



"Exhibit 6"



ATTORNEYS AT LAW

MATI JARVE (NJ,PA,AZ)
CERTIFIED BY THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY
AS A CIVIL TRIAL ATTORNEY
CERTIFIED BY THE NATIONAL BOARD OF TRIAL ADVOCACY
AS A CIVIL TRIAL ADVOCATE
mjarve@nj-triallawyers.com

MICHAEL A. KAPLAN (NJ,DC)
CERTIFIED BY THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY
AS A CIVIL TRIAL ATTORNEY
mkaplan@nj-triallawyers.com

ROSALIND T. KAPLAN (NJ,PA)
rtkaplan@nj-triallawyers.com



PHONE 856.235.9500
FAX 856.235.9502/1620
www.nj-triallawyers.com

ANTHONY GRANATO (NJ, PA)
agranato@nj-triallawyers.com

ADAM STARR (NJ, PA)
astarr@nj-triallawyers.com

OF COUNSEL
DAVID B. FOX (NJ)

PHILADELPHIA OFFICE
215.751.1700

BRIDGETON OFFICE
856.451.4550

WOODSTOWN OFFICE
856.769.9292

12-14-06

Keith Knox
Sedgwick Claims Management Services
P.O. Box 1059
Troy, MI 48099

VIA FAX ONLY

RE: Kevin Meiswinkel v. KMART

Dear Mr. Knox:

This letter is written to document the recent history of this case.

A review of this file indicates that on April 8, 2003, this office demanded mediation in accordance with the Order Approving Settlement Procedures signed by Judge Sonderby on July 17, 2002. hereafter by letter dated April 29, 2003, you suggested George Googasian as mediator and for the mediation to take place in Michigan. On May 1, 2003 this office objected to the selection of the mediator and location of the mediation. In a separate letter on the same date, this office proposed three mediators with the mediation taking place in New Jersey. It was also stated in that letter that it is assumed that the mediation will continue ... "[i]f, however, this is in error, kindly advise if this office is required to file suit in state court..." On October 8, 2005 a letter was written to you by Michael Kaplan of this office documenting a phone conversation with you in which you agreed that Judge Barry Weinberg was acceptable as a mediator and that you would be contacting him to proceed with the mediation which evidently would be taking place in New Jersey. The letter of October 8, 2005 asked for the status of your efforts to set up the mediation. An additional letter was written on March 16, 2005 again asking for the status of the mediation scheduling. There was no response to the October 8 2005 letters or the March 16, 2005 letter.

Sometime last week, we spoke regarding this case. At that time you advised that Kevin Meiswinkel's file was closed as the statute of limitations had expired and no claim had been filed. You talked about an Omnibus motion and an Order of February, 2004 copies of which were promised but still have not received. As discussed, Jarve and Kaplan did not receive notice of any Motion or a copy of any Order in connection with this case in

2004.

We again spoke yesterday and discussed my recent conversation with Bill Barrett, counsel for KMART, who advised that Kevin Meiswinkel's claim was indeed still open.

Please forward a copy of the Motion and Order of which you spoke, verify that Kevin Meiswinkel's has an opened claim at your office and confirm your willingness to go forward with mediation by early next week. Should we not hear from you, we will proceed by way of motion.

Thank you for your attention and I look forward to hearing from you shortly.

Very truly yours,



Lori Klinger