

The order below is hereby signed.

Signed: September 30, 2008.



A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "S. Martin Teel, Jr.", is written over a horizontal line.

S. Martin Teel, Jr.
United States Bankruptcy Judge

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

In re)	
)	
GREATER SOUTHEAST COMMUNITY)	Case No. 02-02250
HOSPITAL CORPORATION I, et)	(Chapter 11)
al.,)	(Jointly Administered)
)	
Debtors.)	

ORDER DIRECTING THE DCHC LIQUIDATING TRUST TO
FILE A LIST STATING, AS TO EACH STIPULATION
REGARDING A PROOF OF CLAIM, THE DOCKET ENTRY OF ANY
OBJECTION TO CLAIM(S) THAT RELATED TO THAT PROOF OF CLAIM

The DCHC Liquidating Trust has filed a number of
Stipulations regarding various claims (specifically Docket Entry
("DE") Nos. 3314 through 3319, 3323 through 3326, 3339, 3340,
3351, 3370 through 3373, 3378 through 3381, 3383 through 3385,
3400 through 3403, 3405 through 3407, 3417, 3418, 3440, and 3442)
(the Stipulations). To the extent that any of those Stipulations
disposed of an objection to claim (or disposed of part of an
objection to claims), the Trust, in docketing the Stipulation,
should have included a link to the docket entry number of the
objection to claim(s) that was resolved, in whole or in part, by
the Stipulation. So that the clerk may modify the text of any

docket entry that should have included a link to an objection to claim (and so that the Trust will not be required to re-docket any such filing to include the required link), the Trust should file a list indicating which of the Stipulations relate to an objection to claim.

At least one Stipulation related to a pending objection to claim, and the text of the Stipulation's docket entry did not include a link to the objection to claim.¹ The clerk will need to modify the text of the docket entry for that Stipulation to include a link to the related objection to claim.

The other Stipulations may not have related to any objection to claim, but the text of the docket entries for each of those

¹ Specifically, the Trust's Stipulation with Hooper, Lundy & Bookman, Inc. (DE No. 3407 filed on August 4, 2008), resolved the Trust's objection (DE No. 3374 filed on June 23, 2008) to the claim filed by Hooper, Lundy & Bookman, Inc., but the text of the docket entry only indicated that the stipulation was with Hooper, Lundy & Bookman, Inc., and did not include a link to Docket Entry No. 3374.

Because the Stipulation resolved a pending contested matter, it might have been preferable for the Stipulation to be submitted as a Stipulation and an order approving the same, thereby alerting the court that the contested matter was resolved (and, of course, in docketing and uploading the proposed order it would have been necessary to include a link to the objection to claim), thereby avoiding unnecessary work by the court in reviewing what it thought was an unresolved claim. But I recognize that the objection to claim was, in effect, being withdrawn (an act that can be accomplished by a stipulation without the necessity of a court order) and that the parties were free to stipulate between themselves what amount of the claim would be allowed. But at a minimum the text of the docket entry for the Stipulation should have included a link, by docket entry number, to the objection to claim.

Stipulations gives no indication in that regard. In the future, it would be preferable if the text of the docket entry for any such Stipulations include words such as "Resolving Objection to Claim" or "Resolving Treatment of Claim Without the Necessity of an Objection to Claim" so that the clerk is alerted to the need (or the lack of any need) for the text of the docket entry to include a link to a related objection to claim. If a filing--whether a Stipulation or some other document--does not relate to any pending contested matter, the text of the docket entry for that filing preferably should, if possible, in some way indicate that it is of a character that does not require a link to any other docket entry.

In light of the foregoing, it is

ORDERED that by **October 22, 2008**, the Trust shall file a report indicating which Stipulations addressing proofs of claim identified above (other than the Stipulation that was docketed as Docket Entry No. 3407) related to a claim that was the subject of an objection to claim, and indicating for each such Stipulation the docket entry number of the related objection to claim. It is further

ORDERED that the clerk shall modify the text of DE No. 3407 to include a link to DE No. 3374.

[Signed and dated above.]

Copies to: Doctors Community Healthcare Corp.; Deryck A. Palmer, Esq.; Andrew M. Troop, Esq.; Peter D. Isakoff, Esq.; Ted A. Berkowitz, Esq.; Sam J. Alberts, Esq.; Jeffrey Schmitt, Esq.; Darrell W. Clark; Office of U.S. Trustee.

Certificate of Service Page 5 of 5
CERTIFICATE OF NOTICE

District/off: 0090-1
Case: 02-02250

User: myers
Form ID: pdf001

Page 1 of 1
Total Served: 4

Date Rcvd: Sep 30, 2008

The following entities were served by first class mail on Oct 02, 2008.
aty +Andrew M. Troop, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, 100 Federal Street, 34th Floor,
Boston, MA 02110-1848
aty +Deryck A. Palmer, 767 Fifth Ave, New York, NY 10153-0023
aty +Peter D. Isakoff, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, 1300 Eye Street, Suite 900,
Washington, DC 20005-3314
aty Ted A. Berkowitz, Farrell Fritz, PC, EAB Plaza, Uniondale, NY 11556-0120

The following entities were served by electronic transmission.
NONE.

TOTAL: 0

***** BYPASSED RECIPIENTS (undeliverable, * duplicate) *****
aty* +Deryck A. Palmer, 767 Fifth Ave, New York, NY 10153-0023

TOTALS: 0, * 1

Addresses marked '+' were corrected by inserting the ZIP or replacing an incorrect ZIP.
USPS regulations require that automation-compatible mail display the correct ZIP.

I, Joseph Speetjens, declare under the penalty of perjury that I have served the attached document on the above listed entities in the manner shown, and prepared the Certificate of Notice and that it is true and correct to the best of my information and belief.

Meeting of Creditor Notices only (Official Form 9): Pursuant to Fed. R. Bank. P. 2002(a)(1), a notice containing the complete Social Security Number (SSN) of the debtor(s) was furnished to all parties listed. This official court copy contains the redacted SSN as required by the bankruptcy rules and the Judiciary's privacy policies.

Date: Oct 02, 2008

Signature:

