1	Kathy Bazoian Phelps (148083)		
2	DIAMOND MCCARTHY LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1100		
3	Los Angeles, CA 90067 Phone: (310) 651-2997		
4	Email: kphelps@diamondmccarthy.com		
5	Special Litigation Counsel for Michael G. Kasolas, Chapter 7 Trustee For Fox Ortega Enterprises, Inc.		
6	Dba Premier Cru		
7			
8	UNITED STATES BA	ANKRUPTCY COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	OAKLANI	DIVISION	
11	In re	Case No. 16-40050-WJL	
12	FOX ORTEGA ENTERPRISES, INC., dba PREMIER CRU	Case 10. 10-40030-W3L	
13		Chapter 7	
14	Debtor.		
15			
16 17	MICHAEL G. KASOLAS, Chapter 7 Trustee for Fox Ortega Enterprises, Inc. dba Premier Cru		
18	Plaintiff	Adversary No.	
19	vs.		
20	BELMONT WINE EXCHANGE, LLC		
21		ORIGINAL COMPLAINT	
22	Plaintiff Michael G. Kasolas, the Chap	oter 7 Trustee (the "Trustee") for Fox Ortega	
23	Enterprises, Inc. dba Premier Cru ("Premier	<u>Cru</u> " or the " <u>Debtor</u> "), brings this adversary	
24	proceeding against Belmont Wine Exchange, LL	C ("Defendant") and alleges as follows:	
25	INTROI	DUCTION	
26	1. This suit seeks the avoidance an	d recovery of transfers from Premier Cru to the	
27	Defendant as well as the avoidance of certain ob	oligations Premier Cru incurred in the Defendant's	
	1		

Case: 16-40050 Doc# 688 Filed: 01/05/18 Entered: 01/05/18 12:59:25

28 favor. These transfers and obligations were part of a fraudulent scheme involving the sale of wine

that caused the loss of tens of millions of dollars. At the time of the Premier Cru's bankruptcy, approximately 4,500 customers had not received pre-arrival wine for which that had already paid, with losses reaching \$45 million.

- 2. Transfers to the Defendant and the obligations incurred by Premier Cru were made as part of the fraud and with the actual intent to hinder, delay, or defraud Premier Cru's creditors. Premier Cru incurred obligations in favor of the Defendant for the purpose of acquiring funds necessary for the continuation of the fraud. Later, Premier Cru made transfers to the Defendant with the purpose of concealing the ongoing fraud, to lull customers into a false sense that Premier Cru was a legitimate business, to cause the customers to continue to purchase wine from Premier Cru, and to prevent them from complaining to law enforcement authorities.
- 3. The Trustee requests that this Court grant relief that will return the value of the transfers to the Defendant that were made as a part of the scheme. Specifically, the Trustee seeks the avoidance and recovery of the transfers made and obligations incurred that are identified below under 11 U.S.C. §§ 544, 548, 550 and California Civil Code § 3439.04.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This is an adversary proceeding, pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure, which relates to the Chapter 7 proceeding captioned *In re Fox Ortega Enterprises, Inc., dba Premier Cru*, Case No. 16-40050-WJL (Bankr. N.D. Cal., Oakland Div.).
- 5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to section 28 U.S.C. § 1334(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 157(a), in that this adversary proceeding arises in, arises under, and/or relates to Premier Cru's chapter 7 case.
- 6. This adversary proceeding is a core proceeding under section 157(b)(2) of Title 28 of the United States Code, such that this Court has jurisdiction to hear and determine this proceeding and to enter an appropriate order and judgment. To the extent necessary, the Trustee consents to entry of a final order or judgment by this Court.
- 7. The Defendant's forum-related activities give rise to the action before the Court. The Defendant purposefully directed its activities and consummated the transactions described below within California, thereby invoking the benefits and protection of California law. As

reflected in the applicable terms and conditions for the transactions described below, "[a]ny wine sold to you by Premier Cru is sold in California, and title passes to you, the buyer, in California." Furthermore, the Trustee's claims arise out of or relate to the Defendant's forum-related activities.

8. This Court is the proper venue for this adversary proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1409(a) because the Debtor's chapter 7 case is pending in this judicial district.

PARTIES

- 9. The Trustee is the duly appointed chapter 7 trustee for the bankruptcy estate of Premier Cru. Premier Cru entered bankruptcy proceedings on January 8, 2016 (the "<u>Petition</u> <u>Date</u>"), at which time the Trustee was appointed by the Court. The Trustee is duly authorized and empowered to pursue any and all claims of the Debtor's estate.
- 10. Defendant Belmont Wine Exchange, LLC is a California limited liability company with its principal place of business at 25811 Clawiter Road, Hayward, California 94545. Defendant may be served with process through its registered agent, Andrew Harris Zimmerman at 209 St. James Drive, Piedmont, California 94611.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

I. Premier Cru's Operations

- 11. Premier Cru was founded in 1980 by John Fox ("Fox") and Hector Ortega as a seller of top-quality wines in the Bay Area. During all relevant times, Fox owned equity in Premier Cru and served as its President. Fox made all significant business decisions for Premier Cru. Fox was the sole manager of the company's finances, including payment of Premier Cru's bills and expenses, as well as obtaining business loans and financing from banks or individuals to fund Premier Cru's operations.
- 12. Premier Cru originally operated out of a small storefront in Oakland with a focus on hard to find and limited production offerings. As Premier Cru's popularity grew, the company determined that it would begin purchasing its supply of wine from sources overseas and obtained its own import license. Premier Cru touted that it could eliminate the middle man while

: 16-40050 Doc# 688 Filed: 01/05/18 Entered: 01/05/18 12:59:25 Page 3 of

simultaneously increasing the allocation of hard to locate wines by supposedly sourcing its inventory directly.

- 13. The Debtor generally sold wine in two ways. First, the company sold wine that was, or supposedly was, physically held in Premier Cru's inventory. Second, Premier Cru sold wine on a "pre-arrival" basis, whereby customers paid for wine that was not in Premier Cru's physical possession but which Premier Cru represented it had already purchased.
- 14. In the wine industry, there are generally two ways to purchase wine before it is released to the open market. "Wine futures" involve the purchase of wine while it is still in the barrel and before the wine is bottled. Because the purchaser must wait for the wine to age in the barrel, buyers can expect to wait years before receiving the wine they purchased. Premier Cru did not sell wine futures. In contrast, "pre-arrival" wines are sold to collectors months before a wine is released to the open market, but after the wine has actually been bottled. Unlike "wine futures," there is generally less risk in pre-arrival purchasing because importers know exactly how many bottles they will receive from the supplier. Pre-arrival sales allow consumers to purchase difficult to locate wines at prices that are generally lower than what is found in the market. Premier Cru purportedly sold pre-arrival wines.
- 15. Premier Cru sold pre-arrival wine through a website operated and maintained by Premier Cru or through salespeople who worked in the Premier Cru offices and reported to Fox. The "Terms and Conditions" associated with the sale of pre-arrival wine provided as follows:

The term "Pre-Arrival" is applied to wines we have purchased (typically abroad) that have not arrived yet. Depending on the particular wine, the arrival time is typically 6+ months to over two years (in the case of Bordeaux Futures, for example).

Many new releases of highly desirable, limited-production wines (ie – Burgundy, Rhone, Italian, etc.) are offered on a "Pre-Arrival" basis by our suppliers. These offerings typically take 6 to 18 months to arrive and are often the only way to source the wines before they sell out (and at optimal prices). We send an email notification when your wine arrives.

According to Fox, the majority of Premier Cru's revenue was derived from pre-arrival sales.

se: 16-40050 Doc# 688 Filed: 01/05/18 Entered: 01/05/18 12:59:25 Page 4 of

II. The Fraud

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

16. On or about August 11, 2016, Fox entered into a guilty plea in guilty plea in his criminal case [*United States v. John Fox*, Case No. CR 16-281 JD, N.D. Cal] and executed a Plea Agreement in which he admitted that he operated the Debtor as a fraudulent scheme. In his plea agreement, Fox admitted that he "devised a scheme to defraud, and a scheme for obtaining property by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations and omissions, through Premier Cru's sale of pre-arrival wine." Fox further admitted that his fraudulent conduct began as early as 1993 or 1994. Through Fox's actions, Premier Cru engaged in a massive fraud whereby funds derived from later fraudulent wine sales were used to pay obligations that arose from earlier fraudulent transactions.

17. According to Premier Cru, the pre-arrival wines were wines that the company had supposedly already contracted to purchase from its suppliers. Premier Cru further represented that it would deliver the pre-arrival wines to customers within a time period of approximately six months to two years after customers had paid for the wine. These representations were false at the time they were made for at least two reasons. First, Fox admitted to falsifying purchase orders for wine that Premier Cru had not contracted to purchase and then entering that wine into Premier Cru's inventory records for sale to its customers. He stated that Premier Cru "priced these wines at prices below the market price, knowing that [he] had not and would not need to actually pay for this wine from any vendors." Second, Fox stated that Premier Cru contracted with "foreign suppliers . . . to purchase wine, generally with the promise to pay those foreign suppliers within 30 days." Fox did so even where he "knew that Premier Cru would not be able to make payment within 30 days, or ever" because he (a) "embezzled money from Premier Cru's business accounts that [he] should have used to pay Premier Cru's suppliers" or (b) "diverted money coming in from current customers to obtain wine for prior customers who had never received their wine." In either event, the end result was the same—Premier Cru did not have the wine required to fulfill the obligations for its pre-arrival customers. Fox further admitted that "customers paid Premier Cru for wine that [he] knew Premier Cru could not deliver to them and which they never received" and that his false statements were made "with the intent to defraud Premier Cru's customers." At the

Case: 16-40050 Doc# 688 Filed: 01/05/18 Entered: 01/05/18 12:59:25 Page 5 o

4 5 6

3

8 9

7

11 12

10

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28 time of the bankruptcy, approximately 4,500 customers had not received pre-arrival wine for which that had already paid.

- 18. The fraud was unsustainable on its face because the funds Premier Cru received from its customers were less than the cost of purchasing wine at a later date to fulfill the outstanding orders. Due to the rarity of the wines at issue, purchasing wines on the open market after their release is far more costly than sourcing the wines from appropriate oversea suppliers on a pre-arrival basis. Furthermore, Premier Cru sometimes offered pre-arrivals at a price lower than the current market for pre-arrivals. This act of undercutting the market assisted in raising funds while simultaneously increasing the gap between Premier Cru's assets and its obligations. To make matters worse, Premier Cru's Fox removed millions of dollars from the company, further reducing the funds available to satisfy Premier Cru's obligations. Because Premier Cru was not generating sufficient revenue from the pre-arrival sales to ultimately purchase wine for its customers, the company was forced to enter into an increasing number of fraudulent, pre-arrival sales to fill the financial gap and generate the funds required to purchase wine for its existing customers. As Premier Cru's liquidity and its ability to enter into a sufficient number of new prearrival transactions declined, Premier Cru was forced to declare bankruptcy in 2016.
- 19. Prior to 2015, Premier Cru was able to conceal its fraud from its creditors. According to Fox, his efforts to conceal the fraud included (a) offering falsified excuses and promises for wine that Premier Cru would not be delivered; (b) delivering wine that was purchased by other customers; and (c) purchasing wine at retail prices for use in fulfilling outstanding orders. Fox admitted that he "took these and other actions to "conceal [Premier Cru's] ongoing fraud, to lull customers into a false sense that Premier Cru was a legitimate business, to cause [its] customers to continue to purchase wine from Premier Cru, and to prevent them from complaining to law enforcement authorities." Furthermore, Premier Cru concealed its fraud by making cash disbursements to customers whose orders were not fulfilled, often in amounts greater than what customers had originally paid for their alleged pre-arrival orders.
- 20. The sales and subsequent transfers to the Defendant were made as a part of the fraud and with the actual intent to hinder, delay, or defraud Premier Cru's creditors. Premier Cru

made the transfers and incurred the obligations with the purpose of concealing the ongoing fraud, lulling customers into a false sense that Premier Cru was a legitimate business, causing customers to continue to purchase wine from Premier Cru, and preventing customers from complaining to law enforcement authorities by paying them cash settlements.

III. Badges of Fraud Related to the Transactions with the Defendant

- 21. Multiple badges of fraud are present with respect to the transactions with and transfers to the Defendant, including the following:
 - Premier Cru was insolvent during the period of the transfers;
 - Premier Cru had incurred, and was continuing to incur, substantial debt while it was making its payments to the Defendant;
 - The true nature of the transactions with the Defendant was concealed. Premier Cru made false statements indicating that it was contractually entitled to receive the prearrival wine from its suppliers and falsified accounting documentation to cover up its fraudulent actions. Fox further admitted to making efforts to conceal the fraud through false statements to customers regarding the status of their orders. As admitted by Fox, his actions were undertaken with the intent to defraud customers;
 - The transfers were made while Premier Cru was under threat of potential lawsuits. Had Premier Cru's creditors discovered the fraud, Premier Cru and its principals would have been subject to numerous lawsuits. In fact, Premier Cru's customers repeatedly and regularly threatened the company with lawsuits where pre-arrival wine was not delivered, and in some instances, actually filed suit;
 - Premier Cru removed and concealed assets. Fox admitted that, in the face of
 customer complaints, he caused Premier Cru to deliver wine to the complaining
 customers that was paid for by other customers. Furthermore, Fox caused Premier
 Cru to transfer large sums for his personal benefit as further described in his plea
 agreement;
 - Premier Cru incurred the obligations and made the transfers described herein for less than reasonably equivalent value;
 - As described in more detail above, the overall existence and cumulative effect of the pattern, series of transactions, and Premier Cru's course of conduct indicate the transactions with the Defendant were designed to defraud creditors. Premier Cru incurred debt and faced financial difficulties, and as a result, entered into the transactions with the Defendant and other customers in order to further the fraud;
 - The general chronology of events and the transactions under inquiry indicate the intent to defraud. Premier Cru entered into pre-arrival wine sales without having the underlying right to receive the wine from its suppliers. The purpose of the

e: 16-40050 Doc# 688 Filed: 01/05/18 Entered: 01/05/18 12:59:25 Page 7 of

23

24

25

26

27

28

transactions was to provide liquidity to fuel the fraud and for Fox's improper diversions and embezzlement. Moreover, Premier Cru's entry into fraudulent prearrival wine sales naturally increased based upon its need to enter into more and more fraudulent transactions to pay for prior obligations;

- Premier Cru's conduct was both exceptional and peculiar. Such conduct included falsifying accounting documentation, making false statements to customers, and generally utilizing payments from later customers to fulfill obligations owed to prior customers;
- Premier Cru made false statements, concealed facts, and operated under false pretenses. Among other things, Premier made misrepresentations concerning the following: (a) its financial condition; (b) its contractual relationships with suppliers and its right to receive the wines in question; (c) its inventory balances; and (d) the purported reason for delays in wine delivery;
- The transactions with the customers for the fraudulent wine transactions, including the Defendant, were questionable and not in ordinary course for a legitimate business. Companies such as Premier Cru do not intentionally misrepresent their inventory and contractual purchases from their vendors or alter documents to support sales;
- Premier Cru entered into the transactions involved in the fraud under secrecy and haste, and the transactions were unusual. Premier Cru disguised its pre-arrival sales using falsified documentation and misrepresentations to its customers. Furthermore, Premier Cru acted with haste in fulfilling fraudulent orders for those customers that complained repeatedly or forcefully;
- Premier Cru was aware of its creditors' claims against the company and that Premier Cru was incapable of paying those claims.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

Count I – Actual Intent Fraudulent Transfer Under 11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(A) (Wine and Cash Transfers)

- 22. The Trustee re-alleges and fully incorporates the allegations pleaded in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 23. The Debtor presently has one or more creditors whose claim arose either before or after the transfers to the Defendant.
- 24. Premier Cru transferred at least 4,411 bottles of wine to the Defendant in the two years prior to the Petition Date (the "<u>548 Transfers</u>"). The 548 Transfers are more fully described in Exhibit A, which is attached hereto.

Case: 16-40050 Doc# 688 Filed: 01/05/18 Entered: 01/05/18 12:59:25 Page 8 of

- 25. The 548 Transfers were made within two years of the Petition Date.
- 26. The aggregate amount of the 548 Transfers may be in excess of the above-stated amount, and the Trustee may amend his Complaint as and when additional transfers made as a part of the scheme are ascertained.
- 27. The 548 Transfers were made as a part of the fraud at Premier Cru. Premier Cru entered into the underlying wine sales in furtherance of its fraudulent scheme. Each of the transactions generated cash through the alleged "sale" of the wine to the Defendant. These sham transactions provided Premier Cru with the funds it required to satisfy already existing obligations that were part of the fraudulent scheme. Furthermore, Premier Cru entered into additional transactions with other customers at a later time that ultimately provided the company with the funds necessary to purchase wine for the 548 Transfers. This pattern of conduct of using new money to fund the fulfillment of earlier obligations constitutes a Ponzi scheme or similar fraud whereby funds received from later fraudulent transactions were used to fund prior obligations.
- 28. Moreover, Fox's plea agreement evidences Premier Cru's intent to defraud its creditors through the fraudulent scheme. Therefore, the 548 Transfers were made with the actual intent to hinder, delay, or defraud entities to which Premier Cru was or became indebted to on or after the date of the transfer.
- 29. As stated above, there are a multitude of badges of fraud present with respect to the transactions with the Defendant. The existence and sheer number of the badges of fraud present in this matter indicate that Premier Cru intended to hinder, delay, or defraud its creditors in entering into and making the 548 Transfers.
- 30. Defendant was the initial transferee of the Transfers as the Defendant received the wine and funds transferred directly from Premier Cru.
- 31. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 548(a)(1)(A) and 550, the Trustee is entitled to judgment avoiding and recovering the value of the 548 Transfers from the Defendant.

Count II – Actual Intent Voidable Transfer Under California Civil Code § 3439.04(a)(1) et seq.; 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 and 550 (Wine and Cash Transfers)

- 32. The Trustee re-alleges and fully incorporates the allegations pleaded in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 33. The Debtor presently has one or more creditors whose claim arose either before or after the transfers to the Defendant.
 - 34. Premier Cru transferred the 548 Transfers to the Defendant as detailed above.
- 35. Premier Cru also transferred at least 84 additional bottles of wine to the Defendant during the 7 years prior to the Petition Date. (the "<u>Additional Wine Transfers</u>"). The Additional Wine Transfers are more fully described in Exhibit B, which is attached hereto.
- 36. The 548 Transfers and the Additional Wine Transfers (collectively, the "<u>Total</u> <u>Transfers</u>") were made within seven years of the Petition Date.
- 37. The aggregate amount of the Total Transfers may be in excess of the above-stated amount, and the Trustee may amend his Complaint as and when additional transfers made as a part of the scheme are ascertained.
- 38. The Total Transfers were made as a part of the fraud at Premier Cru. Premier Cru entered into the underlying wine sales in furtherance of its fraudulent scheme. Each of the transactions generated cash through the alleged "sale" of the wine to the Defendant. These sham transactions provided Premier Cru with the funds it required to satisfy already existing obligations that were part of the fraudulent scheme. Furthermore, Premier Cru entered into additional transactions with other customers at a later time that ultimately provided the company with the funds necessary to purchase wine for the Total Transfers. This pattern of conduct of using new money to fund the fulfillment of earlier obligations constitutes a Ponzi scheme or similar fraud whereby funds received from later fraudulent transactions were used to fund prior obligations.
- 39. Moreover, Fox's plea agreement evidences Premier Cru's intent to defraud its creditors through the fraudulent scheme. Therefore, the Total Transfers were made with the actual intent to hinder, delay, or defraud entities to which Premier Cru was or became indebted to on or after the date of the transfer.

- 40. As stated above, there are a multitude of badges of fraud present with respect to the transactions with the Defendant. The existence and sheer number of the badges of fraud present in this matter indicate that Premier Cru intended to hinder, delay, or defraud its creditors in entering into and making the Total Transfers.
- 41. Defendant was the initial transferee of the Total Transfers as the Defendant received the wine and funds transferred directly from Premier Cru.
- 42. Pursuant to California Civil Code § 3439.04(a)(1) et seq. and 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 & 550, the Trustee is entitled to judgment avoiding and recovering the value of the Total Transfers from the Defendant.

Count III – Actual Intent Fraudulent Transfer Under 11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(A) (Obligations to the Defendant)

- 43. The Trustee re-alleges and fully incorporates the allegations pleaded in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 44. The Debtor presently has one or more creditors whose claim arose either before or after the transfers to the Defendant.
- 45. Premier Cru entered incurred obligations to transfer at least 4,411 bottles of wine to the Defendant in the two years prior to the Petition Date (the "<u>548 Obligations</u>"). The 548 Obligations are more fully described in Ex. C, which is attached hereto.
- 46. The aggregate amount of the 548 Obligations may be in excess of the above-stated amount, and the Trustee may amend his Complaint as and when additional transfers made as a part of the scheme are ascertained.
 - 47. The 548 Obligations were incurred within two years of the Petition Date.
- 48. The 548 Obligations were made as a part of the fraud at Premier Cru. Premier Cru entered into the underlying wine sales in furtherance of its fraudulent scheme. Each of the transactions generated cash through the alleged "sale" of the wine to the Defendant. These sham transactions provided Premier Cru with the funds it required to satisfy already existing obligations that were part of the fraudulent scheme. Furthermore, Premier Cru entered into additional

transactions with other customers at a later time that ultimately provided the company with the funds necessary to satisfy the 548 Obligations. This pattern of conduct of using new money to fund the fulfillment of earlier obligations constitutes a Ponzi scheme or similar fraud whereby funds received from later fraudulent transactions were used to fund prior obligations.

- 49. Moreover, Fox's plea agreement evidences Premier Cru's intent to defraud its creditors through the fraudulent scheme. Therefore, the 548 Obligations were incurred with the actual intent to hinder, delay, or defraud entities to which Premier Cru was or became indebted to on or after the date of the transfer.
- 50. As stated above, there are a multitude of badges of fraud present with respect to the transactions with the Defendant. The existence and sheer number of the badges of fraud present in this matter indicate that Premier Cru intended to hinder, delay, or defraud its creditors in entering into the sales and incurring the 548 Obligations.
- 51. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 548(a)(1)(A), the Trustee is entitled to judgment avoiding the 548 Obligations.

Count IV – Actual Intent Voidable Transfer Under California Civil Code § 3439.04(a)(1) et seq.; 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 and 550 (Obligations to Defendant)

- 52. The Trustee re-alleges and fully incorporates the allegations pleaded in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 53. The Debtor presently has one or more creditors whose claim arose either before or after the transfers to the Defendant.
 - 54. Premier Cru incurred the 548 Obligations as detailed above.
- 55. Premier Cru also incurred obligations to the Defendant relating to 84 bottles of wine during the seven years prior to the Petition Date (the "<u>Additional Obligations</u>"). The Additional Obligations are more fully described in Exhibit D, which is attached hereto.
- 56. The 548 Obligations and the Additional Obligations (collectively, the "**Total Obligations**") were incurred within seven years of the Petition Date.

- 57. The aggregate amount of the Total Obligations may be in excess of the above-stated amount, and the Trustee may amend his Complaint as and when additional transfers made as a part of the scheme are ascertained.
- 58. The Total Obligations were incurred as a part of the fraud at Premier Cru. Premier Cru entered into the underlying wine sales in furtherance of its fraudulent scheme. Each of the transactions generated cash through the alleged "sale" of the wine to the Defendant. These sham transactions provided Premier Cru with the funds it required to satisfy already existing obligations that were part of the fraudulent scheme. Furthermore, Premier Cru entered into additional transactions with other customers at a later time that ultimately provided the company with the funds necessary to satisfy the Total Obligations. This pattern of conduct of using new money to fund the fulfillment of earlier obligations constitutes a Ponzi scheme or similar fraud whereby funds received from later fraudulent transactions were used to fund prior obligations.
- 59. Moreover, Fox's plea agreement evidences Premier Cru's intent to defraud its creditors through the fraudulent scheme. Therefore, the Total Obligations were incurred with the actual intent to hinder, delay, or defraud entities to which Premier Cru was or became indebted to on or after the date of the transfer.
- 60. As stated above, there are a multitude of badges of fraud present with respect to the transactions with the Defendant. The existence and sheer number of the badges of fraud present in this matter indicate that Premier Cru intended to hinder, delay, or defraud its creditors in entering into the sales and incurring the Total Obligations.
- 61. Pursuant to California Civil Code § 3439.04(a)(1) et seq. and 11 U.S.C. §§ 544, the Trustee is entitled to judgment avoiding the Total Obligations.

Count V – Constructive Fraudulent Transfer Under 11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(B) (Wine and Cash Transfers)

62. The Trustee re-alleges and fully incorporates the allegations pleaded in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

	_
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	8

2

3

4

- 63. The Debtor presently has one or more creditors whose claim arose either before or after the transfers to the Defendant.
 - 64. Premier Cru made the 548 Transfers to the Defendant.
- 65. The aggregate amount of the 548 Transfers may be in excess of the above-stated amount, and the Trustee may amend his Complaint as and when additional transfers made as a part of the scheme are ascertained.
- 66. Premier Cru received less than reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the 548 Transfers.
- 67. The 548 Transfers were made at a time when Premier Cru (a) was insolvent; (b) was engaged in business or a transaction, or was about to engage in business or a transaction, for which any property remaining with the debtor was an unreasonably small capital; or (b) intended to incur, or believed that it would incur, debts that would be beyond its ability to pay as such debts matured.
- 68. Defendant was the initial transferee of the 548 Transfers as the Defendant received the wine and funds transferred directly from Premier Cru.
- 69. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 548(a)(1)(B) and 550, the Trustee is entitled to judgment avoiding and recovering the value of the 548 Transfers from the Defendant.

Count VI – Constructive Voidable Transfer Under California Civil Code § 3439.04(a)(2) et seq.; 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 and 550 (Wine and Cash Transfers)

- 70. The Trustee re-alleges and fully incorporates the allegations pleaded in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 71. The Debtor presently has one or more creditors whose claim arose either before or after the transfers to the Defendant.
 - 72. Premier Cru made the Total Transfers to the Defendant.
- 73. The aggregate amount of the Total Transfers may be in excess of the above-stated amount, and the Trustee may amend his Complaint as and when additional transfers made as a part of the scheme are ascertained.

Case: 16-40050 Doc# 688 Filed: 01/05/18 Entered: 01/05/18 12:59:25 Page 14 of

- 74. Premier Cru received less than reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Total Transfers.
- 75. The Total Transfers were made at a time when Premier Cru (a) was insolvent and/or was engaged or was about to engage in a business or a transaction for which the remaining assets of the debtor were unreasonably small in relation to the business or transaction; or (b) intended to incur, or believed or reasonably should have believed that it would incur, debts beyond its ability to pay as they became due.
- 76. Defendant was the initial transferee of the Total Transfers as the Defendant received the wine and funds transferred directly from Premier Cru.
- 77. Pursuant to California Civil Code § 3439.04(a)(2) et seq. and 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 and 550, the Trustee is entitled to judgment avoiding and recovering the value of the Total Transfers from the Defendant.

Count VII – Constructive Fraudulent Transfer Under 11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(B) (Obligations to Defendant)

- 78. The Trustee re-alleges and fully incorporates the allegations pleaded in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 79. The Debtor presently has one or more creditors whose claim arose either before or after the transfers to the Defendant.
 - 80. Premier Cru incurred the 548 Obligations.
- 81. The aggregate amount of the 548 Obligations may be in excess of the above-stated amount, and the Trustee may amend his Complaint as and when additional transfers made as a part of the scheme are ascertained.
- 82. Premier Cru received less than reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the 548 Obligations.
- 83. The 548 Obligations were incurred at a time when Premier Cru (a) was insolvent; (b) was engaged in business or a transaction, or was about to engage in business or a transaction, for which any property remaining with the debtor was an unreasonably small capital; or (b)

intended to incur, or believed that it would incur, debts that would be beyond its ability to pay as such debts matured.

84. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 548(a)(1)(B), the Trustee is entitled to judgment avoiding the 548 Obligations.

Count VIII – Constructive Fraudulent Transfer Under California Civil Code § 3439.04(a)(2) et seq.; 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 and 550 (Obligations to Defendant)

- 85. The Trustee re-alleges and fully incorporates the allegations pleaded in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 86. The Debtor presently has one or more creditors whose claim arose either before or after the transfers to the Defendant.
 - 87. Premier Cru incurred the Total Obligations.
- 88. The aggregate amount of the Total Obligations may be in excess of the abovestated amount, and the Trustee may amend his Complaint as and when additional transfers made as a part of the scheme are ascertained.
- 89. Premier Cru received less than reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Total Obligations.
- 90. The Total Obligations were incurred at a time when Premier Cru (a) was insolvent and/or was engaged or was about to engage in a business or a transaction for which the remaining assets of the debtor were unreasonably small in relation to the business or transaction; or (b) intended to incur, or believed or reasonably should have believed that it would incur, debts beyond its ability to pay as they became due.
- 91. Pursuant to California Civil Code § 3439.04(a)(2) et seq. and 11 U.S.C. § 544, the Trustee is entitled to judgment avoiding the value of the Total Obligations from the Defendant.

PRAYER

Wherefore, the Trustee respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment and grant the following relief against the Defendant:

• Entering an order of judgment avoiding the Total Obligations and Total Transfers under 11 U.S.C. § 544 and California Civil Code 3439.04(a)(1);

16-40050 Doc# 688 Filed: 01/05/18 Entered: 01/05/18 12:59:25 Page 16 of

1 2 3	to the Defendant under 11 U.S.C. § 5 Entering an order of judgment avoid	ling the Total Obligations and Total Transfers 44 and California Civil Code 3439.04(a)(2); ling the 548 Obligations and 548 Transfers to (a)(1)(A);							
4	4 Entering an order of judgment avoid								
5		• Entering an order of judgment avoiding the 548 Obligations and 548 Transfers to the Defendant under 11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(B);							
6	I Emering an eraer permitting are	Trustee to recover the value of the avoided							
7	7 transfers in an amount to be proven a	i uiai,							
8	Prejudgment and post-judgment inter	est as allowed by law; and							
9	• All other relief to which the Trustee i	s entitled.							
10	10								
11		DIAMOND MCCARTHY LLP							
12	12								
13		By: <u>/s/ Kathy Bazoian Phelps</u> KATHY BAZOIAN PHELPS							
14	14	Attorneys for Michael Kasolas, Chapter 7 Trustee for Fox Ortega Enterprises, Inc.							
15		dba Premier Cru							
16	16								
17	17								
18	18								
19	19								
20	20								
21	21								
22	22								
23	23								
24									
25									
26									
27									
_,	-								

Filed: 01/05/18 Entered: 01/05/18 12:59:25 Page 17 of

Exhibit A

548 Transfers

		<u> </u>	Qty				
ShipDate	SalesOrder	Description	Shipped	U	nit Value	Т	otal Value
5/13/2014	SO-0000444624	2010 Pape Clement	60	\$	213.68	\$	12,820.80
5/13/2014	SO-0000444624	2010 Troplong Mondot	120	\$	131.41	\$	15,769.20
5/13/2014	SO-0000444624	2010 Montrose	60	\$	193.65	\$	11,618.85
5/13/2014	SO-0000444624	2010 Lynch Bages	60	\$	133.55	\$	8,013.00
5/30/2014	SO-0000445345	2010 La Mission Haut Brion	60	\$	821.33	\$	49,279.80
5/30/2014	SO-0000445345	2010 Lafite Rothschild	39	\$	1,535.82	\$	59,896.98
5/30/2014	SO-0000445345	2010 Pontet Canet	120	\$	216.35	\$	25,962.00
5/30/2014	SO-0000445434	2010 La Mission Haut Brion	24	\$	821.33	\$	19,711.92
5/30/2014	SO-0000445434	09 La Mission Haut Brion	24	\$	721.17	\$	17,308.08
5/30/2014	SO-0000445345	09 Pavie	36	\$	267.10	\$	9,615.60
5/30/2014	SO-0000445434	2010 Pontet Canet	48	\$	216.35	\$	10,384.80
5/30/2014	SO-0000445434	2010 Cos d'Estournel	36	\$	247.07	\$	8,894.52
5/30/2014	SO-0000445434	2010 Leoville Poyferre	48	\$	113.52	\$	5,448.96
5/30/2014	SO-0000445434	09 Smith Haut Lafitte Rouge	36	\$	85.47	\$	3,076.92
5/30/2014	SO-0000445434	2010 Montrose	12	\$	193.65	\$	2,323.77
6/18/2014	SO-0000444981	2010 Pontet Canet	60	\$	216.35	\$	12,981.00
6/18/2014	SO-0000446417	2010 Pichon Lalande	60	\$	173.61	\$	10,416.60
6/18/2014	SO-0000446417	2010 Latour	6	\$	1,702.76	\$	10,216.56
6/18/2014	SO-0000444981	09 Pavie	36	\$	267.10	\$	9,615.60
6/18/2014	SO-0000444981	2010 Pape Clement	60	\$	213.68	\$	12,820.80
6/18/2014	SO-0000446497	2010 Beausejour Duffau	24	\$	387.29	\$	9,294.96
6/18/2014	SO-0000445345	2010 Lafite Rothschild	9	\$	1,535.82	\$	13,822.38
6/18/2014	SO-0000447626	2010 Ducru Beaucaillou	36	\$	200.32	\$	7,211.52
6/18/2014	SO-0000446417	2010 Leoville Poyferre	60	\$	113.52	\$	6,811.20
6/18/2014	SO-0000447626	09 Bellevue Mondot	24	\$	288.47	\$	6,923.28
6/18/2014	SO-0000446417	2010 Troplong Mondot	36	\$	131.41	\$	4,730.76
6/24/2014	SO-0000447717	2010 Ducru Beaucaillou	24	\$	200.32	\$	4,807.68
6/24/2014	SO-0000447717	2010 Haut Bailly	24	\$	118.86	\$	2,852.63
6/24/2014	SO-0000447717	2010 Clos Fourtet	24	\$	120.19	\$	2,884.56
6/26/2014	SO-0000448649	2010 Haut Brion	60	\$	881.43	\$	52,885.80
	SO-0000446417	2010 Mouton Rothschild	5	\$	974.91	\$	4,874.55
	SO-0000448621	09 Smith Haut Lafitte Rouge	48	\$	85.47	\$	4,102.56
	SO-0000448621	2010 Smith Haut Lafitte	48	\$	102.83		4,935.84
	SO-0000448621	2011 Clos de la Roche V.V., Ponsot	12	\$		\$	4,740.00
	SO-0000446417	2010 Mouton Rothschild	31	\$	988.27	_	30,636.37
	SO-0000447717	2010 Mouton Rothschild	12	\$	988.27	\$	11,859.24
	SO-0000446497	2010 Beausejour Duffau	12	\$		\$	4,020.00
	SO-0000492674	09 Haut Brion	24	\$		\$	20,032.56
	SO-0000492674	01 d'Yquem 1/2	48	\$	313.06		15,026.88
	SO-0000492674	09 La Conseillante	24	\$ ¢	207.00		4,968.00
	SO-0000492674	2010 Le Dome 2010 Leoville Poyferre	36 24	\$ ¢	120.19		4,326.84
10/31/2013	SO-0000492674	2010 Leoville Poyleire	24	\$	126.87	ڔ	3,044.88

Case: 16-40050 Doc# 688 Filed: 01/05/18 Entered: 01/05/18 12:59:25 Page 18 of 27

10/31/2015 SO-0000492674	09 Haut Bailly	24	\$	125.54	\$	3,012.96
10/31/2015 SO-0000492674	2010 Smith Haut Lafitte	24	\$	102.83	\$	2,467.92
11/4/2015 SO-0000493715	09 Haut Brion	12	\$	834.69	\$	10,016.28
11/4/2015 SO-0000493715	05 Margaux Magnum	6	\$	1,500.00	\$	9,000.00
11/4/2015 SO-0000493715	2012 Musigny V.V., Vogue	12	\$	300.00	\$	3,600.00
11/4/2015 SO-0000493715	09 Ducru Beaucaillou	24	\$	220.36	\$	5,288.64
11/4/2015 SO-0000493715	09 La Conseillante	24	\$	207.00	\$	4,968.00
11/4/2015 SO-0000493715	09 Leoville las Cases Magnum	6	\$	576.94	\$	3,461.64
11/4/2015 SO-0000493715	02 Dom Perignon	24	\$	109.51	\$	2,628.24
11/4/2015 SO-0000493715	2010 Leoville Poyferre	24	\$	126.87	\$	3,044.88
11/4/2015 SO-0000493715	2010 Le Dome	24	\$	120.19	\$	2,884.56
11/4/2015 SO-0000493715	2010 Haut Bailly	24	\$	118.86	\$	2,852.64
11/4/2015 SO-0000493715	2010 Troplong Mondot	24	\$	110.00	\$	2,640.00
11/4/2015 SO-0000493715	2010 Clos Fourtet	24	\$	96.16	\$	2,307.84
11/4/2015 SO-0000493715	09 Smith Haut Lafitte Rouge	24	\$	82.80	\$	1,987.20
11/4/2015 SO-0000493715	09 Haut Bailly	12	\$	125.54	\$	1,506.48
11/4/2015 SO-0000493715	2010 Smith Haut Lafitte	12	\$	102.83	\$	1,233.96
11/20/2015 SO-0000494399	09 Haut Brion	12	\$	834.69	\$	10,016.28
11/20/2015 SO-0000494399	2000 La Mission Haut Brion	12	\$	615.00	\$	7,380.00
11/20/2015 SO-0000494752	2000 La Mission Haut Brion	12	\$	615.00	\$	7,380.00
11/20/2015 SO-0000494399	03 Lafite Magnum	3	\$	2,290.42	\$	6,871.26
11/20/2015 SO-0000494399	04 Lafite Rothschild	12	\$	561.46	\$	6,737.52
11/20/2015 SO-0000494399	04 Latour Magnum	6	\$	857.50	\$	5,145.00
11/20/2015 SO-0000494399	08 Latour 3 Liter	3	\$	1,469.05	\$	4,407.15
11/20/2015 SO-0000494399	2000 Pavie	12	\$	360.00	\$	4,320.00
11/20/2015 SO-0000494399	86 d'Yquem	12	\$	300.00	\$	3,600.00
11/20/2015 SO-0000494399	09 Bellevue Mondot	12	\$	288.47	\$	3,461.64
11/20/2015 SO-0000494399	05 Pape Clement	24	\$	153.13	\$	3,675.12
11/20/2015 SO-0000494399	02 Clos de Tart	12	\$	260.00	\$	3,120.00
11/20/2015 SO-0000494752	2010 Leoville Poyferre	24	\$	126.87	\$	3,044.88
11/20/2015 SO-0000494399	2010 Leoville Poyferre	24	\$	126.87	\$	3,044.88
11/20/2015 SO-0000494399	05 Clos des Lambrays	12	\$	120.00	\$	1,440.00
11/20/2015 SO-0000494752	2010 Le Dome	24	\$	120.19	\$	2,884.56
11/20/2015 SO-0000494752	2010 Haut Bailly	24	\$	118.86	\$	2,852.64
11/20/2015 SO-0000494399	2010 Rauzan Segla	24	\$	118.86	\$	2,852.64
11/20/2015 SO-0000494399	2010 Smith Haut Lafitte	24	\$	102.83	\$	2,467.92
11/20/2015 SO-0000494752	2010 Clos Fourtet	24	, \$	96.16	, \$	2,307.84
11/20/2015 SO-0000494752	2010 Les Asteries	24	, \$	86.81	, \$	2,083.44
11/20/2015 SO-0000494752	09 Haut Bailly	12	\$	125.54	\$	1,506.48
11/20/2015 SO-0000494399	2010 Troplong Mondot	12	\$	110.00	\$	1,320.00
11/20/2015 SO-0000494399	2010 Smith Haut Lafitte	12	\$	102.83	\$	1,233.96
11/20/2015 SO-0000494752	2010 Smith Haut Lafitte	12	\$	102.83	\$	1,233.96
11/20/2015 SO-0000494399	05 Montrose	12	\$	122.50	\$	1,470.00
11/20/2015 SO-0000494399	2010 Clos Fourtet	12	\$	96.16	\$	1,153.92
11/20/2015 SO-0000494399	03 Leoville Poyferre	12	\$	110.00	\$	1,320.00
12/3/2015 SO-0000495582	01 Musigny VV, Vogue	12	\$	423.00	\$	5,076.00
12/3/2015 SO-0000495582	2012 Screaming Eagle	3	\$		\$	4,800.00
12/3/2015 SO-0000495582	2010 Haut Bailly	24	\$		\$	2,852.64
12/3/2013 30 00004333302	2010 Hade bally	27	ب	110.00	Y	2,002.04

Case: 16-40050 Doc# 688 Filed: 01/05/18 Entered: 01/05/18 12:59:25 Page 19 of 27

12/3/2015 SO-0000495582	06 Richebourg, Leroy	1	\$	694.46	\$	694.46
12/3/2015 SO-0000495582	06 Clos de la Roche, Leroy	1	\$	691.72	\$	691.72
12/9/2015 SO-0000495663	09 La Mission Haut Brion	12	\$	921.49	\$	11,057.88
12/9/2015 SO-0000495663	05 Chateauneuf Clos des Papes, Avril	60	\$	95.76	\$	5,745.60
12/9/2015 SO-0000495663	89 Clinet	12	\$	453.75	\$	5,445.00
12/9/2015 SO-0000495663	05 Hosanna	36	\$	153.13	\$	5,512.68
12/9/2015 SO-0000495663	07 Barolo Ciabot Mentin, Clerico	60	\$	72.12	\$	4,327.20
12/9/2015 SO-0000495663	2011 Screaming Eagle	4	\$	1,200.00	\$	4,800.00
12/9/2015 SO-0000495663	82 La Mission Haut Brion	4	\$	640.00	\$	2,560.00
12/9/2015 SO-0000495663	07 Tignanello, Antinori	36	\$	73.45	\$	2,644.20
12/9/2015 SO-0000495663	2010 Volnay Fremiets, Boillot	48	\$	48.08	\$	2,307.84
12/9/2015 SO-0000495663	99 Cristal	12	\$	175.00	\$	2,100.00
12/17/2015 SO-0000495785	07 Musigny, Leroy	6	\$	3,338.75	\$	20,032.50
12/17/2015 SO-0000495785	07 Clos de Beze, Rousseau	12	\$	961.39	\$	11,536.68
12/17/2015 SO-0000495785	2010 Clos Fourtet	72	\$	96.16	\$	6,923.52
12/17/2015 SO-0000495808	09 Ermitage Meal Rouge Magnu, Chapoutier	12	\$	499.00	\$	5,988.00
12/17/2015 SO-0000495785	2010 Leoville Poyferre	36	\$	126.87	\$	4,567.32
12/17/2015 SO-0000495785	2010 Chateauneuf Cuvee Impe, R. Usseglio	60	\$	76.12	\$	4,567.20
12/17/2015 SO-0000495785	03 Clos de la Roche V.V. Magnum, Ponsot	6	\$	714.58	\$	4,287.48
12/17/2015 SO-0000495808	08 Clos de la Roche V.V, Ponsot	24	, \$	154.92	\$	3,718.08
12/17/2015 SO-0000495808	07 Assorted Case, Ponsot	2	\$	1,625.04	\$	3,250.08
12/17/2015 SO-0000495808	07 Beaucastel	36	, \$	60.00	\$	2,160.00
12/17/2015 SO-0000495785	09 La Conseillante	12	, \$	207.00	\$	2,484.00
12/17/2015 SO-0000495785	09 Le Plus La Fleur de Bouard	24	\$	113.52	\$	2,724.48
12/17/2015 SO-0000495785	09 Suduiraut	36	\$	72.12	\$	2,596.32
12/17/2015 SO-0000495785	2010 Branaire Ducru	36	\$	65.44	\$	2,355.84
12/17/2015 SO-0000495785	2010 Le Carre	36	\$	60.10	\$	2,163.60
12/17/2015 SO-0000495808	2010 Beaucastel	36	\$	66.11	\$	2,379.96
12/17/2015 SO-0000495785	2010 Bon Pasteur	36	\$	59.30	\$	2,134.80
12/17/2015 SO-0000495785	2010 d'Issan	24	\$	65.44	\$	1,570.56
12/17/2015 SO-0000495808	2010 Gigondas Hautes Garrigues, Santa Du	36	\$	43.40	\$	1,562.40
12/17/2015 SO-0000495785	09 Haut Bailly	12	\$	125.54	\$	1,506.48
12/17/2015 SO-0000495785	2010 Cantemerle	36	\$	32.05	•	1,153.80
12/21/2015 SO-0000495842	2010 Chambertin, Rousseau	6	\$	1,200.00	\$	7,200.00
12/21/2015 SO-0000495842	05 Clos des Lambrays	24	\$	120.00	\$	2,880.00
12/21/2015 SO-0000495842	05 Romanee St Vivant, DRC	4	\$	1,200.00	\$	4,800.00
12/21/2015 SO-0000495842	99 Dom Perignon	24	\$	110.92		2,662.08
12/21/2015 SO-0000495842	2010 Musigny, Mugnier	3	\$	1,268.72	\$	3,806.16
12/21/2015 SO-0000495842	2012 Bonnes Mares, Roumier	3	\$	750.00	\$	2,250.00
12/21/2015 SO-0000495842	2000 Pichon Lalande	12	\$	224.58	\$	2,694.96
12/21/2015 SO-0000495842	09 Chateauneuf Reservee, Pegau	36	\$	60.10	\$	2,163.60
12/21/2015 SO-0000495842	2010 Gigondas Hautes Garrigues, Santa Du	36	\$	43.40	\$	1,562.40
12/21/2015 SO-0000495842	2010 Dames de Montrose	36	\$	40.06	\$	1,442.16
12/21/2015 SO-0000495842	85 Salon	3	\$	550.00	\$	1,650.00
12/21/2015 SO-0000495842	2011 Taylor	36	\$	57.00	\$	2,052.00
12/21/2015 SO-0000495842	2012 Musigny, Roumier	1	\$	6,100.00	\$	6,100.00
12/28/2015 SO-0000495842	02 Lafite Rothschild	12	\$	440.00	\$	5,280.00
12/28/2015 SO-0000495842	04 Latour	12	\$	428.75	\$	5,145.00
			•	-		•

Case: 16-40050 Doc# 688 Filed: 01/05/18 Entered: 01/05/18 12:59:25 Page 20 of 27

12/28/2015 SO-0000495842	09 Suduiraut	48	\$	72.12	3,461.76
12/28/2015 SO-0000495842	2010 Les Asteries	36	\$		\$ 3,125.16
12/28/2015 SO-0000495842	2010 Chateauneuf Cuvee Impe, R. Usseglio	36	\$	76.12	\$ 2,740.32
12/28/2015 SO-0000495842	07 Musigny V.V., Vogue	6	\$	408.33	\$ 2,449.98
12/28/2015 SO-0000495842	07 Barolo Pajana, Clerico	36	\$	66.11	\$ 2,379.96
12/28/2015 SO-0000495842	03 Leoville Poyferre	12	\$	110.00	\$ 1,320.00
12/28/2015 SO-0000495842	09 Cantenac Brown	24	\$	53.42	\$ 1,282.08
12/28/2015 SO-0000495842	77 Taylor	12	\$	100.27	\$ 1,203.24
12/28/2015 SO-0000495842	2010 Cantemerle	36	\$	32.05	\$ 1,153.80
12/28/2015 SO-0000495842	02 Pol Roger Blanc de Blancs	24	\$	35.00	\$ 840.00
12/28/2015 SO-0000495842	2011 Fonseca	36	\$	63.00	\$ 2,268.00
12/28/2015 SO-0000495842	2011 Fonseca 1/2	48	\$	31.00	\$ 1,488.00
12/28/2015 SO-0000495842	2011 Taylor 1/2	48	\$	29.00	\$ 1,392.00
12/28/2015 SO-0000495842	2011 Graham	24	\$	55.00	\$ 1,320.00
12/28/2015 SO-0000495842	2011 Dow 1/2	48	\$	55.00	\$ 2,640.00
12/30/2015 SO-0000495889	2012 Chateauneuf Reservee, Pegau	120	\$	50.00	\$ 6,000.00
12/30/2015 SO-0000495889	2010 Solaia, Antinori	24	\$	166.94	\$ 4,006.56
12/30/2015 SO-0000495889	2000 Taittinger Comtes Champagne Blanc	36	\$	90.81	\$ 3,269.16
12/30/2015 SO-0000495889	09 Cornas, Clape	12	\$	126.87	\$ 1,522.44
1/5/2016 SO-000495915	97 Cheval Blanc	7	\$	350.00	\$ 2,450.00
1/5/2016 SO-000495915	03 Carruades de Lafite	2	\$	200.00	\$ 400.00
1/5/2016 SO-000495915	03 Forts de Latour	6	\$	230.00	\$ 1,380.00
1/5/2016 SO-000495915	05 Chateauneuf Combe des , Clos St Jean	4	\$	100.00	\$ 400.00
1/5/2016 SO-000495915	05 Clos Fourtet	12	\$	210.00	\$ 2,520.00
1/5/2016 SO-000495915	05 Clos l'Eglise (Pomerol)	6	\$	170.00	\$ 1,020.00
1/5/2016 SO-000495915	05 Hermitage Ex Voto, Guigal	3	\$	370.00	\$ 1,110.00
1/5/2016 SO-000495915	05 Marojallia	16	\$	125.00	\$ 2,000.00
1/5/2016 SO-000495915	06 Brunello di Montalcino, Pacenti	12	\$	60.00	\$ 720.00
1/5/2016 SO-000495915	07 Hermitage Rouge Ex Voto, Guigal	6	\$	380.00	\$ 2,280.00
1/5/2016 SO-000495915	07 Brunello di Montalcino, Pacenti	12	\$	60.00	\$ 720.00
1/5/2016 SO-000495915	07 Chateauneuf du Pape, Charvin	12	\$	95.00	\$ 1,140.00
1/5/2016 SO-000495915	07 Chateauneuf V.V., Janasse	18	\$	280.00	\$ 5,040.00
1/5/2016 SO-000495915	07 Corton Charlemagne, Boillot	9	\$	210.00	\$ 1,890.00
1/5/2016 SO-000495915	08 Ducru 3 Liter	2	\$	360.00	\$ 720.00
1/5/2016 SO-000495915	08 Hosanna	12	\$	180.00	\$ 2,160.00
1/5/2016 SO-000495915	08 Troplong Mondot Magnum	5	\$	240.00	\$ 1,200.00
1/5/2016 SO-000495915	09 Clos de Tart	2	\$	450.00	\$ 900.00
1/5/2016 SO-000495915	09 Clos Vougeot, Prieur	3	\$	180.00	\$ 540.00
1/5/2016 SO-000495915	09 Volnay Taillepieds, Bouchard	12	\$	65.00	\$ 780.00
1/5/2016 SO-000495915	2010 Chateauneuf Combes de, Clos St Jean	2	\$	110.00	\$ 220.00
1/5/2016 SO-000495915	2010 Chateauneuf Deux Frere, P. Usseglio	11	\$	190.00	\$ 2,090.00
1/5/2016 SO-000495915	2011 Clos Vougeot, Faiveley	5	\$	170.00	\$ 850.00
1/5/2016 SO-000495915	2012 Chateauneuf Reservee Magnum, Pegau	9	\$		\$ 1,440.00
	- · · · ·	4,411	_	•	\$ 981,999.72
			=	1	

Case: 16-40050 Doc# 688 Filed: 01/05/18 Entered: 01/05/18 12:59:25 Page 21 of 27

Exhibit B

Additional Wine Transfers

ShipDate SalesOrder	Description	Qty Shipped	Unit Value		Total Value	
10/6/2009 SO-0000325412	82 Lafite	2	\$	2,200.00	\$	4,400.00
11/4/2009 SO-0000326873	82 Lafite	2	\$	2,200.00	\$	4,400.00
11/12/2009 SO-0000327408	85 Margaux	5	\$	300.00	\$	1,500.00
11/30/2009 SO-0000329017	82 Grange Hermitage	2	\$	289.67	\$	579.33
11/30/2009 SO-0000329017	86 Grange Hermitage	2	\$	200.00	\$	400.00
11/30/2009 SO-0000329019	87 Dunn Howell Cab	4	\$	100.00	\$	400.00
2/8/2010 SO-0000332770	82 Lafite	3	\$	2,200.00	\$	6,600.00
6/15/2010 SO-0000338463	82 Lafite (BN - corroded cap)	1	\$	2,050.00	\$	2,050.00
5/20/2011 SO-0000356205	89 Haut Brion	1	\$	1,200.00	\$	1,200.00
9/19/2011 SO-0000362963	2000 Mouton Magnum	2	\$	1,700.00	\$	3,400.00
2/12/2012 SO-0000373497	08 Latour A Pomerol	60	\$	69.00	\$	4,140.00
		84	· :		\$:	29,069.33

Case: 16-40050 Doc# 688 Filed: 01/05/18 Entered: 01/05/18 12:59:25 Page 22 of 27

Exhibit C

548 Obligations

	548 Obligations Sales Order							
Sales Order	Date	Description	Quantity	Unit Price		Total Price		
SO-0000444624	5/10/2014	2010 Pape Clement	60	\$ 145.00	\$	8,700.00		
SO-0000444624	5/10/2014	2010 Troplong Mondot	120	\$ 100.00	\$	12,000.00		
SO-0000444624	5/10/2014	2010 Montrose	60	\$ 145.00	\$	8,700.00		
SO-0000444624	5/10/2014	2010 Lynch Bages	60	\$ 120.00	\$	7,200.00		
SO-0000444981	5/15/2014	2010 Pontet Canet	60	\$ 145.00	\$	8,700.00		
SO-0000444981	5/15/2014	09 Pavie	36	\$ 225.00	\$	8,100.00		
SO-0000444981	5/15/2014	2010 Pape Clement	60	\$ 145.00	\$	8,700.00		
SO-0000445345	5/20/2014	2010 La Mission Haut Brion	60	\$ 399.99	\$	23,999.40		
SO-0000445345	5/20/2014	2010 Lafite Rothschild	39	\$ 699.99	\$	27,299.61		
SO-0000445345	5/20/2014	2010 Pontet Canet	120	\$ 145.00	\$	17,400.00		
SO-0000445345	5/20/2014	09 Pavie	36	\$ 225.00	\$	8,100.00		
SO-0000445345	5/20/2014	2010 Lafite Rothschild	9	\$ 699.99	\$	6,299.91		
SO-0000445434	5/22/2014	2010 La Mission Haut Brion	24	\$ 399.99	\$	9,599.76		
SO-0000445434	5/22/2014	09 La Mission Haut Brion	24	\$ 499.99	\$	11,999.76		
SO-0000445434	5/22/2014	2010 Pontet Canet	48	\$ 145.00	\$	6,960.00		
SO-0000445434	5/22/2014	2010 Cos d'Estournel	36	\$ 159.99	\$	5,759.64		
SO-0000445434	5/22/2014	2010 Leoville Poyferre	48	\$ 100.00	\$	4,800.00		
SO-0000445434	5/22/2014	09 Smith Haut Lafitte Rouge	36	\$ 149.99	\$	5,399.64		
SO-0000445434	5/22/2014	2010 Montrose	12	\$ 145.00	\$	1,740.00		
SO-0000446417	6/3/2014	2010 Mouton Rothschild	31	\$ 499.99	\$	15,499.69		
SO-0000446417	6/3/2014	2010 Pichon Lalande	60	\$ 125.00	\$	7,500.00		
SO-0000446417	6/3/2014	2010 Latour	6	\$ 899.99	\$	5,399.94		
SO-0000446417	6/3/2014	2010 Leoville Poyferre	60	\$ 100.00	\$	6,000.00		
SO-0000446417	6/3/2014	2010 Troplong Mondot	36	\$ 110.00	\$	3,960.00		
SO-0000446417	6/3/2014	2010 Mouton Rothschild	5	\$ 499.99	\$	2,499.95		
SO-0000446497	6/4/2014	2010 Beausejour Duffau	24	\$ 200.00	\$	4,800.00		
SO-0000446497	6/4/2014	2010 Beausejour Duffau	12	\$ 200.00	\$	2,400.00		
SO-0000447626	6/16/2014	2010 Ducru Beaucaillou	36	\$ 152.49	\$	5,489.64		
SO-0000447626		09 Bellevue Mondot	24	\$ 202.50	\$	4,860.00		
SO-0000447717	-	2010 Mouton Rothschild	12	\$ 502.49	\$	6,029.88		
SO-0000447717		2010 Ducru Beaucaillou	24	\$ 152.49	\$	3,659.76		
SO-0000447717		2010 Haut Bailly	24	\$ 102.50	\$	2,460.00		
SO-0000447717		2010 Clos Fourtet	24	\$ 112.50	\$	2,700.00		
SO-0000448621		09 Smith Haut Lafitte Rouge	48	\$ 152.49	\$	7,319.52		
SO-0000448621		2010 Smith Haut Lafitte	48	\$ 77.50	\$	3,720.00		
SO-0000448621		2011 Clos de la Roche V.V., Ponsot	12	\$ 327.50	\$	3,930.00		
SO-0000448649		2010 Haut Brion	60 34	\$ 602.49	\$	36,149.40		
SO-0000492674		09 Haut Brion	24	\$ 541.67	\$ ¢	13,000.08		
SO-0000492674		01 d'Yquem 1/2 09 La Conseillante	48 24	\$ 158.33	\$ ¢	7,599.84		
SO-0000492674	-	2010 Le Dome	24 36	\$ 125.00 \$ 141.67	\$ \$	3,000.00 5,100.12		
SO-0000492674	10/21/2015	ZOTO LE DOINE	30	/ 141.0	Ş	3,100.12		

Case: 16-40050 Doc# 688 Filed: 01/05/18 Entered: 01/05/18 12:59:25 Page 23 of 27

SO-0000492674	10/21/2015 2010 Leoville Poyferre	24	\$ 100.00	\$	2,400.00
SO-0000492674	10/21/2015 09 Haut Bailly	24	\$ 133.33	\$	3,199.92
SO-0000492674	10/21/2015 2010 Smith Haut Lafitte	24	\$ 100.00	\$	2,400.00
SO-0000493715	11/3/2015 09 Haut Brion	12	\$ 541.67	\$	6,500.04
SO-0000493715	11/3/2015 05 Margaux Magnum	6	\$ 1,000.00	\$	6,000.00
SO-0000493715	11/3/2015 2012 Musigny V.V., Vogue	12	\$ 375.00	\$	4,500.00
SO-0000493715	11/3/2015 09 Ducru Beaucaillou	24	\$ 158.33	, \$	3,799.92
SO-0000493715	11/3/2015 09 La Conseillante	24	\$ 133.33	\$	3,199.92
SO-0000493715	11/3/2015 09 Leoville las Cases Magnum	6	\$ 333.33	\$	1,999.98
SO-0000493715	11/3/2015 02 Dom Perignon	24	\$ 116.67	\$	2,800.08
SO-0000493715	11/3/2015 2010 Leoville Poyferre	24	\$ 100.00	\$	2,400.00
SO-0000493715 SO-0000493715	11/3/2015 2010 LEOVINE TOYTETTE 11/3/2015 2010 LE Dome	24	\$ 141.67	\$	3,400.08
SO-0000493715 SO-0000493715	11/3/2015 2010 te bome 11/3/2015 2010 Haut Bailly	24	\$ 83.33		
	11/3/2015 2010 Haut Balliy 11/3/2015 2010 Troplong Mondot	24		\$ ¢	1,999.92
SO-0000493715			\$ 100.00	\$	2,400.00
SO-0000493715	11/3/2015 2010 Clos Fourtet	24	\$ 83.33	\$	1,999.92
SO-0000493715	11/3/2015 09 Smith Haut Lafitte Rouge	24	\$ 150.00	\$	3,600.00
SO-0000493715	11/3/2015 09 Haut Bailly	12	\$ 133.33	\$	1,599.96
SO-0000493715	11/3/2015 2010 Smith Haut Lafitte	12	\$ 100.00	\$	1,200.00
SO-0000494752	11/9/2015 2000 La Mission Haut Brion	12	\$ 450.00	\$	5,400.00
SO-0000494752	11/9/2015 2010 Leoville Poyferre	24	\$ 100.00	\$	2,400.00
SO-0000494752	11/9/2015 2010 Le Dome	24	\$ 190.00	\$	4,560.00
SO-0000494752	11/9/2015 2010 Haut Bailly	24	\$ 100.00	\$	2,400.00
SO-0000494752	11/9/2015 2010 Clos Fourtet	24	\$ 110.00	\$	2,640.00
SO-0000494752	11/9/2015 2010 Les Asteries	24	\$ 80.00	\$	1,920.00
SO-0000494752	11/9/2015 09 Haut Bailly	12	\$ 160.00	\$	1,920.00
SO-0000494752	11/9/2015 2010 Smith Haut Lafitte	12	\$ 100.00	\$	1,200.00
SO-0000494399	11/10/2015 09 Haut Brion	12	\$ 541.67	\$	6,500.04
SO-0000494399	11/10/2015 2000 La Mission Haut Brion	12	\$ 416.67	\$	5,000.04
SO-0000494399	11/10/2015 03 Lafite Magnum	3	\$ 1,000.00	\$	3,000.00
SO-0000494399	11/10/2015 04 Lafite Rothschild	12	\$ 333.33	\$	3,999.96
SO-0000494399	11/10/2015 04 Latour Magnum	6	\$ 666.67	\$	4,000.02
SO-0000494399	11/10/2015 08 Latour 3 Liter	3	\$ 1,666.67	\$	5,000.01
SO-0000494399	11/10/2015 2000 Pavie	12	\$ 250.00	\$	3,000.00
SO-0000494399	11/10/2015 86 d'Yquem	12	\$ 208.33	, \$	2,499.96
SO-0000494399	11/10/2015 09 Bellevue Mondot	12	\$ 208.33	\$	2,499.96
SO-0000494399	11/10/2015 05 Pape Clement	24	\$ 83.33	\$	1,999.92
SO-0000494399	11/10/2015 02 Clos de Tart	12	\$ 208.33	\$	2,499.96
SO-0000494399	11/10/2015 2010 Leoville Poyferre	24	\$ 100.00	\$	2,400.00
SO-0000494399	11/10/2015 05 Clos des Lambrays	12	\$ 100.00	\$	1,200.00
SO-0000494399	11/10/2015 05 clos des tambiays 11/10/2015 2010 Rauzan Segla	24	\$ 83.33	\$	1,999.92
SO-0000494399	11/10/2013 2010 Radzan Segia 11/10/2015 2010 Smith Haut Lafitte	24			
				\$	2,400.00
SO-0000494399	11/10/2015 2010 Troplong Mondot	12	\$ 100.00	\$	1,200.00
SO-0000494399	11/10/2015 2010 Smith Haut Lafitte	12	\$ 83.33	\$	999.96
SO-0000494399	11/10/2015 05 Montrose	12	\$ 100.00	\$	1,200.00
SO-0000494399	11/10/2015 2010 Clos Fourtet	12	\$ 83.33	\$	999.96
SO-0000494399	11/10/2015 03 Leoville Poyferre	12	\$ 116.67	\$	1,400.04
SO-0000495663	12/3/2015 09 La Mission Haut Brion	12	\$ 400.00	\$	4,800.00

Case: 16-40050 Doc# 688 Filed: 01/05/18 Entered: 01/05/18 12:59:25 Page 24 of 27

SO-0000495663	12/3/2015 05 Chateauneuf Clos des Papes, Avril	60	\$ 75.00	\$ 4,500.00
SO-0000495663	12/3/2015 89 Clinet	12	\$ 375.00	\$ 4,500.00
SO-0000495582	12/3/2015 01 Musigny VV, Vogue	12	\$ 400.00	\$ 4,800.00
SO-0000495663	12/3/2015 05 Hosanna	36	\$ 130.00	\$ 4,680.00
SO-0000495582	12/3/2015 2012 Screaming Eagle	3	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 6,000.00
SO-0000495663	12/3/2015 07 Barolo Ciabot Mentin, Clerico	60	\$ 70.00	\$ 4,200.00
SO-0000495663	12/3/2015 2011 Screaming Eagle	4	\$ 1,300.00	\$ 5,200.00
SO-0000495663	12/3/2015 82 La Mission Haut Brion	4	\$ 600.00	\$ 2,400.00
SO-0000495582	12/3/2015 2010 Haut Bailly	24	\$ 100.00	\$ 2,400.00
SO-0000495663	12/3/2015 07 Tignanello, Antinori	36	\$ 74.00	\$ 2,664.00
SO-0000495663	12/3/2015 2010 Volnay Fremiets, Boillot	48	\$ 50.00	\$ 2,400.00
SO-0000495663	12/3/2015 99 Cristal	12	\$ 200.00	\$ 2,400.00
SO-0000495582	12/3/2015 06 Richebourg, Leroy	1	\$ 900.00	\$ 900.00
SO-0000495582	12/3/2015 06 Clos de la Roche, Leroy	1	\$ 800.00	\$ 800.00
SO-0000495785	12/14/2015 07 Musigny, Leroy	6	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 12,000.00
SO-0000495785	12/14/2015 07 Clos de Beze, Rousseau	12	\$ 375.00	\$ 4,500.00
SO-0000495785	12/14/2015 2010 Clos Fourtet	72	\$ 66.67	\$ 4,800.24
SO-0000495785	12/14/2015 2010 Leoville Poyferre	36	\$ 83.33	\$ 2,999.88
SO-0000495785	12/14/2015 2010 Chateauneuf Cuvee Impe, R. Usseglio	60	\$ 54.17	\$ 3,250.20
SO-0000495785	12/14/2015 03 Clos de la Roche V.V. Magnum, Ponsot	6	\$ 500.00	\$ 3,000.00
SO-0000495785	12/14/2015 09 La Conseillante	12	\$ 108.33	\$ 1,299.96
SO-0000495785	12/14/2015 09 Le Plus La Fleur de Bouard	24	\$ 66.67	\$ 1,600.08
SO-0000495785	12/14/2015 09 Suduiraut	36	\$ 37.50	\$ 1,350.00
SO-0000495785	12/14/2015 2010 Branaire Ducru	36	\$ 25.00	\$ 900.00
SO-0000495785	12/14/2015 2010 Le Carre	36	\$ 37.50	\$ 1,350.00
SO-0000495785	12/14/2015 2010 Bon Pasteur	36	\$ 29.17	\$ 1,050.12
SO-0000495785	12/14/2015 2010 d'Issan	24	\$ 31.25	\$ 750.00
SO-0000495785	12/14/2015 09 Haut Bailly	12	\$ 108.33	\$ 1,299.96
SO-0000495785	12/14/2015 2010 Cantemerle	36	\$ 25.00	\$ 900.00
SO-000495915	12/14/2015 97 Cheval Blanc	7	\$ 175.00	\$ 1,225.00
SO-000495915	12/14/2015 03 Carruades de Lafite	2	\$ 100.00	\$ 200.00
SO-000495915	12/14/2015 03 Forts de Latour	6	\$ 125.00	\$ 750.00
SO-000495915	12/14/2015 05 Chateauneuf Combe des , Clos St Jean	4	\$ 75.00	\$ 300.00
SO-000495915	12/14/2015 05 Clos Fourtet	12	\$ 75.00	\$ 900.00
SO-000495915	12/14/2015 05 Clos l'Eglise (Pomerol)	6	\$ 80.00	\$ 480.00
SO-000495915	12/14/2015 05 Hermitage Ex Voto, Guigal	3	\$ 100.00	\$ 300.00
SO-000495915	12/14/2015 05 Marojallia	16	\$ 85.00	\$ 1,360.00
SO-000495915	12/14/2015 06 Brunello di Montalcino, Pacenti	12	\$ 50.00	\$ 600.00
SO-000495915	12/14/2015 07 Hermitage Rouge Ex Voto, Guigal	6	\$ 125.00	\$ 750.00
SO-000495915	12/14/2015 07 Brunello di Montalcino, Pacenti	12	\$ 40.00	\$ 480.00
SO-000495915	12/14/2015 07 Chateauneuf du Pape, Charvin	12	\$ 40.00	\$ 480.00
SO-000495915	12/14/2015 07 Chateauneuf V.V., Janasse	18	\$ 125.00	\$ 2,250.00
SO-000495915	12/14/2015 07 Corton Charlemagne, Boillot	9	\$ 75.00	\$ 675.00
SO-000495915	12/14/2015 08 Ducru 3 Liter	2	\$ 300.00	\$ 600.00
SO-000495915	12/14/2015 08 Hosanna	12	\$ 75.00	\$ 900.00
SO-000495915	12/14/2015 08 Troplong Mondot Magnum	5	\$ 100.00	\$ 500.00
SO-000495915	12/14/2015 09 Clos de Tart	2	\$ 150.00	\$ 300.00

Case: 16-40050 Doc# 688 Filed: 01/05/18 Entered: 01/05/18 12:59:25 Page 25 of 27

SO-000495915	12/14/2015 09 Clos Vougeot, Prieur	3	\$	100.00	\$	300.00
SO-000495915	12/14/2015 09 Volnay Taillepieds, Bouchard	12	\$	40.00	\$	480.00
SO-000495915	12/14/2015 2010 Chateauneuf Combes de, Clos St Jean	2	\$	100.00	\$	200.00
SO-000495915	12/14/2015 2010 Chateauneuf Deux Frere, P. Usseglio	11	\$	75.00	\$	825.00
SO-000495915	12/14/2015 2011 Clos Vougeot, Faiveley	5	\$	50.00	\$	250.00
SO-000495915	12/14/2015 2012 Chateauneuf Reservee Magnum, Pegau	9	\$	90.00	\$	810.00
SO-0000495808	12/16/2015 09 Ermitage Meal Rouge Magnu, Chapoutier	12	\$	300.00	\$	3,600.00
SO-0000495808	12/16/2015 08 Clos de la Roche V.V, Ponsot	24	\$	150.00	\$	3,600.00
SO-0000495808	12/16/2015 07 Assorted Case, Ponsot	2	\$	1,800.00	\$	3,600.00
SO-0000495808	12/16/2015 07 Beaucastel	36	\$	37.50	\$	1,350.00
SO-0000495808	12/16/2015 2010 Beaucastel	36	\$	33.33	\$	1,199.88
SO-0000495808	12/16/2015 2010 Gigondas Hautes Garrigues, Santa Du	36	\$	31.25	\$	1,125.00
SO-0000495842	12/21/2015 02 Lafite Rothschild	12	\$	250.00	\$	3,000.00
SO-0000495842	12/21/2015 2010 Chambertin, Rousseau	6	\$	600.00	, \$	3,600.00
SO-0000495842	12/21/2015 05 Clos des Lambrays	24	\$	75.00	\$	1,800.00
SO-0000495842	12/21/2015 04 Latour	12	\$	250.00	\$	3,000.00
SO-0000495842	12/21/2015 05 Romanee St Vivant, DRC	4	\$	900.00	\$	3,600.00
SO-0000495842	12/21/2015 09 Suduiraut	48	\$	37.50	\$	1,800.00
SO-0000495842	12/21/2015 05 Sudumate 12/21/2015 2010 Les Asteries	36	\$	31.25	\$	1,125.00
SO-0000495842	12/21/2015 2010 Les Asteries 12/21/2015 2010 Chateauneuf Cuvee Impe, R. Usseglio	36	ب \$	54.17	\$	1,950.12
SO-0000495842		24	۶ \$	66.67	•	
	12/21/2015 99 Dom Perignon				\$	1,600.08
SO-0000495842	12/21/2015 07 Musigny V.V., Vogue	6	\$	350.00	\$	2,100.00
SO-0000495842	12/21/2015 07 Barolo Pajana, Clerico	36	\$	37.50	\$	1,350.00
SO-0000495842	12/21/2015 2010 Musigny, Mugnier	3	\$	700.00	\$	2,100.00
SO-0000495842	12/21/2015 2012 Bonnes Mares, Roumier	3	\$	600.00	\$	1,800.00
SO-0000495842	12/21/2015 2000 Pichon Lalande	12	\$	116.67	\$	1,400.04
SO-0000495842	12/21/2015 09 Chateauneuf Reservee, Pegau	36	\$	31.25	\$	1,125.00
SO-0000495842	12/21/2015 03 Leoville Poyferre	12	\$	100.00	\$	1,200.00
SO-0000495842	12/21/2015 2010 Gigondas Hautes Garrigues, Santa Du	36	\$	31.25	\$	1,125.00
SO-0000495842	12/21/2015 2010 Dames de Montrose	36	\$	29.17	\$	1,050.12
SO-0000495842	12/21/2015 09 Cantenac Brown	24	\$	33.33	\$	799.92
SO-0000495842	12/21/2015 77 Taylor	12	\$	83.33	\$	999.96
SO-0000495842	12/21/2015 2010 Cantemerle	36	\$	29.17	\$	1,050.12
SO-0000495842	12/21/2015 85 Salon	3	\$	250.00	\$	750.00
SO-0000495842	12/21/2015 02 Pol Roger Blanc de Blancs	24	\$	41.67	\$	1,000.08
SO-0000495842	12/21/2015 2011 Fonseca	36	\$	35.42	\$	1,275.12
SO-0000495842	12/21/2015 2011 Fonseca 1/2	48	\$	18.75	\$	900.00
SO-0000495842	12/21/2015 2011 Taylor	36	\$	37.50	\$	1,350.00
SO-0000495842	12/21/2015 2011 Taylor 1/2	48	\$	18.75	\$	900.00
SO-0000495842	12/21/2015 2011 Graham	24	\$	35.42	\$	850.08
SO-0000495842	12/21/2015 2011 Dow 1/2	48	\$	18.75	\$	900.00
SO-0000495842	12/21/2015 2012 Musigny, Roumier	1	\$	2,500.00	\$	2,500.00
SO-0000495889	12/28/2015 2012 Chateauneuf Reservee, Pegau	120	\$	33.33	\$	3,999.60
SO-0000495889	12/28/2015 2010 Solaia, Antinori	24	\$	108.33	\$	2,599.92
SO-0000495889	12/28/2015 2000 Taittinger Comtes Champagne Blanc	36	\$	75.00	\$	2,700.00
SO-0000495889	12/28/2015 09 Cornas, Clape	12	\$	83.33	\$	999.96
		4,411	•		\$	658,559.47
			=		_	

Case: 16-40050 Doc# 688 Filed: 01/05/18 Entered: 01/05/18 12:59:25 Page 26 of 27

Exhibit D

Additional Obligations

	Sales Order					
Sales Order	Date	Description	Quantity	Unit Price	•	Total Price
SO-0000325412	10/3/2009	82 Lafite	2	\$ 2,800.00	\$	5,600.00
SO-0000326873	10/28/2009	82 Lafite	2	\$ 2,800.00	\$	5,600.00
SO-0000327408	11/4/2009	85 Margaux	5	\$ 350.00	\$	1,750.00
SO-0000329017	11/25/2009	82 Grange Hermitage	2	\$ 279.99	\$	559.98
SO-0000329017	11/25/2009	86 Grange Hermitage	2	\$ 395.00	\$	790.00
SO-0000329019	11/25/2009	87 Dunn Howell Cab	4	\$ 99.99	\$	399.96
SO-0000332770	2/6/2010	82 Lafite	3	\$ 2,800.00	\$	8,400.00
SO-0000338463	6/10/2010	82 Lafite (BN - corroded cap)	1	\$ 2,800.00	\$	2,800.00
SO-0000356205	5/20/2011	89 Haut Brion	1	\$ 1,499.00	\$	1,499.00
SO-0000362963	9/19/2011	2000 Mouton Magnum	2	\$ 2,199.00	\$	4,398.00
SO-0000373497	2/3/2012	08 Latour A Pomerol	60	\$ 39.99	\$	2,399.40
			84		\$	34,196.34

Case: 16-40050 Doc# 688 Filed: 01/05/18 Entered: 01/05/18 12:59:25 Page 27 of 27