IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION

In re:	§	Chapter 11
GASTAR EXPLORATION INC., *et al.*,[1]	§	Case No. 18-36057 (MI)
Debtors.	§	(Jointly Administered)
	§	(Emergency Hearing Requested)

DEBTORS' EMERGENCY MOTION FOR ENTRY OF AN ORDER (I) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED ADEQUATE ASSURANCE OF PAYMENT FOR FUTURE UTILITY SERVICES, (II) PROHIBITING UTILITY COMPANIES FROM ALTERING, REFUSING, OR DISCONTINUING SERVICES, (III) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED PROCEDURES FOR RESOLVING ADDITIONAL ASSURANCE REQUESTS, AND (IV) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF

THIS MOTION SEEKS ENTRY OF AN ORDER THAT MAY ADVERSELY AFFECT YOU. IF YOU OPPOSE THE MOTION, YOU SHOULD IMMEDIATELY CONTACT THE MOVING PARTY TO RESOLVE THE DISPUTE. IF YOU AND THE MOVING PARTY CANNOT AGREE, YOU MUST FILE A RESPONSE AND SEND A COPY TO THE MOVING PARTY. YOUR RESPONSE MUST STATE WHY THE MOTION SHOULD NOT BE GRANTED. IF YOU DO NOT FILE A TIMELY RESPONSE, THE RELIEF MAY BE GRANTED WITHOUT FURTHER NOTICE TO YOU. IF YOU OPPOSE THE MOTION AND HAVE NOT REACHED AN AGREEMENT, YOU MUST ATTEND THE HEARING. UNLESS THE PARTIES AGREE OTHERWISE, THE COURT MAY CONSIDER EVIDENCE AT THE HEARING AND MAY DECIDE THE MOTION AT THE HEARING.

EMERGENCY RELIEF HAS BEEN REQUESTED. IF THE COURT CONSIDERS THE MOTION ON AN EMERGENCY BASIS, THEN YOU WILL HAVE LESS THAN 21 DAYS TO ANSWER. IF YOU OBJECT TO THE REQUESTED RELIEF OR IF YOU BELIEVE THAT THE EMERGENCY CONSIDERATION IS NOT WARRANTED, YOU SHOULD FILE AN IMMEDIATE RESPONSE. A HEARING WILL BE HELD ON THIS MATTER FOR NOVEMBER 1, 2018, AT 2:30 P.M. (CT) BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARVIN ISGUR, 515 RUSK STREET, COURTROOM 404, HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002.

REPRESENTED PARTIES SHOULD ACT THROUGH THEIR ATTORNEY.

The above-captioned debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the "Debtors")[2] respectfully state the following in support of this motion:

[1] The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, are: Gastar Exploration Inc. (1640), and Northwest Property Ventures LLC (8685). The location of the Debtors' service address is: 1331 Lamar Street, Suite 650, Houston, Texas 77010.

[2] A detailed description of the Debtors and their businesses, and the facts and circumstances supporting this motion and the Debtors' chapter 11 cases, are set forth in greater detail in the *Declaration of Michael A. Gerlich, Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice President of Gastar Exploration Inc., in Support of Chapter 11 Petitions and*

Relief Requested

1. The Debtors seek entry of an order, substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit A** (the "Order"): (a) approving the Debtors' proposed adequate assurance of payment for future utility services, (b) prohibiting utility companies from altering, refusing, or discontinuing service, (c) approving the Debtors' proposed procedures for resolving additional adequate assurance requests, and (d) granting related relief.

Jurisdiction and Venue

2. The United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas (the "Court") has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334 and the *Amended Standing Order of Reference from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas*, dated May 24, 2012 (the "Amended Standing Order"). The Debtors confirm their consent, pursuant to rule 7008 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), to the entry of a final order by the Court in connection with this motion to the extent that it is later determined that the Court, absent consent of the parties, cannot enter final orders or judgments in connection herewith consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution.

3. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

4. The bases for the relief requested herein are sections 105(a) and 366 of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rule 6003, and rule 9013-1(i) of the Bankruptcy Local Rules for the Southern District of Texas (the "Bankruptcy Local Rules").

First Day Motions, (the "First Day Declaration"), filed contemporaneously with the Debtors' voluntary petitions for relief filed under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"), on October 31, 2018 (the "Petition Date").

Utility Services and Proposed Adequate Assurance

I. The Utility Services and Utility Companies.

5. In connection with the operation of their business and management of their properties, the Debtors obtain electricity, natural gas, propane, telecommunications, water, waste management (including sewer and trash), and other similar services (collectively, the "Utility Services") from a number of utility companies or brokers (collectively, the "Utility Companies"). A nonexclusive list of the Utility Companies and their affiliates that provide Utility Services to the Debtors as of the Petition Date (the "Utility Services List") is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**.[3] The relief requested herein is requested with respect to all Utility Companies providing Utility Services to the Debtors.

6. Uninterrupted Utility Services are essential to the Debtors' ongoing business operations, and hence the overall success of these chapter 11 cases. The Debtors' business involves developing, producing, and marketing oil and natural gas and the Debtors must maintain the ability to run their production equipment in a near-constant state. The Debtors' operations also require electricity and gas for lighting, heating, and air conditioning. In addition to the production processes conducted in the field, the Debtors operate corporate offices responsible for ensuring the smooth operation of the Debtors' businesses. These offices require electricity, telecommunications, water, and waste management services to operate in each of their respective locations. Should any Utility Company refuse or discontinue service, even for a brief period, the Debtors' business operations would be disrupted, and such disruption could jeopardize the

[3] The inclusion of any entity on, or the omission of any entity from, the Utility Services List is not an admission by the Debtors that such entity is, or is not, a utility within the meaning of section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code, and the Debtors reserve all rights with respect to any such determination.

Debtors' ability to manage their reorganization efforts. Accordingly, it is essential that the Utility Services continue uninterrupted during these chapter 11 cases.

7. To the best of the Debtors' knowledge, there are no defaults or arrearages with respect to the undisputed invoices for prepetition Utility Services. On average, the Debtors pay approximately $35,000 each month for third-party Utility Services, calculated as a historical average payment for the six months preceding the Petition Date. Accordingly, the Debtors estimate that their cost for Utility Services during the next 25 days (not including any deposits to be paid) will be approximately $35,000. To the best of the Debtors' knowledge, the Debtors do not have any existing prepayments with respect to any Utility Companies.

II. Proposed Adequate Assurance of Payment.

8. The Debtors intend to pay postpetition obligations owed to the Utility Companies in a timely manner. Cash held by the Debtors, cash generated in the ordinary course of business, and cash available to the Debtors, will provide sufficient liquidity to pay the Debtors' Utility Service obligations in accordance with their prepetition practice.

9. To provide additional assurance of payment, the Debtors propose to (a) pay any prepetition amounts owed to the Utility Companies, and (b) provide the Utility Companies with procedures pursuant to which the Utility Companies may request greater or different security.

10. The Debtors submit that paying any prepetition amounts owed to Utility Companies, in conjunction with the Debtors' ability to pay for future utility services with cash on hand and the proceeds from their debtor-in-possession financing facility in accordance with their prepetition practices (collectively, the "Proposed Adequate Assurance"), constitutes sufficient adequate assurance to the Utility Companies in full satisfaction of section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code.

III. The Adequate Assurance Procedures.

11. Any Utility Company that is not satisfied with the Proposed Adequate Assurance may make a request for additional or different adequate assurance of future payment (each an "Adequate Assurance Request") pursuant to the adequate assurance procedures set forth in the proposed order (the "Adequate Assurance Procedures"). The Adequate Assurance Procedures set forth a streamlined process for Utility Companies to address potential concerns with respect to the Proposed Adequate Assurance, while at the same time allowing the Debtors to continue their business operations uninterrupted. More specifically, the Adequate Assurance Procedures permit a Utility Company to object to the Proposed Adequate Assurance by filing and serving an Adequate Assurance Request upon certain notice parties. The Debtors, in their discretion, may then resolve any Adequate Assurance Request by mutual agreement with the Utility Company and without further order of the Court. If the Adequate Assurance Request cannot be resolved by mutual agreement, the Debtors may seek Court resolution of the Adequate Assurance Request. Unless and until a Utility Company files an objection or serves an Additional Assurance Request, such Utility Company shall be: (a) deemed to have received adequate assurance of payment "satisfactory" to such Utility Company in compliance with section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code; and (b) forbidden to discontinue, alter, or refuse services to, or discriminate against, the Debtors on account of any unpaid prepetition charges, or require additional assurance of payment other than the Proposed Adequate Assurance.

IV. Modifications to the Utility Services List.

12. To the extent the Debtors identify new or additional Utility Companies, the Debtors will serve such Utility Company with a copy of the Court's order regarding Utility Services, including the Adequate Assurance Procedures, and provide such Utility Company two weeks' notice to object to the inclusion of such Utility Company on the Utility Services List. The Debtors

request that the terms of such Utility Services order and the Adequate Assurance Procedures apply to any subsequently identified Utility Company.

Basis for Relief

13. Section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code protects a debtor against the immediate termination or alteration of utility services after the Petition Date. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 366. Section 366(c) of the Bankruptcy Code requires the debtor to provide "adequate assurance" of payment for postpetition services in a form "satisfactory" to the utility company within 30 days of the petition date, or the utility company may alter, refuse, or discontinue service. 11 U.S.C. § 366(c)(2). Section 366(c)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code provides a non-exhaustive list of examples for what constitutes "assurance of payment." 11 U.S.C. § 366(c)(1). Although assurance of payment must be "adequate," it need not constitute an absolute guarantee of the debtor's ability to pay. *See, e.g.*, *In re Great Atl. & Pac. Tea Co.*, 2011 WL 5546954, at *5 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 14, 2011) (finding that "[c]ourts will approve an amount that is adequate enough to insure against unreasonable risk of nonpayment, but are not required to give the equivalent of a guaranty of payment in full"); *In re Caldor, Inc.*, 199 B.R. 1, 3 (S.D.N.Y. 1996) ("Section 366(b) requires . . . 'adequate assurance' of payment. The statute does not require an absolute guarantee of payment." (citation omitted)), *aff'd sub nom. Va. Elec. & Power Co. v. Caldor, Inc.*, 117 F.3d 646 (2d Cir. 1997).

14. When considering whether a given assurance of payment is "adequate," the Court should examine the totality of the circumstances to make an informed decision as to whether the Utility Company will be subject to an unreasonable risk of nonpayment. *See*, *e.g.*, *In re Keydata Corp.*, 12 B.R. 156, 158 (B.A.P. 1st Cir. 1981) (citing *In re Cunha*, 1 B.R. 330 (Bankr. E.D. Va. 1979)); *In re Adelphia Bus. Solutions, Inc.*, 280 B.R. 63, 82–83 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2002). In determining the level of adequate assurance, however, "a bankruptcy court must focus upon the

need of the utility for assurance, and . . . require that the debtor supply no more than that, since the debtor almost perforce has a conflicting need to conserve scarce financial resources." *Va. Elec. & Power Co.*, 117 F.3d at 650 (internal quotations omitted) (citing *In re Penn Jersey Corp.*, 72 B.R. 981, 985 (Bankr. E.D. Pa. 1987)).

15. Here, the Utility Companies are adequately assured against any risk of nonpayment for future services. The Debtors' ongoing ability to meet obligations as they come due in the ordinary course provide assurance of the Debtors' payment of their future obligations. Moreover, termination of the Utility Services could result in the Debtors' inability to operate their business to the detriment of all stakeholders. *Cf. In re Monroe Well Serv., Inc.*, 83 B.R. 317, 321–22 (Bankr. E.D. Pa. 1988) (noting that without utility service the debtors "would have to cease operations" and that section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code "was intended to limit the leverage held by utility companies, not increase it").

16. Courts are permitted to fashion reasonable procedures, such as the Adequate Assurance Procedures proposed herein, to implement the protections afforded under section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code. *See, e.g.*, *In re Circuit City Stores Inc.*, No. 08-35653, 2009 WL 484553, at *5 (Bankr. E.D. Va. Jan. 14, 2009) (stating that "the plain language of § 366 of the Bankruptcy Code allows the court to adopt the [p]rocedures set forth in the Utility Order"). Such procedures are important because, without them, the Debtors "could be forced to address numerous requests by utility companies in an unorganized manner at a critical period in their efforts to reorganize." *Id.* Here, notwithstanding a determination that the Proposed Adequate Assurance constitutes sufficient adequate assurance, any rights the Utility Companies believe they have under sections 366(b) and 366(c)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code are wholly preserved under the Adequate Assurance Procedures. *See id.* at *5–6. The Utility Companies still may choose, in accordance

with the Adequate Assurance Procedures, to request modification of the Proposed Adequate Assurance. *See id.* at *6. The Adequate Assurance Procedures, however, avoid a haphazard and chaotic process whereby each Utility Company could make an extortionate, last-minute demand for adequate assurance that would force the Debtors to pay under the threat of losing critical Utility Services. *See id.* at *5.

17. The Adequate Assurance Procedures are reasonable and in accord with the purposes of section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code, and thus the Debtors respectfully request that Court grant the relief requested herein. Indeed, similar procedures have been approved by bankruptcy courts in this jurisdiction. *See, e.g.*, *EXCO Resources, Inc.*, No. 18-30155 (MI) (Bankr. S.D. Tex. Jan. 18, 2018); *In re GenOn Energy, Inc.*, No. 17-33695 (DJR) (Bankr. S.D. Tex. June 15, 2017); *In re Goodrich Petrol. Corp.*, No. 16-31975 (MI) (Bankr. S.D. Tex. May 4, 2016); *In re Ultra Petrol. Corp.*, No. 16-32202 (MI) (Bankr. S.D. Tex. May 3, 2016); *In re Midstates Petrol. Co., Inc.*, No. 16-32237 (DRJ) (Bankr. S.D. Tex. May 2, 2016).[4]

18. Further, the Court possesses the power, under section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, to "issue any order, process, or judgment that is necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions of this title." 11 U.S.C. § 105(a). The Adequate Assurance Procedures and the Proposed Adequate Assurance are necessary and appropriate to carry out the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, particularly section 366 thereof. Accordingly, the Court should exercise its powers under sections 366 and 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and approve both the Adequate Assurance Procedures and the Proposed Adequate Assurance.

[4] Because of the voluminous nature of the orders cited herein, such orders have not been attached to this motion. Copies of these orders are available upon request to the Debtors' proposed counsel.

Emergency Consideration

19. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Local Rule 9013-1(i), the Debtors respectfully request emergency consideration of this motion pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 6003, which empowers a court to grant relief within the first 21 days after the commencement of a chapter 11 case "to the extent that relief is necessary to avoid immediate and irreparable harm." As set forth in this motion, the Debtors believe an orderly transition into chapter 11 is critical to the viability of their operations and that any delay in granting the relief requested could hinder the Debtors' operations and cause irreparable harm. Furthermore, the failure to receive the requested relief during the first 25 days of these chapter 11 cases could disrupt the Debtors' operations as this critical juncture and imperil the Debtors' restructuring. Accordingly, the Debtors submit that they have satisfied the "immediate and irreparable harm" standard of Bankruptcy Rule 6003 and, therefore, respectfully request that the Court approve the relief requested in this motion on an emergency basis.

Waiver of Bankruptcy Rule 6004(a) and 6004(h)

20. To implement the foregoing successfully, the Debtors request that the Court enter an order providing that notice of the relief requested herein satisfies Bankruptcy Rule 6004(a) and that the Debtors have established cause to exclude such relief from the 14-day stay period under Bankruptcy Rule 6004(h).

Reservation of Rights

21. Nothing contained herein is intended or shall be construed as: (a) an admission as to the validity of any prepetition claim against a Debtor entity; (b) a waiver of the Debtors' or any other party in interest's rights to dispute any prepetition claim on any grounds; (c) a promise or requirement to pay a prepetition claims; (d) an implication or admission that any particular claim is of a type specified or defined in this motion or any order granting the relief requested by this motion; (e) a request or authorization to assume any prepetition agreement, contract, or lease

pursuant to section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code; or (f) a waiver of the Debtors' or any other party in interest's rights under the Bankruptcy Code or any other applicable law.

Notice

22. The Debtors will provide notice of this motion to: (a) the Office of the U.S. Trustee for the Southern District of Texas; (b) the holders of the 20 largest unsecured claims against the Debtors (on a consolidated basis); (c) counsel to Ares Management LLC and its affiliated funds; (d) the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Texas; (e) the Internal Revenue Service; (f) the United States Securities and Exchange Commission; (g) the Environmental Protection Agency and similar state environmental agencies for states in which the Debtors conduct business; (h) the state attorneys general for states in which the Debtors conduct business; and (i) the Utility Companies and (j) any party that has requested notice pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002. The Debtors submit that, in light of the nature of the relief requested, no other or further notice need be given.

[*Remainder of page intentionally left blank.*]

WHEREFORE, the Debtors respectfully request that the Court enter the Order, granting the relief requested herein and such other relief as the Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

Dated: October 31, 2018

/s/ Matthew D. Cavenaugh

Patricia B. Tomasco (TX Bar No. 01797600)
Elizabeth C. Freeman (TX Bar No. 24009222)
Matthew D. Cavenaugh (TX Bar No. 24062656)
JACKSON WALKER L.L.P.
1401 McKinney Street, Suite 1900
Houston, Texas 77010
Telephone: (713) 752-4200
Facsimile: (713) 752-4221
Email: ptomasco@jw.com
efreeman@jw.com
mcavenaugh@jw.com

-and-

Anna G. Rotman, P.C. (TX Bar No. 24046761)
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
KIRKLAND & ELLIS INTERNATIONAL LLP
609 Main Street
Houston, Texas 77002
Telephone: (713) 836-3600
Facsimile: (713) 836-3601
Email: anna.rotman@kirkland.com

-and-

Ross M. Kwasteniet, P.C. (*pro hac vice* admission pending)
John R. Luze (*pro hac vice* admission pending)
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
KIRKLAND & ELLIS INTERNATIONAL LLP
300 North LaSalle
Chicago, Illinois 60654
Telephone: (312) 862-2000
Facsimile: (312) 862-2200
Email: ross.kwasteniet@kirkland.com
john.luze@kirkland.com

Proposed Co-Counsel for the Debtors and Debtors in Possession

Certificate of Service

I certify that on October 31, 2018, I caused a copy of the foregoing document to be served by the Electronic Case Filing System for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas.

/s/ Matthew D. Cavenaugh
Matthew D. Cavenaugh

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION

In re:	§	Chapter 11
GASTAR EXPLORATION INC., *et al.*,[1]	§	Case No. 18-36057 (MI)
Debtors.	§	(Jointly Administered)
	§	**Re: Docket No. __**

ORDER (I) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED ADEQUATE ASSURANCE OF PAYMENT FOR FUTURE UTILITY SERVICES, (II) PROHIBITING UTILITY COMPANIES FROM ALTERING, REFUSING, OR DISCONTINUING SERVICES, (III) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED PROCEDURES FOR RESOLVING ADDITIONAL ASSURANCE REQUESTS, AND (IV) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF

Upon the motion (the "Motion")[2] of the above-captioned debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the "Debtors") for entry of an order (this "Order"), (a) approving the Proposed Adequate Assurance of payment for future utility services, (b) prohibiting Utility Companies from altering, refusing, or discontinuing services, (c) approving the Adequate Assurance Procedures for resolving Additional Assurance Requests, and (d) granting related relief, all as more fully set forth in the Motion; and upon the First Day Declaration; and this Court having jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334; and that this Court may enter a final order consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution; and this Court having found that venue of this proceeding and the Motion in this district is permissible pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and this Court having found that the relief requested in the Motion is in the best interests of the

[1] The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, are: Gastar Exploration, Inc. (1640), and Northwest Property Ventures LLC (8685). The location of the Debtors' service address is: 1331 Lamar Street, Suite 650, Houston, Texas 77010.

[2] Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

Debtors' estates, their creditors, and other parties in interest; and this Court having found that the Debtors' notice of the Motion and opportunity for a hearing on the Motion were appropriate under the circumstances and no other notice need be provided; and this Court having reviewed the Motion and having heard the statements in support of the relief requested therein at a hearing before this Court (the "Hearing"); and this Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion and at the Hearing establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and upon all of the proceedings had before this Court; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The Motion is granted as set forth herein.

2. The Debtors shall cause a copy of the Motion and this Order to be served on each Utility Company listed on the Utility Services List no later than two business days after the date this Order is entered.

3. The Debtors' ability to pay for future utility services in the ordinary course of business subject to the Adequate Assurance Procedures, shall constitute adequate assurance of future payment as required by section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code.

4. If an amount relating to Utility Services provided postpetition by a Utility Company is unpaid, and remains unpaid beyond any applicable grace period, such Utility Company may request additional adequate assurance by giving notice to: (a) the Debtors, 1331 Lamar Street, Suite 650, Houston, Texas 77010, Attn.: Michael A. Gerlich; (b) proposed co-counsel to the Debtors, (i) Kirkland & Ellis LLP, 300 North LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60654, Attn: Ross M. Kwasteniet, P.C. and John R. Luze, and (ii) Jackson Walker L.L.P., 1401 McKinney Street, Suite 1900, Houston, Texas 77010, Attn: Patricia B. Tomasco, Elizabeth C. Freeman, and Matthew D. Cavenaugh; (c) counsel to any statutory committee appointed in these cases;

(d) counsel to Ares Management LLC and its affiliated funds, Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP, 2029 Century Park East, Los Angeles, California, 90067-3019, Attn: Paul Aronzon, Thomas R. Kreller, and Haig M. Maghakian; and (e) the Office of the U.S. Trustee for the Southern District of Texas, 515 Rusk Street, Suite 3516, Houston, Texas 77002 (collectively, the "Notice Parties"). The Debtors shall honor such request within five business days after the date the request is received by the Debtors, subject to the ability of the Debtors and any such requesting Utility Company to resolve any dispute regarding such request without further order of the Court.

5. The following "Adequate Assurance Procedures" are hereby approved:

a. Any Utility Company desiring additional assurances of payment in the form of deposits, prepayments, or otherwise must serve a request for additional assurance (an "Additional Assurance Request") on the Notice Parties. An Additional Assurance Request may be made at any time.

b. Any Additional Assurance Request must (i) be in writing, (ii) identify the location for which the Utility Services are provided, (iii) summarize the Debtors' payment history relevant to the affected account(s), including any security deposits, and (iv) explain why the Utility Company believes the Proposed Adequate Assurance is not sufficient adequate assurance of future payment.

c. Upon the Debtors' receipt of any Additional Assurance Request, the Debtors shall promptly negotiate with such Utility Company to resolve such Utility Company's Additional Assurance Request.

d. The Debtors may, without further order from the Court, resolve any Additional Assurance Request by mutual agreement with a Utility Company, and the Debtors may, in connection with any such agreement, provide a Utility Company with additional adequate assurance of payment, including, but not limited to, cash deposits, prepayments, or other forms of security if the Debtors believe that such adequate assurance is reasonable.

e. If the Debtors and the Utility Company are not able to reach an alternative resolution within 14 days of receipt of the Additional Assurance Request, the Debtors will request a hearing before the Court at the next regularly scheduled omnibus hearing to determine the adequacy of assurances of payment with respect to a particular Utility Company (the "Determination Hearing") pursuant to section 366(c)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code. Pending resolution of any such Determination Hearing, the Utility Company filing such Additional Assurance Request shall be prohibited from altering,

refusing, or discontinuing Utility Services to the Debtors on account of unpaid charges for prepetition services or on account of any objections to the Proposed Adequate Assurance.

6. The Utility Companies are prohibited from requiring additional adequate assurance of payment other than pursuant to the Adequate Assurance Procedures.

7. Unless and until a Utility Company files an objection or serves an Additional Assurance Request, such Utility Company shall be: (a) deemed to have received adequate assurance of payment "satisfactory" to such Utility Company in compliance with section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code; and (b) forbidden from (i) discontinuing, altering, or refusing services to, or discriminating against, the Debtors on account of any unpaid prepetition charges, the commencement of these chapter 11 cases, or any perceived inadequacy of the Proposed Adequate Assurance, and (ii) requiring additional assurance of payment other than the Proposed Adequate Assurance.

8. The Debtors are authorized, following the giving of two weeks' notice to (a) the affected Utility Company and (b) counsel to Ares Management LLC and its affiliated funds, Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP, 2029 Century Park East, Los Angeles, California, 90067-3019, Attn: Paul Aronzon, Thomas R. Kreller, and Haig M. Maghakian, and the Debtors having received no objection from any such Utility Company, to add or remove any Utility Company from the Utility Services List. If an objection is received, the Debtors shall request a hearing before this Court at the next omnibus hearing date, or such other date that the Debtors and the Utility Company may agree. For Utility Companies that are added to the Utility Services List, the Debtors will cause a copy of this Order to be served on (a) such subsequently added Utility Company and (b) counsel to Ares Management LLC and its affiliated funds, Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP, 2029 Century Park East, Los Angeles, California, 90067-3019, Attn: Paul Aronzon, Thomas R. Kreller, and Haig M. Maghakian.

9. The Adequate Protection Procedures set forth herein are for all Utility Companies providing Utility Services to the Debtors and are not limited to those parties or entities listed on the Utility Services List.

10. Notwithstanding the relief granted in this Order and any actions taken pursuant to such relief, nothing in this Order shall be deemed: (a) an admission as to the validity of any prepetition claim against a Debtor entity; (b) a waiver of the Debtors' or any other party in interest's rights to dispute any prepetition claim on any grounds; (c) a promise or requirement to pay a prepetition claims; (d) an implication or admission that any particular claim is of a type specified or defined in the Motion or any order granting the relief requested by the Motion; (e) a request or authorization to assume any prepetition agreement, contract, or lease pursuant to section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code; or (f) a waiver of the Debtors' or any other party in interest's rights under the Bankruptcy Code or any other applicable law.

11. The banks and financial institutions on which checks were drawn or electronic payment requests made in payment of the prepetition obligations approved herein are authorized and directed to receive, process, honor, and pay all such checks and electronic payment requests when presented for payment, and all such banks and financial institutions are authorized to rely on the Debtors' designation of any particular check or electronic payment request as approved by this Order.

12. The Debtors are authorized to issue postpetition checks, or to effect postpetition fund transfer requests, in replacement of any checks or fund transfer requests that are dishonored as a consequence of these chapter 11 cases with respect to prepetition amounts owed in connection with any Utility Services.

13. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, any payment to be made

hereunder shall be subject to the terms, conditions, requirements and budgets imposed on the Debtors under the Debtors' postpetition financing documents (the "DIP Documents") and any order governing the Debtors' use of cash collateral and entry into the DIP Documents.

14. The contents of the Motion satisfy the requirements of Bankruptcy Rule 6003(b).

15. Notice of the Motion as provided therein shall be deemed good and sufficient notice of such Motion and the requirements of Bankruptcy Rule 6004(a) and the Bankruptcy Local Rules are satisfied by such notice.

16. Notwithstanding Bankruptcy Rule 6004(h), the terms and conditions of this Order are immediately effective and enforceable upon its entry.

17. The Debtors are authorized to take all actions necessary to effectuate the relief granted in this Order in accordance with the Motion.

18. This Court retains exclusive jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, and enforcement of this Order.

Dated: _____, 2018
Houston, Texas

MARVIN ISGUR
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

Exhibit B

Utility Services List

Utility Services List

Provider	Address	Service(s) Provided
AT&T	P.O. BOX 105414, ATLANTA, GA 30348 US	OKLAHOMA CITY OFFICE TELEPHONE
AT&T MOBILITY	P.O. BOX 536216, ATLANTA, GA 30353 US	WELLSITE SECURITY CAM
BSREP II	PO BOX 207346, DALLAS, TX 72320 US	HOUSTON OFFICE ELECTRICITY
CIMARRON ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE	P.O. BOX 299, KINGFISHER, OK 73750 US	WELLSITE ELECTRICITY
COGENT COMMUNICATIONS INC	P.O. BOX 791087, BALTIMORE, MD 21279 US	OFFICE INTERNET SVC
COMCAST CORPORATION	ONE COMCAST CENTER 32ND FLOOR, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103 US	P2 LINE CONNECTION
DEER CREEK WATER CORPORATION	4621 N. W. 206TH ST., EDMOND, OK 73012 US	WELLSITE WATER
LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS LLC	P.O. BOX 910182, DENVER, CO 80291 US	OFFICE VOICE AND LONG DISTANCE
OG & E	P.O. BOX 24990, OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73124 US	WELLSITE ELECTRICITY
PHONOSCOPE LTD	PO BOX 207346, HOUSTON, TX 77036 US	OFFICE CABLE SERVICE
WINDSTREAM CORP	P.O. BOX 9001013, LOUISVILLE, KY 40290 US	OFFICE INTERNET