1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		ANKRUPTCY COURT
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION	
11	UAKLANI	D DIVISION
12		
13	In re	Case No. 16-40050-WJL
14	FOX ORTEGA ENTERPRISES, INC., dba PREMIER CRU,	Chapter 7
15		DECLARATION OF MICHAEL G.
16		KASOLAS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ORDERS (1) AUTHORIZING SALE
17	Debtor.	OF WINE, (2) AÙTHORIZING SALE FREE AND CLEAR OF LIENS OF
18		COMMUNITY BANK OF THE BAY AND ADVANCE RESTAURANT FINANCE
19		LLC, AND (3) AUTHORIZING TRUSTEE TO MAKE CERTAIN PAYMENTS
20		RELATED TO REDEEMED BOTTLES AND MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF
21		BIDDING PROCEDURE AND BREAKUP FEE
22		Date: August 30, 2016
23		Time: 10:00 a.m. Place: Courtroom 220
24		1300 Clay Street Oakland, CA
25		Judge: Hon. William J. Lafferty, III
26		
27		
28		

1

I, Michael G. Kasolas, declare:

I am the duly appointed and acting trustee of the above-captioned chapter 7
 bankruptcy estate. The following facts are true of my own personal knowledge and if called upon
 to do so, would and could competently testify thereto, except as to those matters that are alleged
 upon information and belief and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

6 2. I am informed and believe that on January 8, 2016, the Debtor filed its petition for
7 relief under chapter 7 and ceased operations. Thereafter I was appointed the chapter 7 trustee, and
8 since that time, I have been is the duly appointed and acting trustee in the Debtor's chapter 7
9 bankruptcy case.

3. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of a Sale Agreement
 between myself on behalf of the estate and Spectrum Wine Auctions ("Buyer") for all of the wine
 bottles in the debtor's premises, except for the wine related to those class members who have
 opted out of the Class Action or redeemed bottles pursuant to the Class Action Stipulation for
 Settlement with this estate, and the unfettered bottles ("Sale Agreement").

15 4. The wine bottles that are subject to the Sale Agreement relate to that settlement 16 agreement between the class action filed by Michael Podolsky filed against me, initiating an 17 adversary proceeding entitled Michael D. Podolsky, on behalf of himself and all others similarly 18 situated vs. Michael G. Kasolas, Trustee, A.P. No. 16-04033 ("Class Action") and that Stipulation 19 of Settlement dated May 23, 2016 ("Stipulation"), between the Class Action and the Trustee, 20 pursuant to which it was agreed that I could sell all of the wine, except for those bottles which 21 belonged to members who opted out of the Class Action or who fell into the small category of 22 class members who were eligible to redeem their bottles, and who in fact did redeem their bottles.

5. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of a Unfettered Sale
Agreement between myself on behalf of the estate and the Buyer for all of the unfettered wine
bottles in the Debtor's premises ("Unfettered Sale Agreement").

26 6. To the best of my knowledge the wine bottles that are subject to the Unfettered Sale
27 Agreement are not subject to any of the Debtor's former customers' purchase orders, and are not

28

2

allocated to any customer in the Debtor's books and records, based on my review of the Debtor's
 books and records.

7. These sales are in the best interest of the estate taking into consideration many
factors including, but not limited to sale price, the time it will take to close the sale and receive
total payment, the time it will take the buyer to remove the wine from the warehouse, and the
Buyers financial resources to close the sales.

8. Although I knew that the title issues had to be resolved before I could sell the
Debtor's wine inventory, in January, I started to reach out to individuals, who I knew had the net
worth to purchase the wines and contacts in the wine industry, seeking advice on the best methods
to evaluate and sell the wines. In addition, I met with a wine consultant at the warehouse that had
conducted an auction of a large sale of wine under similar conditions. I considered all methods of
selling the wine. From these sources, I developed a list of companies which were the most likely
"customers" to sell the wine to in a bulk sale.

9. I concluded that selling the wine in bulk was in the best interest of the estate
because of the high cost of selling the wine to consumers, and the impact to the value of the wine
if 79,000 bottles were put on the market for sale to consumers at the same time. Furthermore, I
knew that there was a short window to complete the sale and vacate the Debtor's premises.

18 10. I contacted all of the companies that I could find who purchase wine in these 19 quantities, and also contacted companies who knew such companies to ask about additional 20 referral sources. More than 11 companies asked for further information and signed a 21 nondisclosure agreement to obtain detailed information about the wines that were for sale. 22 Thereafter, five of those companies indicated that they were interested in presenting an offer, and I 23 considered all of the terms of the offers presented. Since I required the initial stalking horse 24 bidder to submit a per bottle price list some of the potential purchasers indicated that they did not 25 have time to submit a bid, but would be interested in overbidding.

26 11. After receiving the bids, I communicated with most of the bidders and asked if they
27 wanted to increase or clarify certain portions of the offers. After thoroughly vetting all of the
28 offers, and speaking to the bidders at length, I concluded that the Spectrum Wine Auction offer

3

1 was the best offer to proceed with because it was an all-cash offer and the terms were clear, and 2 the bottles would be removed from the premises the soonest of the leading bids.

3 12. Although I have been looking for a buyer since the beginning of this case, I 4 increased the intensity of my efforts in May of 2016, after we reached a tentative settlement in the 5 Class Action, by reaching out to the most interested buyers and setting a deadline for them to 6 submit bids. I extended the deadline for several of those interested in bidding upon their request 7 to insure that I would receive the most number of bids. After receiving the bids, I spent 8 approximately 3 weeks negotiating terms to make sure that the stalking horse bid was the highest 9 and best offer that I could obtain. Thereafter, I spent about one week memorializing the terms and 10 negotiating additional terms.

13. I also marketed the unfettered wine separately in the hopes that I could close that sale earlier 12

13 14 I do not think that I could have obtained a sale without a breakup fee in some 14 amount. The breakup fee at issue is a reasonable amount given the sale price. Spectrum Wine 15 Auctions has asked for a breakup fee of \$50,000 for the Sale Agreement and \$5,000 for the 16 Unfettered Sale Agreement to recognize its expenditure of time, energy and resources incurred in 17 investigating the assets, and in negotiating the agreements.

18 15. Under the terms of the agreements, the initial overbid will exceed the amount of the 19 breakup fee; therefore, there will be sufficient proceeds to pay the breakup fee. Subsequent 20 bidding will be in increments of \$25,000 for the Sale Agreement and \$5,000 for the Unfettered 21 Sale Agreement.

22 16. I believe that the sale procedures attached hereto as **Exhibit** C should be approved 23 by the Court and they will enhance my ability to obtain the best price in an auction.

4

111 24 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28 ///

Wendel, Rosen, Black & Dean LLP 1111 Broadway, 24th Floor Oakland, California 94607-4036

11

1	17. I negotiated this transaction with Jason Borland of Spectrum Wine Auction in	
2	arm's length negotiations. I have no connection to Mr. Borland or Spectrum Wine Auction, other	
3	than the agreements attached to this declaration.	
4	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct that this declaration	
5	was executed on the 22nd day of July 2016, at San Francisco, California.	
6		
7		
8	/s/ Michael G. Kasolas Michael G. Kasolas	
9	Witchder G. Russius	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
5980.1	DECLARATION OF MICHAEL G. KASOLAS IN SUPPORT OF 5 MOTION FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING SALE OF WINE 5	

Wendel, Rosen, Black & Dean LLP 1111 Broadway, 24th Floor Oakland. California 94607-4036