

EXHIBIT 1

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

)	Chapter 11
In re:)	
)	Case No. 13-10367 (MFW)
CONEXANT SYSTEMS, INC., <i>et al.</i> , ¹)	(Jointly Administered)
)	
Reorganized Debtors.)	Re: Docket Entry No. 366
)	

**ORDER SUSTAINING LIQUIDATING TRUSTEE’S FIRST OMNIBUS
(NON-SUBSTANTIVE) OBJECTION TO CERTAIN DUPLICATIVE CLAIMS**

Upon consideration of the *Liquidating Trustee’s First Omnibus (Non-Substantive) Objection to Certain Duplicative Claims* (the “First Trust Omnibus Objection”);² and the Court having considering the Mastil Declaration in support of the First Trust Omnibus Objection; and it appearing that notice of the First Trust Omnibus Objection was good and sufficient upon the particular circumstances and that no other or further notice need be given; and the Court having considered the First Trust Omnibus Objection, the claims listed on Exhibit A attached thereto, and any responses thereto; and upon the record herein; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor; it is hereby

FOUND AND DETERMINED THAT

1. This Court has jurisdiction of this proceeding to determine the First Trust Omnibus Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334, and the proceeding is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2); and

¹ The Debtors in these cases, together with the four digits of each Debtors’ federal taxpayer identification number, are: Conexant Systems, Inc. (9439); Conexant CF, LLC (6434); Brooktree Broadband Holding, Inc. (5436); Conexant, Inc. (8218); and Conexant Systems Worldwide, Inc. (0601).

² Unless otherwise defined herein, capitalized terms used herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the First Trust Omnibus Objection and, if not defined therein, the Plan.

2. The Liquidating Trustee has complied with the requirements of Local Bankruptcy Rule 3007-1; and

3. Each holder of a claim (as to each, a “Claim”) listed on Exhibit A attached hereto was properly and timely served with a copy of the First Trust Omnibus Objection, this Order, the accompanying exhibits, and the notice; and

4. Any entity known to have an interest in the Claims subject to the First Trust Omnibus Objection has been afforded reasonable opportunity to respond to, or be heard regarding, the relief requested in the First Trust Omnibus Objection; and

5. The relief requested in the First Trust Omnibus Objection is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other parties in interest; and it is therefore

ORDERED, that the First Trust Omnibus Objection is SUSTAINED; and it is further

ORDERED, that the Duplicative Claims listed on the attached Exhibit A are hereby disallowed in their entirety; and it is further

ORDERED, that the Liquidating Trustee may direct the Notice, Claims, and Balloting Agent to amend the Claims Register to reflect the Disallowance of the Duplicative Claims, and the Claims Register shall be so amended; and it is further

ORDERED that the Liquidating Trustee’s rights and the rights of any other parties permitted, under the Plan, to object to any of the Claims or any other claims (filed or not) which may be asserted against the Debtors on any other grounds are preserved. Additionally, should one or more of the grounds of objection stated in the First Trust Omnibus Objection be overruled, the Liquidating Trustee’s rights to object on other stated grounds or on any other

grounds that the Liquidating Trustee discovers during the pendency of these cases are further preserved; and it is further

ORDERED, that, without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the Liquidating Trustee's rights and the rights of other parties permitted to object to any of the Claims (i) pursuant to section 502(d) of the Bankruptcy Code and (ii) on the basis that such Claim was filed against the wrong Debtor are preserved; and it is further

ORDERED, that this Court shall retain jurisdiction over any matters related to or arising from the First Trust Omnibus Objection or the implementation of this Order; and it is further

ORDERED, that each Claim and the objections by the Liquidating Trustee to such Claim, as set forth on Exhibit A hereto, constitutes a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014 and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3007-1. This Order shall be deemed a separate Order with respect to each Claim. Any stay of this Order pending appeal by any claimants whose Claims are subject to this Order shall only apply to the contested matter which involves such claimant and shall not act to stay the applicability and/or finality of this Order with respect to the other contested matters listed in the First Trust Omnibus Objection or this Order.

Dated: _____, 2013
Wilmington, Delaware

THE HONORABLE MARY F. WALRATH
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

EXHIBIT A

Duplicative Claims

Conexant Systems, Inc., et al.
 First Omnibus Claims Objections
 Duplicative Claims

Creditor Name	Case #	Remaining Claim Number	Duplicate Claim to be Disallowed	Claim Amount Unsecured	Reason For Disallowance	Notes
ADVANCED IMAGING STRATEGIES, INC.	13-10367	71	144	\$ 2,818.47	Duplicated	
INTERNATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATION UNION	13-10367	56	177	126,562.30	Duplicated	Claim filed and listed on register in Swiss Francs. Original claim filed contained conversion values. Surviving claim \$6 is for \$60,927.00.
MEYER PROPERTIES	13-10367	49	43	26,439.28	Duplicated	
MOREDIRECT, INC.	13-10367	61	37	10,017.36	Duplicated	
PREDICTIONPROBE INC	13-10367	21	17	38,820.00	Duplicated	Claims 17, 20, & 21 all identical
PREDICTIONPROBE INC	13-10367	21	20	38,820.00	Duplicated	Claims 17, 20, & 21 all identical
RSM DBA EXPERTISE	13-10371	51	27	6,153.13	Duplicated	
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY	13-10367	47	13	6,228.32	Duplicated	
TECHNOPROBE AMERICA INC	13-10367	48	18	23,461.76	Duplicated	
				\$ 279,320.62		