

Please Bartlette

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS
DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN

PLEASE BARTLETTE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

KMART CORPORATION,

Defendant.

CIVIL NO. 2002-100

ACTION FOR DAMAGES,
BREACH OF CONTRACT,
DISCRIMINATION AND
WRONGFUL DISCHARGE

CLERK OF THE
DISTRICT COURT
ST. THOMAS

02 JUN -7 P1:29

RECEIVED


NOTICE OF BANKRUPTCY FILING AND AUTOMATIC STAY

Please take notice that on Tuesday, January 22, 2002, Defendant, Kmart Corporation, filed for bankruptcy protection in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Illinois, the Honorable Susan Pierson Sonderby presiding. This matter was assigned Case No. 02-02474. Pursuant to 11 United States Code, Section 362, an automatic stay prevents the above-captioned action from going forward.

Dated: June 7, 2002

Respectfully submitted,
DUDLEY CLARK & CHAN

BY:


Bennett Chan, Esq.
9720 Estate Thomas, Havensight
St. Thomas, V.I. 00802
(340) 776-7474

Richard A. DuRose, Esq.
Florida Bar No. 0759430
Foley & Lardner
111 North Orange Avenue, Suite 1800
Orlando, Florida 32801-2386
P.O. Box 2193
Orlando, Florida 32802-2193
Telephone: (407) 423-7656
Facsimile: (407) 648-1743

Attorneys for Defendant

3

NOTICE OF BANKRUPTCY FILING AND AUTOMATIC STAY
Rease Bartlett v. Kmart Corporation
Civil No. 2002-100
Page 2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 7th day of June, 2002, a true and exact copy of the foregoing Notice of Bankruptcy Filing and Automatic Stay was mailed via first-class U.S. mail, postage prepaid, to Archie Jennings, Esq., Attorney for Plaintiff, P.O. Box 442, St. Thomas, V.I. 00804.



Sandra E. Walsh

GOVERNMENT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS OF THE UNITED STATES
VIRGIN ISLANDS DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN

In re: KMART BANKRUPTCY)

Debtor.)

In re:)

LAURENT BRYAN v. KMART	)	CASE NO. WD-358-98-STT
JENNIFER BRATHWAITE v. KMART	)	CASE NO. WD-160-99-STT
ERICA KEAN v. KMART	)	CASE NO. WD-217-99-STT
RHONA FORBES v. KMART	)	CASE NO. WD-230-99-STT
EDMUND ROSS v. KMART	)	CASE NO. WD-010-2000-STT
DEO FORBES v. KMART	)	CASE NO. WD-120-2000-STT
JAMES ANDREW v. KMART	)	CASE NO. WD-005-01-STT
JAMIE GRANT v. KMART	)	CASE NO. WD-008-2001-STT
LEO RODRIGUEZ v. KMART	)	CASE NO. WD-012-2001-STT
LAURIE ARMSTRONG v. KMART	)	CASE NO. WD-034-2001-STT
ILEASE BARTLETTE v. KMART	)	CASE NO. WD-152-2001-STT
MAUREEN MYERS v. KMART	)	CASE NO. WD-180-2001-STT
KIM HENDRICKS v. KMART	)	CASE NO. WD-185-2001-STT
CORNEL ESPRIT v. KMART	)	CASE NO. WD-201-2001-STT
MELVIN BRYAN v. KMART	)	CASE NO. WD-014-2002-STT
SYDNEY RICHARDS v. KMART	)	CASE NO. WD-074-2002-STT
RAMONA L. ANTONINO v. KMART	)	CASE NO. WD-062-2003-STT

ORDER

This matter having come before the Virgin Islands Department of Labor upon the Motion of Respondent, Kmart Corporation, for dismissal of Complainants' Complaint, and the Virgin Islands Department of Labor being fully satisfied with the premises contained therein it is hereby

ORDERED that Respondent's motion is hereby **GRANTED**, and it is further

ORDERED that all of Complainants' Complaints are hereby **DISMISSED**, and it is further

ORDERED that a copy of this Order shall be directed to counsel of record.

Dated: _____, 2004

Chief Administrative Law Judge

EGD APR 23 2003

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

In re:	)	Case No. 02-B02474
	)	Jointly Administered
KMART CORPORATION, et al.,	)	Chapter 11
	)	Hon. Susan Pierson Sonderby
	)	
Debtors.	)	

**FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER
UNDER 11 U.S.C. §§ 1129(a) AND (b) AND FED. R. BANKR.
P. 3020 CONFIRMING THE FIRST AMENDED JOINT PLAN OF
REORGANIZATION OF KMART CORPORATION AND ITS AFFILIATED
DEBTORS AND DEBTORS-IN-POSSESSION, AS MODIFIED**

This is to certify that the within and attached document is a full, true and correct copy of the original thereof as the same appears on file in the office of the Clerk of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Illinois.

KENNETH S. GARDNER
CLERK OF COURT

By _____
Deputy Clerk
Dated 15 MAY 2003

10827

Upon the motion, dated February 11, 2003 (the "Motion"), of Kmart Corporation ("Kmart") and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates (the "Affiliate Debtors"),¹ debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), for the entry of an order approving the Debtors' Disclosure Statement (as defined below); determining the treatment of certain claims for notice and voting purposes; establishing a record date for voting and solicitation purposes and procedures for filing objections to the First Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization of Kmart Corporation and Its Affiliated Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession (Docket No. 8384) (the "Original Plan")² and temporary allowance of claims for

¹ The Debtors are the following entities: Kmart Corporation, Kmart Corporation of Illinois, Inc., Kmart of Indiana, Kmart of Pennsylvania LP, Kmart of North Carolina LLC, Kmart of Texas LP, Bluelight.com LLC, Big Beaver of Florida Development LLC, The Coolidge Group, n/k/a, TC Group I LLC, Kmart Michigan Property Services, L.L.C., Kmart Financing I, Troy CMBS Property, L.L.C., Big Beaver Development Corporation, Big Beaver of Guaynabo Development Corporation, Kmart International Services, Inc., Big Beaver of Caguas Development Corporation, Bluelight.com, Inc., Kmart Holdings, Inc., Kmart of Amsterdam, NY Distribution Center, Inc., Kmart Stores of Indiana, Inc., f/k/a Kmart Logistics Services, Inc., Kmart of Michigan, Inc., Kmart Stores of TCNP, Inc., f/k/a Kmart Trading Services, Inc., Kmart Overseas Corporation, JAF, Inc., VTA, Inc., Big Beaver of Caguas Development Corporation II, Big Beaver of Carolina Development Corporation, Kmart Pharmacies, Inc., Builders Square, Inc., and Sourcing & Technical Services Inc.

² Unless otherwise defined, capitalized terms used herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Original Plan. Any term used in the Original Plan or this order (the "Confirmation Order") that is not defined in the Original Plan or this Confirmation Order, but that is used in the United
(continued...)

**GOVERNMENT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS OF THE UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
HEARINGS AND APPEALS SECTION
DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN**

ELEASE BARTLETTE,

Complainant,

vs.

KMART,

Respondent.

CASE NO. WD-152-2001-STT

NOTICE OF BANKRUPTCY FILING AND AUTOMATIC STAY

Please take notice that Tuesday, January 22, 2002, Defendant, Kmart Corporation, filed for bankruptcy protection in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Illinois, the Honorable Susan Pierson Sonderby presiding. This matter was assigned Case No. 02-02474. Accordingly, Kmart respectfully requests that all further proceedings in this matter be STAYED, pending completion of the bankruptcy proceedings.

Dated: February 5, 2002

Respectfully submitted,
DUDLEY CLARK & CHAN

BY:


Bennett Chan, Esq.
9720 Estate Thomas, Havensight
St. Thomas, V.I. 00802
(340) 776-7474

Richard A. DuRose, Esq.
Florida Bar No. 0759430
Foley & Lardner
111 North Orange Avenue, Suite 1800
Orlando, Florida 32801-2386
P.O. Box 2193
Orlando, Florida 32802-2193
Telephone: (407) 423-7656
Facsimile: (407) 648-1743

CERTIFIED A TRUE COPY THIS

^{25th} DAY OF October 2002
WILFREDO F. MORALES
CLERK OF THE COURT

BY 
DEPUTY

Attorneys for Defendant

NOTICE OF BANKRUPTCY FILING AND AUTOMATIC STAY

Eliese Bartlett v. Knott

Case No. WD-152-2000-STT

Page 2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 6th day of February, 2002, a true and exact copy of the foregoing Notice of Bankruptcy Filing and Automatic Stay was mailed via first-class U.S. mail, postage prepaid, to Eliese Bartlette, P.O. Box 7095, St. Thomas, V.I. 00801.



Sandra E. Walsh

BY *[Signature]*
DEPUTY
WILFREDO J. MORALES
CLERK OF THE COURT
DAY OF *October* 20 07
CERTIFIED A TRUE COPY THIS

Attorneys for Defendant
Richard A. DuRose, Esq.
Florida Bar No. 0759430
Foley & Lardner
111 North Orange Avenue, Suite 1800
Orlando, Florida 32801-2386
P.O. Box 2193
Orlando, Florida 32802-2193
Telephone: (407) 423-7656
Facsimile: (407) 648-1743

Respectfully submitted,
DUDLEY CLARK & CHAN
BY: *[Signature]*
Bennett Chan, Esq.
9720 Estate Thomas, Havensight
St. Thomas, V.I. 00802
(340) 776-7474

Dated: June 7, 2002

Please take notice that on Tuesday, January 22, 2002, Defendant, Kmart Corporation, filed for bankruptcy protection in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Illinois, the Honorable Susan Pierson Sonderby presiding. This matter was assigned Case No. 02-02474. Pursuant to 11 United States Code, Section 362, an automatic stay prevents the above-captioned action from going forward.

NOTICE OF BANKRUPTCY FILING AND AUTOMATIC STAY

ACTION FOR DAMAGES,
BREACH OF CONTRACT,
DISCRIMINATION AND
WRONGFUL DISCHARGE

CIVIL NO. 2002-100

ILSEASE BARTLETTE,
Plaintiff,
vs.
KMART CORPORATION,
Defendant.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS
DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN

Ilsease Bartlette

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS
DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN

ILEASE BARTLETTE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

KMART CORPORATION,

Defendant.

CIVIL NO. 2002-100

ACTION FOR DAMAGES,
BREACH OF CONTRACT,
DISCRIMINATION AND
WRONGFUL DISCHARGE

NOTICE OF BANKRUPTCY FILING AND AUTOMATIC STAY

Please take notice that on Tuesday, January 22, 2002, Defendant, Kmart Corporation, filed for bankruptcy protection in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Illinois, the Honorable Susan Pierson Sonderby presiding. This matter was assigned Case No. 02-02474. Pursuant to 11 United States Code, Section 362, an automatic stay prevents the above-captioned action from going forward.

Dated: June 7, 2002

Respectfully submitted,
DUDLEY CLARK & CHAN

BY:


Bennett Chan, Esq.
9720 Estate Thomas, Havensight
St. Thomas, V.I. 00802
(340) 776-7474

Richard A. DuRose, Esq.
Florida Bar No. 0759430
Foley & Lardner
111 North Orange Avenue, Suite 1800
Orlando, Florida 32801-2386
P.O. Box 2193
Orlando, Florida 32802-2193
Telephone: (407) 423-7656
Facsimile: (407) 648-1743

Attorneys for Defendant

NOTICE OF BANKRUPTCY FILING AND AUTOMATIC STAY
Rease Bartlett v. Emari Corporation
Civil No. 2002-100
Page 2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 7th day of June, 2002, a true and exact copy of the foregoing Notice of Bankruptcy Filing and Automatic Stay was mailed via first-class U.S. mail, postage prepaid, to Archie Jennings, Esq., Attorney for Plaintiff, P.O. Box 442, St. Thomas, V.I. 00804.



Sandra E. Walsh

In the District Court of the U.S. Virgin Islands
Division of St. Thomas and St. John
Civil #2002-100

Thase Bartlette Plaintiff Prose VS Kmart Defendant

Dear Clerk of the Court:

In a motion I requested Tax
information from Atty. Bennett Chan on three occasions
and requested the judge to sign and give enforcement
for me to get an answer from Atty. Chan that I had
written 6-21-07 and had filed same time. Please
forward to the judge if it needs to so that
I will no longer be wondering about the document
but will take another approach knowing all that
had to be done was done then file another motion
to address Atty. Chan's failure. Thanks

Sincerely,

Thase Bartlette

P.S. Since the postponement of my June 18, 07 trial, I have
not received a new date please my I receive a date
as promised this is a reminder. Thank you!

CERTIFIED A TRUE COPY THIS

DAY OF November 2007

WILFRED A. BORALES
CLERK OF THE COURT

BY: Kym Bonell
DEPUTY

2-23-07

Thase Bartlette

P.O. Box 7095

St. Thomas, VI 00801

Thase Bartlette Plaintiff

vs.
Kmart Corporation Defendant

Case No. 2002-100

Dear Clerk of the Court:

At the time of the Status Conference held on February 12, 07 the Defendant through their Atty. Bennett Chan, said to the Court that the Motion filed April 25, 06 should not have been sent to the Court, but instead to me. Mr. Chan further stated he had sent me another ever since, and had given me 10 days to respond. I got no such document from Kmart through Mr. Chan before the Status Conference nor after. Judge Barnard ordered Mr. Chan to refile the notice of Motion to dismiss and further Ordered me to respond to said motion to dismiss by March 15, 07 allowing me 30 days. As of today's date I have not seen nor have I heard from the Defendant, through their Attorney Bennett Chan. My 30 days to respond to the Motion is getting fewer. I thought I should let you know.

Sincerely,

Thase Bartlette

Plaintiff

2009/04/30 11:06:53

In the District Court of The U.S. Virgin Islands
Division of St. Thomas and St. John
Civil #2002-100

Thase Bartlett Plaintiff Prose VS Kmart Defendant

Dear Clerk of the Court:

In a motion I requested Tax
information from Atty. Bennett Chan on three occasions
and requested the judge to sign and give enforcement
for me to get an answer from Atty. Chan that I had
written 6-21-07 and had filed same time. Please
forward to the judge if it needs to so that
I will no longer be wondering about the document
but will take another approach knowing all that
had to be done was done then file another motion
to address Atty. Chan's failure. Thanks

Sincerely,

Thase Bartlett

P.S. Since the postponement of my June 18, 07 trial, I have
not received a new date please my I receive a date
as promised this is a reminder. Thank you

CERTIFIED A TRUE COPY THIS

DAY OF November 2007
WILLIAM J. MORALES
CLERK OF THE COURT

BY: Kern Bonell
DEPUTY

2007 NOV 25 PM 3:05
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ST. THOMAS, VI

P.O. Box 7095

St. Thomas, VI 00801

Civil No. 2002-100

Dear Judge Barnard:

I had a walk-in appointment with legal service of the Virgin Islands on May 30, 06 requesting assistance to interpret legal language. The Committee met then wrote to me stating they are unable to assist me.

Kmart already knows through their attorney that I will be proceeding pro se.

Sir, I however need your help in understanding what the next step or steps will be. As to appoint someone to help me understand the steps and to interpret legal language should I have a need. Whatever you can do for me will be appreciated. I thank you with my whole heart.

Sincerely,

Thase Bartlette

P.S. I am a person with a qualified disability. I worked for Kmart Tutu Park Mall, I was wrongfully discharged and stated by VI Dept. of Labor to be so. I receive the right to sue from EEOC, and filed a charge, because I believe Kmart violated my civil rights. Legal service told me attorney don't go against attorney and feared Kmart. Legal Service a public accommodation refuses to help me. That is why I need your direct assistance for all other legal professionals also turned me away.

2-22-

Re. District Court (Civil No. (2002-100))
Ilesee Bartlette Plaintiff Vs. Kmart Corporation Defendant

Ilesee Bartlette

P.O. Box 1095

St. Thomas, VI 00801

Dear Clerk of the District Court St. Thomas.

I have a case in the District Court St. Thomas VI. The Attorney whom I paid to file the papers and to represent me on a contingency basis is Atty. Archie Jennings. The case went on automatic stay in 2002 due to bankruptcy, but the Corporation has long since been out of that status. I am having some problems with Atty. Jennings, and help through the VI Bar Association was sort. To pursue this case I sort help from other attorneys but to no avail. I am turned down by all I have asked to represent me in reference to the case at hand. However, the experiences are mine and I feel that I can tell the Judge or Jury about the things that happened to me as a lay person. I therefore, ask you to forward this case to trial, and look forward to a court date and any other thing required for trial.

CLERK OF THE
DISTRICT COURT
ST. THOMAS, VI.

2006 FEB 24 PM 2:29

RECEIVED

5

I have a very serious emergency forcing me. It is court down deep clearing off attorney fees for Jennings. Linda filed with the court of a 30 deep attorney for me to get an attorney. As hard as I try via the telephone Directory, VI Bar Referrals, it includes personal referrals, even working meeting appointments I am still unable to produce. The requirement to satisfy the order in my quest to employ an attorney. Some of the meetings with all the attorneys I met and talked with I received hope. Then in our second meeting the same attorney after considering with Atty. Jennings, they refused to help me. Even though I begged I am told no. All approval to have found themselves between a rock and a hard place. Their choice then were affirmed to me with a negative yet positive No. This position is saying that could drop my case out of court. Counsel the testimony that is also nothing me of time that is also quite unfortunate for me. I am right I turn to you after trying to help myself. I am requesting that you please assist me in having the court appoint an attorney for me meeting the deadline that is not far away May 06. And other rooms at hand for trial, I outlined and am still suffering. I am an individual with a quality disability. The American with Disability Act through EEOC and Civil Rights laws protects me and provides the chance to

April 27, 2009
Irene Bartlett
Irene Bartlett (340) 776 0579
P.O. Box 7095
St. Thomas, VI 00801
Dear Judge Hammond:
Knot Defendant
Crest Case # 2002-100

US. Kmart Defendants
Three Beistle Plastics
Inc.,

you and in advance I thank you for
from this time forward. Please may I hear from
me an attorney and that I badly need your assistance
me to state my need to have the court appoint
the lack of accommodation on the job. Please allow
Discrimination, Retaliation, Age, Harassment, and
with the several years of hard to stop (alimony)
The reason at hand through Judge or jury can help
Federal Laws through your and our Federal Court.
Kmart must be reformed into full compliance with
is over. I need my day in court to claim my rights.
Only adequate without pay. I am not a slave. Show
alimony they still have for me plus other things.
wrong in my years of service but good. My very
ill 60 year old woman who have done Kmart no
and continues to be very painful to me a mentally
again I ask may I do it myself? My expenses were
working appointment. If another blockage prevents that
looking for an atty. up to April 26, 2009 keeping a
he acted. I fearfully and with all diligence search
review one that I am sure with your intervention can
why I need your help. My situation is indeed a very
understand the plight of Three Beistle Plastics and
to the ADA laws. My now it is my hope that you

April 25, 2006

Thase Bartlette

P.O. Box 7095

St. Thomas, VI 00801

Dear Clerk of the Court/Judge St. T. District Court:

After using the VI Bar as a referral guide, The Telephone Directory and other personal referrals, Again I present to my inability to retain an attorney to meet Atty. Jennings order/deadline. I am still looking for a Court date and have confidence that the help I deserve still can be met because they are workable and legal to the best of my knowledge. I am requesting of the District Court St. T. VI to appoint an attorney for me that will address the issues and demands needed for trial. I am an individual with a qualify disability That fall under the American with Disability Act. and claim That my Civil rights have been Violated. I can also bring to Court myself, family members, and friends without a lawyer. I have offered you another option to the first stated in my 2-22-06 letter. Please Consider them and grant me a trial date and please allow me to get a reply as to which direction we will use. Thank you!

Sincerely,

Thase Bartlette Plaintiff

2002 - 100 Vs Kmart

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE U.S. VIRGIN ISLANDS
Division of St. Thomas, St. John

Civil No. 2002-100

Thase Bartlette Plaintiff Vs. Kmart Corporation Defendant

I shall proceed pro se June 15, 2006.

Dear Clerk of the Court St. Thomas:

Please

informed in my continued search for a
successor Attorney, I found neither woman
nor man throughout the entire U.S. Virgin
Islands who was and is willing to assist
me in vindicating my cause for justice.

The life of persons with disabilities has
little or no respect and the need to bring
my real struggles before the District Court
is indeed very necessary. I therefore,

Thank the Court in joining Congressional
leaders, Consumers, mental health advocates,
and providers to open the door of opportunity
for even me where I can come and pass

through once-closed doors into a era of
equality, independence and freedom. PL 101-336

provides and protects and the St. Thomas District
Court. Therefore, I shall proceed pro se.

With my entire being I say Thanks for my day in Court.

Sincerely,

Thase Bartlette

Plaintiff

15

Thase Bartlette

RECEIVED
JUN 15 PM 5:15
CLERK OF THE
DISTRICT COURT
ST. THOMAS, VI

Plaintiff's claims are in the hands of the court
Chapter 11 also gives evidence as stated.
A claim may be allowed in Chapter 11 without filing
of a proof of claim (Section 1111, Rule 3003).

According to evidence submitted, Atty. Dick Jennings
word, correspondence as recent 2-6-07 from
Kmart Corporation, case #02-02-474 under Chapter
11 case a status of identification with my name
attached, further investigation with the bankruptcy
court itself are some evidence given and yet
pending an answer to be given from Kmart
Corporation, and a search to be conducted by
Atty. Jennings that should be answered by 9-10-07

August 31, 07 please note 2 connections the
statistics in ① state the CV #as 200-100
should be CV #2002-110 and Monday 8-20-07
should be Monday 8-24-07 the day I got
the judgment order.

Dear Chief Judge Rustigomez:

My letter dated

Re: Plaintiff Thae Bantlle Rose vs Kmart Corporation
CV # 2002-100
Sept. 6, 07
Thae Bantlle (340) 776-0579
P.O. Box 1095
St. Thomas, VI 00801

DEPUTY
BY: *Thae Bantlle*
WILLIAM F. MORALES
CLERK OF THE COURT
DAY OF November 20 07
CERTIFIED A TRUE COPY THIS

Thae Bantlle

This opening allows Plaintiff to proceed in this forum. Therefore, Kmart's motion to dismiss has no bearing on CV #2002-100.

Again in special circumstances, additional procedures and forms for making a claim against the estate may be established by the court as in the case of *in re A.H. Robins Co., Inc.*, 862 F.2d 1092 (4th Cir. 1988).

Upon the first and second premises I approach the court where my charge was placed and still is because of a wrongful discharge, intentional employment discrimination and Civil Rights Act of 1991 and ADEA, ADA laws that EEOC enforces should not be violated. I ask that the court continue for the cause of justice on the behalf of a 61 year old disabled woman who at this time is yet searching for justice in the land where the disabled are ill treated and being one myself a person with a qualified disability I am depending on our District court to lead in these special circumstances and times that are called trying moments. Doing justice for one will be to the entire territory.

Enclosures

Sincerely
Theresa Barthette

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on September 6, 07 I sent correction to August 31, 07 letter and updates on evidences of a proof of claim, and (4th Cir. 1988). to Chief Judge Curtis Gomez' District Court St. Thomas VI 00802.

Please find enclosed items of evidence or Enclosures

- 1 My proof of Claim and Bankruptcy Court application form signed and dated 7-18-02 by McElCharge CV#2002-14
 - 2 Atty. Archie Jennings receipt of the Proof of Claim without a copy of claim returned also to me.
 - 3 Motion to withdraw 3-29-06 (5 yrs later) Atty. Jenni
 - 4 Order from Judge Bernard Case is stayed (30 days later)
 - 5 Atty. Archie Jennings receipt of Order dated 4-5-06
 - 6 Order " " sent to court unsigned. (In Court file)
 - 7 Return of Service with erased bottom page. (Court file)
 - 8 Comparing of signature with delivery address different to item
 - 9 Blank form sent to me after the Bar date of 2004 (Atty. Chan
 - 10 In Kmart's Motion to dismiss from atty. Chan this strange copy and should be presently in my court file
- Note Showing July 26, 2002 around the same time as my filing. Is it a mix up of copies? where is

- 11 Correspondence from Kmart To me a Chapter 11 Case with a case #02-02474 as my identification dated 2-5-2002 Notice of Bankruptcy Stay Automatic 6-7-2002 Notice of Bankruptcy Filing & Automatic Stay 3-10-2004 Notice of Motion Dept. of Labor 2-6-2007 Notice of Motion That Atty Chan kept and released on March 1, 07 having 4 different versions about ^{hundreds} one, 100 pages taken out every other page omitted, 1 set incomplete, served by process server, another was to compare and another that in the earlier years sent to me they were all very confusing that I complain and could be found in my answers To Kmart motions Dismiss court file.
- Copy of Judgement Case: 3:02-CV-00100 Document#49 filed 8-24-07

In the District Court of the U.S. Virgin Islands
 Division of St. Thomas and St. John
 Civil # 2002-100

Thase Bartlett Plaintiff / Prose VS. K Mart Defendant

P.O. Box 7095

St. Thomas, VI 00801

July 24, 07

Dear Judge Curtis Gomez:

Friday June 10, 2002 via the telephone
 I was informed of the postponement of my case that was
 scheduled for June 18, 07 and that the new date to be
 rescheduled for trial will be sent to me. I have
 waited since then and have not yet got a new date
 and is requesting a new date that will move the case
 to trial.

In a motion I also requested Tax Information from
 Atty. Bennett Chan on three different occasions asking the
 judge to sign / enforce my getting an answer from
 Atty Chan. I am still waiting receipt of the information
 from Atty Chan since I had written on 6-21-07 when I had
 filed it. I thank you Judge Gomez, and look forward
 to any possible help in my effort for a new trial date
 and and the enforcement of a signature to Atty. Chan

Sincerely,

Prose Thase Bartlett Plaintiff

CERTIFIED A TRUE COPY THIS

31st DAY OF October 2007

WILFREDO F. MORALES
 CLERK OF THE COURT

BY: Ron Snell
 DEPUTY

In the District Court of the Virgin Islands
 Division of St. Thomas and St. John
 Plaintiff Thase Bartlette VS Kmart Corporation Defendant
 Civil Number 2002-100

9.20-07

Thase Bartlette

P.O. Box 7095

St. Thomas, VI 00801

Dear Clerk of the Court:

Via the Court a call came informing me of the lost of the requested appeal. I came to the Court and paid the fee of \$455.00 then. I also needed copies of said file but was unable to get them. Even though I informed the Chief Judge Curtis Gomez of the incompleteness due to the entries given in about August 13, 07 were still not entered. Today as I review the file and was in readiness to take copies, the said entries were still not entered to include other evidences submitted to the Court. I am also making a request to the Clerk of the Court to make secure all the entries yet to be entered in my file for their safe keeping. be entered soon.

I will be back to collect the copies needed and I am dependant upon your time and dedication to file 2002-100 for I have moved on to an appeal.

Sincerely,

Plaintiff Thase Bartlette Pro se

CERTIFIED A TRUE COPY THIS

18 DAY OF November 2007
 WILFREDO F. MORALES
 CLERK OF THE COURT

BY: Kym Bonnell
 DEPUTY

Thase Bartlette

P. O. Box 7095

St. Thomas, VI 00801

August 13, 07

CV. #2002-100

Judge Barnard, Administrative Judge
District Court

St. Thomas, VI 00802

Dear Judge Barnard:

Enclosed please find an open
letter address to you and a Motion to Compel
Compliance addressing open defiance by Atty. Chan.

From my research I now understand that the
Administrative Judge addresses this issue and
kindly I am asking to address the problem
at hand to Compel Compliance to respond.

I researched the fact by reading a book.
And please forgive me for not asking you
to sign and date even to pursue the course
of accepting my prepared Order or to Construct
your very own. As you see Judge, I am
eating humble pie and even through the
silence of Judges and staff I learned.

What can I say but thanks for even
your forced education in silence.

Your humble Servant
Thase Bartlette

CERTIFIED A TRUE COPY THIS

31st DAY OF October 2007

WILFRIDO F. MORALES
CLERK OF THE COURT

BY: Ann D. Smith
DEPUTY

Floor Battle
In the District Court of the Virgin Islands
Division of St. Thomas and St. John
CV. # 2002-100
Plaintiff: Floor Battle Pro se vs. Ernest Corporation Defendant
Open Letter to Judge Bennett
Dear Administrative Judge Bennett:
I requested from

Atty. Bennett Chen last information of Ernest returns for
the years 2001, 2005, 2006. In my file held at the
Court you will find evidence of my motions. Atty. Chen's
failure to respond to the request could have caused the
June 18, 07 postponement. We must therefore correct
the failure to respond so that we can proceed.

at the Court, 2 prepared Orders were entered for the
Judge to sign and date. I apologize for not sending
along with the Order a courtesy letter negotiating
the set. It is my belief that the status of this case
is dependant upon all of us to pull it together for trial.

The attempted offer presented to Atty. Chen was
reasonable allowing him to continue offer. His choice
was to decline the offer and proceed to trial neglecting
mediation the mediator and the offer to continue offer.
Hence my motion to compel compliance to Atty. Chen
and a request for continuing CV 2002-100 on to trial.
When the arrangements are done. Agreements are certain
please inform the parties for trial as was negotiated
by both Atty. Chen and myself for date certain.

CERTIFIED A TRUE COPY THIS

3rd Day of April 2007

WILFREDO F. MORALES

CLERK OF THE COURT

BY: [Signature]

DEPUTY

In the District Court of the U.S. Virgin Islands

Division of St. Thomas and St. John

These Bartlett Plaintiffs Pro se vs Kmart Corporation Defendant

Re: Caption CV Number 2002-100

August 31, 07

These Bartlett

P.O. Box 7095

St. Thomas, VI 00801

Phone #340-776-0579

Dear Chief Judge Curtis Gomez:

Civil 2002-100

CERTIFIED A TRUE COPY THIS

25th DAY OF October 2007

WILFREDO F. MORALES
CLERK OF THE COURT

BY [Signature]
DEPUTY

I bring to your attention some facts that are very important and that concerns the above caption case CV 2002-100.

If your court can no longer help me, I am hoping if I could, to file an appeal to the third Circuit Court. That is if I can get an attorney to assist me. Also for your consideration for that lack of service I am even now pro se. If you the Chief Judge whom I intend to show and help your observation could correct the error at hand then there won't be a need to move away from your court so please consider this my letter, an appeal.

① It is important to note that the judgement summary of reference in CV 200-100, Case 3:02-CV-100 CV 6 Document #49 filed 08-24-2007, page 1 of 6 That was prepared on August 17, 2007, filed 8-24-07 post mark 8-24-07 and I received on Monday 8-20-07, is her say. Later on you will understand.

② 15/ Curtis Gomez Chief Judge is another concern. In my opinion anyone could have forwarded this document to me that finalizes the change in question before us. I am led to believe and question is it good enough to present before another court should I decide to make an appeal.

I would much prefer to see your signature as you have done before and or a possible seal of Authority coming from the Chief Judge on such an important summary judgement. I was trained and believe^{it} to be the proper way, is to have it signed.

③ On Thursday August 30, 07 I reviewed my file. The page sitting untop is 45. I purchase a few copies. In the past I had noticed documents filed were not entered within my ^{last} file, ~~but~~ when compared to my personal copies were not entered.

I had to question their whereabouts. Yesterday I also discovered the last 3 entries stamped 8-13-07 and delivered were again not on record in the file.

According to this Order the file is ordered closed then should not those documents be counted to be apart of the completeness before the order is made to seal it shut? I am asking you to see that they are replaced. Those 3 items namely were a motion I made to ¹Compel Compliance to Kmart, through Atty. Chan from me. ² An open letter to Judge Barnard, asking him to address Atty. Bennett Chan's failure to respond to my request

3
for Kmart's Annual Tax Returns for years covering 2004, 2005 & 2006. Atty. Chan's rejecting mediation, mediator, the offer to counter offer, and date certain for trial.
(3) A Curtesy letter asking Judge Barnard to address the issue to compel compliance to Atty. Chan.

According to the served Judgement my complaints will not be published or put on The Courts record & am asking that the history remain fully intact for I am an Advocate to people with disabilities and a consumer of mental health services.

In this same Kmart's Motion to Dismiss there is full coverage to and for people who ^{cases wronged and} are disabled and can be found in my answer to Kmart's Motion to Dismiss filed with the Court. I have a qualified Disability. It is for the importance, its safety, reasons and works I would like the file to carry all the contents presented to the Court.

(4) My trip to the District Court on August 30, 07 was to request a copy of the Order dismissing my case in Bankruptcy Court in Illinois, since one was not with the Judgement document sent to me.

Ms. Francis, The supervisor, of the Clerks Office, I had asked for a copy of said Order and who surprised me when she answered there is no Order from the Bankruptcy Court but gave me an address and a telephone number for me to call instead.

my then attorney Archie Jennings had me signed a timely claim with the Bankruptcy Court and in his hands was left the responsibility to mail it. And I did not fail in my duty. I was never asked to produce evidence but was prepared to take it to trial.

⑤ I am not an attorney but I know that this Judgment mailed to me does not have a premise on which the conclusion is based to dismiss my motion, or to grant Kmart's motion and to close file CV 2002-100.

If the Court does not have the Order to enforce the Act, then in my opinion as plaintiff and Pro se the act done has caused an illegal action base on that Judgment.

The Court then, must produce the Order from the Court in Illinois' not based on the wrong act done, but on what it should have done. Nor to preclude me from pursuing my claim with Kmart. Here again I see and feel discrimination in the house of Justice allowing Kmart to still hold on to my very salary and other benefits yet owed to me denying my rights.

It is my request to have you address the causes and if it is your letter then please sign it so that I can move on to an appeal having a signed copy.

Sincerely,
Plaintiff Thase Bartlett Pro

Please Barthelemy

*Theresa Barthelemy
P.O. Box 7095
St. Thomas, VI 00801*

*Chief Judge Curtis Jones
5500 Veterans Drive
Suite 310
District Court
St. Thomas, VI 00802*

I Reopen Sorry.

CERTIFIED A TRUE COPY THIS

25th DAY OF October 2007

WILFREDO F. MORALES
CLERK OF THE COURT

BY *[Signature]*
DEPUTY

Please Bartlett

*D. Bartlett
P.O. Box 7095
St. Thomas, VI 00801*

CERTIFIED MAIL



7001 1140 0003 7760 0089

**RETURN RECEIPT
REQUESTED**



9251



00802

U.S. POSTAGE
ST THOMAS, VI
00802
00802-02

\$4.64
00802-02-02


*Judge Geoffrey W. Barnard
District Court
5500 Veterans Drive Suite 310
Charlotte Amalie, VI 00802-6424*

**RETURN RECEIPT
REQUESTED**

20090417-3 PM 3:11



9261



00802

U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
ST THOMAS, VI
00802
FEB 23, 06
AMOUNT

\$4.64

00025492-12

**RETURN RECEIPT
REQUESTED**



7005 0390 0000 9601 8013

*Clark of the District Court
5500 Veterans Drive
U.S. Federal Court House
St. Thomas, VI 00802 - 6424*

**RETURN RECEIPT
REQUESTED**

*Please Barthol
P.O. Box 7095
St. Thomas, VI 00801*

Please Barthol

Please Barthelette

*Theresa Barthelette
P.O. Box 7095
St. Thomas, VI 00801*



7005 0390 0000 9604 8249 00802

The Clerk of the District Court

Virgin Islands

5500 Veterans Drive, Suite 310

Charlotte Amalie, VI 00802-6424

**RETURN RECEIPT
REQUESTED**

U.S. POSTAGE
ST THOMAS, VI
00802
APR 20 2009
AMOUNT
\$4.64
00098412-1

Theresa Bartlett

*Theresa Bartlett
P.O. Box 7095
St. Thomas, VI 00801*

*Clerk of The District Court St. Thomas
District Court St. Thomas VI*

00802

RECEIVED

2006 JUN 15 PM 3:20

DISTRICT COURT
ST. THOMAS, VI

Thase Bartlett

Thase Bartlett
P.O. Box 7095
St. Thomas, VI 00801

The Clerk of the District Court
Virgin Islands
5500 Veterans Drive, Suite 310
Charlotte Amalie, VI 00802-6424

Please Be Sealed
Renix Charles

514-3304

Delivered on
3/1/07 at 2:50
P.M.

P.O. Box 2007

St. Thomas 00802

~~Hand~~
Rec. on 3/1/07

Langman H.
11-16

RECEIVED
MAR 31 2006

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS
DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN

ILEASE BARTLETTE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

KMART CORPORATION,

Defendant.

CIVIL NO. 2002-100

ACTION FOR DAMAGES, BREACH
OF CONTRACT, DISCRIMINATION
AND WRONGFUL DISCHARGE

ORDER

Upon the premises being considered, it is hereby

ORDERED that Archie Jennings, Esq., is hereby withdrawn as counsel for
Plaintiff, Ilease Bartlette; and it is further

ORDERED that the case is stayed for 30 days from the date of this Order to
allow the Plaintiff to obtain new counsel; and it is further

ORDERED that counsel will serve Plaintiff with a copy of this Order and file
proof of service with the court.

DATED:

Judge, Superior Court of the Virgin Islands

ATTEST:

DENISE ABRAMSEN
Clerk of the Court

By: _____
Deputy clerk

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS
DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN

ILEASE BARTLETTE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

KMART CORPORATION,

Defendant.

CIVIL NO. 2002-100

ACTION FOR DAMAGES, BREACH
OF CONTRACT, DISCRIMINATION
AND WRONGFUL DISCHARGE

RECEIVED
APR -7 PM 5:20
CLERK OF THE
DISTRICT COURT
ST. THOMAS, VI.

ORDER

Upon the premises being considered, it is hereby

ORDERED that Archie Jennings, Esq., is hereby withdrawn as counsel for
Plaintiff, Ilease Bartlette; and it is further

ORDERED that the case is stayed for 30 days from the date of this Order to
allow the Plaintiff to obtain new counsel; and it is further

ORDERED that counsel will serve Plaintiff with a copy of this Order and file
proof of service with the court

DATED: April 5, 2006

[Signature]
Judge, Superior Court of the Virgin Islands
District

ATTEST: Wilfredo F. Morales

~~DENISE ABRAMSEN~~
Clerk of the Court

By

[Signature]
Deputy clerk

CERTIFIED A TRUE COPY THIS

25th DAY OF October 20 07
WILFREDO F. MORALES
CLERK OF THE COURT

BY

[Signature]
DEPUTY


cc: Archie Jennings, Esq.
Monica Howard, Esq.
Carol Jackson, Chief Deputy
Olga Schneider
Nina Donouan

7/06
CND

9

Thase Barthette
P. O. Box 7095
St. Thomas, US VI

NO 07-3716



Panel Petition for Rehearing

Thase Barthette Appellant
V.S.

Kmart Corporation, ET AL.,

Submitted to 3d Circuit L.A. R.
on June 18, 2008

Before: Rendell, Fuentes & Chagares,
Circuit Judges.

Panel - Petition for rehearing

Number 07-3716

This request seeks rehearing from the panel of Judges to review the opinion that in form appears to have been influenced to produce its judgement. A secure claimholder remains secured even from the denial of the District Court St. Thomas, VI. A few points of clarification is included for better understanding within this my petition for panel rehearing. It appeared that this Court affirmed the District Court's statement/s even though I had done no violation. Guided by those statements very well may have shaped the opinion during its decision making process and therefore misled into error. Now let us see another way to deal with lies. We will apply truth, for truth is an expositor of lies.

Let us view The District Court's docket entries. you will see some things very well may have overlooked. May 28, 02 Three firm statements were presented forming part of said Court's Docket Entries.

1. Summons issued to Kmart's Corporation
(could that be the errased returned receipt card found in said Court file that I tried foul play)
2. Filing fee paid \$50.00
3. Complaint w/jury trial demanded

These following entries give another account

06/07/2002

1. Notice of Bankruptcy filing and summary stay by the defendant ah! ah! ah!

06/18/2002

Summons ret'd executed 6-3-02 on Kmart Corporation eod 06-19-02 [LBT]

00000 Nothing shows Activity here 00000
Therefore I respected the stay issued
02/24/06

Request: for trial date

1 The District Court granted Kmart's Motion to dismiss These Bartlette's Action upon determining that its Commence-
ment Violated the Automatic stay provision in the
Bankruptcy Code See 11 USC § 362 (a)(1) you promise
to affirm. The Parading of said document was denied
upon entry by a Court order. The entry date 04-25-2006
The denial date {Entry Date 10/10/2006 by (CVG)} (The funny
thing) or in more legal terms the illegal thing continues
to parade the District Court, Bartlette and now this Court,
still being active and Very much full of energy that
it Causes many concerns and confusion. Even this
Court bent from its energy. However, Bartlette is still a
Secured claimholder. Who was it from said energy labled
Chapter 11 cases or secure claimholders not precedential?

Protected claim became unprotected not yet received
any relief. I am happy that this said Court has
jurisdiction to now protect The secured claimholders.
That's why I entered my appeal.

The Confirmation Order and Plan said there should
be no objection setoff etc. I affirm it has become
my law, and when concerns come Judge Susan Pierson
Sonderby's Order gives me the right to defend for
she has given strength to me.

Rules of the Court are based on facts fairness
and evidence and in the Court's search I trust
you will find them so that it may change Opinions
to produce the next Opinion supporting the
Judgement.

3

I am no lawyer only prose none of great importance. Discrepancies are seen, lies manifested. Keep your eyes on that Kmart Motion to dismiss illegal document: you are going to review The following pages that would lead you to even a better understanding, I will let the record speak for itself enjoy, get angry, whatever, I will see what you will affirm. I call this my stay humbly I say go right on.

The Court decided Kmart's motion to dismiss Elise Bartlett plaintiff vs Kmart Corporation Defendant. It should read Elise Bartlett and for her claims against Kmart Corporation Defendant. Denying me my federal rights and wrongful discharge on May 28, 2002, breach of contract and wrongful discharge. This action is to redress deprivation of rights.

On January 22, 2002 for relief under Chapter 11 Title 11 of the United States Code 11 U.S.C. Section 101 et seq. as amended (The "Bankruptcy Code"), operate to bar United States (Bartlett) to the extent expected from the automatic stay provision of 11 U.S.C. Section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code.

The Court decided the facts of my case. Bartlett claim was discharged Interest shall be paid on over secured claims as provided for by 11 U.S.C. Section 506 (b) of the Bankruptcy Code. Accordingly, Bartlett's claims are discharged. Chapter 11 cases shall remain in full force and effect according to their terms to include request for compensation

4

Debtors separate Chapter 11 Cases for all purpose the Clerk of the Court is directed to file and docket this Confirmation Order in the Chapter 11 Cases of each of the Debtors. Bartlette's Charge remain in full force and the Court must move on to discover other assurances.

From the analysis used in the Judgement "I find these words true". What was or could have been commenced.

Accordingly, the Court hereby orders Kmart's Motion to dismiss is granted.

Behind my back without a trial, another wrong act covering the other. The

The District Court use claim no 02-802474 as a genuine number.

This same number had already been deleted and now cause the reclassified claim number 02-02474 to be hidden or some how disguised.

It surely conveys the idea not a fact that Bartlette never filed a proof of claim.

The Court has exclusive jurisdiction to determine when the plan complies with the applicable provision of the Bankruptcy Code and shall be confirmed.

The District Court incorrectly decide the facts of my claim. It further ordered that all of Bartlette's Claims against Kmart are dismissed, and so the act of covering the other continues CV No. 2002-100 is also covered up and gone.

5

Dated July 18, 02 and signed. The Bar date was July 31, 02.

If per chance sick from my disability I could have been forgiven an allowance is there for me under Chapter 11. So if any Chapter 11 case had forgotten, by this grace given in this statute we are covered. Seeing for yourselves Barthe is still in the Court this time the Court of Appeals.

Then where exclusive jurisdiction, Venue, and Core proceedings under 28 U.S.C. Section 157 (b)(2) and 1334 (a). The Court jurisdiction over The Chapter 11 cases pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1408 and 1409. Confirmation of the plan is a Core proceeding under 28 U.S.C.

The District Court incorrectly decided the facts of my case and before the Court our eyes and to my understanding it took the classified claim number 02-B02474 that was indeed reclassified 02-02474 under the 10th Omnibus again removed to Exhibit E.

The presiding Judge Susan Pearson Sonderby over Chapter 11 cases, she supported the Plan and Confirmation Order. She then covered from one person to the other and one geographical point to the other until all those protested by and through the Oath according to the Plan is satisfied.

There was an order stating a right to relief and to defend such further objections if any to the Claims.

6

and reimbursement of expenses pursuant to Section 503 (b) of the Bankruptcy Code.

The District Court incorrectly decided the facts of my case Bartlette is precluded from pursuing her claims against Kmart in the future. The District Court decided the facts of my case all prior obligations and rights of the parties were extinguished. The District Court incorrectly decided the facts of my case accordingly, Bartlette cannot now pursue her claims in this Court.

The actions and attitudes of the District Court is the one barring me of my rights. The document clearly expresses that the plan are shall not be construed operate to bar the United States from pursuing any police or regulation against the Court to the extent from the automatic stay provision of 11 U.S.C. Section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code Article 12.2 of the Plan and Article 12.11

It is then the height of defiance for the Court to interfere by reducing the Commitment, Modifying the intentions, or even attempting to discharge what has already made secure in the plan.

Listed far also the Court to also the premise considered without an order it is unable to dismiss saying, Bartlette never filed a proof of claim. This Confirmation Order is and shall be deemed a separate Confirmation Order with respect to each of the Debtors in each

79
The Bankruptcy Court order did not say Barthette never filed a proof of claim. The order did not say the District Court must dismiss her claim, what it said relief should be granted and for Barthette (me) to defend such further objections if any to the claims.

The District Court had no order, an improper act done by the Court! - dated August 17, 07.

12.11 Injunction. Subject to Article 12.10 of this Plan, the satisfaction, release, and discharge pursuant to this Article XII shall act as an injunction against any person commencing or continuing any action, employment of process, or act to collect, offset, or recover any claim or caused of action satisfied, released, or discharged under this Plan to the fullest extent authorized or provided by the Bankruptcy Code including without limitation, to the extent provided for or authorized by sections 524 and 1141 thereof.

All objections to confirmation of the Plan that have not been withdrawn, waived, or settled, and all reservations of rights including therein, are overruled on the merits.

This is to certify that I have
certify a copy of petition for rehearing
with judgement and order
with said Panel judges of the Court of Appeals
For the Third Circuit

21400 US Court House

601 Market Street

Philadelphia

C

Atty. Mical L. Morgan
Oglethorpe, Deakins, Nash, Smoak
and Stewart, LLC
The Tunic Building Suite 201
1336 Beltz Rd
St. Thomas, VE 00802

Dated 6-18-08

Document Continued Page 49 of 5
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS
DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN

PLEASE BARTLETTE,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	CIVIL NO. 2002-100
	)	
vs.	)	ACTION FOR DAMAGES,
	)	BREACH OF CONTRACT,
KMART CORPORATION,	)	DISCRIMINATION AND
	)	WRONGFUL DISCHARGE
Defendant.	)	
_____	)	

ORDER

This matter having come before this Court upon the Motion of Defendant, Kmart Corporation, for dismissal of Plaintiff's Complaint, and this Honorable Court being fully satisfied with the premises contained therein it is hereby

ORDERED that Defendant's motion is hereby **GRANTED**, and it is further

ORDERED that Plaintiff's Complaint is hereby **DISMISSED**, and it is further

ORDERED that a copy of this Order shall be directed to counsel of record.

Dated: _____, 2006

Judge of the District Court
of the Virgin Islands

ATTEST: Wilfredo Morales
Clerk of the Court

By: _____
Deputy Clerk

In The District Court of the Virgin Islands

Division of St. Thomas and St. John

Plaintiff Ilease Barthle Prose

Utile No. 2002-100

VS.

Kmart Corporation Defendant

Order for release of Information

Statements of financial concern will become a matter of importance to all during the CV 2002-100 trial that is scheduled for 9:00 a.m. on the morning of June 18, 07.

The Defendant Kmart Corporation through its attorney Bennett Chan is ask to release to Plaintiff Ilease Barthle, Pro se The Tutu Park mall Kmart Store #3829 location its business Income Tax (or the Annual Return) Statements for 04, 05, 06 information.

The motion was disregarded and the use of the five - seven days was not respected since the June 1, 07 request was made.

I hereby certify that on June 13, 07 I requested The Kmart's Business Income Tax or Annual Return statements for 2004, 2005, 2006 from Atty. Bennett Chan, at Dudley Clark and Chan, 9720 Estate Thomas, Havensight St. Thomas, VI 00802 and I allow the passing of said information no later than the morning of trial June 18, 07. That is already narrowed down to dollars and cents in a comprehensive way written in words and quoted in numerical figures.