,10		
various 10:10 23:16	wipe 46:11	1992 9:11		
vendors 31:22,24	witness 4:3 8:17 9:24	1995 9:11		
versus 31:24	17:12 22:15 24:2	1999 9:13		
1		1999 9.13		
very 6:23,23 7:23	30:24 42:3 48:12,15			l
16:14 17:25,25	48:20 49:4	· 2		
20:17,18,23 23:3	Wood 1:11 2:13	2 26:23 27:5 4 9:12		
26:6,6 36:10 39:21	word 15:7	2001 10:25 26:24		ļ
	words 20:14 27:24			
42:6 46:2		49:12	,	
via 28:20	work 8:9 10:19,20	2001/2002 12:19		
vice 26:3	worked 11:13 25:10	2002 10:25 25:25 34:2		
Virginia 9:4	38:19	34:15 49:16		
Vitenson 26:24 27:16	working 23:4	2003 6:20 21:22 33:2		
		[
34:3 49:13,18	Worldwide 24:12	2004 1:13 47:10 48:21		l
	worth 18:6,8	24 49:11		1
W	worthwhile 18:22	26 49:13		ĺ
W 1:14 4:2 48:8,23	wouldn't 6:25 27:10	28 26:24 49:12,15		
waived 3:6	41:2			
2	B.	2		
walked 38:23	writing 34:20	3		
want 7:8 13:11 15:5	wrote 27:16	3 5:25 6:2 8:5 16:14		
17:12 20:24 24:2		16:18 28:23 29:3,22		
30:23	X	31:17 33:5 37:9		
wanted 5:6 7:19 40:20	X 49:3			
		44:2 49:14		
wants 23:13	XI 24:12	33 49:18		
wasn't 7:9 8:8	XO 38:14 41:17,18			
way 5:21,24 17:4 25:9		4		
27:10 29:14 36:7	Y	4 33:25 34:6 49:5,16		
		7 33.23 34.0 47.3,10		
38:6,25 39:4 41:17	yeah 16:10 18:23			
43:24 44:22 48:18	26:15,21,21 36:6			
ways 14:15	39:17	590 2:8		
web 13:2 14:13 15:12	year 6:19			
	J			