IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS

In re:)	
DICKINGON THE ATDEC INC) Case No. 12-	22602
DICKINSON THEATRES, INC., a Kansas corporation,) Chapter 11	
Debtor.)	

MOTION FOR ORDER UNDER SECTIONS 105(a), 365(a), AND 554 AUTHORIZING THE DEBTOR-IN-POSSESSION TO (A) REJECT CERTAIN UNEXPIRED LEASES AND EXECUTORY CONTRACTS, AND (B) ABANDON CERTAIN PROPERTY AT THE PREMISES

Dickinson Theatres, Inc., debtor and debtor-in-possession in the above-captioned proceedings (the "Debtor"), through its undersigned counsel, hereby files this Motion requesting this Court enter its order authorizing the rejection of certain unexpired leases pursuant to Sections 105(a) and 365(a) of title 11 of the United States Code (11 U.S.C. §§ 101 *et seq.*, as amended, the "Bankruptcy Code"), and Rule 6006 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"). In support of this Motion, the Debtor states as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the Motion under 28 U.S.C. § 1334. This is a core proceeding within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(A), (D) and (M). Venue of this Chapter 11 case in this District is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.
- 2. The statutory bases for the relief requested herein are Sections 365(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and Rule 6006 of the Bankruptcy Rules.

BACKGROUND

3. On September 21, 2012 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtor filed its voluntary petition in this Court for reorganization relief under Chapter 11 the Bankruptcy Code,

commencing the Debtor's chapter 11 case (the "Chapter 11 Case"). The Debtor continues to operate its business and manage its properties as debtor-in-possession pursuant to Sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. No request for the appointment of a trustee or examiner has been made in this Chapter 11 Case and, as of the date of the filing of this Motion, no official committees have been appointed or designated.

- 4. With its voluntary petition, the Debtor contemporaneously filed this Motion and an Emergency Motion for Expedited Hearings on Certain Motions and Applications (the "Hearing Motion"), wherein the Debtor requests an expedited hearing on, *inter alia*, this Motion.
- 5. The Debtor operates 18 movie theatres with 210 screens in seven states (the "Business"). All theatres are operated from leased facilities with the Debtor not operating any theatres from owned locations. The Business employs approximately 680 salaried and hourly employees (collectively, the "Employees"), the vast majority of whom work part-time.
- 6. Contemporaneously with the filing of its Chapter 11 petition, the Debtor filed a plan of reorganization (the "Plan") and disclosure statement ("Disclosure Statement") and requested the Court to schedule hearings on the adequacy of the Disclosure Statement and confirmation of the Plan. The proposed Plan provides for payment of all allowed claims in full with interest over five years.
- 7. Upon emergence and consummation of the Plan, Debtor will be significantly stronger and better able to compete and thrive in the highly competitive theatre/entertainment market.

RELIEF REQUESTED

8. The Debtor is a party to four unexpired leases of non-residential real property whereupon three separate movie theatres are operated and where the Debtor formerly operated a movie theatre prior to ceasing operations. The first lease pertains to an operating movie theatre

located at 1901 North 7 Highway, Blue Springs, Missouri 64015, commonly known as the Blue Springs 8 Theatre (the "Blue Springs Lease"). The second lease pertains to an operating movie theatre located at 2151 North Penstemon Street, Wichita, Kansas 67226, commonly known as the Northrock 14 Theatre (the "Northrock Lease"). The third lease pertains to a movie theatre which the Debtor recently ceased operations located at 3400 South 4th Street, Leavenworth, Kansas 66048, formerly known as the Leavenworth Theatre (the "Leavenworth Lease"). The fourth lease pertains to a movie theatre located at 1901 Northwest Expressway Suite 2100, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73118, commonly known as the Penn Square 10 Theatre (the "Penn Square Lease") (the Blue Springs Lease, Northrock Lease, Leavenworth Lease and Penn Square Lease are, collectively, the "Leases"). As the each of the Leases and amendments thereto are volumins, a copy of any of the Leases will be provided upon request to counsel for the Debtors. By this Motion, Debtor requests permission, pursuant to Sections 105(a) and 365(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, to reject the Leases because continued performance thereunder is burdensome and fails to provide any tangible benefit to the Debtor, its estate, creditors or interest holders. In addition, Debtor seek authority to abandon any or all assets of Debtor at the premises by leaving the assets in the premises after notifying the landlord that Debtor has vacated the property.

9. The Debtor has determined rejecting the Leases is beneficial to the estate in that it will eliminate an unnecessary drain on estate resources. Additionally, rejecting the Leases will permit the Debtor and counterparties to better realize the economic value of each Lease without the Debtor being a tenant. The Debtor has concluded that the Leases have no value through assumption and assignment to a third-party purchaser and that the Leases no longer provide any benefit to the Debtor. In addition, certain assets at the premises may be burdensome or of

inconsequential value to Debtor or its estate, thereby justifying abandonment to minimize any claims by landlords for damages.

- 10. In the event a potential assignee emerges for the Leases, or if other good reason arises, Debtor reserves the right to withdraw any of the Leases from rejection, prior to the hearing and/or entry of an order on this Motion, and *inter alia*, to seek to assume and assign the Lease, or Leases, to any third-party assignees.
- 11. Additionally, as a result of the Debtor currently operating movie theatres at each property subject to the Leases, there are ancillary contracts which assist the Debtor in operating the movie theatres. Pertaining to the Blue Springs 8 Theatre, the Debtor is a party to a contract with Allied Waste Services of Kansas City for the collection of trash (the "Trash Collection Contract"). A copy of the Trash Collection Contract is attached to the Motion as Exhibit A. The Debtor also is a party to a contract with the Olathe Christian School, Inc., for the sale of certain real estate (the "Real Estate Contract") (The Trash Collection Contract and Real Estate Contract, are, collectively, the "Miscellaneous Contract," the Leases and Miscellaneous Contracts are, collectively, the "Rejected Contracts"). A copy of the Real Estate Contract is attached to the Motion as Exhibit B. In addition to the rent and other charges payable under the Leases, the respective Miscellaneous Contracts make the Debtor's Business unprofitable. Accordingly, Debtor believes the Miscellaneous Contracts should be rejected as well.

BASIS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED

12. Section 365(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that a debtor in possession "subject to the court's approval, may . . . reject any executory contract or unexpired lease of the debtor." 11 U.S.C. § 365(a); see also In re Kmart Corp., No. 02-02474, 2007 WL 4556991, at *7 (Bankr. N.D. III. Nov. 20, 2007); Univ. Med. Ctr. v. Sullivan (In re Univ. Med. Ctr.), 973 F.2d

1065, 1075 (3rd Cir 1992). "This provision allows a [debtor in possession] to relieve the bankruptcy estate of burdensome agreements which have not been completely performed." *Stewart Title Guar. Co. v. Old Republic Nat'l Title Co.*, 83 F.3d 735, 741 (5th Cir. 1996) (*citing In re Muerexco Petroleum, Inc.*, 15 F.3d 60, 62 (5th Cir. 1994)).

- 13. Section 365(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, which has been interpreted as incorporating the "business judgment" standard, authorizes Debtor's rejection of the Leases. *See, Group of Institutional Investors v. Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul & Pacific R.R. Co.*, 318 U.S. 523, 550, 63 S.Ct. 727, 742 (1943) ("the question whether a lease should be rejected . . . is one of business judgment"); *Sharon Steel Corp. v. Nat'l Fuel Gas Distrib. Corp.,* 872 F.2d 36, 39 (3d Cir. 1989); *NLRB v. Bildisco & Bildisco,* 465 U.S. 513, 524 (1984); *In re Federated Department Stores, Inc.,* 131 P.R. 808, 811 (S.D. Ohio 1991) ("courts traditionally have applied the business judgment standard in determining whether to authorize the rejection of executory contracts and unexpired leases").
- 14. The business judgment standard is satisfied when a debtor determines that rejection will benefit the estate. *In Re Chi-Feng Huang*, 23 B.R. 798, 800-801 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1982) (primary issue is whether rejection would benefit the general unsecured creditors); and *Commercial Financial Limited v. Hawaii Dimensions, Inc.*, 47 B.R. 425, 427 (D.Haw. 1985) ("under the business judgment test, a court should approve a debtor's proposed rejection if such rejection will benefit the estate").
- 15. Upon finding that the Debtor has exercised its sound business judgment in determining that rejection of the Rejected Contracts is in the best interests of the Debtor, its creditors and other parties in interest in the Chapter 11 Case, the Court should approve rejection of the Rejected Contracts pursuant to section 365(a) of the Bankruptcy Code. *See, e.g., In re*

Bradlees Stores, Inc., 194 B.R. 555, 558 n.1 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1996); Summit Land Co. v. Allen (In re Summit Land Co.), 13 B.R. 310, 315 (Bankr. D. Utah 1981) (holding that, absent extraordinary circumstances, court approval of a debtor's decision to assume or reject an executory contract "should be granted as a matter of course"). If a debtor's business judgment has been reasonably exercised, a court should approve the assumption or rejection of an executory contract or unexpired lease. See, e.g., NLRB v. Bildisco & Bildisco, 465 U.S. at 523; In re Sharon Steel Corp., 872 F.2d at 39-40.

- 16. Rejection of an unexpired contract is appropriate where such rejection would benefit the estate. See Sharon Steel Corp., 872 F.2d at 39 (citing Wheeling-Pittsburgh Steel Corp. v. West Penn Power Co. (In re Wheeling-Pittsburgh Steel Corp.), 72 B.R. 845, 846 (Bankr. W.D. Pa. 1987)). The business judgment standard requires that the Court approve the debtor's business decision unless it is the product of bad faith, whim or caprice. See In re Trans World Airlines, Inc., 261 B.R. 103, 121 (Bankr. D. Del. 2001); see also Lubrizol Enter., Inc. v. Richmond Metal Finishers, 756 F.2d 1043, 1047 (4th Cir. 1985), cert. denied, 475 U.S. 1057 (1986).
- 17. The Debtor submits that it has satisfied the business judgment standard for rejecting the Rejected Contracts. As explained in detail above, performance under the Rejected Contracts is costly and unnecessary to the Debtor's on-going operation and Business. Moreover, the Rejected Contracts are not a source of potential value for the Debtor's estate, creditors and interest holders through assumption and assignment to possible third parties. Accordingly, the Debtor has determined that the Rejected Contracts constitute an unnecessary drain on its cash flow, and, therefore, rejection of the Rejected Contracts reflects the Debtor's exercise of sound business judgment. The Debtor seeks rejection of the Rejected Contract to be effective as of

thirty (30) days after an order granting this Motion is entered. The Debtor shall provide written notice to each counterparty to the Rejected Contracts promptly upon the occurrence of such effective date.

18. The Debtor further requests that the Court require that counterparties to the Rejected Contracts must submit any claim from the rejection of the Leases and Miscellaneous Contracts within thirty (30) days after the date of the effective date of the Plan, which will require the use of the Rejected Contracts and that the failure of such counterparties to timely file claims shall bar such parties from receiving any distribution or dividend from the Debtor's estate. Further, the Debtor agrees that its shall promptly surrender to the counterparties to the Miscellaneous Contracts any of their property that may be subject to the Rejected Contracts.

19. The Debtor may have claims against counterparties to the Rejected Contracts arising under, or independent of, the Rejected Contracts. The Debtor does not waive such claims by the filing of this Motion or the rejection of the Rejected Contracts. The Debtor reserves all of its rights with respect to the Rejected Contracts, including, but not limited to, the right to contest any claims that arise out of their rejection. Nothing contained herein is intended or shall be construed as: (a) an admission as to the validity of any claim against the Debtor, (b) a waiver of the Debtor's rights to dispute any claim or (c) an approval or assumption of any agreement, contract, program, policy or lease under section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code.

WHEREFORE, the Debtor requests the Court enter an order (i) granting this Motion, (ii) authorizing the Debtor to reject the Rejected Contracts as set forth on Exhibits A through D as of the effective date of the Plan, or thirty (30) days following the entry of an order granting this Motion, whichever is sooner, and (iii) granting such other and further relief as may be just and equitable under the circumstances.

Dated: September 21, 2012.

STINSON MORRISON HECKER LLP

By: s/Sharon L. Stolte

Sharon L. Stolte, KS #14302 Paul M. Hoffmann, KS Fed.Bar. No. 70170 Timothy M. Swanson, KS #24516 1201 Walnut, Ste. 2900 Kansas City, MO 64106

Tel: (816) 691-2456 Fax: (816) 412-9325 sstolte@stinson.com phoffmann@stinson.com tswanson@stinson.com

Proposed Reorganization Counsel for the Debtor and Debtor-in-Possession

- and -

ROBERT J. RAYBURN, III, ATTORNEY AT LAW

Robert J. Rayburn, III, KS #17102 7400 W. 110th Street, Ste. 600 Overland Park, KS 66210 Tel: (816) 215-5567 Fax: (888) 685-2224

Fax: (888) 685-2224 robert@rayburngrp.com

Proposed General Corporate and Conflicts Counsel for the Debtor and Debtor-in-Possession