

EXHIBIT 12

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

)	Chapter 11
In re:)	
)	Case No. 03-12676 (MFW)
MET-COIL SYSTEMS CORPORATION,)	
)	
Debtor.)	

**FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER
CONFIRMING THE FOURTH AMENDED CHAPTER 11 PLAN OF
REORGANIZATION FOR MET-COIL SYSTEMS CORPORATION
[DOCKET NO. 967]**

This matter having come on for a hearing on confirmation, pursuant to Section 1128 of the Bankruptcy Code, of the Fourth Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization proposed by Met-Coil Systems Corporation and Mestek, Inc., as Co-Proponents, dated June 22, 2004 (including all amendments and modifications thereof and exhibits thereto, the "Plan")¹ (Docket Nos. 967 and 980), filed with this Bankruptcy Court by Met-Coil Systems Corporation ("Met-Coil" or the "Debtor") and Mestek, Inc. ("Mestek") (collectively, the "Proponents"); the Court having considered the Declarations of Tinamarie A. Feil, Vice President and co-founder of The BMC Group, Inc., f/k/a Bankruptcy Management Corporation, regarding, among other things, the solicitation of votes from holders of Claims entitled to vote on the Plan within the time and in the manner required by the Solicitation Procedures Order, as supplemented ("Feil Decl.") (Docket Nos. 1137 and 1148), and the Declarations or Affidavits of Charles F. Kuoni,

¹ Capitalized terms used herein but not otherwise defined shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Fourth Amended Glossary of Terms attached as Exhibit 1 to the Plan (the "Glossary"), unless otherwise indicated herein. Any capitalized term used but not defined herein, or in the Glossary, but that is defined in the Bankruptcy Code or the Bankruptcy Rules shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the Bankruptcy Code or the Bankruptcy Rules. Such meanings shall be equally applicable to both the singular and the plural forms of such terms.

III, President and Chief Executive Officer of Met-Coil, as supplemented ("Kuoni Decl.") (Docket Nos. 1122 and 1154), Stephen Shea, Chief Financial Officer of Mestek ("Shea Decl.") (Docket No. 1146), Eric D. Green, the Future Claimants' Representative, as supplemented ("Green Aff.") (Docket Nos. 1132 and 1208), Z. Eric Stephens, director of the Southwest Region of CBIZ Valuation Group, Inc. ("CBIZ") (Docket No. 1124), Jill B. Berkeley, partner in the law firm of Schiff Hardin LLP ("Berkeley Decl.") (Docket No. 1123), Robert B. Stobaugh, Charles E. Wilson Professor of Business Administration, Emeritus, at the Harvard University Graduate School of Business Administration (the "Stobaugh Aff.") (Docket Nos. 1142 and 1147), Paul Shafir, authorized by the OneBeacon Insurance Group to enter a Declaration on its behalf ("Shafir Decl.") (Docket No. 1125), James White, authorized by the American International Group to enter a Declaration on its behalf ("White Decl.") (Docket No. 1131), and Constance O'Mara, authorized by Westchester Fire Insurance Company and Pacific Employers Insurance Company to enter a Declaration on their behalf ("O'Mara Decl.") (Docket No. 1143), all of which were filed in support of the Plan; and the other statements, evidence, and argument presented at the hearing held on July 28, 2004; and upon the entire record of the Chapter 11 Case; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor;

IT IS HEREBY FOUND, CONCLUDED AND ORDERED THAT:

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW²

These Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are those of the Bankruptcy Court under Fed. R. Civ. P. 52, as made applicable by Bankruptcy Rules 7052 and 9014. Because the Plan that relates to these Findings and Conclusions includes an injunction and

² Any finding of fact shall constitute a finding of fact even if it is stated as a conclusion of law, and any conclusion of law shall constitute a conclusion of law even if it is stated as a finding of fact.

certain releases relating to the potential liabilities of certain third parties, the Bankruptcy Court's jurisdiction to enter a Final Order with respect to certain Findings and Conclusions may be limited. Where relevant, accordingly, this Confirmation Order contains proposed and recommended Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law for review by the District Court. The proposed and recommended Findings and Conclusions are indicated below.

BACKGROUND

1. On August 26, 2003 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtor filed in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the "Bankruptcy Court") a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Thereafter, the Debtor continued to operate its business and manage its properties pursuant to Sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code as a debtor-in-possession.

2. On September 11, 2003, the Office of the United States Trustee for the District of Delaware, pursuant to Section 1102 of the Bankruptcy Code, appointed the Committee to represent the interests of all unsecured creditors in the Chapter 11 Case.

3. Met-Coil, as it currently exists, is a manufacturer of metal forming equipment through its two separate operating divisions: The Lockformer Company ("Lockformer") located in Lisle, Illinois, and Iowa Precision Industries ("IPI") located in Cedar Rapids, Iowa. Through its two divisions, Met-Coil manufactures advanced sheet-metal forming equipment, fabricating equipment, and computer-controlled fabrication systems for HVAC sheet metal contractors, steel service centers, and manufacturers of various metal products in the global market. Met-Coil's two divisions, which are Met-Coil's corporate predecessors, have been in the industry for over sixty years and have strong industry reputations throughout the world.

(Kuoni Decl. ¶ 5.)

4. On June 3, 2000, Met-Coil became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Formtek, Inc. ("Formtek"), which, in turn, is a wholly owned subsidiary of Mestek, Inc. ("Mestek"). (Kuoni Decl. ¶¶ 6-7.)

5. Mestek is comprised of two operating segments: A segment that manufactures HVAC equipment, and a "Metal Forming Segment" that manufactures metal forming equipment. Met-Coil is one of the subsidiaries comprising the Metal Forming Segment. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 6.)

6. As part of a larger family of Mestek corporate affiliates, the Debtor enjoys a variety of benefits including a high level of specialized industry expertise and an enhanced competitive position through the creation of collaborative joint ventures among Met-Coil and other Formtek subsidiaries within the United States and abroad. The most significant synergy is the existing and potential common customer base. To a large degree, any historical customer of one of the companies is a potential customer for any of the others. In addition, Met-Coil benefits from, among other things, centralized accounting systems, purchasing synergies, shared technologies and engineering skills, and common marketing efforts. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 11.)

TCE-RELATED CLAIMS

7. Like many companies in the late 1970s and early 1980s, Lockformer used trichloroethylene ("TCE") as a degreasing agent to clean certain of its manufactured metal products. At Lockformer, TCE was stored in a rooftop tank. Honeywell International Inc. ("Honeywell"), and its corporate predecessors—Baron Blakeslee, Inc. and AlliedSignal, Inc. ("AlliedSignal")—supplied the TCE to Lockformer. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 12.)

8. Prior to 1985, TCE was allegedly spilled onto the soil at the Lockformer Site as AlliedSignal's employees transferred TCE from AlliedSignal tanker trucks to the then-existing rooftop storage tank. In response to increased awareness of potential health risks of accidental releases associated with TCE solvents in the 1980s, Lockformer took steps to mitigate the risk of spilling TCE in the transfer from tanker trucks to the rooftop storage tank. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 13.)

9. In or about 1991, while conducting excavations to repair a sewer line, Lockformer discovered that a concentration of TCE existed in the soil near the fill pipe for the rooftop storage tank. Lockformer retained an environmental consulting firm to investigate the TCE concentration and recommend a remediation process. Lockformer thereafter began to remediate the Lockformer Site. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 14.)

10. After the acquisition of Met-Coil by Formtek in June 2000, it was alleged that TCE had migrated beyond the Lockformer Site to the soil or groundwater in certain nearby neighborhoods. Since that time, Lockformer has been subjected to more than 10 lawsuits, including two class actions, commenced by individuals and governmental agencies relating to the alleged discharge of TCE. The plaintiffs in these lawsuits allege, among other things, property damage and personal injury claims against Lockformer, Met-Coil, and Honeywell, and, in some cases, assert claims against Mestek, including as the indirect corporate parent of Met-Coil or as the purported operator of the Lockformer Site. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 15.)

11. Met-Coil has faced a substantial financial burden to defend these lawsuits, which have disrupted its business operations. In 2002 alone, Met-Coil recorded expenses of more than \$18 million related to remediation efforts and litigation defense and settlement costs.

(Kuoni Decl. ¶ 16.)

THE ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS

12. On January 19, 2001, the AG Plaintiffs filed the AG Action in the DuPage County Court seeking (a) recovery of the State of Illinois' response and investigatory costs; (b) remediation of the Lockformer Site; (c) an order requiring Met-Coil to pay the cost of connecting certain households to municipal water supplies; (d) injunctive relief; and (e) civil penalties. On August 23, 2001, the Village of Lisle intervened in the AG action to seek reimbursement of costs to connect certain households to municipal water supplies. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 14.)

13. On October 4, 2001, the USEPA filed an administrative order under CERCLA that required Lockformer and Met-Coil to conduct remediation at the Lockformer Site. As a result of these actions, both the USEPA and IEPA began overseeing the investigation and remediation at the Lockformer Site. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 18.)

THE PROPERTY DAMAGE ACTIONS

14. In 2000, the LeClercq Class Action was commenced in the Illinois District Court on behalf of 187 homeowners in neighborhoods around the Lockformer Site. The class sought damages under both federal environmental statutes for remediation of their property and under Illinois common law for diminution of value of their property and punitive damages. The LeClercq Class Action proceeded to trial in May 2002, and during the trial's pendency, the parties reached a settlement. Without any admission of liability, class members were paid approximately \$10 million to resolve the matter. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 19.)

15. In the DeVane action, eleven plaintiffs alleged property damage and nuisance relating to the alleged contamination of their properties and drinking water wells. The action proceeded to trial in June 2003 against Met-Coil and Honeywell (Mestek was dismissed as a defendant), and the jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiffs on July 11, 2003 for \$368,500.00 in compensatory damages against Met-Coil and Honeywell for diminution of value to plaintiffs' properties and \$2,000,000.00 in punitive damages against Met-Coil. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 20.)

16. The Mejdrech Litigation mirrors the allegations and claims of the LeClercq Class Action except that they are on behalf of approximately 1,400 homeowners whose properties are located farther from the Lockformer Site. The Mejdrech Class was certified on August 12, 2002, and the trial was scheduled to commence on September 8, 2003. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 21.)

THE PERSONAL INJURY ACTIONS

17. Since 2001, Met-Coil has been named as a defendant in six personal injury actions in which plaintiffs, Anne Schreiber, Daniel Pelzer, Sally Pepping, Deborah Meyer, individually and as executrix of the Estate of Nicholas Meyer, deceased, and as mother and next friend of Derek Meyer, a minor, and Danielle Meyer, a minor, Laura Wroble, Virginia Hallmer and Denise Ehrhart, allege that they were injured from TCE emanating from the Lockformer Site. Specifically, the plaintiffs allege that the exposure to TCE caused their diseases which include non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, kidney disease, cervical cancer, infertility problems, and autoimmune disorders. The Debtor has denied liability. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 22.)

THE HONEYWELL CLAIMS

18. The claims asserted against the Debtor and Mestek also included indemnity claims by Honeywell. The Honeywell Claims relate to the Honeywell Indemnity Agreement—a settlement reached in December 1994 between Lockformer and AlliedSignal, Honeywell's predecessor, in which Lockformer agreed to indemnify AlliedSignal for losses relating to the alleged TCE contamination at the Lockformer Site in exchange for payments relating to the TCE investigation and remediation costs. Met-Coil has since indemnified Honeywell in excess of \$1.9 million on demands of approximately \$2.6 million for Honeywell's separate liability and defense costs relating to the various TCE-related litigation. (Kuoni Decl. ¶¶ 76-78.)

CHAPTER 11 FILING

19. The TCE-related lawsuits had a material adverse effect on the Debtor's business. The legal costs associated with, and resources dedicated to defending, TCE lawsuits alone threatened the Debtor's survival. The Debtor filed its Chapter 11 Case to stem the flood of TCE litigation and to preserve itself as a viable business, buying from its vendors, selling products to its customers, and providing good jobs to its employees. In addition, the Debtor intends to complete the remediation of TCE at the Lockformer Site, connect certain homes to municipal water supplies, and compensate its tort and contract Claimholders, all of which would have been unlikely had the Debtor liquidated instead of filing for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. (Kuoni Decl. ¶¶ 25-77.)

THE PROPOSED PLAN

20. The Plan provides the means for resolution of the demands of the governmental authorities, the settlement of all pending TCE-related litigation claims, the settlement of Future TCE Demands, and the satisfaction of Claims against the Debtor, all of which will enable the Debtor to emerge from the Chapter 11 Case as a viable business. (Kuoni Decl. ¶¶ 25-27.)

21. The Debtor will fund the distributions under the Plan primarily from the proceeds of (a) the sale of 100% of the Reorganized Debtor's New Common Stock; (b) assignments of (i) the proceeds of unsettled Claims arising under the Insurance Policies for TCE Claims after the Effective Date and (ii) the Contribution Actions; and (c) the settlement of the Recovery Actions and TCE PI Trust Claims resulting from the issuance of the TCE Channeling Injunction and the approval of the releases of Protected Parties and Recovery Actions (collectively, the "Sale Assets"). (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 30.)

22. To ensure that the Debtor is receiving fair and reasonably equivalent value under the Plan, the Debtor filed a Motion for Entry of an Order (A) Approving Procedures for the Consideration of Alternative Plan Proposals and the Selection of a Winning Plan Sponsor and (B) Approving Form and Manner of Notice of Alternative Plan Procedures. The Sale Procedures Order was entered on June 22, 2004. (Docket No. 956)

23. Mestek, on behalf of itself and the Mestek Affiliates, made an "opening bid", namely the Restructuring Transaction Consideration, for the Sale Assets. The Restructuring Transaction Consideration is at least \$54 million and includes, in addition to the proceeds of the settlements with the Post-Petition Settling Insurers (as defined herein) (a)

funding of all amounts necessary under the Plan, including the Unsecured Claims Distribution Fund, the TCE PI Trust Claims Distribution Fund, the Mejdrech Settlement Amount, the Schreiber Settlement Amount and, to the extent necessary, any additional amount necessary to adequately capitalize the Reorganized Debtor or otherwise fund the Plan; (b) a guaranty of up to \$3,000,000.00 of the environmental remediation of the Lockformer Site; (c) approximately \$2,000,000.00 to pay to connect several homeowners to municipal water; and (d) the contribution of Mestek's secured Class 3.2 Claims and unsecured Class 4.2 Claims. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 32; Shea Decl. ¶ 18.)

24. In accordance with the Sale Procedures Order, the Debtor has engaged in efforts to market the Sale Assets. The Debtor made reasonable efforts to identify potential buyers itself, and received a list of potential buyers from the financial advisor to the Committee. The Debtor also received inquiries from business brokers. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 33.)

25. On or about January 5, 2004, the Debtor sent letters to the companies identified as being potential buyers of the Sale Assets. None of the recipients of the letter expressed any interest in submitting a bid for the Sale Assets. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 33.)

26. On June 29, 2004, the Debtor served a notice of the sale of the equity of the Reorganized Debtor on all parties receiving a package of solicitation materials in accordance with the Sale Procedures Order and prospective purchasers identified by the Debtor and the Committee. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 36.)

27. The Debtor received no Alternative Plan Proposals on or before the Alternative Plan Proposal Deadline, namely July 12, 2004. Because no Alternative Plan Proposals, qualified or otherwise, were submitted by the Alternative Plan Proposal Deadline, the

Debtor did not conduct the Auction, and the Debtor named Mestek the Winning Plan Sponsor. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 37.)

28. The Plan satisfies the requirements of a "new value" plan. Under the Plan, Mestek, or its assignee, will acquire the equity of the Reorganized Debtor because of its substantial contribution to the Plan. Mestek's bid in the amount of the Restructuring Transaction Consideration to consummate and fund the Plan represents the highest and best offer received in accordance with the Sale Procedures Order. The Debtor's marketing efforts were adequate and appropriate, and the Sale Assets were fairly and fully exposed to the market in order to determine whether anyone was interested in bidding an amount in excess of the Restructuring Transaction Consideration offered by Mestek. No Alternative Plan Proposal was offered or filed. The Restructuring Transaction Consideration exceeds the market value of the Sale Assets. (See Kuoni Decl. ¶ 38.)

VALUE OF THE REORGANIZED MET-COIL NEW COMMON STOCK

29. On November 20, 2003, the Bankruptcy Court authorized the Debtor to employ CBIZ, effective as of October 31, 2003, as the Debtor's valuation consultant. CBIZ is one of the largest and well-regarded full-service valuation firms in the United States, with experience providing valuations of both tangible and intangible assets in a wide range of industries, including Met-Coil's. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 40.)

30. CBIZ evaluated the Debtor and its business operations, as reorganized, to arrive at a valuation of 100% of the common equity of the Reorganized Debtor, essentially its enterprise value, as of September 30, 2003. In calculating such valuation, CBIZ assumed that the Reorganized Debtor will have no outstanding liabilities for personal injuries or

property damage resulting from the alleged TCE contamination, but would have a continuing obligation to remediate the alleged contamination at the Lockformer Site. Based upon CBIZ's valuation, the fair market value of 100% of the common equity of the Reorganized Debtor as of September 30, 2003, is approximately \$13,900,000.00, excluding the estimated costs of remediation, and \$10,200,000.00 if the estimated costs of remediation remain an obligation of Met-Coil. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 41; Stephens Decl. ¶ 10.)

VALUE OF THE INSURANCE RECOVERIES

31. Under the Plan, as the Winning Plan Sponsor, Mestek is entitled to an assignment of the proceeds of all TCE-related insurance policies to the extent settlements have not been reached with the insurance companies as of the Effective Date. As of the Confirmation Date, the Debtor has settled its disputes with all such insurance companies and will use the \$16,900,000 in settlement monies as additional monies to fund the Plan. As a result, Mestek will receive no assignment of the policies or proceeds of such Insurance Policies. Furthermore, Mestek and Formtek have agreed to waive their rights to the proceeds of such insurance settlements provided that the Plan is confirmed. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 42.)

VALUE OF THE CONTRIBUTION ACTIONS

32. Under the Plan, as the Winning Plan Sponsor, Mestek is entitled to an assignment of the Contribution Actions. In the LeClercq Contribution Action and the Mejdrech Contribution Action, Met-Coil alleges that the Contribution Third-Party Defendants allowed TCE and other hazardous substances to be released, and that those releases contributed to the alleged contamination in the groundwater in the vicinity of the Lockformer Site.

33. Based on the current posture of the Contribution Actions, the significant amount of discovery that has yet to be completed, the uncertainties associated with the litigation process, and the extremely expensive and burdensome nature of litigating the Contribution Actions to judgment, an accurate valuation of the Contribution Actions cannot be made with any reasonable degree of certainty other than to indicate that the value is speculative at best. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 46.)

THE SETTLEMENTS INCORPORATED INTO THE PLAN –

The Enforcement Actions

34. On or about July 21, 2004, the Debtor and Mestek agreed to the entry of a Consent Decree in the AG Action with the Attorney General of Illinois, the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, the DuPage County State's Attorney, and the Village of Lisle with respect to the remediation of the Lockformer Site, the Hook-Ups, and their respective pre-petition Claims and post-petition Claims. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 62.) The DuPage County Court entered the Consent Decree on July 26, 2004.

35. With respect to the pre-petition Claims of the Illinois AG, the Debtor agreed to provide, and the Illinois AG agreed to accept, \$24,953.53, in full and final settlement of its pre-petition claim if the Plan is confirmed and such payment is made a part of the first distribution to holders of Allowed Class 4.3 Claims under the Plan. The pre-petition Claims of the DuPage County State's Attorney and the Village of Lisle will be allowed in the respective amounts of \$28,620.65 and \$146,488.45, and the DuPage County State's Attorney and the Village of Lisle have agreed to waive their respective rights to a distribution if the Plan is confirmed. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 63.)

36. As part of the settlement, the Illinois AG, DuPage County State's Attorney and the Village of Lisle also shall hold an Allowed Administrative Claim for the reasonable costs they incurred on or after August 26, 2003, in direct relation to their oversight of the remediation of the Lockformer Site which the Debtor will pay in accordance with the Plan, subject to the Debtor's or the Reorganized Debtor's reasonableness review. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 64.)

37. In addition, the Debtor and Mestek entered into an agreement with the Village of Woodridge regarding certain Hook-Ups to the Village of Woodridge's municipal water supply. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 65.)

38. The negotiations of the settlement agreements were arms' length and conducted in good faith. Given the Debtor's potential liability, the circumstances surrounding the AG Action and the substantial attorneys' fees and expenses incurred and to be incurred in the AG Action, the resolution of the AG Action is reasonable and in the best interests of the Estate and Creditors.

The Mejdrech Class Action

39. As set forth in the letter agreement dated August 29, 2003 (the "August 29, 2003 Letter Agreement"), the Debtor, Mestek and counsel for the Mejdrech Class reached a settlement in principle that requires, *inter alia*, Met-Coil and Mestek to pay \$12,500,000.00 to the Mejdrech Class in full and complete satisfaction of all claims, including claims for attorneys' fees and expenses, that the Mejdrech Class has asserted against the Debtor and Mestek, exclusive of the Hook-Ups and the costs of remediation of the Lockformer Site. The settlement is contingent upon, *inter alia*, confirmation of the Plan. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 67.)

40. On July 14, 2004, the Debtor, Mestek, Honeywell, and the Mejdrech Class entered into the Settlement Agreement and Limited Release, which was preliminarily approved by the Illinois District Court on July 15, 2004. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 68.)

41. The settlement negotiations were arms' length and conducted in good faith. Based on the circumstances surrounding the Mejdrech Litigation, the Debtor's potential exposure to significant compensatory and punitive damages and the substantial attorneys' fees and expenses incurred and to be incurred, the settlement of the Mejdrech Litigation is reasonable and in the best interest of the Estate and Creditors.

The Personal Injury Cases

42. The Illinois District Court consolidated the personal injury cases involving plaintiffs Pelzer and Pipping, the Meyer family, Wroble, Hallmer, and Ehrhart for purposes of discovery. Although the Debtor and Mestek believe that the plaintiffs in these cases face an uphill battle in establishing that their alleged injuries resulted from TCE exposure emanating from the Lockformer Site, the plaintiffs are seeking large jury awards, including punitive damages. The Debtor and Mestek have entered into settlement agreements with each of these plaintiffs ranging from \$30,000 to \$200,000. Generally, the settlement amounts correspond to the dollar amounts such plaintiffs would be entitled to under the TCE PI Trust. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 70.)

43. Discovery in the Schreiber Litigation was set to close on October 1, 2003, with a jury trial scheduled to begin on March 1, 2004. As set forth in the August 29, 2003 Letter Agreement, the Debtor, Mestek and counsel for Schreiber reached a settlement in principle that requires, *inter alia*, Met-Coil and Mestek to pay \$6,000,000.00 to Schreiber in full and complete

satisfaction of all claims, including claims for attorneys' fees and expenses, that Schreiber has asserted against the Debtor and Mestek. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 71.)

44. The negotiations of the above settlement agreements were arms' length and conducted in good faith. Based on the circumstances surrounding the personal injury litigation, the Debtor's potential exposure to significant compensatory and punitive damages and the substantial attorneys' fees and expenses incurred and to be incurred, the settlement of all of the foregoing personal injury litigation is reasonable and in the best interests of the Estate and Creditors.

The Insurance Settlements

45. Prior to and after the Petition Date, the Debtor, Lockformer, and Mestek were embroiled in litigation with Travelers, insurance companies affiliated with American International Group including New Hampshire Insurance Company, and National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, PA (collectively, "AIG"), those companies making up OneBeacon Insurance Group, including but not limited to Potomac Insurance Company, now known as Pennsylvania General Insurance Company (collectively, "OneBeacon") and Westchester Fire Insurance Company and Pacific Employers Insurance Company (collectively, "ACE" and together with Travelers, AIG and OneBeacon, the "Post-Petition Settling Insurers") relating to coverage disputes under insurance policies involving the Lockformer Site. Over the course of two and one half years, the Debtor has incurred over \$1 million in attorneys' fees and costs to defend and prosecute the insurance-related litigation. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 73.)

46. The Insurance Policies issued by the Post-Petition Settling Insurers provided for \$10,600,000 in primary policy limits, subject to varying retained limits and self-insured retentions, and \$100,000,000 in excess or umbrella policy limits. To date, the Post-Petition Settling Insurers have each asserted that there was no coverage with respect to the Debtor's alleged liability for the TCE Claims, under the primary or umbrella policies, due to, including, without limitation, one or more of the following factors: (a) application of a "horizontal allocation" of the estimated potential liability for all underlying actions spread over at least 30 years limited possible insurance recoveries for each particular policy year to less than \$2 million per year (in other words, the Debtor could not obtain more than \$2 million per policy year per policy); (b) certain policies, both primary and umbrella, had absolute pollution exclusions enforceable against all claims, bodily injury and property damage claims or bodily injury or property damage claims; (c) depending upon whether Illinois or Iowa law would apply to enforcement of the pollution exclusion to trespass and nuisance claims, certain policies would exclude all trespass and nuisance claims; (d) no umbrella policies issued by any insurer would apply unless all primary insurance issued by all insurers had been exhausted; (e) the existence of certain policies could not be proven based on a complete lack of documentary or extrinsic evidence; (f) certain policy's per occurrence limits would limit "stacking of per occurrence limits to access the aggregate limits"; and (g) certain defense costs were incurred without consent and for amounts beyond insurers' regularly reimbursed rates. (Berkeley Decl. ¶ 4.)

47. On January 28, 2004, the Debtor filed motions to approve separate settlement agreements with Travelers and AIG (Docket Nos. 526 and 529, respectively). On July 14, 2004 and July 21, 2004, the Debtor also filed motions to approve settlement agreements

with OneBeacon and ACE relating to coverage under their policies (Docket Nos. 1075 and 1105, respectively). The aggregate amount of the settlements with the Post-Petition Settling Insurers is \$16,900,000.00, which the Debtor will use to fund the Plan. Through the settlements with the Post-Petition Settling Insurers, the Debtor will obtain recovery of 100% of available primary coverage and approximately 67% of the outstanding defense costs. (Berkeley Decl. ¶ 6.)

48. The negotiations of the settlement agreements were arms' length and conducted in good faith. Based on the circumstances surrounding the insurance litigation and the substantial attorneys' fees and expenses incurred and to be incurred, the settlements with the Post-Petition Settling Insurers are reasonable and in the best interests of the Estate and Creditors.

The Honeywell Settlement

49. In March 1993, Lockformer commenced an action against AlliedSignal seeking recovery of investigation and remediation costs related to TCE contamination at the Lockformer Site. On or about December 6, 1994, Lockformer, Met-Coil, and AlliedSignal, on behalf of itself and its successors such as Honeywell, entered into the Honeywell Indemnity Agreement. Lockformer agreed to "defend, hold harmless, and indemnify AlliedSignal from all claims, demands, damages, expenses, costs, attorneys' fees, actions and liabilities of any kind and nature" including those "brought by any person or entity, private, governmental or otherwise" for any "act or omission on the part of AlliedSignal."

50. Since the Petition Date, Mestek, Formtek and the Debtor have filed an action against Honeywell in the Bankruptcy Court for, among other things, a declaratory judgment that neither Mestek nor Formtek are liable under the Honeywell Indemnity Agreement. Honeywell filed an action against Mestek and Formtek in the Illinois District Court seeking a

declaratory judgment that they are liable under the Honeywell Indemnity Agreement. Upon Mestek's and Formtek's summary judgment motion, the Bankruptcy Court held that neither Mestek nor Formtek is liable under the Honeywell Indemnity Agreement. Honeywell has filed an objection. If Honeywell is successful in its actions against Mestek and Formtek, Mestek likely would not contribute to the Plan. (Shea Decl. ¶ 23.)

51. Honeywell, Mestek and the Debtor have reached a settlement agreement, whereby (a) Honeywell shall have an Allowed Claim in the aggregate amount of \$5,600,000.00 and receive a distribution of \$2,500,000.00 on account of such claims; (b) Mestek and Honeywell will enter into a supply agreement for certain products; (c) Honeywell will not object to the Plan; (d) the parties will execute comprehensive mutual releases; and (e) the Honeywell Indemnity Agreement will be deemed null and void.

52. During the course of these negotiations, Honeywell and the Future Claimants' Representative, on behalf of the TCE PI Trust, requested releases from each other. In order to accommodate this request, the parties agreed to request that the Confirmation Order contain such a provision.

53. The negotiation of the settlement agreement was arms' length and conducted in good faith. Based upon the pending litigation, the potential ongoing exposure under the Honeywell Indemnity Agreement and the substantial attorneys' fees and expenses incurred and to be incurred, the settlement with Honeywell is reasonable and in the best interests of the Estate and Creditors.

The Pre-Petition Settling Insurers

54. After the Petition Date, the Debtor also entered into settlement discussions with One Beacon, Wausau Underwriters Insurance Co./Employers Insurance Co. of Wausau ("Wausau"), Columbia Casualty Company ("Columbia"), Unigard Insurance Co. ("Unigard") and Hartford Accident and Indemnity Company and Twin City Fire Insurance (as more fully defined in the settlement agreement executed before the Petition Date by and among Hartford Accident and Indemnity Company, Twin City Fire Insurance, the Debtor, Mestek and other Affiliates, "Hartford," collectively with One Beacon, Columbia, Unigard and Wausau, the "Pre-Petition Settling Insurers"). The Debtor, Mestek and other related entities had entered into settlement agreements with these insurance companies prepetition that required the Debtor to indemnify them under certain circumstances. Post-bankruptcy, the Debtor and each of these insurance companies entered into agreements whereby the insurance companies will receive the benefits of the TCE Channeling Injunction in exchange for waivers of any indemnification claims against the Debtor or Mestek, under certain circumstances. These agreements allow the Debtor to avoid ongoing costs under the indemnification agreements and disputing any such indemnification agreements and claims.

55. The negotiations of the agreements were arms' length and conducted in good faith. Based upon the potential ongoing exposure under the settlement agreements with the Pre-Petition Settling Insurers, such settlements are reasonable and in the best interests of the Estate and Creditors.

The Recovery Actions

56. The Mestek Affiliates will receive a release under the Plan of the Recovery Actions. Recovery Actions include the Alter-Ego Claims (claims under alter-ego, corporate veil, vicarious liability, unity of interest, de facto merger, substantive consolidation, and similar theories), breach of fiduciary claims, and other causes of action for any debt of Met-Coil, or relating to Met-Coil.

57. After an extensive factual and legal analysis of the Alter Ego Claims, and a consideration of the other Recovery Actions, the Debtor determined that the Recovery Actions have limited value to the Estate. Likewise, after conducting extensive discovery concerning the Recovery Actions, the Committee agreed to support the Plan as proposed. Finally, the Future Claimants' Representative, after analysis of the value and likelihood of success of the Recovery Actions against Mestek, concluded that the holders of Future TCE Demands were best served by resolving such Recovery Actions in exchange for Mestek's contribution to the funding of the TCE PI Trust.

58. While the Estate could prevail on one or more of the Recovery Actions, Mestek has substantial defenses. Therefore, the outcome of such litigation is highly uncertain, such litigation would be very expensive and burdensome to the Debtor, with the total cost of Alter Ego Claims alone likely to range between \$1,100,000.00 to \$1,500,000.00, and it would take many months to litigate such claims, perhaps as long as two years or more. (Kuoni Decl. ¶ 51.)

59. The negotiations surrounding the settlement of the Recovery Actions were arms' length and conducted in good faith. Based on the circumstances surrounding the litigation of the Recovery Actions, including the costs of litigation, the delay in recovery and the significant consideration to be paid by the Mestek Affiliates under the Plan, the settlement and release of the Recovery Actions is in the best interests of the Estate and Creditors.

**ADDITIONAL FACTUAL FINDINGS REGARDING TCE
CHANNELING INJUNCTION, THE PROTECTED PARTY
RELEASE, AND THE RELEASE OF RECOVERY ACTIONS**

The Future Claims Representative

60. On October 20, 2003, the Bankruptcy Court appointed a Future Claimants' Representative, Professor Eric D. Green, to represent and protect the rights of Future TCE Demands. (Docket No. 205). On July 28, 2004, the Bankruptcy Court entered a supplemental Order clarifying the scope of the appointment of the Future Claimants' Representative to represent and protect the interests of the holders of Future TCE Demands. (Docket No. 1161.)

61. Professor Green has years of experience in the area of mass tort litigation, complex litigation, negotiation and mediation on behalf of future claimants in the context of Chapter 11 cases. To assist Professor Green in his representation of holders of Future TCE Demands, the Bankruptcy Court approved the retention of Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor, LLP to act as his counsel, Analysis, Research & Planning Corporation ("ARPC") to analyze and quantify the TCE PI Trust Claims, Exponent, Inc. ("Exponent"), as toxicologists and epidemiologists, to analyze and produce studies and estimates of potential health problems and accompanying damages allegedly resulting from TCE exposure, and Dr. Jonathan F. Sykes ("Dr. Sykes"), as a hydrology expert and consultant, to create a model of the footprint of the TCE

contamination allegedly released from the Lockformer Site, analyze the levels of the alleged TCE contamination detected in well samples previously obtained, and model the historic and anticipated future progression of TCE. (Green Decl. ¶¶ 5-35.)

62. The Future Claimants' Representative has concluded that there are 365 properties that may be affected by TCE in the future. Based upon census data, the Future Claimants' Representative projected an approximate number of persons that may be exposed to TCE allegedly originating from the Lockformer Site, estimated the number of expected diagnoses for the exposed, surviving population, and quantified the range of TCE PI Trust Claims over time. Professor Green's estimated range is based upon the projection of potential recoveries that claimants might expect to receive in the court system, considering the risks and costs, if claimants were to assert claims against the Debtor for alleged personal injuries caused by exposure to TCE allegedly originating from the Lockformer Site. Professor Green's conclusions are fair and based upon a complete and reasonable analysis. (Green Decl. ¶¶ 27-35.)

63. The Future Claimants' Representative also negotiated with the Debtor and Mestek the terms of the TCE PI Trust and the TCE PI Trust Distribution Procedures described below. The terms of the TCE PI Trust and TCE PI Trust Distribution Procedures as negotiated by the Future Claimants' Representative provide fair and adequate means and mechanisms for the protection of the rights and interests of holders of Future TCE Demands. In all respects, the Future Claimants' Representative fully, fairly, and adequately represented the interests of the holders of Future TCE Demands in the Chapter 11 Case. (Green Decl. ¶¶ 36-42.)

64. The Debtor is likely to be subject to TCE PI Trust Claims that are the subject of the TCE PI Trust and the TCE Channeling Injunction. The numbers and timing of such TCE PI Trust Claims, however, cannot be determined. The pursuit of TCE PI Trust Claims outside the procedures prescribed by the Plan is likely to threaten the Plan's purpose to deal equitably with the TCE PI Trust Claims. (Green Decl. ¶¶ 43-46.)

65. On the Effective Date, a portion of the consideration provided by Mestek and the Post-Petition Settling Insurers will be used to fund the TCE PI Trust. The purpose of the TCE PI Trust is to (a) assume all liabilities for a 45 year period with respect to the TCE PI Trust Claims and (b) use its assets and income to pay the Trust Expenses and the holders of TCE PI Trust Claims in accordance with the TDP in such a way that such holders are treated fairly, equitably and reasonably in light of the limited assets available to satisfy such Claims.

66. The creation and funding of the TCE PI Trust as well as the TDP are fair, reasonable and adequate and based upon arms' length negotiations among the parties. Pursuant to the TDP, the TCE PI Trust will operate through a structured determination and distribution system designed to ensure the TCE PI Trust will provide fair compensation with regard to TCE PI Trust Claims, while at the same time retaining sufficient assets to satisfy future claims.

67. In consideration for funding and other consideration provided to the Plan and the TCE PI Trust, the Debtor, the Reorganized Debtor, Mestek, the Mestek Affiliates, the Future Claimants' Representative, the Settling Insurers, all other Protected Parties and each of their respective Representatives are entitled, under the Plan, to relief from TCE PI Trust Claims through the TCE Channeling Injunction, a release of Protected Parties and a release of Recovery Actions. This Court has authority to approve the TCE Channeling Injunction and releases under

Sections 105(a) and 1123(b)(6) of the Bankruptcy Code.

68. The TCE PI Trust shall immediately terminate after the first to occur of the following events: (i) the later of (a) the 45th anniversary after the Effective Date of the Plan or (b) such later date as may be determined by the Trustee; provided, however, that in the event that the Trustee elects to continue the TCE PI Trust after the 45th anniversary of the Effective Date, the Protected Parties shall continue to have the benefits of the TCE Channeling Injunction but shall have no further funding obligations; or (ii) if the Reorganized Debtor or Mestek fail to make a payment required under the TCE PI Trust Funding Agreement and fail to cure the payment default in thirty (30) days, the Reorganized Debtor and Mestek shall be in default and the TCE PI Trust shall be entitled to immediately liquidate all of the collateral and demand immediate payment of remaining amounts, if any, due to the TCE PI Trust pursuant to the TCE PI Trust Funding Agreement. If the Reorganized Debtor and Mestek fail to pay any remaining amount due after liquidation of the collateral within thirty (30) days after receiving written notice of such amount from the Trustee, the TCE Channeling Injunction shall terminate solely as to the Reorganized Debtor and the Mestek Affiliates.

69. The Trustee, only with the consent of the Future Claimants' Representative, Mestek, and the Reorganized Debtor, may terminate the TCE PI Trust because (i) the Trustee deems it unlikely that new TCE PI Trust Claims will be filed against the TCE PI Trust, (ii) all TCE PI Trust Claims duly filed with the TCE PI Trust have been liquidated and paid to the extent provided in the TCE PI Trust Agreement and the TDP, and (iii) more than twelve (12) consecutive months have elapsed during which no new TCE PI Trust Claim has been filed with the TCE PI Trust. In the event of such a termination, the TCE Channeling Injunction

shall terminate solely as to the Reorganized Debtor and the Mestek Affiliates.

70. In the event of termination of the TCE PI Trust for any reason, the previous determinations of payments made by, and settlements of TCE PI Trust Claims by the TCE PI Trust (including, but not limited to, claim liquidation, claim rejection, decisions by the Claims Resolution Panel, and arbitration decisions) and the releases delivered by claimants to the TCE PI Trust shall continue to apply to the Reorganized Debtor and the Mestek Affiliates.

71. Provided the Reorganized Debtor and Mestek are not in default of their respective obligations to the TCE PI Trust, upon termination of the TCE PI Trust, any funds remaining in the TCE PI Trust will revert to Mestek.

72. The Bankruptcy Court proposes and recommends the following findings of fact and conclusion of law:

a. The consideration paid for the TCE Channeling Injunction and releases is substantial and fair. The TCE Channeling Injunction and releases are necessary to the reorganization of the Debtor. The TCE Channeling Injunction, the release of Protected Parties, and the release of Recovery Actions as to Mestek and the Mestek Affiliates, as set forth in the Plan, are appropriate and are authorized by Sections 1123(b)(6) and 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code.

b. The TCE Channeling Injunction, the release of Protected Parties, and the release of Recovery Actions as to the Mestek Affiliates are appropriate because there exists an identity of interest among the Mestek Affiliates, the Settling Insurers, and the Debtor. Mestek and Formtek are the Debtor's indirect and direct parents, and the Settling Insurers are the Debtor's direct liability insurers and Mestek's and Formtek's indirect insurers. The personal

injury claims asserted against Mestek, and indirectly against the Settling Insurers, arise from the same alleged TCE contamination as those asserted against the Debtor. Because the principal basis for liability asserted against Mestek (and the Settling Insurers) is based upon the identical set of facts relating to the plaintiffs' alleged TCE exposure and claims against the Debtor there is an identity of interest among Mestek, the Settling Insurers, and the Debtor.

c. There is a further identity of interest among the Debtor, Mestek, and the Settling Insurers because the insurance policies that are the subject of each settlement with a Settling Insurer provide shared primary coverage to both the Debtor and secondarily to its shareholders, including Formtek and Mestek.

d. Mestek (on behalf of itself and its Affiliates) and the Settling Insurers have also contributed substantial assets to the Debtor's reorganization. Under the Plan, Mestek will contribute in excess of \$54,000,000 in cash and non-cash consideration and the Post-Petition Settling Insurers will contribute \$16,900,000 of insurance proceeds—constituting 100% of available primary insurance. The Pre-Petition Settling Insurers will also release any indemnification claims against the Debtor. Mestek's and the Settling Insurers' contributions to the Debtor's reorganization are well in excess of the Debtor's entire enterprise value of approximately \$13,900,000, excluding the estimated costs of remediation, and \$10,200,000 if the estimated costs of remediation remain an obligation of Met-Coil. Moreover, by entering into settlements with the Pre-Petition Settling Insurers, the Debtor's estate has been substantially preserved because the settlements provide for the waiver of indemnification claims against the Debtor.

e. The TCE Channeling Injunction, the release of Protected Parties, and the release of Recovery Actions as to the Mestek Affiliates are also essential to the Debtor's reorganization. Without the TCE Channeling Injunction and releases, there is little likelihood that the Debtor's reorganization would succeed. Both Mestek and the Settling Insurers have made it clear that without the assurances of the TCE Channeling Injunction, the release of Protected Parties and the release of Recovery Actions as to the Mestek Affiliates, they will not provide the substantial funding under the Plan.

f. The Creditors have overwhelmingly voted in favor of the Plan. In particular, 100% of the members of Class 6, the class affected by the TCE Channeling Injunction, voted to accept the Plan. More than 98% of all Creditors who voted on the Plan, voted in favor of the Plan. (See Feil Decl., Exh. 3.) Only three objections to the Plan were filed. One Creditor filed an objection to the Plan, which has been resolved and withdrawn. The other two "objections" were reservations filed by Settling Insurers affirming that their support of the Plan was contingent on approval of their respective settlements and confirmation of the Plan, with the TCE Channeling Injunction.

g. The Plan also provides means for the payment of all Claims affected by the TCE Channeling Injunction, the release of Protected Parties and the release of Recovery Actions in full or in substantial part. The Plan provides a mechanism whereby the TCE PI Trust pays TCE PI Trust Claims. The evidence demonstrates that the TCE PI Trust is adequate to satisfy all anticipated TCE PI Trust Claims over the 45-year lifetime of the TCE PI Trust. All other Creditors (other than holders of claims for Non-Compensatory Damages) are getting paid their negotiated amounts or at least 70% of their Allowed Claims. The majority of Creditors

hold Claims in Class 4, 1 and will be paid 100% of their Allowed Claims. Moreover, holders of Future TCE Demands retain the right to sue the TCE PI Trust in the courts if they are dissatisfied with the amounts proposed to be paid.

h. The potential costs to the Estate of litigating the Recovery Actions and their questionable likelihood of success further weigh in favor of approval of the TCE Channeling Injunction, the release of Protected Parties, and the release of Recovery Actions as to Mestek and the Mestek Affiliates. The Recovery Actions are complex, and the Debtor estimates that it would take many months, if not years, to resolve the Recovery Actions, and if successful, to collect a related judgment, with an estimated cost of at least \$1,100,000 to \$1,500,000. Settling these claims for Mestek's substantial contribution will save the Debtor the substantial cost of resolving the Recovery Actions, while at the same time providing the Creditors immediate substantial cash benefits.

i. Approval of the TCE Channeling Injunction, the release of Protected Parties, and the release of Recovery Actions as to the Mestek Affiliates is also in the public interest. The lynchpin of the Plan is the substantial contributions by Mestek and the Settling Insurers, which will only be provided if the TCE Channeling Injunction, the release of Protected Parties, and the release of Recovery Actions as to the Mestek Affiliates are approved. The Plan is designed to resolve all Creditor claims in full or substantial part, in an efficient manner, and without protracted litigation, wasted resources, or lost jobs. Moreover, the Plan provides the needed moneys and resources to complete the remediation of the TCE contamination at the Lockformer Site, and to connect certain surrounding households to municipal water supplies. Ensuring the safety of surrounding residents and providing compensation to those allegedly

injured has been the focus of this Chapter 11 Case, and the Plan is designed to accomplish those important public policy considerations.

j. Accordingly, the TCE Channeling Injunction, the release of Protected Parties, and the release of Recovery Actions as to the Mestek Affiliates have been proposed in good faith, the consideration paid for the TCE Channeling Injunction and releases is substantial and fair, and the TCE Channeling Injunction and releases are fair and necessary to the reorganization of the Debtor. The establishment of the TCE PI Trust is in the best interests of the Estate and the Creditors because it provides an appropriate, fair and necessary mechanism for resolving the TCE PI Trust Claims that would otherwise burden the Debtor and make its reorganization unlikely, if not impossible.

NOTICE AND SOLICITATION OF VOTES

73. The best practicable notice of the pendency of the Chapter 11 Case, the Plan, and the Confirmation Hearing, together with the deadlines for voting on, and filing objections to, the Plan has been provided to all holders of Claims and other interested parties, including those Persons in the Designated Area, in accordance with the orders of this Bankruptcy Court and the procedures established by the Solicitation Procedures Order, Section 1128 of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rules 2002(b) and 3017(d) of the Bankruptcy Rules, the Local Rules of the Bankruptcy Court, and all other applicable laws, rules, and regulations, and in satisfaction of due process considerations.

74. Solicitation by the Debtor, Mestek, and each of their Representatives of votes on acceptance or rejection of the Plan was conducted in good faith and complied with Sections 1125 and 1126 of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rules 3017 and 3018, the

procedures established by the Solicitation Procedures Order, all other applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code and all other applicable laws, rules, and regulations.

75. The procedures by which the ballots to accept or reject the Plan were distributed to holders of Claims against the Debtor and tabulated were fair and properly conducted and in accordance with the Solicitation Procedures Order, the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, the Local Rules of the Bankruptcy Court and all other applicable laws, rules and regulations. The solicitation and tabulation of votes was consistent with Bankruptcy Rule 3018.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION OF LAW UNDER SECTION 1129 OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE

76. The Plan complies with the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, including, without limitation, Sections 1122 and 1123 of the Bankruptcy Code. Therefore, the Plan satisfies the requirements of Section 1129(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code.

77. The classification of Claims and Interests under the Plan is reasonable. Claims and Interests in each Class are substantially similar to other Claims and Interests in such Class, and the Plan therefore satisfies the requirements of Sections 1122(a) and 1123(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code.

78. Class 4.1 is a class of Convenience Claims established pursuant to Section 1122(b) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Convenience Class is "reasonable and necessary" under Section 1122(b). The Debtor paid its bills until shortly before bankruptcy, notwithstanding the burden of the Claims relating to the TCE litigation and other issues. The separate classification of those Claims allows the Debtor to deal fairly and efficiently with a large number of its creditors.

79. The Class 1 and 2 Claims are Unimpaired under the Plan and, therefore, are deemed to have accepted the Plan pursuant to Section 1126(f) of the Bankruptcy Code. Classes 3.1, 3.2, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 5, and 6 (the "Voting Classes") are Impaired and were entitled to vote to accept or reject the Plan. Class 7 Claims and Class 8 Interests are Impaired, but were not entitled to vote to accept or reject the Plan because such Claimholders and Interestholders are not entitled to any distributions in respect of their Claims or Interests, and therefore are deemed to have rejected the Plan pursuant to Section 1126(g) of the Bankruptcy Code. Accordingly, the Plan satisfies the requirements of Sections 1123(a)(2) and 1123(a)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code.

80. The Plan provides for the same treatment of each Claim of a particular Class, unless the holder of a particular Claim agrees to less favorable treatment, thus satisfying the requirements of Section 1123(a)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code.

81. The Plan provides for adequate means for its implementation, and therefore satisfies the requirements of Section 1123(a)(5) of the Bankruptcy Code.

82. The Plan complies with Section 1123(a)(6) of the Bankruptcy Code given that on the Confirmation Date, the Debtor shall make the appropriate election to convert to a Delaware limited liability company. On the Effective Date, Mestek or its assignee shall acquire all of the membership interests in the Reorganized Debtor.

83. The Plan complies with Section 1123(a)(7) because it contains only provisions that are consistent with the interests of creditors and equity security holders and with public policy with respect to the manner of selecting the Trustee for the TCE PI Trust and any successors to such Trustee. The Plan does not contain any provisions with respect to the selection of any officers or directors of the Debtor or any successors to such officers and

directors.

84. The Plan provides for the treatment under Section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code, of all executory contracts and unexpired leases not previously assumed or rejected or the subject of a motion either to assume or reject pursuant to such Section, and the Plan therefore complies with the provisions of Section 1123(b)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code.

85. The provisions of the Plan constitute a good faith compromise and settlement of all Claims, and all controversies respecting Claims resolved pursuant to the Plan. This Confirmation Order constitutes the Bankruptcy Court's approval of all such compromises and settlements which, based upon the representations by the Debtor, all other testimony proffered and evidence introduced at the Confirmation Hearing and the full record of the Debtor's Chapter 11 Case, the Bankruptcy Court finds to be fair, equitable, within the range of reasonableness and in the best interests of the Debtor, the Estate, Creditors and other parties in interest. Accordingly, the Plan satisfies Section 1123(b)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code.

86. With respect to the Debtor's Representatives, the releases of certain of the Debtor's present officers, directors, employees and other third parties contained in Section 12.02 of the Plan are necessary and appropriate, among other things, to avoid the assertion of indemnification claims of such officers, directors and employees against the Debtor for liabilities arising prior to the Effective Date, thereby circumventing the discharge of the Debtor in accordance with the Bankruptcy Code and the Confirmation Order. The Debtor's Representatives have made substantial contributions to the Debtor's reorganization efforts, and the releases to be provided to the Debtor's Representatives are essential to the success of the Reorganized Debtor in order to ensure that such Representatives are not distracted by litigation

and will be able to focus their full attention on implementing a successful reorganization.

87. The Debtor and Mestek, as co-proponents of the Plan, have complied with each of the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code including, without limitation, Sections 1125 and 1126 of the Bankruptcy Code, and therefore have satisfied the requirements of Section 1129(a)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code, as follows: (a) the Debtor is a proper debtor under Section 109 of the Bankruptcy Code, (b) the Debtor and Mestek are proper proponents of the Plan under Section 1121 of the Bankruptcy Code; (c) the Debtor has complied with each of the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, except as otherwise provided or permitted by orders of the Bankruptcy Court; and (d) the Debtor and Mestek have complied with each of the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules and the Solicitation Procedures Order in transmitting notices and solicitation materials and in soliciting and tabulating votes on the Plan.

88. The Proponents and each of their respective Representatives have acted and proposed the Plan in good faith and not by any means forbidden by the Bankruptcy Code or the law, thereby satisfying Section 1129(a)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code.

89. Payments made or to be made by the Debtor for services or for costs and expenses in, or in connection with, the Debtor's Chapter 11 Case have, to the extent required by the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules and the Orders of this Bankruptcy Court, been approved by, or are subject to the approval of, this Bankruptcy Court as reasonable. Accordingly, the Plan satisfies the requirements of Section 1129(a)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code.

90. The Debtor has complied with Section 1129(a)(5) of the Bankruptcy Code by disclosing the identity of its officers and directors who will continue to be employed by the

Reorganized Debtor, and the nature of their compensation, in the Disclosure Statement. The continuance in office of such persons is consistent with the interests of the Creditors, the equity security holders, and public policy.

91. Section 1129(a)(6) of the Bankruptcy Code is inapplicable because the Plan does not provide for any change in rates over which a governmental regulatory commission has jurisdiction.

92. The Claimholders and Interestholders that are Impaired under the Plan: (a) have accepted the Plan; or (b) will receive or retain under the Plan, on account of such Claim, property of a value, as of the Effective Date of the Plan, that is not less than the amount that such holder would so receive or retain if such Debtor were to be liquidated under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code on such date. Accordingly, the Plan is in the best interests of the Creditors and satisfies the requirements of Section 1129(a)(7) of the Bankruptcy Code.

93. With respect to each Class of Claims and Interests designated by the Plan, other than Classes 7 and 8, either: (a) such Class has accepted the Plan; or (b) such Class is not Impaired under the Plan. Accordingly, the requirements of Section 1129(a)(8) of the Bankruptcy Code have been satisfied with respect to all Claims and Interests other than those in Classes 7 and 8.

94. The treatment of Allowed Administrative Claims, Allowed Priority Tax Claims and Allowed Priority Non-Tax Claims satisfies the applicable requirements of Section 1129(a)(9)(A), (B) and (C) of the Bankruptcy Code.

95. The Plan has been accepted by Classes 4.1, 4.3, 5, and 6. The Plan would have been accepted by all such Classes even without counting the acceptances of insiders. Therefore, the Plan has been accepted by at least one Impaired Class of Claims, without including any acceptances of the Plan by any insider holding a Claim in such Class. Accordingly, the requirements of Section 1129(a)(10) of the Bankruptcy Code are satisfied with respect to the Plan.

96. The Plan satisfies Section 1129(a)(11) of the Bankruptcy Code because confirmation of the Plan is not likely to be followed by liquidation or the need for further financial reorganization of the Reorganized Debtor.

97. The Debtor's projections provided in Exhibit D to the Disclosure Statement (the "Projections") are based on reasonable assumptions and are reliable, subject to the risks identified in those assumptions. As the Projections demonstrate (a) the Plan provides a feasible means of completing a reorganization of the Debtor's business as provided in the Plan and (b) there is reasonable assurance that the Reorganized Debtor will be able to satisfy all of its obligations under the Plan, including remediation of the Lockformer Site and the Hook-Ups.

98. The fees payable by the Debtor to the United States Trustee or the Clerk of this Bankruptcy Court, as provided under 28 U.S.C. § 1930(a)(6), constitute administrative expenses entitled to priority under Section 507(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code and the treatment of such fees in the Plan satisfies the requirements of Section 1129(a)(12) of the Bankruptcy Code.

99. To the extent the Debtor is required to continue to provide any retiree benefits (as that term is defined under Section 1114 of the Bankruptcy Code), such benefits shall be continued under the Plan, with the exception of the Reush retiree benefits, and the Plan satisfies the requirements of Section 1129(a)(13) of the Bankruptcy Code.

100. The Plan meets all of the requirements of Section 1129(a) of the Bankruptcy Code for confirmation of the Plan with the exception of Section 1129(a)(8) because Classes 7 and 8 are deemed to have rejected the Plan. The Plan does not discriminate unfairly and is fair and equitable as to both Classes 7 and 8. Class 7 consists of Non-Compensatory Damage Claims which are properly subordinated to all other general unsecured claims under the Plan on account of their Claims or Interests. There are no holders of Claims junior to Class 7 that are receiving property under the Plan. Class 8 consists of the Formtek Interests. There are no Interests other than or junior to Class 8 Interests. Therefore, the Plan satisfies the standards of Sections 1129(b)(1), (b)(2)(B) and (b)(2)(C).

101. Other than the Plan, no plan has been filed in this Chapter 11 Case. Accordingly, the requirements of Section 1129(c) of the Bankruptcy Code have been satisfied.

102. No party in interest that is a governmental unit has requested that the Bankruptcy Court not confirm the Plan on the grounds that the principal purpose of the Plan is the avoidance of taxes or the avoidance of the application of Section 5 of the Securities Act of 1933, 15 U.S.C. § 77e, and the principal purpose of the Plan is not such avoidance. Accordingly, the Plan satisfies the requirements of Section 1129(d) of the Bankruptcy Code.

103. The modifications to the Plan set forth in paragraphs H, I, J and X of this Confirmation Order are appropriate pursuant to Section 1127(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and do not require any resolicitation of votes pursuant to Section 1127(d) of the Bankruptcy Code.

104. All conditions to confirmation of the Plan, as set forth in Section 11.01 of the Plan, have been satisfied or waived.

105. The Bankruptcy Court takes judicial notice of the pleadings, orders, and other documents filed and contained in the Bankruptcy Court's file and docket maintained by the Clerk of the Bankruptcy Court. The Bankruptcy Court has considered all the relevant evidence and testimony provided during the hearings held before the Bankruptcy Court during the pendency of this Chapter 11 Case, including the hearing to consider the adequacy of the Disclosure Statement and the Confirmation Hearing.

WHEREFORE, BASED ON THE FOREGOING FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

A. This Bankruptcy Court has "core" jurisdiction to consider and enter a final order confirming the Plan pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(b) and 1334(b) and to enter orders necessary to implement the Plan, except for those provisions relating to the TCE Channeling Injunction, the release of Protected Parties and the release of Recovery Actions. The Bankruptcy Court has "related to" jurisdiction to consider and confirm the Plan pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(c) and 1334(b) with respect to the TCE Channeling Injunction, the release of Protected Parties and the release of Recovery Actions and recommends approval of such provisions by the United States District Court for the District of Delaware. The United States District Court for the District of Delaware has jurisdiction to consider and enter a final order pursuant to 28 U.S.C.

§§ 157(c) and 1334(b) with respect to the TCE Channeling Injunction, the release of Protected Parties and the release of Recovery Actions. The Bankruptcy Court recommends approval of such provisions by the United States District Court for the District of Delaware.

B. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

C. The Bankruptcy Court hereby orders that the Plan is confirmed in accordance with Sections 1129(a) and 1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code. The Bankruptcy Court recommends to the United States District Court for the District of Delaware entry of an order approving and entering the TCE Channeling Injunction, the release of Protected Parties and the release of Recovery Actions.

D. Each and every objection to confirmation of the Plan not withdrawn is hereby overruled for the reasons set forth on the record of the Confirmation Hearing.

E. Mestek or its assignee is deemed a "good faith" purchaser pursuant to and within the meaning of Section 363(m) of the Bankruptcy Code.

F. Effective on and as of the Effective Date, any and all unexpired leases and executory contracts that exist between the Debtor and any Entity which (i) have not expired or terminated pursuant to their own terms, or (ii) have not previously been assumed, or assumed and assigned or rejected pursuant to an order of the Bankruptcy Court on or prior to the Confirmation Date, (iii) are not the subject of pending motions to assume, or assume and assign or reject as of the Confirmation Date or (iv) are not specified in the list of executory contracts and unexpired leases to be assumed by the Reorganized Debtor attached to the Plan as Exhibit 2, are hereby specifically rejected; provided, however, that the Debtor shall have the right, at any time prior to the Effective Date, to amend Exhibit 2 to the Plan (a) to delete any executory

contract or unexpired lease listed therein, thus providing for its rejection or (b) to add any executory contract or unexpired lease to Exhibit 2 to the Plan, thus providing for its assumption by the Reorganized Debtor. Each contract or lease listed on Exhibit 2 to the Plan on the Effective Date shall be deemed assumed by the Reorganized Debtor only to the extent that any such contract or lease constitutes an executory contract or unexpired lease. This Order shall, subject to and upon the occurrence of the Effective Date, constitute an Order of the Bankruptcy Court (a) approving the assumption of contracts and leases on Exhibit 2 of the Plan pursuant to Sections 365 and 1123(b)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code, as of the Effective Date, (b) extending the time, pursuant to Section 365(d)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code, within which the Debtor may assume, assume and assign or reject unexpired leases through the date of entry of an order approving the assumption, assumption and assignment or rejection of such unexpired lease and (c) approving, pursuant to Sections 365(a) and 1123(b)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code, the rejection of all executory contracts and unexpired leases not listed on Exhibit 2 as of the Effective Date.

G. Proofs of Claims for damages allegedly arising from the rejection, pursuant to the Plan or this Order, of any executory contract or any unexpired lease shall be Filed with the Bankruptcy Court and served on counsel for the Debtor or Reorganized Debtor not later than thirty (30) days after the service of the earlier of: (i) notice of entry of this Order or (ii) other notice that the executory contract or unexpired lease has been rejected (including service of an Order of the Bankruptcy Court providing for such rejection). Any Holder of a Claim arising from the rejection of any executory contract or any unexpired lease that fails to File such Proof of Claim on or before the date specified in this paragraph shall be forever barred, estopped and enjoined from asserting such Claims in any manner against the Debtor, the Reorganized Debtor

or its Property or Filing Proofs of Claim with respect thereof, and the Debtor and Reorganized Debtor shall be forever discharged from all indebtedness or liability with respect to such Claims.

H. Except as otherwise expressly provided for in the Plan or this Order, including Paragraphs 68 and 69 of this Confirmation Order, and to the fullest extent authorized or provided by the Bankruptcy Code, including Sections 524 and 1141 thereof, the entry of this Order shall, provided that the Effective Date occurs, permanently enjoin all Persons that have held, currently hold or may hold a Claim or other debt or liability that is subject to the Plan or who have held, currently hold or may hold an Interest that is subject to the Plan from taking any of the following actions in respect of such Claim, debt, liability or Interest: (a) commencing, conducting or continuing in any manner, directly or indirectly, any suit, Cause of Action or other proceeding of any kind against the Debtor or the Reorganized Debtor; (b) enforcing, levying, attaching, collecting or otherwise recovering in any manner or by any means, whether directly or indirectly, any judgment, award, decree or order against the Debtor or the Reorganized Debtor; (c) creating, perfecting or enforcing in any manner directly or indirectly, any Lien or encumbrance of any kind against the Debtor or the Reorganized Debtor; (d) asserting any setoff, offset, right of subrogation or recoupment of any kind, directly or indirectly, against any debt, liability or obligation due to the Debtor or the Reorganized Debtor; or (e) proceeding in any manner in any place whatsoever, including employing any process, that does not conform to or comply with or is inconsistent with the provisions of the Plan; provided, however, that the foregoing shall not affect the Reorganized Debtor's obligation to remediate the Lockformer Site, perform and/or pay for the Hook-Ups, or fund and support the TCE PI Trust under the TCE PI Trust Funding Agreement and the TCE PI Trust Agreement. Furthermore, nothing in the Plan or

this Order shall release, discharge or preclude any Claim of the United States, the State of Illinois or the State of Iowa arising under Environmental Laws that has not arisen as of the Effective Date or any equitable remedies of the United States, the State of Illinois or State of Iowa arising under Environmental Laws that are not within the definition of Claim as set forth in Section 101(5) of the Bankruptcy Code. To the extent that Paragraph H of the Confirmation Order differs, in any way, from Section 12.01(b) of the Plan, and notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, the provisions of Paragraph H of this Confirmation Order shall be binding and controlling over Section 12.01(b) of the Plan.

I. The Bankruptcy Court proposes and recommends an order as follows: By entry of and pursuant to this Confirmation Order, upon and after the Effective Date, any Entity, including the TCE PI Trust, which has held or asserted, which holds or asserts, or which may in the future hold or assert a Third-Party Claim or a Direct Action, or contribution, allocation, subrogation, indemnity or similar claims based on or relating to any such Third-Party Claim or Direct Action against a Protected Party (including, but not limited to, any TCE PI Trust Claim, or any Claim or demand for or respecting any Trust Expenses) shall be stayed, restrained and enjoined from taking any action against any Protected Party (subject to the termination provisions of Section 7.03(c) of the Plan and Paragraphs 68 and 69 herein as to the Reorganized Debtor, the Mestek Affiliates and the Winning Plan Sponsor only) for the purpose of, directly or indirectly, collecting, recovering, or receiving payments, satisfaction, or recovery with respect to, relating to, arising out of, or in any way connected with any Third-Party Claim or Direct Action or claim for contribution, allocation, subrogation, indemnity or similar claim, either directly or derivatively through one of the Protected Parties, based on or relating to a Third-Party Claim or

Direct Action, whenever and wherever arising or asserted (including, but not limited to, all Claims in the nature of or sounding in tort, contract, warranty, or any other theory of law, equity, or admiralty, whether by common law or statute), all of which will be channeled to the TCE PI Trust for resolution as set forth in the TCE PI Trust Distribution Procedures. By entry of and pursuant to the terms of this Confirmation Order, upon and after the Effective Date, without limiting the foregoing and subject to Paragraphs 68 and 69 herein, the sole recourse of the holder of a TCE PI Trust Claim, on account of such TCE PI Trust Claim, shall be to the TCE PI Trust pursuant to the provisions of the TCE Channeling Injunction, the Plan and the TCE PI Trust Agreement, and such holder of a TCE PI Trust Claim shall have no right to assert its TCE PI Trust Claim against any Protected Party or any property or interest in property of any Protected Party. The actions so enjoined include, but are not limited to:

- a) commencing, conducting or continuing in any manner, directly or indirectly, any suit, action, Direct Action or other proceeding of any kind (including a judicial, arbitration, administrative, or other proceeding) in any forum with respect to any Third-Party Claim, Future TCE Demand, Cause of Action or Interest against or affecting any Protected Party, or any property or interests in property of any Protected Party;
- b) enforcing, levying, attaching (including through any prejudgment attachment), collecting, or otherwise recovering by any means or in any manner, whether directly or indirectly, any judgment, award, decree, or other order against any Protected Party with respect to any Direct Action, Third-Party Claim, Future TCE Demand, Cause of Action or Interest;
- c) creating, perfecting, or otherwise enforcing in any manner, directly or indirectly, any Lien against the property of any Protected Party with respect to any Direct Action, Third-Party Claim, Future TCE Demand, Cause of Action or Interest;
- d) except as otherwise specifically provided in the Plan, the Restructuring Transaction or the Alternative Restructuring Transaction, asserting or accomplishing any setoff, right of subrogation, right of reimbursement, indemnity, contribution or recoupment of any kind in any manner, directly or indirectly, and in any amount against any liability from any Protected

Parties or against the property of any Protected Parties with respect to any Direct Action, Third-Party Claim, Future TCE Demand, Cause of Action or Interest;

- e) proceeding in any manner in any place with regard to any Direct Action, Third-Party Claim, Future TCE Demand, Cause of Action or Interest that is subject to and to be determined and paid by the TCE PI Trust pursuant to and in accordance with the TCE PI Trust Distribution Procedures, except in conformity and compliance therewith; and
- f) asserting Causes of Action against the Settling Insurers or their respective policies.

To the extent that Paragraph I of this Confirmation Order differs, in any way, from Section 7.03(b)(1) of the Plan, and notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, the provisions of Paragraph I of this Confirmation Order shall be binding and controlling over Section 7.03(b)(1) of the Plan.

J. The Bankruptcy Court proposes and recommends an order as follows:

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary set forth in Paragraph I above (including subparagraphs), the TCE Channeling Injunction provided for in Paragraph I of this Confirmation Order with respect to a Protected Party shall not enjoin, discharge or release:

- a) the rights of Entities to the treatment accorded them under Articles II and III of the Plan, as applicable, including the rights of Entities with TCE PI Trust Claims to assert such TCE PI Trust Claims in accordance with the TCE PI Trust Distribution Procedures;
- b) the rights of Entities to assert any Claim, debt, obligation, or liability for payment of Trust Expenses against the TCE PI Trust;
- c) the prosecution of the Contribution Actions or the assertion of any Claims against non-Settling Insurers by the Debtor, the Reorganized Debtor and the Winning Plan Sponsor;
- d) the prosecution by any Person of any Claims against Honeywell related to the alleged release of TCE at the Lockformer Site;
- e) the rights of the Debtor, any Mestek Affiliate or the Winning Plan Sponsor to prosecute any Cause of Action against an insurance company (other than

a Settling Insurer) or a Contribution Third-Party Defendant that was pending in a court of competent jurisdiction prior to the Effective Date and that has not been stayed or enjoined by an order of such court as of such date;

- f) the rights of the Reorganized Debtor and Mestek to assign a cause of action against an insurance company (other than a Settling Insurer) or a Contribution Third-Party Defendant to the TCE PI Trust for the TCE PI Trust to assert any Claim, debt, obligation or liability for payment against such insurance company (other than a Settling Insurer) or a Contribution Third-Party Defendant;
- g) the rights of the Debtor, the Reorganized Debtor or Mestek as an insured, subject to and in accordance with the terms of the Restructuring Transaction, to assert any Claim, debt, obligation, or liability for payment against an insurance company (other than a Settling Insurer) or a Contribution Third-Party Defendant;
- h) the obligation of the Debtor and the Reorganized Debtor to remediate the Lockformer Site, perform and/or pay the Hook-Ups in accordance with Section 7.17 of the Plan, or fund and support the TCE PI Trust under the TCE PI Trust Funding Agreement and the TCE PI Trust Agreement;
- i) the rights of the TCE PI Trust to prosecute any TCE PI Trust Claims pursuant to the TCE PI Trust Distribution Procedures or to enforce any rights the TCE PI Trust may have under the TCE PI Trust Agreement or at law; and
- j) the right of any Person to bring a TCE Property Damage Claim against a Protected Party.

To the extent that Paragraph J of this Confirmation Order differs in any way, from Section 7.03(b)(2) of the Plan and notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, the provisions of Paragraph J of the Confirmation Order shall be binding and controlling over the provisions of Section 7.03(b)(2) of the Plan.

K. The Bankruptcy Court proposes and recommends an order as follows:

The releases set forth in Sections 7.03(a) and 7.13 of the Plan are hereby approved in their entirety and shall be deemed binding on all Persons upon and after the Effective Date.

L. The exculpation provisions of Section 12.02 of the Plan are approved and binding on all Persons.

M. Notwithstanding anything herein or in the Plan, and in accordance with the agreement of the parties, Honeywell shall have no rights to seek indemnification, contribution or other Claims against the TCE PI Trust, and the TCE PI Trust waives any and all rights to seek indemnification, contribution or other Claims against Honeywell.

N. All injunctions or stays provided for in the Chapter 11 Case under Sections 105(a) or 362 of the Bankruptcy Code, or otherwise, and in existence on the Confirmation Date, shall remain in full force and effect until the Effective Date.

O. Within ten (10) days after entry of an Order by the United States District Court for the District of Delaware issuing and approving the TCE Channeling Injunction, the release of Protected Parties and the release of Recovery Actions, the Debtor shall mail, first class, postage pre-paid, to all record holders of Claims or Interests as of the Confirmation Date, all parties to unexpired leases and executory contracts of the Debtor, and all professionals retained in these cases, a copy of the Notice of Entry of Confirmation Order in substantially the form attached hereto as Exhibit A, in full and final satisfaction of the Debtor's obligations pursuant to Bankruptcy Rules 2002(f)(7) and 3020(c), and no further notice of the entry of this Order shall be necessary.

P. Pursuant to section 1142(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, and Section 303 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, where applicable, the Debtor or the Reorganized Debtor is authorized to enter into all documents and agreements necessary to consummate and to implement the Plan and to take any and all lawful actions required to consummate and to

implement the Plan and the transactions contemplated thereby, all without further action by their directors or shareholders and with like effect as if such actions had been taken by unanimous actions of any of the directors, partners, members and/or the shareholders of the Reorganized Debtor.

Q. The Debtor is further authorized and directed to immediately convert from a Delaware corporation to a Delaware limited liability company upon entry of this Order. The Debtor's board of directors shall be deemed the board of managers, and the Debtor's officers shall remain in place.

R. On the Effective Date, the transfers to the Winning Plan Sponsor, the TCE PI Trust and the Reorganized Debtor, as contemplated by the Plan (a) are or will be legal, valid and effective transfers of property, (b) vest or will vest in the Winning Plan Sponsor, the TCE PI Trust and the Reorganized Debtor, as appropriate, good title to such property free and clear of all Claims, Liens, interest, and encumbrances except those provided for in the Plan, Confirmation Order, or TCE PI Trust Agreement, (c) do not or will not constitute fraudulent conveyances under any applicable law, (d) are fair and reasonable and constitute reasonably equivalent value under the Bankruptcy Code and applicable state law and (e) do not and will not, except as contemplated by the Plan or the TCE PI Trust Agreement, subject the Winning Plan Sponsor, TCE PI Trust, the TCE PI Trustee or the Reorganized Debtor, or the property so transferred, to any liability by reason of such transfer under applicable law or any theory of law including, without limitation, any theory of successor or transferee liability.

S. On or after the Effective Date in accordance with the Plan, this Order and the TCE PI Trust Agreement, the Debtor is authorized to create the TCE PI Trust and Lawrence Fitzpatrick is appointed as the TCE PI Trustee.

T. On the Effective Date, all securities, instruments, documents and agreements authorized issued or entered into pursuant to the Plan shall be effective, legally binding and enforceable on the parties thereto in accordance with their respective terms and conditions without the requirement of any further action by the holders of Interests or any of the directors, partners, members and/or the shareholders of the Debtor or the Reorganized Debtor, and shall be deemed to have become effective simultaneously.

U. To the fullest extent permitted under Section 1146(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, the assignment or surrender of any unexpired lease, sublease or executory contract, or the delivery, making, filing, or recording of any deed or other instrument of transfer, or the issuance, transfer, or exchange of any security in furtherance, or in connection with, the Plan, or the execution, delivery or recording of an instrument of transfer under the Plan, or the revesting, transfer or sale of any real or other Property of or to the Reorganized Debtor or the TCE PI Trust, whether arising prior or subsequent to the Effective Date, including any deeds, bills of sale or assignments executed in connection with any disposition of assets contemplated by the Plan, including any deeds, bills of sale or assignments executed in connection with any disposition of assets contemplated by the Plan shall not be subject to any stamp tax, real estate transfer, mortgage, recording or other similar tax. Consistent with the foregoing, each recorder of deeds or similar official for any county, city or governmental unit in which any instrument hereunder is to be recorded shall, pursuant to this Order, be hereby ordered and directed to accept such

instrument, without requiring the payment of any documentary stamp tax, deed stamps, stamp tax, transfer tax, mortgage recording tax, intangible tax or similar tax.

V. Notwithstanding the entry of this Order or the Effective Date having occurred, the Bankruptcy Court shall retain jurisdiction over this Chapter 11 Case and any proceedings arising from, or relating to, this Chapter 11 Case pursuant to Section 1142 of the Bankruptcy Code and Section 1334 of title 28 of the United States Code to the fullest extent permitted by the Bankruptcy Code and any other applicable law, including, without limitation, such jurisdiction as is necessary to ensure that the purpose and the intent of the Plan are carried out, consistent with Article XIII of the Plan.

W. Effective on the Effective Date, the Plan shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Debtor and its respective successors and assigns, and the holders of Claims and Interests and their respective successors and assigns whether or not such holders voted to accept the Plan. The rights afforded in the Plan and the treatment of all Claims and Interests therein shall be in exchange for and in complete satisfaction of all Claims and Interests of any nature whatsoever as provided in the Plan.

X. The following modifications shall be made to the Plan effective upon entry of this Order and shall be deemed approved in accordance with Section 1127 of the Bankruptcy Code and confirmed as part of the Plan:

(i) The definition of "Trustee" as set forth in the Fourth Amended Glossary of Terms attached as Exhibit 1 to the Plan is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following language:

"Trustee" means Lawrence Fitzpatrick appointed for the purpose of acting as Trustee of the TCE PI Trust in accordance with the terms and conditions contained in the TCE PI Trust Agreement, the Plan and the Confirmation Order.

(ii) The definition of "Protected Party" as set forth in the Fourth Amended Glossary of Terms attached as Exhibit 1 to the Plan shall be amended to add the following subparagraph (j):

any lender that provides funding for the Mestek Affiliates in connection with the TCE PI Trust or any insurance company that directly or indirectly insures any of the exposure covered by the TCE PI Trust, including any insurance company that issues an insurance policy directly to Mestek or any Mestek Affiliate to insure against the TCE PI Trust Claims.

(iii) The definition of "TCE PI Claims" as set forth in the Fourth Amended Glossary of Terms attached as Exhibit 1 to the Plan is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following language:

"TCE PI Claims" means any and all Causes of Action asserted against the Debtor, the Mestek Affiliates, or Protected Parties by a Person who resides or resided in the Designated Area (or as or on behalf of a child, husband, wife or other individual related to or claiming some personal relationship with such Person) or claims exposure to TCE in the Designated Area for, arising out of, resulting from, or attributable to, directly or indirectly, damages for death, personal injury, bodily injury, sickness, disease, emotional distress, medical monitoring or other personal injuries (whether physical, emotional or otherwise) to the extent caused or allegedly caused, directly or indirectly, by the presence of or exposure through any pathway, method, material or environmental media to TCE allegedly released at the Lockformer Site, including, without express or implied limitation, loss of consortium, fear of cancer or other diseases, wrongful death, medical monitoring, survivorship, restitution, proximate damages, consequential damages, general damages, special damages, punitive damages, attorneys' fees, costs and expenses, whether at law or in equity, and whether sounding in tort, contract, equity, nuisance, trespass, negligence, product liability, strict liability or any other statutory or common law cause of action. TCE PI Claims shall not include TCE Property Damage Claims, Claims asserted by employees of the Debtor, TCE Litigation Claims and Claims in Classes 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 5 and 7. TCE PI Claims shall include the Settled TCE PI Trust Claims.

(iv) Section 7.03(a) (Release of Protected Parties) of the Plan shall be modified to add the following sentence at the end of such Section:

Notwithstanding any other provision to the contrary in the Plan, any exhibits or attachments thereto, and the Confirmation Order, nothing in the Plan or Confirmation Order shall alter the Settling Insurers' legal, equitable or contractual rights, if any, under their respective settlement agreements, including any amendments or supplements thereto, as against the Debtor, Reorganized Debtor, Mestek or Formtek, as applicable.

- (v) Exhibit 5 of the Plan shall be restated and amended to include the following insurance companies that shall be deemed Settling Insurers under the Plan:

Travelers
AIG
OneBeacon
ACE
Wausau
Uniguard
Columbia
Hartford

- (vi) Section 6.05 (Objections to and Treatment of Rejection Claims) of the Plan shall be modified to delete the reference to "Section 3.08" in the last line of such Section and replace it with "Section 3.10."

- (vii) The definition of "Reorganized Debtor" as set forth in the Fourth Amended Glossary of Terms attached as Exhibit 1 to the Plan is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following:

"Reorganized Debtor" means Met-Coil or such entity established pursuant to Section 7.02(b) of the Plan on or after the Effective Date.

- (viii) Section 12.01(a) (Debtor's Discharge) of the Plan shall be deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following language:

On the Effective Date, except as specifically provided in the Plan, including Section 7.03(c) of the Plan, or in the Confirmation Order, Confirmation will discharge the Debtor and the Reorganized Debtor from any and all Claims including any Claim, demands, Liens, Causes of Action and Interests that arose from any agreement of the Debtor entered into, or obligation of the Debtor incurred before, the Effective Date, or from any conduct of the Debtor prior to the Effective Date, or that otherwise arose prior to the Effective Date, including, without limitation, all interest, if any, on such debts, whether such interest accrued before or after the Petition Date of a kind specified in section 502(g), 502(h) or 502(i) of the Bankruptcy Code and including, without limitation, any TCE PI Trust Claims, TCE Property Damage Claims, or Third-Party Claims, whether or not (i) a Proof of Claim based on such Claim was Filed or deemed Filed under section 501 of the Bankruptcy Code, or such Claim was listed on the Schedules of the Debtor, (ii) such Claim is or was Allowed under section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code, or (iii) such Claimholder has voted on or accepted the Plan; provided, however, that the foregoing shall not affect the Reorganized Debtor's obligation to remediate the Lockformer Site, perform and/or pay for the Hook-Ups in accordance with Section 7.17 of the Plan, or fund and support the TCE PI Trust under the TCE PI Trust Funding Agreement and the TCE PI Trust Agreement, and no discharge of such obligation is intended by this Section 12.01(a).

(ix) The definition of "Debtor" as set forth in the Fourth Amended Glossary of Terms attached as Exhibit 1 to the Plan is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following:

"Debtor" means Met-Coil Systems Corporation and, when formed, Met-Coil Systems, LLC, as debtor and debtor-in-possession, including its divisions, Lockformer and IPI.

(x) The definition of "Met-Coil" as set forth in the Fourth Amended Glossary of Terms attached as Exhibit 1 to the Plan is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following:

"Met-Coil" means Met-Coil Systems Corporation and, when formed, Met-Coil Systems, LLC, including its divisions, Lockformer and IPI.

(xi) Section 7.03(c) (Termination of TCE Channeling Injunction and Protected Party Release Solely as to Reorganized Debtor, Mestek Affiliates and Winning Plan Sponsor) of the Plan shall be deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following:

The injunction, release and discharge provisions of Section 7.03(a) and (b) of the Plan and Section 12.01 of the Plan solely with respect to the Future TCE Demands shall immediately terminate after the first to occur of the following events solely as to the Reorganized Debtor, the Mestek Affiliates and the Winning Plan Sponsor:

(i) the later of (a) the 45th anniversary after the Effective Date of the Plan or (b) such later date as may be determined by the Trustee; provided, however, that in the event that the Trustee elects to continue the TCE PI Trust after the 45th anniversary of the Effective Date, the Protected Parties shall continue to have the benefits of the TCE Channeling Injunction but shall have no further funding obligations; or

(ii) If the Reorganized Debtor or Mestek fail to make a payment required under the TCE PI Trust Funding Agreement and fail to cure the payment default in thirty (30) days, the Reorganized Debtor and Mestek shall be in default and the TCE PI Trust shall be entitled to immediately liquidate all of the collateral and demand immediate payment of remaining amounts, if any, due to the TCE PI Trust pursuant to the TCE PI Trust Funding Agreement. If the Reorganized Debtor and Mestek fail to pay any remaining amount due after liquidation of the collateral within thirty (30) days after receiving written notice of such amount from the Trustee, the TCE Channeling Injunction shall terminate solely as to the Reorganized Debtor and the Mestek Affiliates; or

(iii) The Trustee, with the consent of the Future Claimants' Representative, Mestek, and the Reorganized Debtor, may terminate the TCE PI Trust because (i) the Trustee deems it unlikely that new TCE PI Trust Claims will be filed against the TCE PI Trust, (ii) all TCE PI Trust Claims duly filed with the TCE PI Trust have been

liquidated and paid to the extent provided in this TCE PI Trust Agreement and the TDP, and (iii) more than twelve (12) consecutive months have elapsed during which no new TCE PI Trust Claim has been filed with the TCE PI Trust. In the event of such a termination, the TCE Channeling Injunction shall terminate solely as to the Reorganized Debtor and the Mestek Affiliates.

In the event of termination of the TCE PI Trust for any reason, the previous determinations of payments made by, and settlements of TCE PI Trust Claims by the TCE PI Trust (including, but not limited to, claim liquidation, claim rejection, decisions by the Claims Resolution Panel, and arbitration decisions) and the releases delivered by claimants to the TCE PI Trust shall continue to apply to the Reorganized Debtor and the Mestek Affiliates.

Provided the Reorganized Debtor and Mestek are not in default of their respective obligations under the TCE PI Trust, upon termination of the TCE PI Trust, any funds remaining in the TCE PI Trust will revert to Mestek.

Y. The failure to reference any particular provision of the Plan in this Order shall have no effect on the binding effect, enforceability or legality of such provisions and such provisions shall have the same binding effect, enforceability or legality as every other provision of the Plan.

Z. Notwithstanding Bankruptcy Rule 3020(e), Bankruptcy Rule 7062, and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 62(a), this Order shall be effective and enforceable immediately upon entry and the Debtor is authorized to consummate the Plan immediately after entry of this Order.

AA. On the Effective Date, substantial consummation of the Plan will have

occurred within the meaning of section 1101(2) of the Bankruptcy Code.

DATED this 16th day of August, 2004.



The Honorable Mary F. Walrath