

1 Kathy Bazoian Phelps (148083)  
2 **DIAMOND MCCARTHY LLP**  
3 1999 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1100  
4 Los Angeles, CA 90067  
5 Phone: (310) 651-2997  
6 Email: kphelps@diamondmccarthy.com

7  
8 *Special Litigation Counsel for*  
9 *Michael G. Kasolas, Chapter 7 Trustee*  
10 *For Fox Ortega Enterprises, Inc.*  
11 *Db a Premier Cru*

12 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
13  
14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
15  
16 OAKLAND DIVISION

17 In re

18 FOX ORTEGA ENTERPRISES, INC., dba  
19 PREMIER CRU

20 Debtor.

Case No. 16-40050-WJL

Chapter 7

21 MICHAEL G. KASOLAS, Chapter 7 Trustee  
22 for Fox Ortega Enterprises, Inc. dba Premier  
23 Cru

24 Plaintiff

Adversary No. \_\_\_\_\_

25 vs.

26 JOHNNY YAU

**ORIGINAL COMPLAINT**

27 Plaintiff Michael G. Kasolas, the Chapter 7 Trustee (the “**Trustee**”) for Fox Ortega  
28 Enterprises, Inc. dba Premier Cru (“**Premier Cru**” or the “**Debtor**”), brings this adversary  
proceeding against Johnny Yau (“**Defendant**”) and alleges as follows:

**INTRODUCTION**

1. This suit seeks the avoidance and recovery of transfers from Premier Cru to the  
Defendant as well as the avoidance of certain obligations Premier Cru incurred in the Defendant’s  
favor. These transfers and obligations were part of a fraudulent scheme involving the sale of wine

that caused the loss of tens of millions of dollars. At the time of the Premier Cru's bankruptcy, approximately 4,500 customers had not received pre-arrival wine for which that had already paid, with losses reaching \$45 million.

2. Transfers to the Defendant and the obligations incurred by Premier Cru were made as part of the fraud and with the actual intent to hinder, delay, or defraud Premier Cru's creditors. Premier Cru incurred obligations in favor of the Defendant for the purpose of acquiring funds necessary for the continuation of the fraud. Later, Premier Cru made transfers to the Defendant with the purpose of concealing the ongoing fraud, to lull customers into a false sense that Premier Cru was a legitimate business, to cause the customers to continue to purchase wine from Premier Cru, and to prevent them from complaining to law enforcement authorities.

3. The Trustee requests that this Court grant relief that will return the value of the transfers to the Defendant that were made as a part of the scheme. Specifically, the Trustee seeks the avoidance and recovery of the transfers made and obligations incurred that are identified below under 11 U.S.C. §§ 544, 548, 550 and California Civil Code § 3439.04.

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This is an adversary proceeding, pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure, which relates to the Chapter 7 proceeding captioned *In re Fox Ortega Enterprises, Inc., dba Premier Cru*, Case No. 16-40050-WJL (Bankr. N.D. Cal., Oakland Div.).

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to section 28 U.S.C. § 1334(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 157(a), in that this adversary proceeding arises in, arises under, and/or relates to Premier Cru's chapter 7 case.

6. This adversary proceeding is a core proceeding under section 157(b)(2) of Title 28 of the United States Code, such that this Court has jurisdiction to hear and determine this proceeding and to enter an appropriate order and judgment. To the extent necessary, the Trustee consents to entry of a final order or judgment by this Court.

7. The Defendant's forum-related activities give rise to the action before the Court. The Defendant purposefully directed his activities and consummated the transactions described below within California, thereby invoking the benefits and protection of California law. As

1 reflected in the applicable terms and conditions for the transactions described below, “[a]ny wine  
2 sold to you by Premier Cru is sold in California, and title passes to you, the buyer, in California.”  
3 Furthermore, the Trustee’s claims arise out of or relate to the Defendant’s forum-related activities.

4 8. This Court is the proper venue for this adversary proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C.  
5 § 1409(a) because the Debtor’s chapter 7 case is pending in this judicial district.

## 6 **PARTIES**

7 9. The Trustee is the duly appointed chapter 7 trustee for the bankruptcy estate of  
8 Premier Cru. Premier Cru entered bankruptcy proceedings on January 8, 2016 (the “**Petition**  
9 **Date**”), at which time the Trustee was appointed by the Court. The Trustee is duly authorized and  
10 empowered to pursue any and all claims of the Debtor’s estate.

11 10. Defendant Johnny Yau is an individual and a citizen of Hong Kong. Defendant  
12 may be served with process at 12C Bowen Road, Hong Kong.

## 13 **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

### 14 **I. Premier Cru’s Operations**

15 11. Premier Cru was founded in 1980 by John Fox (“**Fox**”) and Hector Ortega as a  
16 seller of top-quality wines in the Bay Area. During all relevant times, Fox owned equity in  
17 Premier Cru and served as its President. Fox made all significant business decisions for Premier  
18 Cru. Fox was the sole manager of the company’s finances, including payment of Premier Cru’s  
19 bills and expenses, as well as obtaining business loans and financing from banks or individuals to  
20 fund Premier Cru’s operations.

21 12. Premier Cru originally operated out of a small storefront in Oakland with a focus  
22 on hard to find and limited production offerings. As Premier Cru’s popularity grew, the company  
23 determined that it would begin purchasing its supply of wine from sources overseas and obtained  
24 its own import license. Premier Cru touted that it could eliminate the middle man while  
25 simultaneously increasing the allocation of hard to locate wines by supposedly sourcing its  
26 inventory directly.

1           13.     The Debtor generally sold wine in two ways. First, the company sold wine that  
2 was, or supposedly was, physically held in Premier Cru's inventory. Second, Premier Cru sold  
3 wine on a "pre-arrival" basis, whereby customers paid for wine that was not in Premier Cru's  
4 physical possession but which Premier Cru represented it had already purchased.

5           14.     In the wine industry, there are generally two ways to purchase wine before it is  
6 released to the open market. "Wine futures" involve the purchase of wine while it is still in the  
7 barrel and before the wine is bottled. Because the purchaser must wait for the wine to age in the  
8 barrel, buyers can expect to wait years before receiving the wine they purchased. Premier Cru did  
9 not sell wine futures. In contrast, "pre-arrival" wines are sold to collectors months before a wine  
10 is released to the open market, but after the wine has actually been bottled. Unlike "wine futures,"  
11 there is generally less risk in pre-arrival purchasing because importers know exactly how many  
12 bottles they will receive from the supplier. Pre-arrival sales allow consumers to purchase difficult  
13 to locate wines at prices that are generally lower than what is found in the market. Premier Cru  
14 purportedly sold pre-arrival wines.

15           15.     Premier Cru sold pre-arrival wine through a website operated and maintained by  
16 Premier Cru or through salespeople who worked in the Premier Cru offices and reported to Fox.  
17 The "Terms and Conditions" associated with the sale of pre-arrival wine provided as follows:

18           The term "Pre-Arrival" is applied to wines we have purchased (typically abroad)  
19 that have not arrived yet. Depending on the particular wine, the arrival time is  
20 typically 6+ months to over two years (in the case of Bordeaux Futures, for  
example).

21           Many new releases of highly desirable, limited-production wines (ie – Burgundy,  
22 Rhone, Italian, etc.) are offered on a "Pre-Arrival" basis by our suppliers. These  
offerings typically take 6 to 18 months to arrive and are often the only way to  
23 source the wines before they sell out (and at optimal prices). We send an email  
notification when your wine arrives.

24           According to Fox, the majority of Premier Cru's revenue was derived from pre-arrival sales.

## 25           **II.     The Fraud**

26           16.     On or about August 11, 2016, Fox entered into a guilty plea in guilty plea in his  
27 criminal case [*United States v. John Fox*, Case No. CR 16-281 JD, N.D. Cal] and executed a Plea  
28

1 Agreement in which he admitted that he operated the Debtor as a fraudulent scheme. In his plea  
2 agreement, Fox admitted that he “devised a scheme to defraud, and a scheme for obtaining  
3 property by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations and omissions, through  
4 Premier Cru’s sale of pre-arrival wine.” Fox further admitted that his fraudulent conduct began as  
5 early as 1993 or 1994. Through Fox’s actions, Premier Cru engaged in a massive fraud whereby  
6 funds derived from later fraudulent wine sales were used to pay obligations that arose from earlier  
7 fraudulent transactions.

8       17. According to Premier Cru, the pre-arrival wines were wines that the company had  
9 supposedly already contracted to purchase from its suppliers. Premier Cru further represented that  
10 it would deliver the pre-arrival wines to customers within a time period of approximately six  
11 months to two years after customers had paid for the wine. These representations were false at  
12 the time they were made for at least two reasons. First, Fox admitted to falsifying purchase orders  
13 for wine that Premier Cru had not contracted to purchase and then entering that wine into Premier  
14 Cru’s inventory records for sale to its customers. He stated that Premier Cru “priced these wines  
15 at prices below the market price, knowing that [he] had not and would not need to actually pay for  
16 this wine from any vendors.” Second, Fox stated that Premier Cru contracted with “foreign  
17 suppliers . . . to purchase wine, generally with the promise to pay those foreign suppliers within 30  
18 days.” Fox did so even where he “knew that Premier Cru would not be able to make payment  
19 within 30 days, or ever” because he (a) “embezzled money from Premier Cru’s business accounts  
20 that [he] should have used to pay Premier Cru’s suppliers” or (b) “diverted money coming in from  
21 current customers to obtain wine for prior customers who had never received their wine.” In  
22 either event, the end result was the same—Premier Cru did not have the wine required to fulfill the  
23 obligations for its pre-arrival customers. Fox further admitted that “customers paid Premier Cru  
24 for wine that [he] knew Premier Cru could not deliver to them and which they never received” and  
25 that his false statements were made “with the intent to defraud Premier Cru’s customers.” At the  
26 time of the bankruptcy, approximately 4,500 customers had not received pre-arrival wine for  
27 which that had already paid.

1           18.     The fraud was unsustainable on its face because the funds Premier Cru received  
2 from its customers were less than the cost of purchasing wine at a later date to fulfill the  
3 outstanding orders. Due to the rarity of the wines at issue, purchasing wines on the open market  
4 after their release is far more costly than sourcing the wines from appropriate overseas suppliers on  
5 a pre-arrival basis. Furthermore, Premier Cru sometimes offered pre-arrivals at a price lower than  
6 the current market for pre-arrivals. This act of undercutting the market assisted in raising funds  
7 while simultaneously increasing the gap between Premier Cru's assets and its obligations. To  
8 make matters worse, Premier Cru's Fox removed millions of dollars from the company, further  
9 reducing the funds available to satisfy Premier Cru's obligations. Because Premier Cru was not  
10 generating sufficient revenue from the pre-arrival sales to ultimately purchase wine for its  
11 customers, the company was forced to enter into an increasing number of fraudulent, pre-arrival  
12 sales to fill the financial gap and generate the funds required to purchase wine for its existing  
13 customers. As Premier Cru's liquidity and its ability to enter into a sufficient number of new pre-  
14 arrival transactions declined, Premier Cru was forced to declare bankruptcy in 2016.

15           19.     Prior to 2015, Premier Cru was able to conceal its fraud from its creditors.  
16 According to Fox, his efforts to conceal the fraud included (a) offering falsified excuses and  
17 promises for wine that Premier Cru would not be delivered; (b) delivering wine that was  
18 purchased by other customers; and (c) purchasing wine at retail prices for use in fulfilling  
19 outstanding orders. Fox admitted that he "took these and other actions to "conceal [Premier  
20 Cru's] ongoing fraud, to lull customers into a false sense that Premier Cru was a legitimate  
21 business, to cause [its] customers to continue to purchase wine from Premier Cru, and to prevent  
22 them from complaining to law enforcement authorities." Furthermore, Premier Cru concealed its  
23 fraud by making cash disbursements to customers whose orders were not fulfilled, often in  
24 amounts greater than what customers had originally paid for their alleged pre-arrival orders.

25           20.     The sales and subsequent transfers to the Defendant were made as a part of the  
26 fraud and with the actual intent to hinder, delay, or defraud Premier Cru's creditors. Premier Cru  
27 made the transfers and incurred the obligations with the purpose of concealing the ongoing fraud,  
28 lulling customers into a false sense that Premier Cru was a legitimate business, causing customers

1 to continue to purchase wine from Premier Cru, and preventing customers from complaining to  
2 law enforcement authorities by paying them cash settlements.

3 **III. Badges of Fraud Related to the Transactions with the Defendant**

4 21. Multiple badges of fraud are present with respect to the transactions with and  
5 transfers to the Defendant, including the following:

- 6 • Premier Cru was insolvent during the period of the transfers;
- 7 • Premier Cru had incurred, and was continuing to incur, substantial debt while it  
8 was making its payments to the Defendant;
- 9 • The true nature of the transactions with the Defendant was concealed. Premier Cru  
10 made false statements indicating that it was contractually entitled to receive the pre-  
11 arrival wine from its suppliers and falsified accounting documentation to cover up  
12 its fraudulent actions. Fox further admitted to making efforts to conceal the fraud  
13 through false statements to customers regarding the status of their orders. As  
14 admitted by Fox, his actions were undertaken with the intent to defraud customers;
- 15 • The transfers were made while Premier Cru was under threat of potential lawsuits.  
16 Had Premier Cru's creditors discovered the fraud, Premier Cru and its principals  
17 would have been subject to numerous lawsuits. In fact, Premier Cru's customers  
18 repeatedly and regularly threatened the company with lawsuits where pre-arrival  
19 wine was not delivered, and in some instances, actually filed suit;
- 20 • Premier Cru removed and concealed assets. Fox admitted that, in the face of  
21 customer complaints, he caused Premier Cru to deliver wine to the complaining  
22 customers that was paid for by other customers. Furthermore, Fox caused Premier  
23 Cru to transfer large sums for his personal benefit as further described in his plea  
24 agreement;
- 25 • Premier Cru incurred the obligations and made the transfers described herein for  
26 less than reasonably equivalent value;
- 27 • As described in more detail above, the overall existence and cumulative effect of  
28 the pattern, series of transactions, and Premier Cru's course of conduct indicate the  
transactions with the Defendant were designed to defraud creditors. Premier Cru  
incurred debt and faced financial difficulties, and as a result, entered into the  
transactions with the Defendant and other customers in order to further the fraud;
- The general chronology of events and the transactions under inquiry indicate the  
intent to defraud. Premier Cru entered into pre-arrival wine sales without having  
the underlying right to receive the wine from its suppliers. The purpose of the  
transactions was to provide liquidity to fuel the fraud and for Fox's improper  
diversions and embezzlement. Moreover, Premier Cru's entry into fraudulent pre-  
arrival wine sales naturally increased based upon its need to enter into more and  
more fraudulent transactions to pay for prior obligations;

- 1 • Premier Cru's conduct was both exceptional and peculiar. Such conduct included  
2 falsifying accounting documentation, making false statements to customers, and  
3 generally utilizing payments from later customers to fulfill obligations owed to  
4 prior customers;
- 5 • Premier Cru made false statements, concealed facts, and operated under false  
6 pretenses. Among other things, Premier made misrepresentations concerning the  
7 following: (a) its financial condition; (b) its contractual relationships with suppliers  
8 and its right to receive the wines in question; (c) its inventory balances; and (d) the  
9 purported reason for delays in wine delivery;
- 10 • The transactions with the customers for the fraudulent wine transactions, including  
11 the Defendant, were questionable and not in ordinary course for a legitimate  
12 business. Companies such as Premier Cru do not intentionally misrepresent their  
13 inventory and contractual purchases from their vendors or alter documents to  
14 support sales;
- 15 • Premier Cru entered into the transactions involved in the fraud under secrecy and  
16 haste, and the transactions were unusual. Premier Cru disguised its pre-arrival  
17 sales using falsified documentation and misrepresentations to its customers.  
18 Furthermore, Premier Cru acted with haste in fulfilling fraudulent orders for those  
19 customers that complained repeatedly or forcefully;
- 20 • Premier Cru was aware of its creditors' claims against the company and that  
21 Premier Cru was incapable of paying those claims.

## **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

### **Count I – Actual Intent Fraudulent Transfer Under 11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(A) (Wine Transfers)**

22. The Trustee re-alleges and fully incorporates the allegations pleaded in the  
preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

23. The Debtor presently has one or more creditors whose claim arose either before or  
after the transfers to the Defendant.

24. Premier Cru transferred the at least 95 bottles of wine to the Defendant in the two  
years prior to the Petition Date (the "**548 Transfers**"). The 548 Transfers are more fully  
described in Exhibit A, which is attached hereto.

25. The 548 Transfers were made within two years of the Petition Date.



1           26.     The aggregate amount of the 548 Transfers may be in excess of the above-stated  
2 amount, and the Trustee may amend his Complaint as and when additional transfers made as a part  
3 of the scheme are ascertained.

4           27.     The 548 Transfers were made as a part of the fraud at Premier Cru. Premier Cru  
5 entered into the underlying wine sales in furtherance of its fraudulent scheme. Each of the  
6 transactions generated cash through the alleged “sale” of the wine to the Defendant. These sham  
7 transactions provided Premier Cru with the funds it required to satisfy already existing obligations  
8 that were part of the fraudulent scheme. Furthermore, Premier Cru entered into additional  
9 transactions with other customers at a later time that ultimately provided the company with the  
10 funds necessary to purchase wine for the 548 Transfers. This pattern of conduct of using new  
11 money to fund the fulfillment of earlier obligations constitutes a Ponzi scheme or similar fraud  
12 whereby funds received from later fraudulent transactions were used to fund prior obligations.

13           28.     Moreover, Fox’s plea agreement evidences Premier Cru’s intent to defraud its  
14 creditors through the fraudulent scheme. Therefore, the 548 Transfers were made with the actual  
15 intent to hinder, delay, or defraud entities to which Premier Cru was or became indebted to on or  
16 after the date of the transfer.

17           29.     As stated above, there are a multitude of badges of fraud present with respect to the  
18 transactions with the Defendant. The existence and sheer number of the badges of fraud present in  
19 this matter indicate that Premier Cru intended to hinder, delay, or defraud its creditors in entering  
20 into and making the 548 Transfers.

21           30.     Defendant was the initial transferee of the Transfers as the Defendant received the  
22 wine and funds transferred directly from Premier Cru.

23           31.     Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 548(a)(1)(A) and 550, the Trustee is entitled to judgment  
24 avoiding and recovering the value of the 548 Transfers from the Defendant.

25                           **Count II – Actual Intent Voidable Transfer**  
26                           **Under California Civil Code § 3439.04(a)(1) et seq.; 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 and 550**  
27                           **(Wine Transfers)**

28           32.     The Trustee re-alleges and fully incorporates the allegations pleaded in the  
preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

1           33.     The Debtor presently has one or more creditors whose claim arose either before or  
2 after the transfers to the Defendant.

3           34.     Premier Cru transferred the 548 Transfers to the Defendant as detailed above.

4           35.     Premier Cru also transferred at least 1239 bottles of wine to the Defendant during  
5 the seven years prior to the Petition Date (the “**Additional Wine Transfers**”). The Additional  
6 Wine Transfers are more fully described in Exhibit B, which is attached hereto.

7           36.     The 548 Transfers and the Additional Wine Transfers (collectively, the “**Total**  
8 **Transfers**”) were made within seven years of the Petition Date.

9           37.     The aggregate amount of the Total Transfers may be in excess of the above-stated  
10 amount, and the Trustee may amend his Complaint as and when additional transfers made as a part  
11 of the scheme are ascertained.

12           38.     The Total Transfers were made as a part of the fraud at Premier Cru. Premier Cru  
13 entered into the underlying wine sales in furtherance of its fraudulent scheme. Each of the  
14 transactions generated cash through the alleged “sale” of the wine to the Defendant. These sham  
15 transactions provided Premier Cru with the funds it required to satisfy already existing obligations  
16 that were part of the fraudulent scheme. Furthermore, Premier Cru entered into additional  
17 transactions with other customers at a later time that ultimately provided the company with the  
18 funds necessary to purchase wine for the Total Transfers. This pattern of conduct of using new  
19 money to fund the fulfillment of earlier obligations constitutes a Ponzi scheme or similar fraud  
20 whereby funds received from later fraudulent transactions were used to fund prior obligations.

21           39.     Moreover, Fox’s plea agreement evidences Premier Cru’s intent to defraud its  
22 creditors through the fraudulent scheme. Therefore, the Total Transfers were made with the actual  
23 intent to hinder, delay, or defraud entities to which Premier Cru was or became indebted to on or  
24 after the date of the transfer.

25           40.     As stated above, there are a multitude of badges of fraud present with respect to the  
26 transactions with the Defendant. The existence and sheer number of the badges of fraud present in  
27 this matter indicate that Premier Cru intended to hinder, delay, or defraud its creditors in entering  
28 into and making the Total Transfers.

41. Defendant was the initial transferee of the Total Transfers as the Defendant received the wine and funds transferred directly from Premier Cru.

42. Pursuant to California Civil Code § 3439.04(a)(1) et seq. and 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 & 550, the Trustee is entitled to judgment avoiding and recovering the value of the Total Transfers from the Defendant.

**Count III – Actual Intent Fraudulent Transfer  
Under 11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(A)  
(Obligations to the Defendant)**

43. The Trustee re-alleges and fully incorporates the allegations pleaded in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

44. The Debtor presently has one or more creditors whose claim arose either before or after the transfers to the Defendant.

45. Premier Cru incurred obligations to transfer at least 83 bottles of wine to the Defendant in the two years prior to the Petition Date (the “**548 Obligations**”). The 548 Obligations are more fully described in Exhibit C, which is attached hereto.

46. The aggregate amount of the 548 Obligations may be in excess of the above-stated amount, and the Trustee may amend his Complaint as and when additional transfers made as a part of the scheme are ascertained.

47. The 548 Obligations were incurred within two years of the Petition Date.

48. The 548 Obligations were made as a part of the fraud at Premier Cru. Premier Cru entered into the underlying wine sales in furtherance of its fraudulent scheme. Each of the transactions generated cash through the alleged “sale” of the wine to the Defendant. These sham transactions provided Premier Cru with the funds it required to satisfy already existing obligations that were part of the fraudulent scheme. Furthermore, Premier Cru entered into additional transactions with other customers at a later time that ultimately provided the company with the funds necessary to satisfy the 548 Obligations. This pattern of conduct of using new money to fund the fulfillment of earlier obligations constitutes a Ponzi scheme or similar fraud whereby funds received from later fraudulent transactions were used to fund prior obligations.

1           49.     Moreover, Fox's plea agreement evidences Premier Cru's intent to defraud its  
2 creditors through the fraudulent scheme. Therefore, the 548 Obligations were incurred with the  
3 actual intent to hinder, delay, or defraud entities to which Premier Cru was or became indebted to  
4 on or after the date of the transfer.

5           50.     As stated above, there are a multitude of badges of fraud present with respect to the  
6 transactions with the Defendant. The existence and sheer number of the badges of fraud present in  
7 this matter indicate that Premier Cru intended to hinder, delay, or defraud its creditors in entering  
8 into the sales and incurring the 548 Obligations.

9           51.     Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 548(a)(1)(A), the Trustee is entitled to judgment avoiding  
10 the 548 Obligations.

11                               **Count IV – Actual Intent Voidable Transfer**  
12                               **Under California Civil Code § 3439.04(a)(1) et seq.; 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 and 550**  
13                               **(Obligations to Defendant)**

14           52.     The Trustee re-alleges and fully incorporates the allegations pleaded in the  
15 preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

16           53.     The Debtor presently has one or more creditors whose claim arose either before or  
17 after the transfers to the Defendant.

18           54.     Premier Cru incurred the 548 Obligations as detailed above.

19           55.     Premier Cru also incurred obligations to the Defendant relating to 1251 bottles of  
20 wine during the seven years prior to the Petition Date (the "**Additional Obligations**"). The  
21 Additional Obligations are more fully described in Exhibit D, which is attached hereto.

22           56.     The 548 Obligations and the Additional Obligations (collectively, the "**Total**  
23 **Obligations**") were incurred within seven years of the Petition Date.

24           57.     The aggregate amount of the Total Obligations may be in excess of the above-  
25 stated amount, and the Trustee may amend his Complaint as and when additional transfers made  
26 as a part of the scheme are ascertained.

27           58.     The Total Obligations were incurred as a part of the fraud at Premier Cru. Premier  
28 Cru entered into the underlying wine sales in furtherance of its fraudulent scheme. Each of the  
transactions generated cash through the alleged "sale" of the wine to the Defendant. These sham

1 transactions provided Premier Cru with the funds it required to satisfy already existing obligations  
2 that were part of the fraudulent scheme. Furthermore, Premier Cru entered into additional  
3 transactions with other customers at a later time that ultimately provided the company with the  
4 funds necessary to satisfy the Total Obligations. This pattern of conduct of using new money to  
5 fund the fulfillment of earlier obligations constitutes a Ponzi scheme or similar fraud whereby  
6 funds received from later fraudulent transactions were used to fund prior obligations.

7 59. Moreover, Fox's plea agreement evidences Premier Cru's intent to defraud its  
8 creditors through the fraudulent scheme. Therefore, the Total Obligations were incurred with the  
9 actual intent to hinder, delay, or defraud entities to which Premier Cru was or became indebted to  
10 on or after the date of the transfer.

11 60. As stated above, there are a multitude of badges of fraud present with respect to the  
12 transactions with the Defendant. The existence and sheer number of the badges of fraud present in  
13 this matter indicate that Premier Cru intended to hinder, delay, or defraud its creditors in entering  
14 into the sales and incurring the Total Obligations.

15 61. Pursuant to California Civil Code § 3439.04(a)(1) et seq. and 11 U.S.C. §§ 544, the  
16 Trustee is entitled to judgment avoiding the Total Obligations.

17 **Count V – Constructive Fraudulent Transfer**  
18 **Under 11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(B)**  
19 **(Wine Transfers)**

20 62. The Trustee re-alleges and fully incorporates the allegations pleaded in the  
21 preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

22 63. The Debtor presently has one or more creditors whose claim arose either before or  
23 after the transfers to the Defendant.

24 64. Premier Cru made the 548 Transfers to the Defendant.

25 65. The aggregate amount of the 548 Transfers may be in excess of the above-stated  
26 amount, and the Trustee may amend his Complaint as and when additional transfers made as a part  
27 of the scheme are ascertained.  
28

1           66.     Premier Cru received less than reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the 548  
2 Transfers.

3           67.     The 548 Transfers were made at a time when Premier Cru (a) was insolvent; (b)  
4 was engaged in business or a transaction, or was about to engage in business or a transaction, for  
5 which any property remaining with the debtor was an unreasonably small capital; or (b) intended  
6 to incur, or believed that it would incur, debts that would be beyond its ability to pay as such debts  
7 matured.

8           68.     Defendant was the initial transferee of the 548 Transfers as the Defendant received  
9 the wine and funds transferred directly from Premier Cru.

10          69.     Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 548(a)(1)(B) and 550, the Trustee is entitled to judgment  
11 avoiding and recovering the value of the 548 Transfers from the Defendant.

12                               **Count VI – Constructive Voidable Transfer**  
13                               **Under California Civil Code § 3439.04(a)(2) et seq.; 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 and 550**  
14                               **(Wine Transfers)**

15          70.     The Trustee re-alleges and fully incorporates the allegations pleaded in the  
16 preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

17          71.     The Debtor presently has one or more creditors whose claim arose either before or  
18 after the transfers to the Defendant.

19          72.     Premier Cru made the Total Transfers to the Defendant.

20          73.     The aggregate amount of the Total Transfers may be in excess of the above-stated  
21 amount, and the Trustee may amend his Complaint as and when additional transfers made as a part  
22 of the scheme are ascertained.

23          74.     Premier Cru received less than reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the  
24 Total Transfers.

25          75.     The Total Transfers were made at a time when Premier Cru (a) was insolvent  
26 and/or was engaged or was about to engage in a business or a transaction for which the remaining  
27 assets of the debtor were unreasonably small in relation to the business or transaction; or (b)  
28

1 intended to incur, or believed or reasonably should have believed that it would incur, debts beyond  
2 its ability to pay as they became due.

3 76. Defendant was the initial transferee of the Total Transfers as the Defendant  
4 received the wine and funds transferred directly from Premier Cru.

5 77. Pursuant to California Civil Code § 3439.04(a)(2) et seq. and 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 and  
6 550, the Trustee is entitled to judgment avoiding and recovering the value of the Total Transfers  
7 from the Defendant.

8 **Count VII – Constructive Fraudulent Transfer**  
9 **Under 11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(B)**  
10 **(Obligations to Defendant)**

11 78. The Trustee re-alleges and fully incorporates the allegations pleaded in the  
12 preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

13 79. The Debtor presently has one or more creditors whose claim arose either before or  
14 after the transfers to the Defendant.

15 80. Premier Cru incurred the 548 Obligations.

16 81. The aggregate amount of the 548 Obligations may be in excess of the above-stated  
17 amount, and the Trustee may amend his Complaint as and when additional transfers made as a part  
18 of the scheme are ascertained.

19 82. Premier Cru received less than reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the 548  
20 Obligations.

21 83. The 548 Obligations were incurred at a time when Premier Cru (a) was insolvent;  
22 (b) was engaged in business or a transaction, or was about to engage in business or a transaction,  
23 for which any property remaining with the debtor was an unreasonably small capital; or (b)  
24 intended to incur, or believed that it would incur, debts that would be beyond its ability to pay as  
25 such debts matured.

26 84. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 548(a)(1)(B), the Trustee is entitled to judgment avoiding  
27 the 548 Obligations.

**Count VIII – Constructive Fraudulent Transfer**  
**Under California Civil Code § 3439.04(a)(2) et seq.; 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 and 550**  
**(Obligations to Defendant)**

85. The Trustee re-alleges and fully incorporates the allegations pleaded in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

86. The Debtor presently has one or more creditors whose claim arose either before or after the transfers to the Defendant.

87. Premier Cru incurred the Total Obligations.

88. The aggregate amount of the Total Obligations may be in excess of the above-stated amount, and the Trustee may amend his Complaint as and when additional transfers made as a part of the scheme are ascertained.

89. Premier Cru received less than reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Total Obligations.

90. The Total Obligations were incurred at a time when Premier Cru (a) was insolvent and/or was engaged or was about to engage in a business or a transaction for which the remaining assets of the debtor were unreasonably small in relation to the business or transaction; or (b) intended to incur, or believed or reasonably should have believed that it would incur, debts beyond its ability to pay as they became due.

91. Pursuant to California Civil Code § 3439.04(a)(2) et seq. and 11 U.S.C. § 544, the Trustee is entitled to judgment avoiding the value of the Total Obligations from the Defendant.

**PRAYER**

Wherefore, the Trustee respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment and grant the following relief against the Defendant:

- Entering an order of judgment avoiding the Total Obligations and Total Transfers under 11 U.S.C. § 544 and California Civil Code 3439.04(a)(1);
- Entering an order of judgment avoiding the Total Obligations and Total Transfers to the Defendant under 11 U.S.C. § 544 and California Civil Code 3439.04(a)(2);
- Entering an order of judgment avoiding the 548 Obligations and 548 Transfers to the Defendant under 11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(A);



- Entering an order of judgment avoiding the 548 Obligations and 548 Transfers to the Defendant under 11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(B);
- Entering an order permitting the Trustee to recover the value of the avoided transfers in an amount to be proven at trial;
- Prejudgment and post-judgment interest as allowed by law; and
- All other relief to which the Trustee is entitled.

Dated: January 5, 2018

DIAMOND MCCARTHY LLP

By: /s/ Kathy Bazoian Phelps

KATHY BAZOIAN PHELPS

Attorneys for Michael Kasolas, Chapter 7  
Trustee for Fox Ortega Enterprises, Inc.  
dba Premier Cru

**Exhibit A**

**548 Wine Transfers**

CustName	ShipDate	SalesOrder	Description	Qty			Total Value
				Shipped	Unit Value		
Johnny Yau	3/4/2014	SO-0000344660	61 Lafite Rothschild (TS)	12	\$ 1,166.67	\$	14,000.04
Johnny Yau	6/4/2014	SO-0000444528	88 Pichon Lalande	3	\$ 85.00	\$	255.00
Johnny Yau	6/4/2014	SO-0000444528	86 Rausan Segla	3	\$ 135.00	\$	405.00
Johnny Yau	6/4/2014	SO-0000444528	86 Pichon Baron	3	\$ 95.00	\$	285.00
Johnny Yau	6/17/2014	SO-0000444528	85 Sociando Mallet	8	\$ 50.00	\$	400.00
Johnny Yau	6/17/2014	SO-0000444528	85 Sociando Mallet (HS)	4	\$ 40.00	\$	160.00
Johnny Yau	6/17/2014	SO-0000444528	86 Sociando Mallet	4	\$ 50.00	\$	200.00
Johnny Yau	6/17/2014	SO-0000444528	88 Sociando Mallet	11	\$ 45.00	\$	495.00
Johnny Yau	6/17/2014	SO-0000444528	05 Leoville Barton Magnum	3	\$ 189.21	\$	567.63
Johnny Yau	6/17/2014	SO-0000444528	89 Sociando Mallet	8	\$ 60.00	\$	480.00
Johnny Yau	6/17/2014	SO-0000444528	86 Haut Bages Liberal	11	\$ 55.00	\$	605.00
Johnny Yau	6/17/2014	SO-0000444528	86 Pichon Baron	7	\$ 95.00	\$	665.00
Johnny Yau	6/17/2014	SO-0000444528	86 Pichon Lalande	12	\$ 120.00	\$	1,440.00
Johnny Yau	6/17/2014	SO-0000446425	75 Lafite Magnum	1	\$ 900.00	\$	900.00
Johnny Yau	6/17/2014	SO-0000446425	53 Pichon Lalande Marie-Jeanne (2.25 L)	1	\$ 2,083.00	\$	2,083.00
Johnny Yau	6/17/2014	SO-0000446425	82 Beychevelle 3 Liter (bin soiled - da)	2	\$ 735.00	\$	1,470.00
Johnny Yau	6/17/2014	SO-0000446425	76 Lafite Magnum	2	\$ 1,041.25	\$	2,082.50
				<b>95</b>			<b>\$ 26,493.17</b>

## Exhibit B

## Additional Wine Transfers

CustName	ShipDate	SalesOrder	Description	Qty			Total Value
				Shipped	Unit Value		
Johnny Yau	2/23/2009	SO-0000312171	66 Petrus	7	\$ 1,613.33	\$	11,293.31
Johnny Yau	2/10/2011	SO-0000350477	02 Jose Michel Special Club	12	\$ 30.05	\$	360.60
Johnny Yau	2/10/2011	SO-0000350477	Wine Spectator	1	\$ 3.00	\$	3.00
Johnny Yau	4/1/2011	SO-0000343955	99 Dom Perignon	60	\$ 113.52	\$	6,811.20
Johnny Yau	4/1/2011	SO-0000343955	96 Dom Perignon	24	\$ 204.04	\$	4,896.96
Johnny Yau	4/1/2011	SO-0000353458	03 Chateaufneuf Barbe Rac 3 Liter, Chapou	2	\$ 262.17	\$	524.34
Johnny Yau	4/1/2011	SO-0000353458	95 Leoville las Cases 3 Liter	1	\$ 525.00	\$	525.00
Johnny Yau	12/16/2011	SO-0000354415	79 Montrose	9	\$ 55.00	\$	495.00
Johnny Yau	12/16/2011	SO-0000354415	79 Figeac	10	\$ 45.00	\$	450.00
Johnny Yau	12/16/2011	SO-0000354415	83 Lynch Bages 6 Liter	1	\$ 776.75	\$	776.75
Johnny Yau	12/16/2011	SO-0000354415	83 Leoville Barton	11	\$ 60.00	\$	660.00
Johnny Yau	12/16/2011	SO-0000354415	82 Leoville Barton	6	\$ 160.00	\$	960.00
Johnny Yau	12/16/2011	SO-0000354415	78 Grand Pontet	8	\$ 18.50	\$	148.00
Johnny Yau	12/16/2011	SO-0000354415	78 Montrose (US)	5	\$ 55.00	\$	275.00
Johnny Yau	12/16/2011	SO-0000354415	78 Beausejour Duffau	10	\$ 27.88	\$	278.80
Johnny Yau	12/16/2011	SO-0000354415	75 Beychevelle	8	\$ 47.30	\$	378.40
Johnny Yau	12/16/2011	SO-0000354415	78 Leoville Barton	11	\$ 50.00	\$	550.00
Johnny Yau	12/16/2011	SO-0000354415	81 Grand Puy Lacoste	10	\$ 45.00	\$	450.00
Johnny Yau	12/16/2011	SO-0000354415	78 Pontet Canet	11	\$ 29.00	\$	319.00
Johnny Yau	12/16/2011	SO-0000354415	78 Canon la Gaffeliere	7	\$ 29.88	\$	209.16
Johnny Yau	12/16/2011	SO-0000354415	82 La Dominique	9	\$ 99.58	\$	896.22
Johnny Yau	12/16/2011	SO-0000354415	78 Lynch Bages	19	\$ 65.00	\$	1,235.00
Johnny Yau	12/16/2011	SO-0000354415	86 La Lagune	5	\$ 51.78	\$	258.90
Johnny Yau	12/16/2011	SO-0000354415	78 Meyney	14	\$ 24.00	\$	336.00
Johnny Yau	12/16/2011	SO-0000354415	82 La Lagune	6	\$ 99.58	\$	597.48
Johnny Yau	12/16/2011	SO-0000354415	79 Leoville las Cases	5	\$ 70.00	\$	350.00
Johnny Yau	12/16/2011	SO-0000354415	83 La Lagune	12	\$ 37.50	\$	450.00
Johnny Yau	12/16/2011	SO-0000358383	82 Branaire Ducru	23	\$ 102.51	\$	2,357.73
Johnny Yau	12/16/2011	SO-0000360346	66 Lascombes Magnum (TS)	1	\$ 110.00	\$	110.00
Johnny Yau	12/16/2011	SO-0000360346	70 Pichon Lalande	1	\$ 144.40	\$	144.40
Johnny Yau	12/16/2011	SO-0000360346	82 Moulin de Carruades	4	\$ 242.00	\$	968.00
Johnny Yau	8/31/2012	SO-0000325606	06 Lafite Rothschild	60	\$ 679.00	\$	40,740.00
Johnny Yau	8/31/2012	SO-0000330046	06 Lafite Rothschild	36	\$ 679.00	\$	24,444.00
Johnny Yau	8/31/2012	SO-0000331667	06 Lafite Rothschild	36	\$ 679.00	\$	24,444.00
Johnny Yau	9/12/2012	SO-0000323073	08 Lafite Rothschild	36	\$ 1,125.00	\$	40,500.00
Johnny Yau	9/12/2012	SO-0000323914	08 Lafite Rothschild	24	\$ 1,125.00	\$	27,000.00
Johnny Yau	9/12/2012	SO-0000343955	02 Dom Perignon	72	\$ 134.94	\$	9,715.68
Johnny Yau	9/12/2012	SO-0000358383	70 Pichon Lalande	8	\$ 144.40	\$	1,155.20
Johnny Yau	4/1/2013	SO-0000394233	61 Smith Haut Lafite	1	\$ 200.00	\$	200.00
Johnny Yau	4/1/2013	SO-0000394233	66 Carruades de Lafite	1	\$ 180.00	\$	180.00
Johnny Yau	4/1/2013	SO-0000394233	85 Caymus Special Selection Cabernet Ma	1	\$ 527.50	\$	527.50
Johnny Yau	4/1/2013	SO-0000394233	70 Gruaud Larose (2" ullage) 1/2	3	\$ 46.00	\$	138.00
Johnny Yau	7/29/2013	SO-0000344660	09 Lafite Rothschild 6 Liter	3	\$ 7,000.00	\$	21,000.00
Johnny Yau	10/10/2013	SO-0000323073	08 Lafite Magnum	12	\$ 1,066.80	\$	12,801.60
Johnny Yau	10/10/2013	SO-0000323914	08 Latour	24	\$ 625.00	\$	15,000.00
Johnny Yau	10/10/2013	SO-0000324086	08 Lafite Rothschild	60	\$ 907.50	\$	54,450.00
Johnny Yau	10/10/2013	SO-0000324086	08 Lafite Magnum	6	\$ 1,066.80	\$	6,400.80
Johnny Yau	10/10/2013	SO-0000324318	08 Lafite Magnum	6	\$ 1,066.80	\$	6,400.80
Johnny Yau	10/10/2013	SO-0000324318	08 Lafite 3 Liter	2	\$ 1,337.10	\$	2,674.20
Johnny Yau	10/10/2013	SO-0000324318	08 Lafite Rothschild	24	\$ 907.50	\$	21,780.00
Johnny Yau	10/10/2013	SO-0000324627	08 Lafite Rothschild	24	\$ 907.50	\$	21,780.00
Johnny Yau	10/10/2013	SO-0000325288	08 Lafite Rothschild	24	\$ 907.50	\$	21,780.00

Johnny Yau	10/10/2013	SO-0000325606	08 Lafite Rothschild	24	\$	907.50	\$	21,780.00
Johnny Yau	10/10/2013	SO-0000340075	09 Pontet Canet 6 Liter	10	\$	534.20	\$	5,342.00
Johnny Yau	10/10/2013	SO-0000340075	09 Montrose	36	\$	325.00	\$	11,700.00
Johnny Yau	10/10/2013	SO-0000340075	09 Montrose	84	\$	325.00	\$	27,300.00
Johnny Yau	10/10/2013	SO-0000340075	09 Pontet Canet	120	\$	147.31	\$	17,677.20
Johnny Yau	10/10/2013	SO-0000343955	09 Pontet Canet	120	\$	147.31	\$	17,677.20
Johnny Yau	10/10/2013	SO-0000344660	09 Lafite Rothschild	33	\$	1,135.00	\$	37,455.00
Johnny Yau	10/10/2013	SO-0000344660	09 Lafite Rothschild 6 Liter	3	\$	9,560.00	\$	28,680.00
Johnny Yau	10/10/2013	SO-0000344660	09 Lafite Rothschild Magnum	6	\$	3,500.00	\$	21,000.00
Johnny Yau	10/10/2013	SO-0000344660	09 Lafite Rothschild	27	\$	1,135.00	\$	30,645.00
				<u>1239</u>			<u>\$</u>	<u>610,436.43</u>

**Exhibit C**

**548 Obligations**

Sales Order		Description	Quantity	Unit Price	Discount	Total Price
Sales Order	Date					
SO-0000444528	5/8/2014	88 Pichon Lalande	3	\$ 109.99	\$ -	\$ 329.97
SO-0000444528	5/8/2014	86 Rausan Segla	3	\$ 179.99	\$ -	\$ 539.97
SO-0000444528	5/8/2014	86 Pichon Baron	3	\$ 115.00	\$ -	\$ 345.00
SO-0000444528	5/8/2014	85 Sociando Mallet	8	\$ 64.99	\$ -	\$ 519.92
SO-0000444528	5/8/2014	85 Sociando Mallet (HS)	4	\$ 49.99	\$ -	\$ 199.96
SO-0000444528	5/8/2014	86 Sociando Mallet	4	\$ 64.99	\$ -	\$ 259.96
SO-0000444528	5/8/2014	88 Sociando Mallet	11	\$ 59.99	\$ -	\$ 659.89
SO-0000444528	5/8/2014	05 Leoville Barton Magnum	3	\$ 259.99	\$ -	\$ 779.97
SO-0000444528	5/8/2014	89 Sociando Mallet	8	\$ 74.99	\$ -	\$ 599.92
SO-0000444528	5/8/2014	86 Haut Bages Liberal	11	\$ 69.99	\$ -	\$ 769.89
SO-0000444528	5/8/2014	86 Pichon Baron	7	\$ 115.00	\$ -	\$ 805.00
SO-0000444528	5/8/2014	86 Pichon Lalande	12	\$ 199.99	\$ -	\$ 2,399.88
SO-0000446425	6/3/2014	75 Lafite Magnum	1	\$ 1,099.00	\$ 21.98	\$ 1,077.02
SO-0000446425	6/3/2014	53 Pichon Lalande Marie-Jeanne (2.25 L)	1	\$ 2,599.00	\$ 51.98	\$ 2,547.02
SO-0000446425	6/3/2014	82 Beychevelle 3 Liter (bin soiled - da)	2	\$ 899.99	\$ 36.00	\$ 1,763.98
SO-0000446425	6/3/2014	76 Lafite Magnum	2	\$ 1,199.00	\$ 47.96	\$ 2,350.04
			<b>83</b>			<b>\$ 15,947.39</b>

**Exhibit D**

**Additional Obligations**

Sales Order		Description	Quantity	Unit Price	Discount	Total Price
Sales Order	Date					
SO-0000312171	2/6/2009	66 Petrus	7	\$ 899.99	\$ -	\$ 6,299.93
SO-0000323073	8/26/2009	08 Lafite Rothschild	36	\$ 359.99	\$ -	\$ 12,959.64
SO-0000323073	8/26/2009	08 Lafite Magnum	12	\$ 729.00	\$ -	\$ 8,748.00
SO-0000323914	9/10/2009	08 Lafite Rothschild	24	\$ 359.99	\$ -	\$ 8,639.76
SO-0000323914	9/10/2009	08 Latour	24	\$ 329.99	\$ -	\$ 7,919.76
SO-0000324086	9/12/2009	08 Lafite Rothschild	60	\$ 359.99	\$ -	\$ 21,599.40
SO-0000324086	9/12/2009	08 Lafite Magnum	6	\$ 729.00	\$ -	\$ 4,374.00
SO-0000324318	9/17/2009	08 Lafite Magnum	6	\$ 729.00	\$ -	\$ 4,374.00
SO-0000324318	9/17/2009	08 Lafite 3 Liter	2	\$ 1,440.00	\$ -	\$ 2,880.00
SO-0000324318	9/17/2009	08 Lafite Rothschild	24	\$ 359.99	\$ -	\$ 8,639.76
SO-0000324627	9/24/2009	08 Lafite Rothschild	24	\$ 359.99	\$ -	\$ 8,639.76
SO-0000325288	10/1/2009	08 Lafite Rothschild	24	\$ 359.99	\$ -	\$ 8,639.76
SO-0000325606	10/8/2009	06 Lafite Rothschild	60	\$ 359.99	\$ -	\$ 21,599.40
SO-0000325606	10/8/2009	08 Lafite Rothschild	24	\$ 359.99	\$ -	\$ 8,639.76
SO-0000330046	12/14/2009	06 Lafite Rothschild	36	\$ 359.99	\$ -	\$ 12,959.64
SO-0000331667	1/14/2010	06 Lafite Rothschild	36	\$ 359.99	\$ -	\$ 12,959.64
SO-0000340075	6/29/2010	09 Pontet Canet 6 Liter	10	\$ 1,135.00	\$ -	\$ 11,350.00
SO-0000340075	6/29/2010	09 Montrose	36	\$ 189.99	\$ -	\$ 6,839.64
SO-0000340075	6/29/2010	09 Montrose	84	\$ 199.99	\$ -	\$ 16,799.16
SO-0000340075	6/29/2010	09 Pontet Canet	120	\$ 134.99	\$ -	\$ 16,198.80
SO-0000343955	10/14/2010	99 Dom Perignon	60	\$ 99.99	\$ -	\$ 5,999.40
SO-0000343955	10/14/2010	96 Dom Perignon	24	\$ 220.00	\$ -	\$ 5,280.00
SO-0000343955	10/14/2010	02 Dom Perignon	72	\$ 115.00	\$ -	\$ 8,280.00
SO-0000343955	10/14/2010	09 Pontet Canet	120	\$ 105.00	\$ -	\$ 12,600.00
SO-0000344660	10/27/2010	09 Lafite Rothschild 6 Liter	3	\$ 7,200.00	\$ -	\$ 21,600.00
SO-0000344660	10/27/2010	09 Lafite Rothschild	33	\$ 900.00	\$ -	\$ 29,700.00
SO-0000344660	10/27/2010	09 Lafite Rothschild 6 Liter	3	\$ 7,200.00	\$ -	\$ 21,600.00
SO-0000344660	10/27/2010	09 Lafite Rothschild Magnum	6	\$ 1,800.00	\$ -	\$ 10,800.00
SO-0000344660	10/27/2010	09 Lafite Rothschild	27	\$ 900.00	\$ -	\$ 24,300.00
SO-0000344660	10/27/2010	61 Lafite Rothschild (TS)	12	\$ 1,200.00	\$ -	\$ 14,400.00
SO-0000350477	2/9/2011	02 Jose Michel Special Club	12	\$ 49.99	\$ -	\$ 599.88
SO-0000350477	2/9/2011	Wine Spectator	1	\$ 5.95	\$ -	\$ 5.95
SO-0000353458	3/30/2011	03 Chateaufneuf Barbe Rac 3 Liter, Chapou	2	\$ 350.00	\$ -	\$ 700.00
SO-0000353458	3/30/2011	95 Leoville las Cases 3 Liter	1	\$ 750.00	\$ -	\$ 750.00
SO-0000354415	4/18/2011	79 Montrose	9	\$ 79.99	\$ -	\$ 719.91
SO-0000354415	4/18/2011	79 Figeac	10	\$ 69.99	\$ -	\$ 699.90
SO-0000354415	4/18/2011	83 Lynch Bages 6 Liter	1	\$ 999.99	\$ -	\$ 999.99
SO-0000354415	4/18/2011	83 Leoville Barton	11	\$ 79.99	\$ -	\$ 879.89
SO-0000354415	4/18/2011	82 Leoville Barton	6	\$ 199.99	\$ -	\$ 1,199.94
SO-0000354415	4/18/2011	78 Grand Pontet	8	\$ 27.99	\$ -	\$ 223.92
SO-0000354415	4/18/2011	78 Montrose (US)	5	\$ 69.99	\$ -	\$ 349.95
SO-0000354415	4/18/2011	78 Beausejour Duffau	10	\$ 49.99	\$ -	\$ 499.90
SO-0000354415	4/18/2011	75 Beychevelle	8	\$ 59.99	\$ -	\$ 479.92
SO-0000354415	4/18/2011	78 Leoville Barton	11	\$ 79.99	\$ -	\$ 879.89
SO-0000354415	4/18/2011	81 Grand Puy Lacoste	10	\$ 59.99	\$ -	\$ 599.90
SO-0000354415	4/18/2011	78 Pontet Canet	11	\$ 49.99	\$ -	\$ 549.89
SO-0000354415	4/18/2011	78 Canon la Gaffeliere	7	\$ 45.00	\$ -	\$ 315.00
SO-0000354415	4/18/2011	82 La Dominique	9	\$ 125.00	\$ -	\$ 1,125.00
SO-0000354415	4/18/2011	78 Lynch Bages	19	\$ 85.00	\$ -	\$ 1,615.00

SO-0000354415	4/18/2011	86 La Lagune	5	\$	64.99	\$	-	\$	324.95
SO-0000354415	4/18/2011	78 Meyney	14	\$	35.00	\$	-	\$	490.00
SO-0000354415	4/18/2011	82 La Lagune	6	\$	129.99	\$	-	\$	779.94
SO-0000354415	4/18/2011	79 Leoville las Cases	5	\$	89.99	\$	-	\$	449.95
SO-0000354415	4/18/2011	83 La Lagune	12	\$	69.99	\$	-	\$	839.88
SO-0000358383	6/24/2011	82 Branaire Ducru	23	\$	129.99	\$	-	\$	2,989.77
SO-0000358383	6/24/2011	70 Pichon Lalande	8	\$	179.99	\$	-	\$	1,439.92
SO-0000360346	8/1/2011	66 Lascombes Magnum (TS)	1	\$	199.99	\$	-	\$	199.99
SO-0000360346	8/1/2011	70 Pichon Lalande	1	\$	179.99	\$	-	\$	179.99
SO-0000360346	8/1/2011	82 Moulin de Carruades	4	\$	375.00	\$	-	\$	1,500.00
SO-0000394233	10/12/2012	61 Smith Haut Lafite	1	\$	245.00	\$	-	\$	245.00
SO-0000394233	10/12/2012	66 Carruades de Lafite	1	\$	295.00	\$	-	\$	295.00
SO-0000394233	10/12/2012	85 Caymus Special Selection Cabernet Ma	1	\$	499.99	\$	-	\$	499.99
SO-0000394233	10/12/2012	70 Gruaud Larose (2" ullage) 1/2	3	\$	39.95	\$	-	\$	119.85
			1251						
			</						