EXHIBIT A FILED UNDER SEAL

EXHIBIT B FILED UNDER SEAL

EXHIBIT C

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE: . Case No. 02-11125

EXIDE TECHNOLOGIES, .
. 900 Market Street
. Philadelphia, PA
Debtor. .
.
.
. Date: November 20, 2003
. . . . . Time: 10:19 a.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
BEFORE HONORABLE KEVIN J. CAREY
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Debtors Kirkland & Ellis
By: PAUL R. GARCIA, ESQ.
MATTHEW KLEIMAN, ESQ.
MICHELLE FRANCIS, ESQ.
200 East Randolph Drive
Chicago, IL 60601

For EnerSys, Inc Stevens & Lee
By: THOMAS G. WHALEN, ESQ.
CARL HITTINGER, ESQ.
ROBERT LAPOWSKY, ESQ.
JOHN MIRAVICH, ESQ.
300 Delaware Avenue
Wilmington, DE 19801

Audio Operator: Chris Caruso

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript
Produced by transcription service.

J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC.
268 Evergreen Avenue
Hamilton, New Jersey 08619
E-mail: jjcourt@optonline.net

(609)586-2311 Fax No. (609) 587-3599

APPEARANCES: (cont'd)

For the Debtors — Katten Muchin Zavis Rosenman
By: ROGER P. FUREY, ESQ.
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street
Washington, DC 20007

Pachulski, Stang, Ziehl, Young,
Jones & Weintraub, P.C.
By: KATHLEEN M. DePHILLIPS, ESQ.
919 North Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19899

For Citicorp — Landis Rath & Cobb
By: RICHARD S. COBB, ESQ.
919 Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19801

For EnerSys, Inc. — Keegan & Associates
By: DR. WARREN KEEGAN

FTI
By: BRIAN BLANDER

For Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors — Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer & Feld
By: JOANNE DOUGHTY, ESQ.
2029 Century Park East
Los Angeles, CA 90067

INDEX

ARGUMENTS

PAGE

Summary Judgment Motion
by Mr. Garcia

8

by Mr. Lapowsky

the extent of the opinions that he has given in his written report, he's demonstrated -- the debtor has demonstrated to me that there are good enough grounds for that opinion which I think fits the issues that are here and implicit in making that ruling. I conclude that it is not always required and may not be in this case that the debtor quantify the benefit that it may receive as a result of any rejection. Now, that's not to say that after I hear the record at the hearing on the merits, that the debtor's failure to quantify may work against it in demonstrating what it has to demonstrate to show entitlement to rejection, but I won't hold, I guess, as a matter of law, that quantification is always required. So those are the underpinnings of that.

EnerSys did not argue -- make an argument today or present any evidence concerning it's objection based upon Mr. Phillips' failure to disclose allegedly hundreds of Exide documents. I don't know whether EnerSys is still pressing that or not.

MR. HITTINGER: It was in our brief, Your Honor, and it did severely prejudice our ability to take his depositions since we got the documents the day before his deposition.

THE COURT: Well, I'll tell you what I'm going to do. I am going to permit Mr. Phillips, and of course nothing's come from the debtor on this, I only know of this because of what EnerSys has alleged, and that is apparently the debtor intends to offer Mr. Phillips also to criticize the Blonder and Keegan opinions. I'll permit that. And I frequently do in a battle of the experts thing because I find it helpful to me. But in that connection, if the debtor is going to use Mr. Phillips in rebuttal to the Blonder and Keegan opinions, I'll permit him to be redeposed if the debtor is going to use him for that purpose.

Now, I think that disposes in all of its points of the EnerSys motion. And we'll ask the parties to confer and give me a form of order for the reasons that I've articulated disposes of that motion. In that connection are there any questions?

MR. HITTINGER: No, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, my experience with Exide is that -- well, first let