

United States Bankruptcy Court
Northern District of Illinois
Eastern Division

FILED
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
JUN 12 2009
KENNETH S. GARDNER, CLERK
PS REP. - MEMBER

Case Number: 02 - 2474

Case Name: Kmart

Notice of Motion To be Added
Accommodation, Retaliation, ADEA

It is important to note that Ilease Bartlette, Pro se, motions the court to the Administrative claims for which Bartlette sort settlement and presents them to the court. They are violation of American with Disabilities Act, the Civil Rights act, breach of contract wrongful discharge intentional infliction of emotional distress Back pay and Front pay may 14, 2001 shows the day of my termination March 28, 2002 my right to sue with the District court via EEOC.

I have been through the justice system seeking my rights. The District court could not try me under a number that was not mine therefore it dismiss my claims against Kmart. Bartlette appeals the decision entered on August 17, 2007 at the 3rd circuit that reviewed the file it placed me on the merits and issued (a mandate) a certified copy and issued in lieu of a formal mandate on 08-25-2008 and

2

found it unnecessary to reach the issue that the Kmart reorganization plan bars the continuation of this case. That the record shows I filed a proof of claim with the Bankruptcy Court.

That the District Court did not err in dismissing the complaint, being a Chapter 11 case one could not be tried without the others.

My judgement was labeled not for publication and the case number was different to mine 02-02474.

Thus no judge who concurred in the decision having asked for rehearing by this panel, the petition for rehearing is hereby denied.

The court must notice that it was to rehear. If I disagreed with the opinion, and it was my request of the same judge or panel.

I moved over to the Supreme Court but my place is with the Bankruptcy Court.

Certiorari and rehearing is denied not dismissed and I was sent off without a word spoken with a signed waiver dated 11-20-2008.

Another thing it was the Reorganized Debtors and or Trustee given the authority and was allowed to, on the behalf of the Chapter 11 Cases not Bartlette the claimant but I could not leave the District Court and go to the Bankruptcy Court for I knew not how having nothing much to work with so off I went up then further on and with

9

What an opportunity was given to Kmart to review, formulate, and provide comments on the Plan regarding the Chapter 11 cases.

Indeed it was an honor even in partnership to have worked with the court. However it would seem that there was contempt

because when the time for Kmart to meet and comply it caused its own default and by and through that action became liable.

Since then 6 years has passed and none of entitlements seen among the records to include Administrative Claims obligations have not been met through Kmart.

Instead Kmart restructured 10 of the claims placed them in disallowed and even found there was no merits.

But more so, The Effective Date came in 2003 yet we got no relief. It likewise disregarded the Administrative Bar Date June -, 2003.

Had Kmart returned to Bankruptcy Court Closure would have come even on the Effective Date or had it taken the trust given to it The Chapter 11 cases would not be here seeking from Kmart through the court our entitlements. The Confirmation Order on April -, 2003 was entered and February 25, 2003

4

(The Plan) in the Chapter 11 cases.

The record shows "Kmart" means Kmart Corporation Michigan Corporation debtor-in-possession in case No. 02-02474 pending in Bankruptcy Court. Pending means or implies Kmart or the Chapter 11

Cases could come. Kmart refused and

now compliance is mandatory for both it and the Chapter 11 cases when it could have been different or otherwise.

I Certify that a true copy of Notice of Motion to be added dated 6-4-2009 ^{accommodation} _{Retaliation ADEA} was sent on 6-10-2009 from Ilease Bartlett to add Accommodation Retaliation ADEA

United States Bankruptcy Court
Northern District of Illinois
Eastern Division, at 219 South Dearborn
Street Chicago, Illinois 60604

and

Mr. William Barrett, Borack Ferrazzone
Kirschbourn and Negleberg LLP
at 200 West Madison, Suite 3900
Chicago, Illinois 60606

ADEA Age Discrimination Act.

Dated 6-10-2009 Ilease Bartlett