#### Fill in this information to identify the case:

Official Form 410

# **Proof of Claim**

E-Filed on 08/25/2021 Claim # 331

Read the instructions before filling out this form. This form is for making a claim for payment in a bankruptcy case. Do not use this form to make a request for payment of an administrative expense. Make such a request according to 11 U.S.C. § 503.

Filers must leave out or redact information that is entitled to privacy on this form or on any attached documents. Attach redacted copies of any documents that support the claim, such as promissory notes, purchase orders, invoices, itemized statements of running accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgages, and security agreements. Do not send original documents; they may be destroyed after scanning. If the documents are not available, explain in an attachment.

A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.

Fill in all the information about the claim as of the date the case was filed. That date is on the notice of bankruptcy (Form 309) that you received.

#### Part 1: Identify the Claim

1.	Who is the current creditor?	Armando Sarmento Name of the current creditor (the person or entity to be paid for this claim) Other names the creditor used with the debtor		
2.	Has this claim been acquired from someone else?	<ul> <li>☑ No</li> <li>☑ Yes. From whom?</li> </ul>		
3.	Where should notices and payments to the	Where should notices to the creditor be sent?	Where should payments to the creditor be sent? different)	(if
	creditor be sent? Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure (FRBP) 2002(g)	Robert David Baker, Inc. Name 80 South White Rd	Name	
	(	Number Street San Jose CA 95127	Number Street	
		City State ZIP Code	City State ZIP	Code
		Contact email rbaker@rdblaw.net	Contact email	
		Uniform claim identifier for electronic payments in chapter 13 (if you	u use one): 	
4.	Does this claim amend one already filed?	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes. Claim number on court claims registry (if known) _</li> </ul>	Filed on	
5.	Do you know if anyone else has filed a proof of claim for this claim?	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes. Who made the earlier filing?</li> </ul>		

04/19

charges required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c)(2)(2)(A).         attach redacted copies of any documents supporting the claim required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c). Limit disclosing information that is entitled to privacy, such as health care information. Employment Litigation         attach redacted copies of any documents supporting the claim required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c). Limit disclosing information that is entitled to privacy, such as health care information. Employment Litigation         attach redacted copies of any documents supporting the claim required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c). Limit disclosing information that is entitled to privacy, such as health care information.         attach redacted copies of any documents, supporting the claim is secured?         b Is all or part of the claim secured?         b Is all or part of the claim that is secured by the debtor's principal residence, file a Mortgage Proof of Claim.	Do you have any number you use to identify the debtor?	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes. Last 4 digits of the debtor's account or any number you use to identify the debtor:</li> </ul>	
charges required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c)(2)(A).  What is the basis of the Chargen required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c). Limit disclosing information that is entitled to privacy, such as health care information. Employment Litigation I is all or part of the claim No Received? I No Real estate. If the claim is secured by a lien on property. Nature of property: Received? R	. How much is the claim?		
claim?       Attach redacted copies of any documents supporting the claim required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c). Limit disclosing information that is entitled to privacy, such as health care information.         Employment Litigation       Image: Secured?         Is all or part of the claim       Image: Secured by a lien on property.         Nature of property:       Real estate. If the claim is secured by the debtor's principal residence, file a <i>Mortgage Proof of Claim.</i> Image: Motor vehicle       Motor vehicle         Image: Other, Describe:       Basis for perfection:         Attach redacted copies of documents, if any, that show evidence of perfection of a security interest (example, a mortgage, lien, certificate of title, financing statement, or other document that shows the been filed or recorded.)         Value of property:       \$		Yes. Attach statement itemizing interest, fees, expenses, or other charges required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c)(2)(A).	
Limit disclosing information that is entitled to privacy, such as health care information. Employment Litigation  Is all or part of the claim Real estate. If the claim is secured by a lien on property. Nature of property: Real estate. If the claim is secured by the debtor's principal residence, file a <i>Mortgage Proof of C Attachment</i> (Official Form 410-A) with this <i>Proof of Claim</i> . Motor vehicle Other. Describe: Basis for perfection: Attach redacted copies of documents, if any, that show evidence of perfection of a security interest ( example, a mortgage, lien, certificate of title, financing statement, or other document that shows the been filed or recorded.) Value of property: Amount of the claim that is unsecured: Amount of the claim that is unsecured: Amount of the claim that is unsecured: Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition: Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition: Fixed Variable No	claim?		
Is all or part of the claim secured?  Is all or part of the claim Secured by a lien on property.  Nature of property:  Real estate. If the claim is secured by the debtor's principal residence, file a Mortgage Proof of O Attachment (Official Form 410-A) with this Proof of Claim.  Notor vehicle Dother. Describe:  Basis for perfection:  Attach redacted copies of documents, if any, that show evidence of perfection of a security interest ( example, a mortgage, lien, certificate of title, financing statement, or other document that shows the been filed or recorded.)  Value of property:  Amount of the claim that is secured:  Amount of the claim that is unsecured:  Amount of the claim that is unsecured:  Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition:  Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition:  Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition:  Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition:  Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition:  Amount of the claim that is unsecured:  Amount of the claim that is unsecured:  Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition:  Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition:  Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition:  Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition:  Amount of he claim that is unsecured:  Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition:  Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition:  Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition:  Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition:  Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition:  Amount necessary to cure any default as of the dat			
secured?  Yes. The claim is secured by a lien on property.  Nature of property:  Real estate. If the claim is secured by the debtor's principal residence, file a <i>Mortgage Proof of C Attachment</i> (Official Form 410-A) with this <i>Proof of Claim</i> .  Motor vehicle Other. Describe:  Basis for perfection:  Attach redacted copies of documents, if any, that show evidence of perfection of a security interest ( example, a mortgage, lien, certificate of title, financing statement, or other document that shows the been filed or recorded.)  Value of property:  Amount of the claim that is unsecured:  Amount of the claim that is unsecured:  Amount of the claim that is unsecured:  Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition:  Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition:  Fixed Variable		Employment Litigation	
Nature of property:   Real estate. If the claim is secured by the debtor's principal residence, file a <i>Mortgage Proof of Attachment</i> (Official Form 410-A) with this <i>Proof of Claim</i> . Motor vehicle   Other. Describe:   Basis for perfection:   Attach redacted copies of documents, if any, that show evidence of perfection of a security interest (example, a mortgage, lien, certificate of title, financing statement, or other document that shows the been filed or recorded.) Value of property:   Value of property: \$			
Real estate. If the claim is secured by the debtor's principal residence, file a <i>Mortgage Proof of C Attachment</i> (Official Form 410-A) with this <i>Proof of Claim</i> .    Motor vehicle   Other. Describe:   Basis for perfection:   Attach redacted copies of documents, if any, that show evidence of perfection of a security interest (example, a mortgage, lien, certificate of title, financing statement, or other document that shows the been filed or recorded.)   Value of property:   Xamount of the claim that is secured:   Amount of the claim that is unsecured:   Amount of the claim that is unsecured:   Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition:   Amount Interest Rate (when case was filed)   Yaiable	oodiour		
Attachment (Official Form 410-A) with this <i>Proof of Claim</i> .			
<ul> <li>Motor vehicle</li> <li>Other. Describe:</li> <li>Basis for perfection:         <ul> <li>Attach redacted copies of documents, if any, that show evidence of perfection of a security interest (example, a mortgage, lien, certificate of title, financing statement, or other document that shows the been filed or recorded.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Value of property: \$</li></ul>			
Basis for perfection: Attach redacted copies of documents, if any, that show evidence of perfection of a security interest ( example, a mortgage, lien, certificate of title, financing statement, or other document that shows the been filed or recorded.) Value of property: \$ Amount of the claim that is secured: \$ Amount of the claim that is unsecured: \$(The sum of the secured and une amounts should match the amou Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition: \$ Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition: \$ Amount Interest Rate (when case was filed)%    Fixed    Variable			
Attach redacted copies of documents, if any, that show evidence of perfection of a security interest ( example, a mortgage, lien, certificate of title, financing statement, or other document that shows the been filed or recorded.)          Value of property:       \$		Other. Describe:	
example, a mortgage, lien, certificate of title, financing statement, or other document that shows the been filed or recorded.)          Value of property:       \$		•	
Amount of the claim that is secured: \$		example, a mortgage, lien, certificate of title, financing statement, or other document that shows the lien has	
Amount of the claim that is unsecured: \$(The sum of the secured and unsamounts should match the amound		Value of property: \$	
Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition: \$		Amount of the claim that is secured: \$	
Annual Interest Rate (when case was filed)% Fixed Variable 0. Is this claim based on a V No lease?		Amount of the claim that is unsecured: \$(The sum of the secured and unsecured amounts should match the amount in line 7	
□ Fixed □ Variable		Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition: \$	
lease?		Fixed	
		No No	
	iease :	☐ Yes. Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition. \$\$	
. Is this claim subject to a 🛛 No right of setoff?		No No	
right of setoff?	right of seton?	Yes. Identify the property:	

~ ·

of the Date

the Cose Wee Filed

12. Is all or part of the claim	🗹 No			
entitled to priority under 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)?	Yes. Check	one:	Amount entitled to prior	
A claim may be partly priority and partly		ic support obligations (including alimony and child support) under C. § 507(a)(1)(A) or (a)(1)(B).	\$0.	
nonpriority. For example, in some categories, the law limits the amount entitled to priority.		3,025* of deposits toward purchase, lease, or rental of property or services for II, family, or household use. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(7).	\$0.	
	bankrup	salaries, or commissions (up to \$13,650*) earned within 180 days before the tcy petition is filed or the debtor's business ends, whichever is earlier. C. § 507(a)(4).	\$0.	
	Taxes o	r penalties owed to governmental units. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(8).	\$0.	
	🖵 Contribu	utions to an employee benefit plan. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(5).	\$0.	
	Other. S	Specify subsection of 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)() that applies.	\$0	
	* Amounts a	are subject to adjustment on 4/01/22 and every 3 years after that for cases begun on or aft	er the date of adjustment.	
Part 3: Sign Below				
The person completing	Check the appro	priate box:		
this proof of claim must sign and date it.	□ I am the creditor.			
FRBP 9011(b).	I am the creditor's attorney or authorized agent.			
If you file this claim electronically, FRBP	I am the true	stee, or the debtor, or their authorized agent. Bankruptcy Rule 3004.		
5005(a)(2) authorizes courts to establish local rules	l am a guar	antor, surety, endorser, or other codebtor. Bankruptcy Rule 3005.		
specifying what a signature is.		t an authorized signature on this <i>Proof of Claim</i> serves as an acknowledgment aim, the creditor gave the debtor credit for any payments received toward the d		
A person who files a				
fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5	I have examined the information in this <i>Proof of Claim</i> and have a reasonable belief that the information is true and correct.			
ears, or both. 8 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and	I declare under p	penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.		
3571.	Executed on dat	e <u>08/25/2021</u> MM / DD / YYYY		
	April Kimn Signature	n		
	Print the name	of the person who is completing and signing this claim:		
	Name	April Kimm First name Middle name Last name		
	Title	Director		
	Company	Dundon Advisers LLC		
	·	Identify the corporate servicer as the company if the authorized agent is a servicer.		
	Address	440 Mamaroneck Ave, Ste 507		

Address	<del></del> 0 Man					
	Number	Street				
	Harrison		NY	10528		
	City		State	ZIP Code		
Contact phone	<u>(914) 34</u>	1-1188	Email a	<@dundon.com		

Attachment 1 - Sarmento Complaint Buffets.pdf Description -

I	Case 5:20-cv-07922 Document 1 Filed 11/10/20 Page 1 of 10
1	ROBERT DAVID BAKER, INC. Robert David Baker, Esq. (87314) 80 South White Road
3	San Jose, CA 95127 Telephone: (408) 251-3400
4	Facsimile: (408) 251-3401 rbaker@rdblaw.net
5	Attorney for Plaintiffs ARMANDO SARMENTO;
6	MERCEDES TEJADA-URENA; JOSHUA SILVA
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	
12	ARMANDO SARMENTO; MERCEDESCASE NUMBER:TEJADA-URENA; JOSHUA SILVA, on behalfCOMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND
13	of themselves and those similarly situated individuals, <b>DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL:</b> 1.) Violation of FLSA, section 207 (overtime
14	wages); 2.) Violation of California Labor Code § 510
15	Plaintiffs,(overtime);3.) Violation of Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512 (meal
16 17	vs. F&P, INC OVATION PAYROLL, LLC; DUEFETS, LLC: THOMAS, W. SUDDERRY 4.) Violation of Labor Code § 201 (wages at
18	BUFFETS, LLC; THOMAS W. SUDBERRY, JR; F&P LLC; HOMETOWN BUFFETS; VITANOVA BRANDS, 4.) VIOLATOR OF Labor Code § 201 (wages at termination) 5.) Failure to Provide Accurate Wage Statements
19	<ul> <li>Labor Code § 226</li> <li>Defendants.</li> <li>- Labor Code § 226</li> <li>6.) For Restitution of Unpaid Wages in Violation</li> </ul>
20	of California Unfair Trade Practices Act under Business and Professions Code §§ 17200, et seq.
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
25 26	
20	
28	
	1

Complaint for Damages and Demand for Jury Trial

Plaintiffs Armando Sarmento, Mercedes Tajada-Urena, Joshua Silva (hereinafter, "Plaintiffs") allege as follows:

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This action is brought pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq., and this court has jurisdiction pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and the doctrines of pendent and supplemental jurisdiction.

 Plaintiffs are individuals who have their place of residence in the Northern District of California;

2. Defendants F&P LLC - Ovation Payroll, LLC; Buffets, LLC; Thomas W. Sudberry, Jr; F&P LLC; Hometown Buffets; Vitanova Brands (collectively hereinafter, "Defendants") are business entities operating in Northern California, and under the various names were the employers of Defendants in the wrongful conduct hereinafter alleged. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that F&P LLC is the alter ego of Defendant Thomas W. Sudberry, and that F&P LLC was formed, among other reasons, to defraud workers like Plaintiffs by purportedly erecting a corporate shield to protect Defendants' wrongful conduct, including but not limited to, the violation of State and Federal wage laws. F&P LLC is not properly capitalized to respond in damages to workers' wage and hour complaints, such as Plaintiffs herein. There is such a unity of interest between F&P LLC and Thomas W. Sudberry that it would be unfair and inequitable to honor the corporate shield in the instant case because the result would be to unjustly deprive Plaintiffs in this action of money lawfully owing to them for their earnest labor, and such a result would be inequitable. There is a unity of interest and ownership between F&P LLC and Thomas W. Sudberry such that the separate personalities of the corporation and the individuals no longer exist, inter alia, to wit: money and assets are comingled between Defendants and F&P LLC.; the formalities of the corporate structure are disregarded by Defendants; the corporation acts as a conduit to avoid the payment of state and federal taxes, and compulsory withholding for state and federal taxation purposes; Defendants otherwise ignore the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

#### Case 5:20-cv-07922 Document 1 Filed 11/10/20 Page 3 of 10

corporate separateness between themselves and F&P LLC, and; the corporation is set up, *inter alia*, as a shield to defraud its employees through its flagrant violation of California wage and hour laws;

3. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that each employee, agent, or manager of Defendants personally participated, directed, authorized, and ratified the conduct set forth hereinafter. The conduct of those individuals was done in the course and scope of their agency and employment with Defendants and managers unknown were employers within the meaning of the FLSA;

4. Plaintiffs allege that those present and former employees similarly situated to themselves were subject to a plan, scheme, and policy of Defendants to deprive them of their lawfully earned overtime compensation. The putative class is defined as those hourly, nonexempt "managers," waiters, and cooks, et al., who worked at Defendants buffet restaurants throughout Northern California;

5. Plaintiff Armando Sarmento began working at Hometown Buffet, Southland Mall, Hayward, California within four years of the filing of this Complaint, and worked at Hometown Buffet until March 20, 220. Mercedes Tejada-Urena worked at the Southland Mall Hometown Buffet until July 2020. Jose Silva worked at the Southland Mall Hometown Buffet until October 2018;

6. From on or after the date of their hire, Plaintiffs were required to work and did work in excess of eight hours per day and forty hours per week without the required overtime compensation;

During their employment at Hometown Buffet, Plaintiffs were paid an hourly rate per hour;

8. During all times relevant herein, Plaintiffs were denied meal breaks and rest breaks;

9. During all times relevant herein, Plaintiffs were not exempt from overtime compensation pursuant to California or Federal law;

10. Defendants conceived of a plan, scheme, and policy, whereby they would increase profits and gain competitiveness over similarly situated businesses by depriving Plaintiffs and other

similarly situated hourly workers of earned overtime compensation through the scheme described above, and Plaintiffs are bringing this action as a class action pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), to redress this uniform plan, scheme, and company policy with respect to the failure to pay overtime and minimum wage compensation;

Because Defendants did not provide its workers with complete and accurate time 11. records for the days that they worked, Plaintiffs do not have precise calculations of their overtime compensation losses. However, notwithstanding the foregoing, each Plaintiff worked greater than forty hours per week during their employment with Hometown Buffet without overtime hours over 40 hours per week.

### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Fair Labor Standards Act - Overtime Compensation 29 U.S.C. § 207)

12. Plaintiffs incorporate paragraphs 1 through 11, inclusive, as if fully set forth herein; 13. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiffs were covered pursuant to the provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended, 29 U.S.C. A. § 201, et seq. ("FLSA"). Defendants were employers within the meaning of the 29 U.S.C.A. § 203(d);

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

14. 29 U.S.C. § 207 requires all covered employees to be paid overtime for work performed in excess of forty hours per week, unless specifically exempted by law;

15. Although Plaintiffs were not exempt from overtime compensation under the FLSA during their employment with Defendants, and although Defendants had full knowledge of their duty to pay overtime compensation to Plaintiffs, Defendants knowingly, through the scheme described above caused, suffered, and permitted Plaintiffs to regularly work in excess of forty hours per week without paying overtime wages, of one-and-one-half Plaintiffs' regular hourly rate of pay;

16. By not paying overtime wages, Defendants violated Plaintiffs' rights under the FLSA;

1 17. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' failure to pay overtime wages 2 pursuant to the FLSA, Plaintiffs have incurred compensatory and economic damages in the form of 3 lost overtime compensation; 4 Defendants willfully and intentionally, and with reckless disregard of their 18. 5 responsibilities under the FLSA, and without good cause, failed to pay Plaintiffs their overtime 6 compensation, and thus Defendants are liable to Plaintiffs for liquidated damages in an amount equal 7 to their lost overtime wages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b); 8 9 19. Plaintiffs have been compelled to retain an attorney to bring this action for relief and 10 are entitled to an award of reasonable attorney fees pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b). WHEREFORE, 11 Plaintiffs pray for judgment as set forth below; 12 SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION 13 (Violation of California Labor Code Sections 510- Non Payment of Overtime Premiums) 14 20. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the allegations of paragraphs 1-19 as if fully set 15 forth herein; 16 21. During the last four years from the filing of this complaint in the above-entitled 17 action, Plaintiffs' employment was subject to California Labor Code Section 510, et. al, and the 18 applicable Wage Orders promulgated by the California Industrial Welfare Commission pursuant to 19 20 Labor Code Section 1173, which requires all covered employees to be paid overtime for work 21 performed in excess of forty hours per week and/or eight hours per day, unless specifically exempted 22 by the law; 23 22. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiffs regularly worked in excess of forty hours per 24 week and/or in excess of eight hours per day. Plaintiffs were not paid the required overtime 25 premium; 26 27 28

# Case 5:20-cv-07922 Document 1 Filed 11/10/20 Page 6 of 10

1	23. During the term of Plaintiffs' employment, Defendants knowingly and willfully
2	caused, suffered and permitted Plaintiffs to regularly work in excess of forty hours per week and/or
3	eight hours per day without paying them the required overtime rate;
4	24. By not paying Plaintiffs overtime wages in compliance with California law,
5	Defendants violated Plaintiffs' rights under the law, specifically California Labor Code Section 510;
7	25. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiffs overtime
8	wages under the California Labor Code and Wage Orders, Plaintiffs have incurred compensatory and
9	economic damages in the form of lost overtime compensation in amounts to be proven at trial;
10	26. Defendants were aware of the existence and requirements of the California Labor
11	Code Section 510 and the Wage Orders, and willfully, knowingly and intentionally failed to pay
12	Plaintiffs the overtime compensation due them at Defendants' regular pay periods;
13 14	27. Plaintiffs have been compelled to retain an attorney for the purpose of redress of the
14	Labor Code violations and is entitled to an award of attorneys fees and pre-judgment interest
16	pursuant to California Labor Code Section 1194(a), and other applicable Code sections;
17	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment as set forth below.
18	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
19	(Meal and Rest Breaks Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512)
20	28. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate the allegations of paragraphs 1-27 as if fully stated
21	herein;
22	29. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiffs' employment with Defendants was governed by
23	the California Labor Code and applicable Wage Orders promulgated by the California Industrial
24	Welfare Commission;
25 26	30. During the period four years prior to the filing of this complaint in the above-entitled
27	matter, Plaintiffs routinely worked more than eight hours per day and over forty hours per week, yet
28	
	6

# Case 5:20-cv-07922 Document 1 Filed 11/10/20 Page 7 of 10

1	Defendants did not provide Plaintiffs meal breaks nor mandatory ten-minute rest breaks, and		
2	compelled Plaintiffs to work through those meal and rest break periods;		
3	31. By requiring Plaintiffs to work through their mandated meal and rest breaks,		
4	Defendants violated Plaintiffs' rights under Labor Code Section 226.7;		
5	32. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' failure to provide the mandated meal		
6 7	and rest breaks under the California Labor Code and Wage Orders, Plaintiffs have incurred		
8	compensatory damages in the form of lost meal and rest break premiums in amounts to be proven at		
9	trial;		
10	33. Defendants were aware of the existence and requirements of California Labor Code		
11	1		
12	Sections 226.7 and 512 and the Wage Orders, and Defendants willfully, knowingly, and		
13	intentionally failed to provide Plaintiffs with mandatory meal and rest breaks;		
14	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment as set forth below.		
15	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Failure to Pay Wages Upon Termination Labor Code §§ 201 202 202)		
16 17	Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203)		
18	34. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate those allegations of paragraphs 1-33, as if fully		
19	stated herein;		
20	35. Defendants are subject to Labor Code Sections 201 and 202, which provide that upon		
21	discharge or layoff from employment, an employee is subject to immediate payment of wages due,		
22	and if an employee resigns, the employers must pay that employee all wages due within a reasonable		
23	period of time, not to exceed 72 hours under Labor Code section 203;		
24	36. Defendants failed to make payment to Plaintiffs under these Labor Code sections;		
25	37. By not paying Plaintiffs pursuant to Labor Code sections 201-203, Defendants		
26	violated Plaintiffs' rights under the Labor Code;		
27			
28	7		

1	38. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants violation of the Labor Codes 201-203,
2	Plaintiffs have incurred compensatory and economic damages in the form of lost wages, in an
3	amount to be proven at trial;
4	39. Defendants were aware of the existence of the requirement of payment of wages due
5	upon separation of employment pursuant to the California Labor Code, and willfully, knowingly,
7	and intentionally failed to pay Plaintiffs wages due upon separation of employment;
8	40. Plaintiffs have been required to retain an attorney for the purposes of redress of the
9	Labor Code violations set forth herein and is entitled to an award of attorney fees and pre-judgement
10	interest pursuant to California Labor Code section 1194(a), and other applicable Labor Code
11	sections.
12	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment as set forth below.
13	FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION
14 15	(For Restitution of Unpaid Overtime Wages In Violation of California's Unfair Trade Practices Act
	Business and Profession Code Sections 17200, et seq.)
16 17	41. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate those allegations of paragraphs 1-40, as if fully
18	stated herein;
19	42. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiffs' employment with Defendants was governed by
20	the California Labor Code and applicable Wage Orders promulgated by the California Industrial
21	Welfare Commission and the FLSA, which require all employees to be paid overtime for work
22	performed in excess of forty hours per week/or eight hours per day unless specifically exempted by
23	the law, and provided meal and rest breaks, minimum wages. Pursuant to the California Labor Code
24 25	and Applicable Wage Orders;
26	43. During the four years prior to filing tis complaint, Defendants were subject to the
27	California Unfair Trade Practices Act (California Business and Professions Code Section §17000 et
28	
	8

*seq*.). Defendants violated the Unfair Trade Practices Act, by <u>inter alia</u>, failure to pay Plaintiffs overtime wages and to provide meal and rest breaks;

44. During the four years prior to filing this complaint, Defendants wrongfully obtained monies from Plaintiffs in the form of overtime wages, meal and rest break hours that inured to Plaintiffs. By doing so, Defendants violated the California Unfair Trade Practices Act, Business and Professions Code §17200, *et seq.* by committing acts prohibited by the California Labor Code and applicable California Wage Orders, in order to give Defendants a competitive advantage over businesses with whom Defendants are in competition with, and who were in compliance with California's wage and hour laws;

45. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants statutory violations, the rights of the Plaintiffs under the law were violated, causing them to incur general damages in the form of unpaid and lost wages to which they were legally entitled;

46. Defendants were aware of the existence and requirements of the state and federal wage and hour laws, and willfully, knowingly, intentionally, and fraudulently, violated those wage and hour laws, and the violation was willful, intentional, malicious, fraudulent, and done without regard for the health or welfare of Plaintiffs and therefore Plaintiffs seek an award of punitive damages to be determined at time of trial. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs overtime pay and provide meal and rest breaks. Plaintiffs herein seek restitution of such compensation pursuant to the Business and Professions Code §17203;

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment as set forth below.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against the Defendants and demand as follows:
1. Award Plaintiffs economic and compensatory damages for unpaid overtime
premiums, loss of meal, and rest breaks; and other pecuniary losses in amounts to be proven at trial;

Case 5:20-cv-07922 Document 1 Filed 11/10/20 Page 10 of 10 1 2. Award Plaintiffs pre-judgment interest of 10% on the amounts due under the 2 California Labor Code; 3 Award Plaintiffs liquidated damages according to the FLSA; 3. 4 Award Plaintiffs restitution of unpaid overtime compensation and meal and rest break 4. 5 premiums, inter alia, pursuant to California Business and Professions Code §17203 in amounts to be 6 proven at trial; 7 5. Award Plaintiffs compensation pursuant to Labor Code Section 201 - 203, for the 8 9 failure of Defendants to make payment of wages due on separation of employment; 10 6. Enter an order certifying this action as an FLSA collective class action based on the 11 class of hourly nonexempt "managers," cashiers, and cooks, who worked for Defendants within the 12 past three years at Defendants' food facilities, who are entitled to overtime compensation pursuant to 13 29 U.S.C. § 207; 14 Enter a permanent injunctive order against Defendants ensuring compliance with the 7. 15 California Labor Code and Wage Orders and the FLSA; 16 17 Enter an order decreeing Thomas W. Sudberry personally liable for all damages 8. 18 incurred by Plaintiffs; 19 9. For reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to California Labor Code §1194(a) and the 20 FLSA; 21

10. Award Plaintiffs their costs of suit herein;

11. Grant such other and further relief as this Court may deem appropriate.

## PLAINTIFFS DEMAND A JURY TRIAL ON ALL CAUSES

Dated: November 10, 2020

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

/S/ Robert David Baker, Esq.