B10 (Official	Form	10)
(Rev. 7/95)		٠

United States Bankruptcy Court		}		
Northern District of Illinois	PROOF OF CLAIM			
In re (Name of Debtor)	Case Number	•		
Kmart Corporation	02-02474			
NOTE: This form should not be used to make a claim for an administrative the case. A "request" for payment of an administrative expense may be file	e expense arising after the commencement of d pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 503.	·		
Name of Creditor (The person or other entity to whom the debtor owes money or property) William C. Perkins II	Check box if you are aware that any- one else has filed a proof of claim relating to your claim. Attach copy of	are times		
Name and Address Where Notices Should be Sent Denise R. Ketchmark (P-42291) Attorney at Law	statement giving particulars.  Check box if you have never received any notices from the bankruptcy count in this case.			
611 W. Court St., Ste 203 Flint, MI 48503 Telephone No. (810) 232-6096	Check box if the address differs from the address on the envelope sent to you by the court.	THIS SPACE IS FOR COURT USE ONLY		
ACCOUNT OR OTHER NUMBER BY WHICH CREDITOR IDENTIFIES DEBTOR:  # 25 - 53 - 53 - 53 - 64 MI Court of Appeals	Check box if this claim replaces a previously filed claim, dated:			
1. BASIS FOR CLAIM				
Goods sold Services performed Money loaned	Retiree benefits as defined in 11 U.S.C. § 11 Wages, salaries, and compensation (Fill out I Your social security number			
☐ Personal injury/wrongful death ☐ Taxes	Unpaid compensation for services performed fromto			
Ox Other (Describe briefly) Employment Discrimina Law Suit Pending in Michigan Court	tion (date) of Appeals	(date)		
2. DATE DEBT WAS INCURRED 1995	3. IF COURT JUDGMENT, DATE OBTAINED No- Summary Dispositi before Michigan Court			
CLASSIFICATION OF CLAIM. Under the Bankruptcy Code all claims are     (2) Unsecured Priority, (3) Secured. It is possible for part of a claim to be     CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX OR BOXES that best describe your of	e classified as one or more of the following: (1) as in one category and part in another.	Unsecured nonpriority,		
SECURED CLAIM \$ Attach evidence of perfection of security interest Brief Description of Collateral:  Real Estate Motor Vehicle Other (Describe briefly)	☐ Wages, salaries, or commissions (up to \$ days before filing of the bankruptcy petitic ness, whichever is earlier—11 U.S.C. § 5	on or cessation of the debtor's busi- 07(a)(3)		
Amount of arrearage and other charges at time case filed included in	☐ Contributions to an employee benefit plan—11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(4) ☐ Up to \$1,800° of deposits toward purchase, lease, or rental of property or			
secured claim above, if any \$	services for personal, family, or household  Alimony, maintenance, or support owed to	d use11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(6)		
A claim is unsecured if there is no collateral or lien on property of the debtor securing the claim or to the extent that the value of such prop-	11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(7)	•		
erty is less than the amount of the claim.	☐ Taxes or penalties of governmental units—11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(8) ☐ Other—Specify applicable paragraph of 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)			
UNSECURED PRIORITY CLAIM \$	*Amounts are subject to adjustment on 4/ with respect to cases commenced on or a	1/98 and every 3 years thereafter		
5. TOTAL AMOUNT OF estimated CLAIM AT THE TIME \$250,000,00 (last \$offer to CASE FILED: (Unsecured) (Sec	ured) (Priority)	\$250,000,00 (Total)		
Check this box if claim includes charges in addition to the principal amo	uni oi ine ciami. Attach remized statement of a	n audmonal charges.		
<ol> <li>CREDITS AND SETOFFS: The amount of all payments on this claim has of making this proof of claim. In filing this claim, claimant has deducted all</li> </ol>	amounts that claimant owes to debtor.	THIS SPACE IS FOR COURT USE ONLY		
<ol> <li>SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS: <u>Attach copies of supporting documents</u>, so invoices, itemized statements of running accounts, contracts, court judgm documents are not available, explain. If the documents are voluminous, at</li> </ol>	ents, or evidence of security interests, if the	2-26-02		
TIME-STAMPED COPY: To receive an acknowledgement of the filing of your envelope and copy of this proof of claim.	our claim, enclose a stamped, self-addressed	2-26-02 400 Sm		
Sign and print the name and title, if any, of the creditor or other person authorized to file this claim (attach copy of power of attorney, if any)  Denise R. Ketchmark, Attorney at Daw				

### STATE OF MICHIGAN

### IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

WILLIAM C. PERKINS, II,

Plaintiff/Appellant,

Oakland County Circuit Case No: 96-535313-CL

Court of Appeals Case No

VS.

KMART CORPORATION, A Michigan Corporation,

Defendant/Appellee.

DENISE R. KETCHMARK (P-42291) Attorney for Plaintiff/Appellant 611 W. Court Street, Suite 203 Flint, MI 48503 810-232-6096

# APPELLANT'S CLAIM OF APPEAL AS OF RIGHT PURSUANT TO M.C.R. 7.200 et al

NOW COMES the Plaintiff/Appellant, William C. Perkins, II, by and through his attorney, Denise R. Ketchmark, and pursuant to M.C.R. 7.200 et al, claims an appeal as of right attorney, Denise R. Ketchmark, and pursuant to M.C.R. 7.200 et al, claims an appeal as of right from Judge Andrews' Opinion and Order from a hearing on Defendant/Appellee's Second Motion for Summary Disposition, held on December 8, 1999, Defendant/Appellee's Motion to File Reply Brief in Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration dated January 14, 2000 with hearing date of January 12, 2000, and Opinion and Order dated February 16, 2000, wherein no hearing was held.

A transcript has been ordered of the proceedings from December 8, 1999 and January 12, 2000, in the above entitled case, and payment for these transcripts have been made or secured to Marguerite Anderson, and she will produce said transcripts as soon as possible.

ISE R. KETCHMARK
TTORNEY AT LAW
SILW. COURT ST.
SUITE 202
(LINT, MI 48503
19101232-5004

This case involves an employment situation, Count I Age Discrimination, was dismissed by Judge Andrews without a trial on the day before trial. Thus, no bond is required due to the fact that no money judgment was ordered and/or entered, and this is not a frivolous cause of action.

Dated: February 25, 2000

DENISE R. KETCHMARK, Attorney for Plaintiff/Appellant 611 W. Court Street, Suite 203 Flint, MI 48503 810-232-6096

a:ckcivil2/perkins4.app

Prepared By:
DENISE R. KETCHMARK (P-42291)
Attorney at Law
611 W. Court Street, Suite 203
Flint, MI 48503
810-232-6096

SER KETOHMARK
FTORNEY AT LAW
III W. COURT ST.
SUITE 203
LINT, MI 48503



### STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF OAKLAND

WILLIAM C. PERKINS, II

CASE\_NO. 96-

Plaintiff,

vs.

JUDGE:

K-MART CORPORATION, A MICHIGAN CORPORATION, BY ITS REGISTERED AGENT: ANTHONY N. PALIZZI

Defendants.

DENISE R. KETCHMARK (P-42291) Attorney for Plaintiff 611 W. Court Street Suite 203 Flint, MI 48503 (313) 232-6096

?		D A
	8,	XC A
NY SOUTH OF THE	DEC 12	RECEIVED FOR FILING DAKLAND COUNTY CLERK
	12	UNIT OR
2	P12	ار (1 13 ك
B	P12 :43	E R

#### COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

There is no other civil action between these parties arising out of the same transaction or occurrence as alleged in the complaint nor has any other civil action been previously filed and dismissed or transferred after having been assigned to a judge, nor do I know of any other civil action, not between these parties, arising out of the same transaction or occurrence as alleged in this Complaint that is either pending or was previously filed and dismissed, transferred, or otherwise disposed of after having been assigned to a judge, in this court.

Dated: 12-10-96

DENISE R. KETCHMARK

SUITE 203 FUHT, ML48503 (0101232-6096

#### PARTIES AND JURISDICTION

NOW COMES the Plaintiff, William C. Perkins, II, by and through his attorney, Denise R. Ketchmark, and sets forth for his Complaint as follows:

- 1. The Plaintiff William C. Perkins, II (hereinafter refereed to as "Perkins") is a resident of the County of Oakland, and State of Michigan and has been for 180 days prior to filing this complaint.
- 2. Defendant K-Mart Corporation (hereinafter referred to as "K-mart") is a corporation which transacts business in the County of Oakland, State of Michigan.
  - 3. The claims which Plaintiff asserts in this case include:
    - A. Age discrimination in violation of the Michigan Elliott-Larsen Civil Rights Act;
    - B. Elliott-Larsen Section 7 Retaliation claim;
    - C. Self-defamation claim against Defendant.
- 4. The claims in this case exceed Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000).
- 5. This court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case based on the courts general subject matter jurisdiction MCLA 600.605.
- 6. This court is a court of proper venue for this action under MCLA 600.1621 in that it is the court for the county in which Defendant corporation transacts business.
- 7. This court is a court of proper venue for this action in that it is the court for the county in which the facts supporting this cause of action arose.

#### GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs one thru seven above.
  - 9. Plaintiff was born August 15, 1931.

NISE R KETCHMARK
ATTORNEY AT LAW
611 W COURT ST
SUITE 203
FLINT, MI 48503
48101232-6086

- 10. Plaintiff, William C. Perkins, II, was employed by Defendant K-Mart Corporation as a Pharmacist. He began his employment with Defendant K-mart on October 5, 1978.
- 11. On March 9, 1995, Plaintiff Perkins was terminated from Defendant K-mart. He was 63 years old and had worked for K-Mart for over 17 years.
- 12. Defendant K-mart treated Plaintiff Perkins more harshly than it did its younger employees.
- 13. Defendants discharged Plaintiff Perkins, in substantial part, because of Plaintiff's age.
- 14. Beginning in the late 1980's, Defendant K-Mart Corporation initiated what it referred to as a renewal program for its retail stores.
- 15. This renewal program contains strategies that K-Mart management perceived to be the marketing and merchandising needs for the 1990's.
- 16. Part of Defendant K-Mart's renewal program was to develop a younger management team to fill the positions as pharmacist, store managers, and other positions for K-Mart's various retail stores.
- 17. At some time after this renewal program was conceived, Defendant K-Mart began a program to eliminate its older, more senior Pharmacists, as well as other managers.
- 18. These categories of employees were first discredited by unfounded and unfavorable performance ratings. Once discredited, these Pharmacists were terminated from their position.
- 19. This renewal program of Defendant K-Mart accomplished two (2) things. First it allowed K-Mart to allow its perceived image through the installation of younger employees. Second, it permitted K-Mart to enjoy substantial savings through reduced compensation for employees, a long term savings through reduce obligations for retirement benefits.

NISE R. KIETCHMARK ATTORREY AT LAW 6H W COURT ST SUITE 203 FLIRT, MI 48503

- 20. The unfavorable rating assigned to Plaintiff was preplanned, and was the product of the intentional policy and plan of age discrimination by K-Mart to rid itself of older, long term employees, namely Plaintiff.
- 21. As a result of his termination from his position with Defendant, Plaintiff experienced a reduction in his annual salary, and he lost, and will continue to lose, other compensation in the form of bonuses or incentive compensation, retirement and other fringe benefits that he would have been entitled to receive in his respective position as a pharmacist with Defendant K-Mart Corporation.
- 22. Plaintiff's termination from Defendant K-Mart was motivated by K-Marts policy of willful age discrimination as outlined in this complaint. Plaintiff was replaced by a significantly younger, and less experienced employee.
- 23. Up until the time that K-Mart began its procedural policy of discrediting long term elderly employees in order to build a record to adversely effect his employment, Plaintiff had been evaluated as diligent and above satisfactory employee.
- 24. Plaintiff Perkins was terminated on account of his age, and in violation of MCLA Section 37.2201 et seq Age Discrimination Claim against Defendant K-Mart. The effect of Defendant K-Mart's discriminatory practices had been to deprive Plaintiff of Equal Employment Opportunities, wages, retirement and other benefits and equal treatment in the terms and conditions of his employment, this damaging Plaintiff.
- 25. From the beginning of Plaintiff's employment with Defendant and throughout Plaintiff's employment, Plaintiff performed his job well.
- 26. Plaintiff was a loyal and diligent employee of Defendant K- Mart.
  - 27. Plaintiff was discharged without good cause.
- 28. Based upon Defendants' wrongful discharge, Plaintiff has suffered humiliation of being discharged, economic damages, as

SISE R. KETCHMARK ATTORNEY AT LAW 6H W. COURT ST SUITE 203 FLIRT, MI 48503 (810) 232-6096 well as emotional distress damages and mental anguish. Plaintiff also seeks a reasonable attorney fee award.

## COUNT I - AGE DISCRIMINATION CLAIM AGAINST DEFENDANT

- 29. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs one through twenty-eight.
- 30. Defendant discharged Plaintiff, Perkins, in substantial part, because of Plaintiff's age.
- 31. Subsequent to Plaintiff's discharge, Plaintiff's job duties were taken over by a younger employee.
- 32. Accordingly, Plaintiff, Perkins asserts an MCLA Section 37,2201 et seq Age Discrimination Claim Against Defendant.

## COUNT II - ELLIOTT-LARSEN SECTION 7 RETALIATION CLAIM

- 33. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs one through thirty-two.
- 34. Plaintiff complained that he was a victim of age discrimination.
- 35. Defendants' retaliated against Plaintiff Perkins because of his complaints by discharging Plaintiff.
- 36. Accordingly, Defendants have violated the Michigan Elliot-Larsen Civil Rights Act MCLA Section 37.2701 et. seq.

# COUNT III - SELF-DEFAMATION CLAIM AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

- 37. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs one through thirty-six.
- 38. Defendants terminated Plaintiff ostensibly because Plaintiff Perkins engaged in an act of insubordination by violating corporate policy, and that four prior corrective interviews had been conducted with Plaintiff Perkins.

RISE R. KETCHMARK ATTORNEY AT LAW 6H W. COURT ST SUITE 203 FLINT, MI 48503 (810) 232-6096

- The above statement is false.
- Based on Defendants' false accusation, Plaintiff has been forced to recite the basis for his termination indicating that he was in fact terminated for violating corporate policy.
- As a result of being forced to recite the versions Defendants have offered for Plaintiff's termination, the Plaintiff was forced to recite false statements of fact concerning himself which were directly caused by the actions of the Defendants.
- It was reasonably foreseeable that Plaintiff would be forced to recite the versions given for his termination to others.
- As a direct and proximate result of the actions of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered past and future economic lost wages and benefits, and Plaintiff has also suffered past and future emotional injuries.

#### CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant in damages in such sum in excess of Ten Thousand and no/100 Dollars (\$10,000) as the jury deems just, together with costs, interest and attorney fees pursuant to statute.

#### DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial in the captioned case as guaranteed by M.C.R. 2.508(B).

I SWEAR THAT THE ABOVE STATEMENTS ARE TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF

WILLIAM C. PERKINS, II

Plaingiff

DENISE R. KETCHMARK (P-42291)

Attorney for Plaintiff

INISER, KETCHMARK 611 W COURT ST SUITE 203 FLINT, MI 48503 (810)232-6096

On this day of <u>December</u>, 1996, before me, a Notary Public in and for the said County, personally appeared the Plaintiff, William C. Perkins, II and made oath that he has read the foregoing Complaint of K-Mart Corporation, and knows the foregoing thereof, and that the same is true to his own knowledge and belief, except as to those matters which he states to be on information and belief, and, as to those matters, he believes it to be true, and further, there is no collusion, agreement, or understanding whatever between himself and the Defendant herein in relation to his Complaint.

DENISE R. KETCHMARK Notary Public

Genesee County, Michigan

My Commission Expires: 01/10/99

PREPARED BY:

DENISE R. KETCHMARK (P-42291)

Attorney at Law

611 W. Court Street, Suite 203

Flint, MI 48503

(810) 232-6096

a:civil/per.com

NISE R. KETCHMARK
ATTORNEY AT LAW
611 W. COURT ST,
SUITE 203
FLINT, MI 48503
(8101232-6096

## STATE OF MICHIGAN

JUDICIAL DISTRICT 6TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

## SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT



Court address 1200 N. TELEGRAPH ROAD, DEPT. 404, PONTIAC, MI 48341-0404 Gourt Telephone no.

JUDGE STEVEN N. ANDREWS PERKINS WILL VS KMART C (810) 858-0582

Plaintiff name(s), address(es), and telephone no(s). WILLIAM C. PERKINS, II 132 N. GENESEE PONTIAC, MI 48341 Plaintiff attorney, bar no., address, and telephone no. DENISE R. KETCHMARK (P-42291) ATTORNEY AT LAW 611 W. COURT STREET, SUITE 203 FLINT, MI 48503 (810) 232-6096

Defendant name(s), address(es), and telephone no(s). K-MART CORPORATION, A MICHIGAN CORPORATION BY ITS REGISTERED AGENT, ANTHONY N. PALIZZI 3100 W. BIG BEAVER ROAD TROY, MI 48084-3163

NOTICE TO THE DEFENDANT: In the name of the people of the State of Michigan you are notified:

- 2. YOU HAVE 21 DAYS after receiving this summons to file an answer with the court and serve a copy on the other party or to take other lawful action (28 days if you were served by mail or you were served outside this state).
- 3. If you do not answer or take other action within the time allowed, judgment may be entered against you for the relief

iss	DEC	12	1936

MAR 1 3 1997

Court clerk


This summons is invested unless	MAR	1	3	1997	LYNN D. ALLEN
This summans is invalid unless served on or b  There is no other pending or r	esolvad -i-			n arising out	of the same transaction or occurrence as alleged in the complaint
i monany med IV	arties or oth	her	par	ties arising o	alleged in the complaint be
Docket no.	or court		Ju	ige	. The docket number and assigned judge are:
The action $\square$ remains $\square$ is	no longer	l	per	nding.	Bar no.
Plaintiff(s) residence				VI	NUE
PONTIAC, MICHIGAN, OAKLAND CO	UNTY				Defendant(s) residence

TROY, MICHIGAN, OAKLAND COUNTY Place where action arose or business conducted TROY, MICHIGAN, OAKLAND COUNTY

I declare that the complaint information above and attached is true to the best of my information, knowledge and belief

COMPLAINT IS STATED ON ATTACHED PAGES. EXHIBITS ARE ATTACHED IF REQUIRED BY COURT RULE.





SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT FULL

Case No:

-CL

TO PROCESS SERVER: You are to serve the summons and complaint not later than 91 days from the date of filing. You must make and file your return with the court clerk. If you are unable to complete service you must return this original and

# CERTIFICATE / AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE / NON-SERVICE

		OLKTIFICA	ATE / AFFIDAVIT OF SER	VICE / NON-SERVICE		
appointed court of	R CERTIFICA sheriff, deputy	ATE	OR	AFFIDAVIT OF PROCESS SERVED		
[MCR 2.104(A)(2)], and that: (notary not required)			Being first duly sworn, I state that I am a legally competent adult who is not a party or an officer of a corporate party, and that:			
☐ I served persor☐ I served by regi	nally a copy istered or c	of the summ	nons and complaint,	thed) a copy of the summons and complaint,		
together with		· · · · · ·	copy of return receipt attac	hed) a copy of the summons and		
Defendant's Name	tachment			, on the defendant(s):		
		Complete address(es)	of service			
			•	Day, date, time		
After diligent see	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>				
angent ses	and in	quiry, I have l	been unable to find and	ve the following defendant(s):		
			to find and ser	ve the following defendant(s):		
I have made the	following e	fforts in attan	npting to serve process:			
		noto in atten	inpung to serve process:			
have personally	-44					
o personally	attempted	to serve the	summons and complaint, to			
on			dia complaint, to	Ogether with		
Name		at		Attachment		
and have been		Ā	ddress			
marc peell un	able to con	nplete service	e because the address.			
vice fee Miles Traveled	Mileans	T	and address was	incorrect at the time of filing.		
	S S	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	1	\$	Signature			
			Title	•		
scribed and swom	to before	me on	1146			
		Date				
commission expire	s:		Signature:	County, Michigan		
-	Date					
			Deputy Court Clerk/Notary	public		
		ACK	NOW! EDCME			
Nowledge that !		1.010	NOWLEDGMENT OF SERV	VICE		
and that I ha	ve receive	d service of ti	he summons and complain	t, together with		
		O	n	Attachment		
			Day, date, time			
			on behalf of			
			U			
				MCR 2.105		

#### STATE OF ILLINOIS

# IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

Case No. 02-B02474

IN THE MATTER OF:

JUDGE: SUSAN PIERSON-SONDERBY

K-MART CORPORATION, DEBTOR

JOHN WILLIAM BUTLER, JR.

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom Attorney's for Debtor 333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (312) 407-0700

#### **PROOF OF SERVICE**

STATE OF MICHIGAN)
)SS.
COUNTY OF GENESEE)

SUSAN M. PAYNE, being first duly sworn deposes and says that on the 21st day of February, 2002, she did serve the following document(s) in the manner herein specified:

**DOCUMENT(S):** Proof of Claim, Appellant's Claim of Appeal as of Right pursuant to M.C.R. 7.200 et al, Complaint and Jury Demand, Summons, and Proof of Service;

PERSON SERVED: JOHN W. BUTLER, JR., Bankruptcy Attorney, 333 W. Wacker Drive, Chicago, IL 60606; WALTER B. CONNOLLY, Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C., Attorney for K-Mart Corporation on the Michigan Court of Appeals Case, 150 W. Jefferson, Suite 2500, Detroit, MI 48226-4415; JANET DELECKE, In-House Legal Counsel for K-Mart Corporation, 3100 W. Big Beaver

Road, Troy, MI 48087-3163;

MANNER SERVED: United States, First Class Mail, Postage Pre-paid.

SUSAN M. PAYNE

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 21st day of February 2002.

DENISE R. KETCHMARK, Notary Public

Genesee County, Michigan

My Commission Expires: 01/10/03

Prepared by:

DENISE R. KETCHMARK (P-42291)
Attorney at Law
611 W. Court Street, Suite 203
Flint, MI 48503
810-232-6096
810-232-1016 FAX

## DENISE R. KETCHMARK

ATTORNEY AT LAW

611 WEST COURT STREET SUITE 203 FLINT, MICHIGAN 48503 TELEPHONE: (810) 232-6096 FAX: (810) 232-1016

February 21, 2002

Surprotu

Ms. Janet Delecke 3100 W. Big Beaver Road Troy, MI 48087-3163

RE: In the Matter of K-Mart Corporation Case No. 02-B02474

Dear Ms. Delecke:

Enclosed please find the following documents regarding the above captioned matter:

- 1. Proof of Claim;
- 2. Appellant's Claim of Appeal as of Right Pursuant to M.C.R. 7.200 et al;
- Complaint and Jury Demand;
- 4. Summons and Complaint;
- 5. Proof of Service.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at my office.

Sincerely,

Denise R. Ketchmark Attorney at Law

DRK/sp

**Enclosures**