1 2	Kathy Bazoian Phelps (148083) <b>DIAMOND MCCARTHY LLP</b> 1999 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1100	
3	Los Angeles, CA 90067 Phone: (310) 651-2997 Email: kphelps@diamondmccarthy.com	
4 5 6	Special Litigation Counsel for Michael G. Kasolas, Chapter 7 Trustee For Fox Ortega Enterprises, Inc. Dba Premier Cru	
7		
8	UNITED STATES BA	ANKRUPTCY COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	OAKLANI	D DIVISION
11	In re	
12	FOX ORTEGA ENTERPRISES, INC., dba	Case No. 16-40050-WJL
13	PREMIER CRU	Chapter 7
14	Debtor.	
15		
16 17	MICHAEL G. KASOLAS, Chapter 7 Trustee for Fox Ortega Enterprises, Inc. dba Premier Cru	
18	Plaintiff	Adversary No
19	vs.	
20	RICHARD O'NEIL	
21		ORIGINAL COMPLAINT
22	Plaintiff Michael G. Kasolas, the Chap	oter 7 Trustee (the " <u>Trustee</u> ") for Fox Ortega
23	Enterprises, Inc. dba Premier Cru ("Premier	Cru" or the "Debtor"), brings this adversary
24	proceeding against Richard O'Neil ("Defendant	") and alleges as follows:
25	INTROI	DUCTION
26	1. This suit seeks the avoidance an	d recovery of transfers from Premier Cru to the
27	Defendant as well as the avoidance of certain ob	ligations Premier Cru incurred in the Defendant's
28	favor. These transfers and obligations were part	of a fraudulent scheme involving the sale of wine
Cas	e: 16-40050 Doc# 704 Filed: 01/05/18 E 18	Entered: 01/05/18 15:00:34 Page 1 of

that caused the loss of tens of millions of dollars. At the time of the Premier Cru's bankruptcy,
 approximately 4,500 customers had not received pre-arrival wine for which that had already paid,
 with losses reaching \$45 million.

2. Transfers to the Defendant and the obligations incurred by Premier Cru were made
as part of the fraud and with the actual intent to hinder, delay, or defraud Premier Cru's creditors.
Premier Cru incurred obligations in favor of the Defendant for the purpose of acquiring funds
necessary for the continuation of the fraud. Later, Premier Cru made transfers to the Defendant
with the purpose of concealing the ongoing fraud, to lull customers into a false sense that Premier
Cru was a legitimate business, to cause the customers to continue to purchase wine from Premier
Cru, and to prevent them from complaining to law enforcement authorities.

3. The Trustee requests that this Court grant relief that will return the value of the
transfers to the Defendant that were made as a part of the scheme. Specifically, the Trustee seeks
the avoidance and recovery of the transfers made and obligations incurred that are identified below
under 11 U.S.C. §§ 544, 548, 550 and California Civil Code § 3439.04.

15

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This is an adversary proceeding, pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy
Procedure, which relates to the Chapter 7 proceeding captioned *In re Fox Ortega Enterprises, Inc., dba Premier Cru*, Case No. 16-40050-WJL (Bankr. N.D. Cal., Oakland Div.).

19 5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to section 28
20 U.S.C. § 1334(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 157(a), in that this adversary proceeding arises in, arises under,
21 and/or relates to Premier Cru's chapter 7 case.

6. This adversary proceeding is a core proceeding under section 157(b)(2) of Title 28
of the United States Code, such that this Court has jurisdiction to hear and determine this
proceeding and to enter an appropriate order and judgment. To the extent necessary, the Trustee
consents to entry of a final order or judgment by this Court.

7. The Defendant's forum-related activities give rise to the action before the Court.
The Defendant purposefully directed his activities and consummated the transactions described
below within California, thereby invoking the benefits and protection of California law. As

1 reflected in the applicable terms and conditions for the transactions described below, "[a]ny wine 2 sold to you by Premier Cru is sold in California, and title passes to you, the buyer, in California." 3 Furthermore, the Trustee's claims arise out of or relate to the Defendant's forum-related activities. 8. 4 This Court is the proper venue for this adversary proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 5 § 1409(a) because the Debtor's chapter 7 case is pending in this judicial district. 6 PARTIES 7 9. The Trustee is the duly appointed chapter 7 trustee for the bankruptcy estate of 8 Premier Cru. Premier Cru entered bankruptcy proceedings on January 8, 2016 (the "Petition 9 Date"), at which time the Trustee was appointed by the Court. The Trustee is duly authorized and 10 empowered to pursue any and all claims of the Debtor's estate. 11 10. Defendant Richard O'Neil is an individual and a citizen of Texas. Defendant may 12 be served with process at 6049 Hillcrest Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75205. 13 **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS** 14 I. **Premier Cru's Operations** 15 11. Premier Cru was founded in 1980 by John Fox ("Fox") and Hector Ortega as a 16 seller of top-quality wines in the Bay Area. During all relevant times, Fox owned equity in 17 Premier Cru and served as its President. Fox made all significant business decisions for Premier 18 Cru. Fox was the sole manager of the company's finances, including payment of Premier Cru's 19 bills and expenses, as well as obtaining business loans and financing from banks or individuals to 20 fund Premier Cru's operations. 21 12. Premier Cru originally operated out of a small storefront in Oakland with a focus 22 on hard to find and limited production offerings. As Premier Cru's popularity grew, the company 23 determined that it would begin purchasing its supply of wine from sources overseas and obtained 24 its own import license. Premier Cru touted that it could eliminate the middle man while 25 simultaneously increasing the allocation of hard to locate wines by supposedly sourcing its 26 inventory directly. 27 28

The Debtor generally sold wine in two ways. First, the company sold wine that
 was, or supposedly was, physically held in Premier Cru's inventory. Second, Premier Cru sold
 wine on a "pre-arrival" basis, whereby customers paid for wine that was not in Premier Cru's
 physical possession but which Premier Cru represented it had already purchased.

5 14. In the wine industry, there are generally two ways to purchase wine before it is released to the open market. "Wine futures" involve the purchase of wine while it is still in the 6 7 barrel and before the wine is bottled. Because the purchaser must wait for the wine to age in the barrel, buyers can expect to wait years before receiving the wine they purchased. Premier Cru did 8 9 not sell wine futures. In contrast, "pre-arrival" wines are sold to collectors months before a wine is released to the open market, but after the wine has actually been bottled. Unlike "wine futures," 10there is generally less risk in pre-arrival purchasing because importers know exactly how many 11 12 bottles they will receive from the supplier. Pre-arrival sales allow consumers to purchase difficult 13 to locate wines at prices that are generally lower than what is found in the market. Premier Cru purportedly sold pre-arrival wines. 14

15 15. Premier Cru sold pre-arrival wine through a website operated and maintained by
Premier Cru or through salespeople who worked in the Premier Cru offices and reported to Fox.
The "Terms and Conditions" associated with the sale of pre-arrival wine provided as follows:
The term "Due Arrival" is equilibriated to review and here and (terrival based).

The term "Pre-Arrival" is applied to wines we have purchased (typically abroad) that have not arrived yet. Depending on the particular wine, the arrival time is typically 6+ months to over two years (in the case of Bordeaux Futures, for example).

Many new releases of highly desirable, limited-production wines (ie – Burgundy, Rhone, Italian, etc.) are offered on a "Pre-Arrival" basis by our suppliers. These offerings typically take 6 to 18 months to arrive and are often the only way to source the wines before they sell out (and at optimal prices). We send an email notification when your wine arrives.

- <sup>24</sup> According to Fox, the majority of Premier Cru's revenue was derived from pre-arrival sales.
- 25
- II. <u>The Fraud</u>
- 26
  16. On or about August 11, 2016, Fox entered into a guilty plea in guilty plea in his
  27
  27
  28
  28
  29
  20
  20
  20
  21
  22
  23
  24
  25
  26
  27
  28
  28
  29
  20
  20
  20
  20
  21
  22
  23
  24
  26
  27
  28
  28
  29
  20
  20
  20
  21
  22
  23
  24
  25
  26
  27
  27
  28
  28
  29
  20
  20
  21
  21
  22
  23
  24
  25
  26
  27
  27
  28
  28
  29
  20
  20
  21
  21
  22
  23
  24
  25
  26
  27
  27
  28
  28
  28
  29
  20
  20
  21
  21
  21
  22
  23
  24
  25
  26
  27
  27
  27
  28
  29
  20
  20
  21
  21
  21
  21
  21
  21
  21
  21
  21
  21
  21
  22
  23
  24
  25
  25
  26
  27
  27
  28
  28
  29
  20
  21
  21
  21
  21
  22
  21
  22
  23
  24
  24
  25
  25
  26
  27
  27
  27
  28
  27
  28
  27
  27
  28
  29
  29
  20
  20
  21
  21
  21
  21
  21
  21
  21
  21
  21
  21
  21
  21
  21
  21
  21
  21
  21
  21
  21
  21
  21
  <l

Agreement in which he admitted that he operated the Debtor as a fraudulent scheme. In his plea agreement, Fox admitted that he "devised a scheme to defraud, and a scheme for obtaining property by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations and omissions, through Premier Cru's sale of pre-arrival wine." Fox further admitted that his fraudulent conduct began as early as 1993 or 1994. Through Fox's actions, Premier Cru engaged in a massive fraud whereby funds derived from later fraudulent wine sales were used to pay obligations that arose from earlier fraudulent transactions.

17. 8 According to Premier Cru, the pre-arrival wines were wines that the company had 9 supposedly already contracted to purchase from its suppliers. Premier Cru further represented that it would deliver the pre-arrival wines to customers within a time period of approximately six 10 11 months to two years after customers had paid for the wine. These representations were false at 12 the time they were made for at least two reasons. First, Fox admitted to falsifying purchase orders 13 for wine that Premier Cru had not contracted to purchase and then entering that wine into Premier 14 Cru's inventory records for sale to its customers. He stated that Premier Cru "priced these wines 15 at prices below the market price, knowing that [he] had not and would not need to actually pay for this wine from any vendors." Second, Fox stated that Premier Cru contracted with "foreign 16 17 suppliers . . . to purchase wine, generally with the promise to pay those foreign suppliers within 30 days." Fox did so even where he "knew that Premier Cru would not be able to make payment 18 within 30 days, or ever" because he (a) "embezzled money from Premier Cru's business accounts 19 20that [he] should have used to pay Premier Cru's suppliers" or (b) "diverted money coming in from 21 current customers to obtain wine for prior customers who had never received their wine." In 22 either event, the end result was the same-Premier Cru did not have the wine required to fulfill the 23 obligations for its pre-arrival customers. Fox further admitted that "customers paid Premier Cru 24 for wine that [he] knew Premier Cru could not deliver to them and which they never received" and that his false statements were made "with the intent to defraud Premier Cru's customers." At the 25 26 time of the bankruptcy, approximately 4,500 customers had not received pre-arrival wine for 27 which that had already paid.

1 18. The fraud was unsustainable on its face because the funds Premier Cru received 2 from its customers were less than the cost of purchasing wine at a later date to fulfill the 3 outstanding orders. Due to the rarity of the wines at issue, purchasing wines on the open market 4 after their release is far more costly than sourcing the wines from appropriate oversea suppliers on 5 a pre-arrival basis. Furthermore, Premier Cru sometimes offered pre-arrivals at a price lower than the current market for pre-arrivals. This act of undercutting the market assisted in raising funds 6 7 while simultaneously increasing the gap between Premier Cru's assets and its obligations. To 8 make matters worse, Premier Cru's Fox removed millions of dollars from the company, further 9 reducing the funds available to satisfy Premier Cru's obligations. Because Premier Cru was not generating sufficient revenue from the pre-arrival sales to ultimately purchase wine for its 10 11 customers, the company was forced to enter into an increasing number of fraudulent, pre-arrival 12 sales to fill the financial gap and generate the funds required to purchase wine for its existing 13 customers. As Premier Cru's liquidity and its ability to enter into a sufficient number of new pre-14 arrival transactions declined, Premier Cru was forced to declare bankruptcy in 2016.

19. 15 Prior to 2015, Premier Cru was able to conceal its fraud from its creditors. According to Fox, his efforts to conceal the fraud included (a) offering falsified excuses and 16 17 promises for wine that Premier Cru would not be delivered; (b) delivering wine that was purchased by other customers; and (c) purchasing wine at retail prices for use in fulfilling 18 19 outstanding orders. Fox admitted that he "took these and other actions to "conceal [Premier 20Cru's] ongoing fraud, to lull customers into a false sense that Premier Cru was a legitimate 21 business, to cause [its] customers to continue to purchase wine from Premier Cru, and to prevent 22 them from complaining to law enforcement authorities." Furthermore, Premier Cru concealed its 23 fraud by making cash disbursements to customers whose orders were not fulfilled, often in 24 amounts greater than what customers had originally paid for their alleged pre-arrival orders.

25 20. The sales and subsequent transfers to the Defendant were made as a part of the
26 fraud and with the actual intent to hinder, delay, or defraud Premier Cru's creditors. Premier Cru
27 made the transfers and incurred the obligations with the purpose of concealing the ongoing fraud,
28 lulling customers into a false sense that Premier Cru was a legitimate business, causing customers

to continue to purchase wine from Premier Cru, and preventing customers from complaining to
 law enforcement authorities by paying them cash settlements.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# III. Badges of Fraud Related to the Transactions with the Defendant

21. Multiple badges of fraud are present with respect to the transactions with and transfers to the Defendant, including the following:

- Premier Cru was insolvent during the period of the transfers;
- Premier Cru had incurred, and was continuing to incur, substantial debt while it was making its payments to the Defendant;
- The true nature of the transactions with the Defendant was concealed. Premier Cru made false statements indicating that it was contractually entitled to receive the prearrival wine from its suppliers and falsified accounting documentation to cover up its fraudulent actions. Fox further admitted to making efforts to conceal the fraud through false statements to customers regarding the status of their orders. As admitted by Fox, his actions were undertaken with the intent to defraud customers;
- The transfers were made while Premier Cru was under threat of potential lawsuits. Had Premier Cru's creditors discovered the fraud, Premier Cru and its principals would have been subject to numerous lawsuits. In fact, Premier Cru's customers repeatedly and regularly threatened the company with lawsuits where pre-arrival wine was not delivered, and in some instances, actually filed suit;
- Premier Cru removed and concealed assets. Fox admitted that, in the face of customer complaints, he caused Premier Cru to deliver wine to the complaining customers that was paid for by other customers. Furthermore, Fox caused Premier Cru to transfer large sums for his personal benefit as further described in his plea agreement;
  - Premier Cru incurred the obligations and made the transfers described herein for less than reasonably equivalent value;
  - As described in more detail above, the overall existence and cumulative effect of the pattern, series of transactions, and Premier Cru's course of conduct indicate the transactions with the Defendant were designed to defraud creditors. Premier Cru incurred debt and faced financial difficulties, and as a result, entered into the transactions with the Defendant and other customers in order to further the fraud;
- The general chronology of events and the transactions under inquiry indicate the intent to defraud. Premier Cru entered into pre-arrival wine sales without having the underlying right to receive the wine from its suppliers. The purpose of the transactions was to provide liquidity to fuel the fraud and for Fox's improper diversions and embezzlement. Moreover, Premier Cru's entry into fraudulent pre-arrival wine sales naturally increased based upon its need to enter into more and more fraudulent transactions to pay for prior obligations;

1	• Premier Cru's conduct was both exceptional and peculiar. Such conduct included
2	falsifying accounting documentation, making false statements to customers, and generally utilizing payments from later customers to fulfill obligations owed to
3	prior customers;
4	• Premier Cru made false statements, concealed facts, and operated under false pretenses. Among other things, Premier made misrepresentations concerning the
5	following: (a) its financial condition; (b) its contractual relationships with suppliers and its right to receive the wines in question; (c) its inventory balances; and (d) the
6	purported reason for delays in wine delivery;
7	• The transactions with the customers for the fraudulent wine transactions, including the Defendent, were questionable and not in ordinary course for a logitimate
8 9	the Defendant, were questionable and not in ordinary course for a legitimate business. Companies such as Premier Cru do not intentionally misrepresent their inventory and contractual purchases from their vendors or alter documents to
10	support sales;
11	• Premier Cru entered into the transactions involved in the fraud under secrecy and hasta and the transactions were unusual. Premier Cru disquised its pre-arrival
12	haste, and the transactions were unusual. Premier Cru disguised its pre-arrival sales using falsified documentation and misrepresentations to its customers.
13	Furthermore, Premier Cru acted with haste in fulfilling fraudulent orders for those customers that complained repeatedly or forcefully;
14	• Premier Cru was aware of its creditors' claims against the company and that
15	Premier Cru was incapable of paying those claims.
16	CLAIMS FOR RELIEF
17	Count I – Actual Intent Fraudulent Transfer Under 11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(A)
18	(Wine Transfers)
19	22. The Trustee re-alleges and fully incorporates the allegations pleaded in the
20	preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
21	23. The Debtor presently has one or more creditors whose claim arose either before or
22	after the transfers to the Defendant.
23	24. Premier Cru transferred the at least 36 bottles of wine to the Defendant in the two
24	years prior to the Petition Date (the "548 Transfers"). The 548 Transfers are more fully
25	described as follows:
26	ShipDateSalesOrderDescriptionQuantityUnit ValueTotal ValueShipped
27	2/17/2014 SO-0000421154 09 Louis 36 \$30.00 \$1,080
28	
Cas	

1

25.

The 548 Transfers were made within two years of the Petition Date.

2 26. The aggregate amount of the 548 Transfers may be in excess of the above-stated
3 amount, and the Trustee may amend his Complaint as and when additional transfers made as a part
4 of the scheme are ascertained.

5 27. The 548 Transfers were made as a part of the fraud at Premier Cru. Premier Cru entered into the underlying wine sales in furtherance of its fraudulent scheme. Each of the 6 7 transactions generated cash through the alleged "sale" of the wine to the Defendant. These sham 8 transactions provided Premier Cru with the funds it required to satisfy already existing obligations 9 that were part of the fraudulent scheme. Furthermore, Premier Cru entered into additional 10 transactions with other customers at a later time that ultimately provided the company with the funds necessary to purchase wine for the 548 Transfers. This pattern of conduct of using new 11 12 money to fund the fulfillment of earlier obligations constitutes a Ponzi scheme or similar fraud 13 whereby funds received from later fraudulent transactions were used to fund prior obligations.

14 28. Moreover, Fox's plea agreement evidences Premier Cru's intent to defraud its
15 creditors through the fraudulent scheme. Therefore, the 548 Transfers were made with the actual
16 intent to hinder, delay, or defraud entities to which Premier Cru was or became indebted to on or
17 after the date of the transfer.

29. As stated above, there are a multitude of badges of fraud present with respect to the
transactions with the Defendant. The existence and sheer number of the badges of fraud present in
this matter indicate that Premier Cru intended to hinder, delay, or defraud its creditors in entering
into and making the 548 Transfers.

30. Defendant was the initial transferee of the Transfers as the Defendant received the
wine and funds transferred directly from Premier Cru.

24 31. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 548(a)(1)(A) and 550, the Trustee is entitled to judgment
25 avoiding and recovering the value of the 548 Transfers from the Defendant.

- 26
- 27
- 28

1 2	Count II – Actual Intent Voidable Transfer Under California Civil Code § 3439.04(a)(1) et seq.; 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 and 550 (Wine and Cash Transfers)							
3	32. The Trustee re-alleges and fully incorporates the allegations pleaded in the							
4	preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.							
5	33. The Debtor presently has one or more creditors whose claim arose either before or							
6	after the transfers to the Defendant.							
7	34.	Premier Cru transferr	ed the 548 Tra	nsfers to the Defendant as de	stailed above.			
8	35.	Premier Cru also tran	sferred at leas	t 258 bottles of wine to the	Defendant during			
9	the seven year	s prior to the Petition	Date (the " <u>A</u>	dditional Wine Transfers"	). The Additional			
10	Wine Transfers	s are more fully descri	bed in Exhibit	A, which is attached hereto.				
11	36.	In addition to the 548	8 Transfers and	d the Additional Wine Tran	sfers, Premier Cru			
12	made the follo	owing transfers to the	e Defendant fi	com its bank account (the	" <u>Additional Cash</u>			
13	<u>Transfers</u> "):							
14		Date	A	Amount				
15		11/12/2009		510,260.00				
16		12/18/2009	\$	21,000.00				
	4/20/2010 \$11,650.00							
17		10/12/2010	\$	3,000.00				
18		3/3/2011	\$	514,300.00				
19		10/24/2011	\$	576,700.00				
20		12/12/2012	\$	12,442.72				
21	37.	Premier Cru also mad	le the followin	g transfers to the Defendant	's credit cards (the			
22		redit Card Transfers						
23		Date	Card	Amount				
24		7/16/2009	AMEX	\$95.94	-			
25					_			
26		0/29/2010		<i><i><b>ψ</b></i>1,137.77</i>				
27								
28								
Case	: 16-40050 [	Doc# 704 Filed: 01	/05/18 Ente	ed: 01/05/18 15:00:34	Page 10 of			

L

38. The 548 Transfers, the Additional Wine Transfers, the Additional Cash Transfers,
 and the Additional Credit Card Transfers (collectively, the "<u>Total Transfers</u>") were made within
 seven years of the Petition Date.

39. The aggregate amount of the Total Transfers may be in excess of the above-stated
amount, and the Trustee may amend his Complaint as and when additional transfers made as a part
of the scheme are ascertained.

7 40. The Total Transfers were made as a part of the fraud at Premier Cru. Premier Cru 8 entered into the underlying wine sales in furtherance of its fraudulent scheme. Each of the 9 transactions generated cash through the alleged "sale" of the wine to the Defendant. These sham transactions provided Premier Cru with the funds it required to satisfy already existing obligations 10 11 that were part of the fraudulent scheme. Furthermore, Premier Cru entered into additional 12 transactions with other customers at a later time that ultimately provided the company with the 13 funds necessary to purchase wine for the Total Transfers. This pattern of conduct of using new 14 money to fund the fulfillment of earlier obligations constitutes a Ponzi scheme or similar fraud 15 whereby funds received from later fraudulent transactions were used to fund prior obligations.

41. Moreover, Fox's plea agreement evidences Premier Cru's intent to defraud its
creditors through the fraudulent scheme. Therefore, the Total Transfers were made with the actual
intent to hinder, delay, or defraud entities to which Premier Cru was or became indebted to on or
after the date of the transfer.

42. As stated above, there are a multitude of badges of fraud present with respect to the
transactions with the Defendant. The existence and sheer number of the badges of fraud present in
this matter indicate that Premier Cru intended to hinder, delay, or defraud its creditors in entering
into and making the Total Transfers.

24 43. Defendant was the initial transferee of the Total Transfers as the Defendant
25 received the wine and funds transferred directly from Premier Cru.

44. Pursuant to California Civil Code § 3439.04(a)(1) et seq. and 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 &
550, the Trustee is entitled to judgment avoiding and recovering the value of the Total Transfers
from the Defendant.

1 2	Count III – Actual Intent Voidable Transfer Under California Civil Code § 3439.04(a)(1) et seq.; 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 and 550 (Obligations to Defendant)				
3	45. The Trustee re-alleges and fully incorporates the allegations pleaded in the				
4	preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.				
5	46. The Debtor presently has one or more creditors whose claim arose either before or				
6	after the transfers to the Defendant.				
7	47. Premier Cru incurred obligations to the Defendant relating to 155 bottles of wine				
8	during the seven years prior to the Petition Date (the "Obligations"). The Obligations are more				
9	fully described in Exhibit B, which is attached hereto.				
10	48. The Obligations were incurred within seven years of the Petition Date.				
11	49. The aggregate amount of the Obligations may be in excess of the above-stated				
12	amount, and the Trustee may amend his Complaint as and when additional transfers made as a part				
13	of the scheme are ascertained.				
14	50. The Obligations were incurred as a part of the fraud at Premier Cru. Premier Cru				
15	entered into the underlying wine sales in furtherance of its fraudulent scheme. Each of the				
16	transactions generated cash through the alleged "sale" of the wine to the Defendant. These sham				
17	transactions provided Premier Cru with the funds it required to satisfy already existing obligations				
18	that were part of the fraudulent scheme. Furthermore, Premier Cru entered into additional				
19	transactions with other customers at a later time that ultimately provided the company with the				
20	funds necessary to satisfy the Obligations. This pattern of conduct of using new money to fund				
21	the fulfillment of earlier obligations constitutes a Ponzi scheme or similar fraud whereby funds				
22	received from later fraudulent transactions were used to fund prior obligations.				
23	51. Moreover, Fox's plea agreement evidences Premier Cru's intent to defraud its				
24	creditors through the fraudulent scheme. Therefore, the Obligations were incurred with the actual				
25	intent to hinder, delay, or defraud entities to which Premier Cru was or became indebted to on or				
26	after the date of the transfer.				
27	52. As stated above, there are a multitude of badges of fraud present with respect to the				
28	transactions with the Defendant. The existence and sheer number of the badges of fraud present in				
Case	: 16-40050 Doc# 704 Filed: 01/05/18 Entered: 01/05/18 15:00:34 Page 12 of 18				

1	this matter in	ndicate that Premier Cru intended to hinder, delay, or defraud its creditors in entering
2	into the sales	s and incurring the Obligations.
3	53.	Pursuant to California Civil Code § 3439.04(a)(1) et seq. and 11 U.S.C. §§ 544, the
4	Trustee is en	titled to judgment avoiding the Obligations.
5		Count IV – Constructive Fraudulent Transfer
6		Under 11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(B) (Wine and Cash Transfers)
7	54.	The Trustee re-alleges and fully incorporates the allegations pleaded in the
8	preceding pa	ragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
9	55.	The Debtor presently has one or more creditors whose claim arose either before or
10	after the tran	sfers to the Defendant.
11	56.	Premier Cru made the 548 Transfers to the Defendant.
12	57.	The aggregate amount of the 548 Transfers may be in excess of the above-stated
13	amount, and	the Trustee may amend his Complaint as and when additional transfers made as a part
14	of the schem	e are ascertained.
15	58.	Premier Cru received less than reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the 548
16	Transfers.	
17	59.	The 548 Transfers were made at a time when Premier Cru (a) was insolvent; (b)
18	was engaged	in business or a transaction, or was about to engage in business or a transaction, for
19	which any p	roperty remaining with the debtor was an unreasonably small capital; or (b) intended
20	to incur, or b	believed that it would incur, debts that would be beyond its ability to pay as such debts
21	matured.	
22	60.	Defendant was the initial transferee of the 548 Transfers as the Defendant received
23	the wine and	funds transferred directly from Premier Cru.
24	61.	Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 548(a)(1)(B) and 550, the Trustee is entitled to judgment
25	avoiding and	recovering the value of the 548 Transfers from the Defendant.
26		
27		
28		
Case	: 16-40050	Doc# 704 Filed: 01/05/18 Entered: 01/05/18 15:00:34 Page 13 of 18

1 2	- T	Count V – Constructive Voidable Transfer Under California Civil Code § 3439.04(a)(2) et seq.; 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 and 550 (Wine and Cash Transfers)						
3	62	62. The Trustee re-alleges and fully incorporates the allegations pleaded in the						
4		ragraphs as if fully set forth herein.						
5	63.	The Debtor presently has one or more creditors whose claim arose either before or						
6		sfers to the Defendant.						
7	64.	Premier Cru made the Total Transfers to the Defendant.						
8	65.	The aggregate amount of the Total Transfers may be in excess of the above-stated						
9		the Trustee may amend his Complaint as and when additional transfers made as a part						
10	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	e are ascertained.						
11	66.	Premier Cru received less than reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the						
12	Total Transfe							
13	67.	The Total Transfers were made at a time when Premier Cru (a) was insolvent						
14	and/or was e	ngaged or was about to engage in a business or a transaction for which the remaining						
15	assets of the debtor were unreasonably small in relation to the business or transaction; or (b)							
16	intended to incur, or believed or reasonably should have believed that it would incur, debts beyond							
17	its ability to j	pay as they became due.						
18	68.	Defendant was the initial transferee of the Total Transfers as the Defendant						
19	received the	wine and funds transferred directly from Premier Cru.						
20	69.	Pursuant to California Civil Code § 3439.04(a)(2) et seq. and 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 and						
21	550, the Trus	stee is entitled to judgment avoiding and recovering the value of the Total Transfers						
22	from the Def	endant.						
23		Count VI – Constructive Fraudulent Transfer						
24		Under California Civil Code § 3439.04(a)(2) et seq.; 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 and 550 (Obligations to Defendant)						
25	70.	The Trustee re-alleges and fully incorporates the allegations pleaded in the						
26		ragraphs as if fully set forth herein.						
27								
28								
Case	: 16-40050	Doc# 704 Filed: 01/05/18 Entered: 01/05/18 15:00:34 Page 14 of 18						

1	71.	The Debtor presently has one or more creditors whose claim arose either before or
2	after the trans	sfers to the Defendant.
3	72.	Premier Cru incurred the Obligations.
4	73.	The aggregate amount of the Obligations may be in excess of the above-stated
5	amount, and	the Trustee may amend his Complaint as and when additional transfers made as a part
6	of the scheme	e are ascertained.
7	74.	Premier Cru received less than reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the
8	Obligations.	
9	75.	The Obligations were incurred at a time when Premier Cru (a) was insolvent and/or
10	was engaged	or was about to engage in a business or a transaction for which the remaining assets
11	of the debtor	were unreasonably small in relation to the business or transaction; or (b) intended to
12	incur, or beli	eved or reasonably should have believed that it would incur, debts beyond its ability
13	to pay as they	v became due.
14	76.	Pursuant to California Civil Code § 3439.04(a)(2) et seq. and 11 U.S.C. § 544, the
15	Trustee is ent	itled to judgment avoiding the value of the Obligations from the Defendant.
16		PRAYER
		TRATER
17	Where	efore, the Trustee respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment and grant the
17 18 19		efore, the Trustee respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment and grant the
17 18	following rel	efore, the Trustee respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment and grant the ief against the Defendant: Entering an order of judgment avoiding the Obligations and Total Transfers under
17 18 19 20	following rel	efore, the Trustee respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment and grant the ief against the Defendant: Entering an order of judgment avoiding the Obligations and Total Transfers under 11 U.S.C. § 544 and California Civil Code 3439.04(a)(1); Entering an order of judgment avoiding the Obligations and Total Transfers to the
17 18 19 20 21	following rel	efore, the Trustee respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment and grant the ief against the Defendant: Entering an order of judgment avoiding the Obligations and Total Transfers under 11 U.S.C. § 544 and California Civil Code 3439.04(a)(1); Entering an order of judgment avoiding the Obligations and Total Transfers to the Defendant under 11 U.S.C. § 544 and California Civil Code 3439.04(a)(2);
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	following rel	efore, the Trustee respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment and grant the ief against the Defendant: Entering an order of judgment avoiding the Obligations and Total Transfers under 11 U.S.C. § 544 and California Civil Code 3439.04(a)(1); Entering an order of judgment avoiding the Obligations and Total Transfers to the Defendant under 11 U.S.C. § 544 and California Civil Code 3439.04(a)(2); Entering an order of judgment avoiding the 548 Transfers to the Defendant under
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	following rel	efore, the Trustee respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment and grant the ief against the Defendant: Entering an order of judgment avoiding the Obligations and Total Transfers under 11 U.S.C. § 544 and California Civil Code 3439.04(a)(1); Entering an order of judgment avoiding the Obligations and Total Transfers to the Defendant under 11 U.S.C. § 544 and California Civil Code 3439.04(a)(2); Entering an order of judgment avoiding the 548 Transfers to the Defendant under 11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(A); Entering an order of judgment avoiding the 548 Transfers to the Defendant under
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	following rel	efore, the Trustee respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment and grant the ief against the Defendant: Entering an order of judgment avoiding the Obligations and Total Transfers under 11 U.S.C. § 544 and California Civil Code 3439.04(a)(1); Entering an order of judgment avoiding the Obligations and Total Transfers to the Defendant under 11 U.S.C. § 544 and California Civil Code 3439.04(a)(2); Entering an order of judgment avoiding the 548 Transfers to the Defendant under 11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(A); Entering an order of judgment avoiding the 548 Transfers to the Defendant under 11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(B); Entering an order permitting the Trustee to recover the value of the avoided

L

1	•	All other re	elief to which the Tr	ustee is entitled.	
2					
3	Dated	d: January 5,	2018	DIAMOND MCCARTHY	(LLP
4				By: <u>/s/ Kathy Bazoian Pi</u>	
5				KATHY BAZOIAN PHE Attorneys for Michael Ka	LPS
6				Trustee for Fox Ortega Er	iterprises, Inc.
7				dba Premier Cru	
8					
9 10					
10					
12					
12					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
Case	: 16-40050	Doc# 704	Filed: 01/05/18 18	Entered: 01/05/18 15:00:34	Page 16 of

#### Exhibit A

Wine	Transfers
------	-----------

		<u>wine multicity</u>	Qty		
CustName	ShipDate SalesOrder	Description	Shipped	Unit Value	Total Value
Richard O'Neill	5/22/2009 SO-000022954	4 05 Pavie Magnum	1	\$ 547.69	\$ 547.69
Richard O'Neill	7/15/2009 SO-000022954	4 05 Pavie Magnum	1	\$ 547.69	\$ 547.69
Richard O'Neill	11/4/2009 SO-000023092	0 05 Margaux 3 Liter	3	\$ 2,900.71	\$ 8,702.12
Richard O'Neill	11/4/2009 SO-000015953	7 03 Latour 3 Liter	3	\$ 3,025.00	\$ 9,075.00
Richard O'Neill	11/9/2009 SO-000022442	4 05 Rieussec 1/2	12	\$ 32.45	\$ 389.43
Richard O'Neill	11/9/2009 SO-000022478	9 05 La Tour Blanche 1/2	12	\$ 22.25	\$ 267.00
Richard O'Neill	11/9/2009 SO-000022920	6 05 Troplong Mondot Magnum	2	\$ 329.87	\$ 659.74
Richard O'Neill	11/9/2009 SO-000022625	1 05 La Bienfaisance	6	\$ 6.67	\$ 40.02
Richard O'Neill	11/9/2009 SO-000022439	4 05 Reignac 1/2	12	\$ 9.67	\$ 116.04
Richard O'Neill	11/9/2009 SO-000022263	9 05 Marquis d'Alesme Becker 1/2, Margaux	12	\$ 12.69	\$ 152.28
Richard O'Neill	11/9/2009 SO-000022474	0 05 Suduiraut 1/2	12	\$ 32.05	\$ 384.60
Richard O'Neill	11/9/2009 SO-000032372	5 06 Duhart Milon	12	\$ 32.05	\$ 384.60
Richard O'Neill	11/9/2009 SO-000032372	1 06 Duhart Milon	12	\$ 32.05	\$ 384.60
Richard O'Neill	11/9/2009 SO-000025118	0 03 Leoville Poyferre	4	\$ 75.00	\$ 300.00
Richard O'Neill	11/9/2009 SO-000022844	8 05 Branaire Ducru	6	\$ 76.00	\$ 456.00
Richard O'Neill	11/9/2009 SO-000022763	4 05 La Confession Magnum	3	\$ 124.99	\$ 374.97
Richard O'Neill	11/9/2009 SO-000025359	9 05 Clos des Lambrays Magnum	1	\$ 186.97	\$ 186.97
Richard O'Neill	11/9/2009 SO-000022763	4 05 La Confession 3 Liter	1	\$ 229.71	\$ 229.71
Richard O'Neill	11/9/2009 SO-000025359	9 05 Clos des Lambrays	3	\$ 250.03	\$ 750.09
Richard O'Neill	11/9/2009 SO-000022920	6 05 Beausejour Duffau 3 Liter	1	\$ 307.16	\$ 307.16
Richard O'Neill	11/9/2009 SO-000022954	4 05 Pavie Magnum	1	\$ 547.69	\$ 547.69
Richard O'Neill	12/14/2009 SO-000032970	5 05 Beausejour Duffau 1/2	1	\$ 35.72	\$ 35.72
Richard O'Neill	12/14/2009 SO-000032070	5 06 La Croix St Georges	60	\$ 63.44	\$ 3,806.40
Richard O'Neill	12/14/2009 SO-000032970	5 06 Beaune Greves Enfant Jesus, Bouchard	1	\$ 73.45	\$ 73.45
Richard O'Neill	12/14/2009 SO-000032970	5 07 Chambolle Gruenchers, Dujac	1	\$ 109.00	\$ 109.00
Richard O'Neill	12/14/2009 SO-000032970	5 07 Vosne Romanee Malconsorts, Dujac	2	\$ 129.17	\$ 258.34
Richard O'Neill	12/14/2009 SO-000032970	5 06 Clos de Beze, Bouchard	1	\$ 153.58	\$ 153.58
Richard O'Neill	12/14/2009 SO-000023051	6 05 Mouton	12	\$ 574.99	\$ 6,899.88
Richard O'Neill	2/25/2010 SO-000023119	8 05 Ausone	1	\$ 974.92	\$ 974.92
Richard O'Neill	2/25/2010 SO-000029271	8 05 Clos l'Eglise (Pomerol)	6	\$ 112.18	\$ 673.08
Richard O'Neill	2/25/2010 SO-000028928	4 05 Troplong Mondot	5	\$ 162.93	\$ 814.65
Richard O'Neill	11/9/2010 SO-000034095	3 03 Reignac Cuvee Speciale	24	\$ 6.00	\$ 144.00
Richard O'Neill	11/9/2010 SO-000022843	2 05 Malescot St. Exupery	6	\$ 96.16	\$ 576.96
Richard O'Neill	11/9/2010 SO-000034160	6 85 l'Evangile	2	\$ 215.11	\$ 430.22
Richard O'Neill	11/9/2010 SO-000023034	6 05 Lafite Rothschild	1	\$ 900.00	\$ 900.00
Richard O'Neill	1/16/2012 SO-000022920	3 05 Beausejour Duffau	12	\$ 92.00	\$ 1,104.00
Richard O'Neill	1/16/2012 SO-000033699	5 08 Hosanna	3	\$ 110.00	\$ 330.00
			258		\$ 42,087.60

## Exhibit B

	Sales Order						
Sales Order	Date	Description	Quantity	U	nit Price	Т	otal Price
SO-0000320705	7/10/2009	06 La Croix St Georges	60	\$	24.99	\$	1,499.40
SO-0000323725	9/4/2009	06 Duhart Milon	12	\$	29.99	\$	359.88
SO-0000323721	9/4/2009	06 Duhart Milon	12	\$	27.99	\$	335.88
SO-0000329705	12/10/2009	05 Beausejour Duffau 1/2	1	\$	45.00	\$	45.00
SO-0000329705	12/10/2009	06 Beaune Greves Enfant Jesus, Bouchard	1	\$	99.99	\$	99.99
SO-0000329705	12/10/2009	07 Chambolle Gruenchers, Dujac	1	\$	129.99	\$	129.99
SO-0000329705	12/10/2009	07 Vosne Romanee Malconsorts, Dujac	2	\$	159.99	\$	319.98
SO-0000329705	12/10/2009	06 Clos de Beze, Bouchard	1	\$	219.99	\$	219.99
SO-0000336995	5/12/2010	08 Hosanna	3	\$	109.99	\$	329.97
SO-0000340953	7/22/2010	03 Reignac Cuvee Speciale	24	\$	9.99	\$	239.76
SO-0000341606	8/12/2010	85 l'Evangile	2	\$	209.99	\$	419.98
SO-0000421154	8/19/2013	09 Louis	36	\$	24.99	\$	899.64
			155			\$	4,899.46
			_				

## Obligations