

Exhibit 1

(Blackline of Proposed Disclosure Statement – Includes Revised Exhibit H)

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
FORT WORTH DIVISION**

In re	§	Chapter 11
	§	
PILGRIM'S PRIDE CORPORATION, <i>et al.</i> ,	§	Case No. 08-45664 (DML)
	§	
Debtors.	§	
	§	(JOINTLY ADMINISTERED)

**DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FOR THE DEBTORS' AMENDED JOINT PLAN OF
REORGANIZATION UNDER CHAPTER 11 OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE**

The Bankruptcy Court has not approved this proposed disclosure statement as containing adequate information pursuant to section 1125(b) of the Bankruptcy Code for use in the solicitation of acceptances or rejections of the chapter 11 plan described herein and attached hereto. Accordingly, the filing and dissemination of this disclosure statement are not intended to be, and should not in any way be construed as, a solicitation of votes on the plan, nor should the information contained in this disclosure statement be relied on for any purpose until a determination by the Bankruptcy Court that the proposed disclosure statement contains adequate information.

The Debtors reserve the right to amend or supplement this proposed disclosure statement at or before the hearing to consider this disclosure statement.

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP

200 Crescent Court, Suite 300
Dallas, Texas 75201
(214) 746-7700

767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
(212) 310-8000

Attorneys for Debtors and
Debtors in Possession

Dated: October 19, 2009
Fort Worth, Texas — ~~September 17, 2009~~

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	4
A. Summary of Classification and Treatment of Claims and Equity Interests Under Plan	4
B. Overview of Chapter 11 Process.....	6
III. OVERVIEW OF THE DEBTORS' OPERATIONS	7
A. History of Pilgrim's Pride.....	7
B. The Debtors' Businesses.....	7
1. Introduction.....	7
2. Assets and Capacity Utilization	8
3. Lines of Business	9
4. Product Types—U.S	9
5. Markets for Chicken Products—U.S	10
6. Markets for Other Products—U.S	12
7. Product Types—Mexico	15
8. Markets for Chicken Products—Mexico	15
9. Competition	15
10. Key Customers.....	16
11. Regulation and Environmental Matters	16
C. Employees and Employee Compensation and Benefit Programs	16
D. Debtors' Significant Indebtedness	16
1. The Credit Agreements	16
2. Purchase Receivables.....	17
3. Indentures	18
4. Industrial Revenue Bond Debt.....	18
5. Trade Debt	19
E. Common Stock	19
IV. OVERVIEW OF CHAPTER 11 CASES.....	19
A. Significant Events Leading to the Commencement of the Chapter 11 Cases.....	19
1. Increase in Corn and Soybean Meal Prices.....	19
2. Increase in the Cost of Energy	19 <u>20</u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
3. Oversupply in the Poultry Industry	20
4. Competitive Environment	20
5. The Need for a Financial Restructuring	20
6. Prepetition Restructuring Efforts	24 <u>22</u>
B. Commencement of Chapter 11 Cases and First Day Orders	22
1. Case Administration	22 <u>23</u>
2. Critical Obligations	23
3. Business Operations	23
4. Financial Operations	23
C. Debtor-in-Possession Financing	23
D. Appointment of Statutory Committees and Fee Review Committee	24
1. Creditors' Committee	24
2. Equity Committee	24
3. Fee Review Committee	24
E. Restructuring Efforts During Bankruptcy	24 <u>25</u>
F. Material Asset Sales	25 <u>26</u>
1. ADM Joint Venture	26
2. Plant City Distribution Center	26
3. Cincinnati, Ohio Distribution Center	26
4. Excess Land Sale	26
5. Farmerville Complex	26 <u>27</u>
6. Other Sales	26 <u>27</u>
G. Negotiations and Settlements with the Unions	26 <u>27</u>
H. 2009 Performance Bonus Plans	27 <u>28</u>
I. Exclusivity	28
J. Schedules and Statements	28 <u>29</u>
K. Claims Reconciliation Process	28 <u>29</u>
1. Unsecured Claims Bar Date	28 <u>29</u>
2. Section 503(b)(9) Claims Bar Date	29
3. Administrative Expense Claim Bar Date	29
4. Debtors' Procedures for Objecting to Proofs of Claims and Administrative Expense Claims and Notifying Claimants of Objection	29 <u>30</u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
L. Establishment of Alternative Dispute Resolution Process.....	30
M. Significant Material Litigation.....	30 <u>31</u>
1. Donning and Doffing Litigation	30 <u>31</u>
2. Securities Litigation.....	31
3. Grower Litigation	32
4. ERISA Litigation	33
5. Environmental Litigation.....	33 <u>35</u>
6. City of Clinton, Arkansas	33 <u>35</u>
7. <u>Tax Claims</u>	<u>35</u>
N. Rejection and Assumption of Contracts	34 <u>36</u>
O. PBGC Matters.....	34 <u>36</u>
V. Stock Purchase Agreement	35 <u>37</u>
A. Purchase of New PPC Common Stock	35 <u>37</u>
B. The Plan Sponsor	37 <u>39</u>
1. General Background	37 <u>39</u>
2. Business Segments.....	37 <u>40</u>
3. Plants.....	38 <u>40</u>
4. Financial Performance	38 <u>41</u>
C. Anticipated Initial Public Offering	39 <u>41</u>
D. Other Offerings	42 <u>44</u>
E. <u>Corporation Governance</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>F.</u> Conversion of New PPC Common Stock to JBS USA Common Stock.....	42 <u>45</u>
F. Corporate Governance	43
G. Financing	43 <u>46</u>
H. Synergies Created as a Result of the Plan Sponsor Transaction.....	43 <u>46</u>
I. Stockholders Agreement.....	44 <u>47</u>
J. Plan Support Agreement.....	46 <u>48</u>
VI. THE CHAPTER 11 PLAN	46 <u>49</u>
A. Summary and Treatment of Unclassified and Classified Claims and Equity Interests.....	46 <u>49</u>
B. Description and Treatment of Classified Claims and Equity Interests	52 <u>55</u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

		Page
1.	Priority Non-Tax Claims against PPC, PFS Distribution Company, PPC Transportation Company, To-Ricos, To-Ricos Distribution, Pilgrim's Pride Corporation of West Virginia, Inc., and PPC Marketing, Ltd. (Classes 1(a)-(g))	52 <u>55</u>
2.	Bank of Montreal Secured Claims Against PPC, To-Ricos and To-Ricos Distribution (Classes 2(a)-(c))	52 <u>56</u>
3.	CoBank Secured Claims against PPC (Class 3)	52 <u>56</u>
4.	Secured Tax Claims against PPC, PFS Distribution Company, PPC Transportation Company, To-Ricos, To-Ricos Distribution, Pilgrim's Pride Corporation of West Virginia, Inc., and PPC Marketing, Ltd (Classes 4(a)-(g))	53 <u>57</u>
5.	Other Secured Claims against PPC, PFS Distribution Company, PPC Transportation Company, To-Ricos, To-Ricos Distribution, Pilgrim's Pride Corporation of West Virginia, Inc., and PPC Marketing, Ltd. (Classes 5(a)-(g))	54 <u>58</u>
6.	Note Claims against PPC (Classes 6(a)-(c))	54 <u>58</u>
7.	General Unsecured Claims against PPC, PFS Distribution Company, PPC Transportation Company, To-Ricos, To-Ricos Distribution, Pilgrim's Pride Corporation of West Virginia, Inc., and PPC Marketing, Ltd (Classes 7(a)-(g))	55 <u>59</u>
8.	Intercompany Claims (Class 8).....	55 <u>59</u>
9.	Flow Through Claims against PPC, PFS Distribution Company, PPC Transportation Company, To-Ricos, To-Ricos Distribution, Pilgrim's Pride Corporation of West Virginia, Inc., and PPC Marketing, Ltd (Classes 9(a)-(g))	55 <u>60</u>
10.	Equity Interests in PPC (Class 10(a))	56 <u>60</u>
11.	Equity Interests in PFS Distribution Company, PPC Transportation Company, To-Ricos, To-Ricos Distribution, Pilgrim's Pride Corporation of West Virginia, Inc., and PPC Marketing, Ltd Class 10(b)-(g)	56 <u>61</u>
C.	Claim Resolution Process	57 <u>61</u>
1.	Allowance of Claims and Equity Interests.....	57 <u>61</u>
2.	Claim Objections	57 <u>62</u>
3.	Resolution of Disputed Claims	57 <u>62</u>
4.	Estimation of Claims	57 <u>62</u>
5.	No Interest Pending Allowance	58 <u>62</u>
D.	Timing and Manner of Distributions	58 <u>63</u>
1.	Timing of Distributions	58 <u>63</u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
2. Delivery of Distributions	59 <u>63</u>
3. Unclaimed Distributions	59 <u>64</u>
4. Manner of Payment.....	60 <u>64</u>
5. Fractional Shares.....	60 <u>64</u>
6. Setoffs and Recoupment	60 <u>65</u>
E. Treatment of Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases.....	60 <u>65</u>
1. Contracts to be Assumed or Rejected	60 <u>65</u>
2. Payment of Cure Amounts.....	61 <u>66</u>
3. Rejection Damage Claims	61 <u>66</u>
4. Indemnification Obligations	61 <u>66</u>
5. Change in Control Agreements.....	62 <u>67</u>
6. Employment Agreements with Don Jackson and Jerry Wilson.....	63 <u>68</u>
7. Retiree Benefits.....	64 <u>69</u>
F. Conditions Precedent to Confirmation of Plan and Occurrence of the Effective Date of Plan	64 <u>69</u>
G. Waiver of Conditions	64 <u>69</u>
H. Effects of Failure of Conditions to Effective Date	64 <u>69</u>
I. Effects of Confirmation on Claims and Equity Interests	65 <u>70</u>
1. Vesting of Asset.....	65 <u>70</u>
2. Discharge of Claims and Termination of Equity Interests.....	65 <u>70</u>
3. Discharge of Debtors	65 <u>70</u>
4. Injunction or Stay.....	65 <u>71</u>
5. Term of Injunction or Stays	66 <u>71</u>
6. Injunction Against Interference with Plan	66 <u>71</u>
7. Exculpation	66 <u>71</u>
8. Releases by Holders of Claims and Equity Interests	66 <u>72</u>
9. Releases by Debtors and Reorganized Debtors	67 <u>72</u>
10. Avoidance Actions.....	67 <u>73</u>
11. Retention of Causes of Action/Reservation of Rights	68 <u>73</u>
12. Limitation on Exculpation and Releases of Professionals	68 <u>73</u>
J. Dissolution of Statutory Committees and Fee Review Committee	68 <u>74</u>
K. Jurisdiction and Choice of Law	69 <u>74</u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
L. Amendments or Modifications of the Plan	70 <u>76</u>
M. Revocation or Withdrawal of the Plan	71 <u>76</u>
N. Severability	71 <u>76</u>
O. Governing Law	71 <u>77</u>
VII. REORGANIZED DEBTORS	71 <u>77</u>
A. Select Historical Financial Information	71 <u>77</u>
B. Financial Projections (Five (5) Year Business Plan)	72 <u>77</u>
1. Consolidated Projected Financial Statements	74 <u>79</u>
2. Purpose and Objectives	74 <u>79</u>
3. Key Drivers of the Projections	74 <u>80</u>
4. Cost Assumptions in the Projections	75 <u>80</u>
5. Poultry Industry Outlook in the Projections	76 <u>81</u>
6. Commodity Factor: Grain/Feed Ingredients	76 <u>81</u>
C. Valuation	77 <u>82</u>
D. Corporate Governance and Management of the Reorganized Debtors	78 <u>84</u>
1. Initial Board of Directors	78 <u>84</u>
2. Officers	79 <u>85</u>
3. Consulting Agreement	79 <u>85</u>
4. Management Incentive Plans	80 <u>85</u>
E. Description of Certain Securities to be Issued Pursuant to the Plan	80 <u>86</u>
1. New PPC Common Stock	80 <u>86</u>
F. Exit Financing	81 <u>87</u>
VIII. CERTAIN RISK FACTORS	82 <u>87</u>
A. Certain Risks Related to the Plan	82 <u>88</u>
1. The Debtors may not be able to obtain confirmation of the Plan	82 <u>88</u>
2. Undue delay in confirmation of the Plan may significantly disrupt operations of the Debtors	83 <u>88</u>
3. Holders of Equity Interests in PPC may face significant losses in the event of a subsequent liquidation or financial reorganization by the Debtors	83 <u>88</u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
4. The satisfaction or waiver of the closing conditions to the SPA is a condition precedent for the confirmation of the Plan and may prevent or delay confirmation of the Plan if such conditions are not satisfied or waived as provided in the SPA	8389
5. If the Plan Sponsor's ownership percentage in the Reorganized PPC increases to 90% or more there will be no Equity Directors on the Reorganized PPC's board of directors	8389
B. Risks Related to the <u>Mandatory Exchange Transaction</u>	89
1. <u>Holders of New PPC Common Stock may ultimately receive shares of JBS USA Common Stock pursuant to the Mandatory Exchange Transaction so the risk factors regarding the Plan Sponsor and the JBS USA Common Stock should be carefully considered</u>	89
2. <u>The market price of the JBS Common Stock may adversely affect the market price for New PPC Common Stock</u>	90
3. <u>Holders of New PPC Common Stock will bear the full risk of a decline in the market price of New PPC Common Stock</u>	90
4. <u>The Plan Sponsor has no obligation to maintain a listing for JBS USA Common Stock after the consummation of the Mandatory Exchange Transaction</u>	91
5. <u>Holders of New PPC Common Stock will have no rights with respect to JBS USA Common Stock prior to the Mandatory Exchange Transaction but may be negatively affected by certain actions taken by JBS USA</u>	91
C. <u>Risks Related to the Capitalization of the Reorganized Debtors</u>	8491
1. 1. The Reorganized Debtors' future financial and operating flexibility may be adversely affected by their significant leverage as a result of the Exit Facility	8491
2. The covenants in the Exit Facility could hinder the Reorganized Debtors' business activities and operations	8592
3. The Debtors may not be able to list the New PPC Common Stock on a national securities exchange or an active market for shares of New PPC Common Stock may not develop	8593
4. The purchase price paid by the Plan Sponsor for the New PPC Common Stock is not intended to represent the trading or market value of New PPC Common Stock and there is no assurance that a holder will be able to sell the New PPC Common Stock at such a price or at all.	8693
5. The Plan Sponsor will hold a majority of the New PPC Common Stock and will have the ability to control the vote on most matters brought before the holders of New PPC Common Stock	8694

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

		Page
6.	In the event the Plan Sponsor completes an initial public offering, all of the then-outstanding shares of New PPC Common Stock may be exchanged, at the option of the Plan Sponsor, for shares of common stock of the Plan Sponsor.	86
<u>E.D.</u>	Risks Related to the Financial and Operational Results of the Reorganized Debtors	<u>87</u> <u>94</u>
1.	The Chapter 11 Cases may have negatively affected the businesses of the Reorganized Debtors, including relationships with certain customers, suppliers and vendors, which could adversely impact the Reorganized Debtors' future financial and operating results	<u>87</u> <u>94</u>
2.	The Debtors' actual financial results may vary significantly from the financial projections included in this Disclosure Statement	<u>87</u> <u>94</u>
3.	The expected synergies between the Plan Sponsor and PPC may not materialize	<u>88</u> <u>94</u>
4.	Industry cyclicalities can affect earnings of the Reorganized Debtors, especially due to fluctuations in commodity prices of feed ingredients and chicken	<u>89</u> <u>96</u>
5.	Outbreaks of livestock diseases in general and poultry diseases in particular, including avian influenza, can significantly affect the Reorganized Debtors' ability to conduct their operations and demand for their products	<u>89</u> <u>96</u>
6.	If the Reorganized Debtors' poultry products become contaminated, they may be subject to product liability claims and product recalls	<u>90</u> <u>97</u>
7.	Product liability claims or product recalls can adversely affect the business reputation of the Reorganized Debtors and expose them to increased scrutiny by federal and state regulators	<u>90</u> <u>97</u>
8.	The Reorganized Debtors are exposed to risks relating to product liability, product recall, property damage and injuries to persons for which insurance coverage is expensive, limited and potentially inadequate	<u>91</u> <u>98</u>
9.	Competition in the chicken industry with other vertically integrated poultry companies may make the Reorganized Debtors unable to compete successfully in these industries, which could adversely affect their business	<u>91</u> <u>98</u>
10.	The loss of one or more of the largest customers could adversely affect the business of the Reorganized Debtors	<u>92</u> <u>99</u>
11.	The foreign operations of the Reorganized Debtors pose special risks to their business and operations	<u>92</u> <u>99</u>
12.	Disruptions in international markets and distribution channels could adversely affect the business of the Reorganized Debtors	<u>93</u> <u>100</u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

		Page
13.	Regulation, present and future, is a constant factor affecting the business of the Reorganized Debtors	93 100
14.	New immigration legislation or increased enforcement efforts in connection with existing immigration legislation could cause the costs of doing business to increase, cause the Reorganized Debtors to change the way they conduct business or otherwise disrupt their operations.....	93 100
15.	Loss of essential employees could have a significant negative impact on the Reorganized Debtors' business.....	94 101
16.	Extreme weather or natural disasters could negatively impact the business of the Reorganized Debtors	94 101
D E .	Risks Related to the JBS Common Stock	94 101
1.	The Plan Sponsor is controlled by JBS S.A., which is a publicly traded company in Brazil, whose interests may conflict with the holders of JBS Common Stock	94 101
2.	The Plan Sponsor's directors who have relationships with its controlling stockholder may have conflicts of interest with respect to matters involving the Plan Sponsor	95 102
3.	The Plan Sponsor is expected to be a "controlled company" within the meaning of the NYSE rules, and, as a result, will rely on exemptions from certain corporate governance requirements that provide protection to stockholders of other companies.....	95 102
4.	There has been no prior public market for the JBS Common Stock and the trading price of the JBS Common Stock may be adversely affected if an active trading market does not develop.....	96 103
5.	The stock price of the JBS Common Stock may be volatile, and holders of JBS Common Stock may be unable to resell their shares at or above the offering price or at all.	96 103
6.	Actual dividends paid on shares of JBS Common Stock may not be consistent with the dividend policy adopted by the Plan Sponsor's board of directors.	96 103
7.	Provisions in the Plan Sponsor's amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws and Delaware law may discourage, delay or prevent a change of control or changes in management.	97 104
8.	Holders of JBS Common Stock may be subject to dilution.....	98 105
E F .	Risks Related to the Financial and Operational Results of the Plan Sponsor	98 105
1.	Outbreaks of BSE, Foot-and-Mouth Disease, or FMD, or other species-based diseases in the United States, Australia or elsewhere may harm demand for the Plan Sponsor's products.	98 105

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

		Page
2.	Any perceived or real health risks related to the food industry could adversely affect the ability of the Plan Sponsor to sell its products. If its products become contaminated, the Plan Sponsor may be subject to product liability claims and product recalls.	99 <u>106</u>
3.	The Plan Sponsor's pork business could be negatively affected by concerns about A(H1N1) influenza.	100 <u>107</u>
4.	The Plan Sponsor's results of operations may be negatively impacted by fluctuations in the prevailing market prices for livestock.	100 <u>107</u>
5.	The Plan Sponsor's businesses are subject to government policies and extensive regulations affecting the cattle, hog, beef and pork industries. ...	101 <u>108</u>
6.	Compliance with environmental requirements may result in significant costs, and failure to comply may result in civil liabilities for damages as well as criminal and administrative sanctions and liability for damages.	102 <u>109</u>
7.	The Plan Sponsor's export and international operations expose it to political and economic risks in foreign countries, as well as to risks related to currency fluctuations.	103 <u>110</u>
8.	Deterioration of economic conditions could negatively impact the business of the Plan Sponsor.	104 <u>111</u>
9.	Failure to successfully implement the Plan Sponsor's business strategies may affect plans to increase revenue and cash flow.	104 <u>111</u>
10.	The Plan Sponsor's business strategies require substantial capital and long-term investments, which it may be unable to fund.	105 <u>112</u>
11.	The Plan Sponsor may not be able to successfully integrate any growth opportunities undertaken in the future.	106 <u>113</u>
12.	The Plan Sponsor faces competition in its business, which may adversely affect its market share and profitability.	106 <u>113</u>
13.	Changes in consumer preferences could adversely affect the business of the Plan Sponsor.	106 <u>113</u>
14.	The Plan Sponsor's business could be materially adversely affected as a result of adverse weather conditions or other unanticipated extreme events in its areas of operations.	107 <u>114</u>
15.	The Plan Sponsor's performance depends on favorable labor relations with employees and compliance with labor laws. Any deterioration of those relations or increase in labor costs due to compliance with labor laws could adversely affect the business of the Plan Sponsor.	107 <u>114</u>
16.	The consolidation of customers could negatively impact business of the Plan Sponsor.	108 <u>115</u>
17.	The Plan Sponsor is dependent on certain key members of management. .	108 <u>115</u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
18. The Plan Sponsor's debt could adversely affect its business.....	108 <u>115</u>
IX. CERTAIN FEDERAL TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THE PLAN	109 <u>116</u>
A. Consequences to Holders of Equity Interests in PPC	110 <u>117</u>
1. Information Reporting and Backup Withholding	111 <u>118</u>
B. Consequences to the Debtors	111 <u>118</u>
1. Cancellation of Debt	111 <u>118</u>
2. Potential Limitations on NOL Carryforwards and Other Tax Attributes ...	112 <u>119</u>
3. Alternative Minimum Tax	113 <u>120</u>
X. CERTAIN SECURITIES LAW MATTERS	114 <u>121</u>
A. Issuance and Resale of New PPC Common Stock	114 <u>121</u>
B. Issuance and Resale of JBS USA Common Stock.....	116 <u>123</u>
C. Listing	116 <u>123</u>
XI. ALTERNATIVES TO CONFIRMATION AND CONSUMMATION OF THE PLAN	117 <u>124</u>
A. Liquidation Under Chapter 7	117 <u>124</u>
B. Alternative Plan	117 <u>124</u>
C. Staying in Chapter 11	117 <u>124</u>
XII. VOTING PROCEDURES AND REQUIREMENTS.....	118 <u>125</u>
A. Solicitation Package.....	118 <u>125</u>
B. Voting Procedures.....	118 <u>125</u>
C. Voting/Election Deadline	118 <u>125</u>
D. Vote Required for Acceptance by a Class	119 <u>126</u>
XIII. CONFIRMATION OF THE PLAN.....	119 <u>126</u>
A. Confirmation Hearing	119 <u>126</u>
B. Objections to Confirmation	119 <u>126</u>
C. Requirements for Confirmation—Consensual Plan.....	120 <u>127</u>
1. Elements of 1129(a) of the Bankruptcy Code.....	120 <u>127</u>
D. Best Interests Tests/Liquidation Analysis.....	121 <u>128</u>
E. Feasibility.....	121 <u>128</u>
F. Requirements for Confirmation—Non-Consensual Plan	122 <u>129</u>
1. No Unfair Discrimination	122 <u>129</u>
2. Fair and Equitable Test	122 <u>129</u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
G. Reservation of “Cram Down” Rights	123 <u>130</u>
XIV. Conclusion and Recommendation	124 <u>131</u>

I.

INTRODUCTION

The Debtors submit this Disclosure Statement pursuant to section 1125 of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) to holders of claims and equity interests against the Debtors in connection with (i) the solicitation of acceptances of the Debtors’ amended joint plan of reorganization under the Bankruptcy Code, dated ~~September 17,~~October, 2009 (the “Plan”), filed by the Debtors with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas (the “Bankruptcy Court”) and (ii) the hearing to consider confirmation of the Plan (the “Confirmation Hearing”) scheduled for [], 2009 at []:00 [].m. (Central Time). **UNLESS OTHERWISE DEFINED HEREIN, ALL CAPITALIZED TERMS CONTAINED HEREIN HAVE THE MEANINGS ASCRIBED TO THEM IN THE PLAN.**

Annexed as Exhibits to this Disclosure Statement are copies of the following documents:

- The Plan (Exhibit A);
- Order of the Bankruptcy Court, dated [], 2009 (the “Disclosure Statement Order”), approving, among other things, this Disclosure Statement and establishing certain procedures with respect to the solicitation and tabulation of votes to accept or reject the Plan (annexed without exhibits) (Exhibit B);
- Pilgrim’s Pride Corporation’s Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended, for the fiscal year ended September 27, 2008 (annexed without exhibits) (Exhibit C);
- Pilgrim’s Pride Corporation’s Form 10-Qs for the quarters ending December 27, 2008, March 28, 2009 and June 27, 2009 (all annexed without exhibits) (Exhibit D);
- JBS USA Holdings, Inc. Form S-1, filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) on July 22, 2009 (annexed without exhibits) (Exhibit E);
- The Debtors’ Financial Projections (Exhibit F);
- The Debtors’ Liquidation Analysis (Exhibit G); and
- Organizational Chart (Exhibit H).

A Ballot for the acceptance or rejection of the Plan is enclosed with the Disclosure Statement submitted to the holders of Claims and Equity Interests that the Debtors believe may be entitled to vote to accept or reject the Plan.

On [], 2009, after notice and a hearing, the Bankruptcy Court entered the Disclosure Statement Order, approving this Disclosure Statement as containing adequate information of a kind and in sufficient detail to enable a hypothetical investor of the relevant classes to make an informed judgment whether to accept or reject the Plan. APPROVAL OF THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT DOES NOT, HOWEVER, CONSTITUTE A DETERMINATION BY THE BANKRUPTCY COURT AS TO THE FAIRNESS OR MERITS OF THE PLAN.

The Disclosure Statement Order, a copy of which is annexed hereto as Exhibit B, sets forth in detail, among other things, the deadlines, procedures and instructions for voting to accept or reject the Plan and for filing objections to confirmation of the Plan, the record date for voting purposes and the applicable standards for tabulating Ballots. In addition, detailed voting instructions accompany each Ballot. Each holder of a Claim or Equity Interest entitled to vote on the Plan should read the Disclosure Statement, the Plan, the Disclosure Statement Order, and the instructions accompanying the Ballots in their entirety before voting on the Plan. These documents contain important information concerning the classification of Claims and Equity Interests for voting purposes and the tabulation of votes. No solicitation of votes to accept the Plan may be made except pursuant to section 1125 of the Bankruptcy Code.

As described in more detail herein, the Debtors' Plan provides for payment in full of all Claims. The Plan is predicated upon reorganization of the Debtors as a going concern and the purchase of majority of the Reorganized PPC's common stock by JBS USA Holdings, Inc. ("Plan Sponsor"). The Disclosure Statement describes:

- The businesses of the Debtors and the reasons why they commenced their Chapter 11 Cases (Section III).
- Significant events that have occurred in the Debtors' Chapter 11 Cases (Section IV).
- The transaction with the Plan Sponsor (Section V).
- The material terms of the Plan, including, without limitation, how the Plan treats creditors and equity holders, how distributions under the Plan will be made and the manner in which disputed claims are to be resolved, and the conditions precedent to the Effective Date of the Plan (Section VI).
- Certain financial information about the Debtors, including their 5-year projections (Section VII).
- The procedure for selecting the Board of Directors (Section VII).
- Certain risk factors ~~creditors~~ equity holders should consider before voting (Section VIII).
- Certain tax laws issues (Section IX).
- Certain securities laws issues (Section X).
- Alternatives to confirmation of the Plan (Section XI).
- Instructions for submitting votes on the Plan and who is entitled to vote (Section XII).
- The procedure for confirming the Plan (Section XIII).

Please note that if there is any inconsistency between the Plan and this Disclosure Statement, the terms of the Plan will govern.

Additional financial information about the Debtors can be found in the annual report on Form 10-K, as amended, for the year ended September 27, 2008 and the quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the first three quarters of fiscal year 2009, which were filed by Pilgrim's Pride Corporation ("PPC") with the SEC on December 11, 2008 (as amended on January 26, 2009), February 5, 2009, May 7, 2009 and August 3, 2009, respectively. Copies of these documents without exhibits is attached hereto as Exhibit C and Exhibit D. Additional information about the Plan Sponsor including detailed financial information can be found on the Form S-1, which was filed with the SEC on July 22, 2009. A copy of the Plan Sponsor's Form S-1 without exhibits is attached hereto as Exhibit E. More recent financial information about the Plan Sponsor will also be included in the Plan Supplement. Copies of all SEC filings may be obtained over the internet at www.sec.gov.

This Disclosure Statement, the Plan ~~and~~ and correspondence from the Equity Committee urging equity holders to vote in favor of the Plan ~~are~~ are the only materials equity holders should use to determine whether to vote to accept or reject the Plan.

The Plan is the product of extensive negotiations between and among, the Debtors, the Creditors' Committee, the Equity Committee, and the Plan Sponsor.

The Debtors~~, and~~ and the Equity Committee ~~believe~~ believe that the Plan is in the best interest of, and provides the highest and most expeditious recoveries to holders of all Claims and Equity Interests. The Debtors ~~and~~ and the Equity Committee ~~urge~~ urge all holders of Equity Interests entitled to vote on the Plan to vote in favor of the Plan.

Additional copies of this Disclosure Statement are available upon request made to the Voting Agent, at the following address:

Pilgrim's Pride Ballot Processing Center
c/o Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC
2335 Alaska Avenue
El Segundo, CA 90245
T: (888) 830-4659
www.kccllc.net/pilgrimspride

The summaries of the Plan and other documents related to the restructuring of the Debtors are qualified in their entirety by the Plan and its exhibits. The financial and other information included in this Disclosure Statement are for purposes of soliciting acceptances of the Plan only. The financial and other information regarding the Plan Sponsor has been provided by the Plan Sponsor and has not been independently verified by the Debtors.

The Bankruptcy Code provides that only creditors and equity holders who vote on the Plan will be counted for purposes of determining whether the requisite acceptances have been attained. Failure to timely deliver a properly completed ballot by the voting deadline will constitute an abstention (i.e. will not be counted as either an acceptance or a rejection), and any improperly completed or late ballot will not be counted.

THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT HAS NOT BEEN APPROVED OR DISAPPROVED BY THE SEC, NOR HAS THE SEC PASSED ON THE ACCURACY OR ADEQUACY OF THE STATEMENTS CONTAINED HEREWITH. ANY REPRESENTATION TO THE CONTRARY IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE.

IRS CIRCULAR 230 NOTICE: TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH IRS CIRCULAR 230, HOLDERS OF CLAIMS AND EQUITY INTERESTS IN THE DEBTORS ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT: (A) ANY DISCUSSION OF FEDERAL TAX ISSUES CONTAINED OR REFERRED TO IN THIS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT IS NOT INTENDED OR WRITTEN TO BE USED, AND CANNOT BE USED, BY HOLDERS OF CLAIMS OR EQUITY INTERESTS FOR THE PURPOSE OF AVOIDING PENALTIES THAT MAY BE IMPOSED ON THEM UNDER THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE; (B) SUCH DISCUSSION IS WRITTEN IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROMOTION OR MARKETING BY THE DEBTORS OF THE TRANSACTIONS OR MATTERS.

II.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Plan provides for a reorganization of the Debtors' businesses as a going concern. The Plan contemplates that the Debtors will emerge with at least \$1,650,000,000 in available financing and that the Plan Sponsor will purchase a majority of common stock of the Reorganized PPC to fund distributions under the Plan. All holders of Claims will be paid in full, unless otherwise agreed by such holder. Holders of Equity Interests will receive certain amount of common stock of the Reorganized PPC.

A. Summary of Classification and Treatment of Claims and Equity Interests Under Plan

The following table divides the claims against and equity interests in the Debtors into separate classes and summarizes the treatment for each class. The table also identifies which classes are impaired or unimpaired and entitled to vote on the Plan based on rules set forth in the Bankruptcy Code. Finally, the table indicates an estimated recovery for each class. **Important Note:** The recoveries described in the following table represent the Debtors' best estimates of those values given the information available at this time. These estimates do not predict the potential trading prices for the common stock of the Reorganized PPC.

CLASS	DESIGNATION	STATUS	ENTITLED TO VOTE?	ESTIMATED RECOVERY
CLASSES 1(a) – (g)				
Class 1(a)	Priority Non-Tax Claims against PPC	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
Class 1(b)	Priority Non-Tax Claims against PFS Distribution Company	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
Class 1(c)	Priority Non-Tax Claims against PPC Transportation Company	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
Class 1(d)	Priority Non-Tax Claims against To-Ricos, Ltd.	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
Class 1(e)	Priority Non-Tax Claims against To-Ricos Distribution, Ltd.	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
Class 1(f)	Priority Non-Tax Claims against Pilgrim's Pride Corporation of West Virginia, Inc.	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
Class 1(g)	Priority Non-Tax Claims against PPC Marketing, Ltd.	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
CLASSES 2(a) – (c)				

CLASS	DESIGNATION	STATUS	ENTITLED TO VOTE?	ESTIMATED RECOVERY
Class 2(a)	BMO Secured Claims against PPC	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
Class 2(b)	BMO Secured Claims against To-Ricos, Ltd.	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
Class 2(c)	BMO Secured Claims against To-Ricos Distribution, Ltd.	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
CLASS 3				
Class 3	CoBank Secured Claims against PPC	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
CLASSES 4(a) – (g)				
Class 4(a)	Secured Tax Claims against PPC	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
Class 4(b)	Secured Tax Claims against PFS Distribution Company	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
Class 4(c)	Secured Tax Claims against PPC Transportation Company	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
Class 4(d)	Secured Tax Claims against To-Ricos, Ltd.	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
Class 4(e)	Secured Tax Claims against To-Ricos Distribution, Ltd.	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
Class 4(f)	Secured Tax Claims against Pilgrim's Pride Corporation of West Virginia, Inc.	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
Class 4(g)	Secured Tax Claims against PPC Marketing, Ltd.	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
CLASSES 5(a) – (g)				
Class 5(a)	Other Secured Claims against PPC	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
Class 5(b)	Other Secured Claims against PFS Distribution Company	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
Class 5(c)	Other Secured Claims against PPC Transportation Company	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
Class 5(d)	Other Secured Claims against To-Ricos, Ltd.	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
Class 5(e)	Other Secured Claims against To-Ricos Distribution, Ltd.	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
Class 5(f)	Other Secured Claims against Pilgrim's Pride Corporation of West Virginia, Inc.	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
Class 5(g)	Other Secured Claims against PPC Marketing, Ltd.	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
CLASSES 6(a) – (c)				
Class 6(a)	Senior Note Claims against PPC	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
Class 6(b)	Senior Subordinated Note Claims against PPC	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
Class 6(c)	Subordinated Note Claims against PPC	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
CLASSES 7(a) – (g)				
Class 7(a)	General Unsecured Claims against PPC	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
Class 7(b)	General Unsecured Claims against PFS Distribution Company	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
Class 7(c)	General Unsecured Claims against PPC Transportation Company	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%

CLASS	DESIGNATION	STATUS	ENTITLED TO VOTE?	ESTIMATED RECOVERY
Class 7(d)	General Unsecured Claims against To-Ricos, Ltd.	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
Class 7(e)	General Unsecured Claims against To-Ricos Distribution, Ltd.	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
Class 7(f)	General Unsecured Claims against Pilgrim's Pride Corporation of West Virginia, Inc.	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
Class 7(g)	General Unsecured Claims against PPC Marketing, Ltd.	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
CLASS 8				
Class 8	Intercompany Claims	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
CLASS 9				
Class 9	Flow-Through Claims	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	100%
CLASSES 10(a) – (g)				
Class 10(a)	Equity Interests in PPC	Impaired	Yes	N/A
Class 10(b)	Equity Interests in PFS Distribution Company	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	N/A
Class 10(c)	Equity Interests in PPC Transportation Company	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	N/A
Class 10(d)	Equity Interests in To-Ricos, Ltd.	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	N/A
Class 10(e)	Equity Interests in To-Ricos Distribution, Ltd.	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	N/A
Class 10(f)	Equity Interests in Pilgrim's Pride Corporation of West Virginia, Inc.	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	N/A
Class 10(g)	Equity Interests in PPC Marketing, Ltd.	Unimpaired	No (deemed to accept)	N/A

B. Overview of Chapter 11 Process

Chapter 11 is the principal reorganization chapter of the Bankruptcy Code, pursuant to which a debtor in possession may reorganize its business for the benefit of its creditors, equity holders, and other parties-in-interest. The commencement of a chapter 11 case creates an estate comprising all the legal and equitable interests of the debtor in possession as of the date the petition is filed. Sections 1101, 1107, and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code provide that a debtor may continue to operate its business and remain in possession of its property as a “debtor in possession” unless the bankruptcy court orders the appointment of a trustee.

The filing of a chapter 11 petition triggers the automatic stay provisions of the Bankruptcy Code. Section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code provides, among other things, for an automatic stay of all attempts by creditors or other third parties to collect pre-petition claims from the debtor or otherwise interfere with its property or business. Exempted from the automatic stay are governmental authorities seeking to exercise regulatory or policing powers. Except as otherwise ordered by the bankruptcy court, the automatic stay remains in full force and effect until the effective date of a confirmed plan of reorganization.

The formulation of a plan of reorganization is the principal purpose of a chapter 11 case. The plan sets forth the means for satisfying the holders of claims against and interests in the debtor's estate. Unless a trustee is appointed, only the debtor may file a plan during the first 120 days

of a chapter 11 case (the “Exclusive Filing Period”). However, section 1121(d) of the Bankruptcy Code permits the bankruptcy court to extend or reduce the Exclusive Filing Period upon a showing of “cause.” Following the filing of a plan, a debtor must solicit acceptances of the plan within a certain time period (the “Exclusive Solicitation Period”). The Exclusive Solicitation Period may also be extended or reduced by the bankruptcy court upon a showing of “cause.”

III.

OVERVIEW OF THE DEBTORS’ OPERATIONS

A. History of Pilgrim’s Pride

Formed in 1946 as a retail feed store partnership between Lonnie A. “Bo” Pilgrim and his brother, Aubrey E. Pilgrim, PPC has been a publicly traded company since 1986. PPC was incorporated in 1968 in Texas and reincorporated in 1986 in Delaware. Business operations in Mexico are conducted through non-debtor subsidiaries organized under the laws of Mexico.

PPC is the direct or indirect parent company of each of the other Debtors. The Debtors are organized in various jurisdictions ranging from Texas to Bermuda. PPC is also the indirect or direct parent company of certain non-debtor entities that are located in the United States and in foreign jurisdictions, including Mexico. Business operations in the United States are carried out by the Debtors and the Debtors’ non-debtor affiliates.

Pilgrim’s Pride’s headquarters are located in Pittsburg, Texas. Prior to the Commencement Date, Pilgrim’s Pride owned 34 processing plants in the United States and 3 processing plants in Mexico. In the United States, the processing plants were supported by 42 hatcheries, 31 feed mills and 12 rendering plants. In Mexico, the processing plants were supported by 7 hatcheries, 4 feed mills and 2 rendering plants. In addition, prior to the Commencement Date, Pilgrim’s Pride owned 12 prepared food production facilities in the United States.

PPC acquired WLR Foods, Inc. in 2001 and the chicken division of ConAgra Foods, Inc. in 2003. In December 2006, PPC acquired a majority of the outstanding common stock of Gold Kist Inc. (“Gold Kist”) through a tender offer. PPC acquired the remaining shares of Gold Kist in January 2007, making Gold Kist its wholly-owned subsidiary. The chart annexed hereto as Exhibit H illustrates Pilgrim’s Pride’s corporate structure as of ~~September~~October 15, 2009.


Prior to the Commencement Date, Pilgrim’s Pride contracted with more than 5,500 growers working on over 6,000 farms, many of whom are small farm owners, who either raise and care for the chickens Pilgrim’s Pride uses for breeding or who grow the broiler chickens from hatchlings until they are ready to be processed. Pilgrim’s Pride maintains title to and ownership of the chickens and feed ingredients fed to them, but Pilgrim’s Pride contracts with growers to administer feed and tend to the chickens used for breeding and for the broiler chickens until they reach certain targeted weights. The growers are independent contractors. The growers own, operate and provide the farms, the chicken houses, equipment, utilities and labor necessary to tend the chickens. Once the broiler chickens have reached a certain weight and meet other specifications, these chickens are returned to Pilgrim’s Pride to be processed, packaged and transported to customers.

B. The Debtors' Businesses

1. Introduction

Under the well-established Pilgrim's Pride brand name, the Debtors' fresh chicken retail line is sold in the southeastern, central, southwestern and western regions of the U.S., throughout Puerto Rico, and in the northern and central regions of Mexico. The Debtors' prepared chicken products meet the needs of some of the largest customers in the food service industry across the U.S. Additionally, the Debtors export commodity chicken products to approximately 80 countries.

In fiscal 2008, PPC sold 8.4 billion pounds of dressed chicken and its net sales totaled \$8.5 billion. Approximately 93.2% of PPC's sales were attributed to its U.S. operations while 6.8% was attributed to its operations in Mexico. Of the Company's U.S. chicken sales of \$7.1 billion, approximately 65% was sold to foodservice, 22% to retail, and the remaining 13% to export and other channels.



PPC has consistently applied a long-term business strategy of focusing its growth efforts on the historically higher-value prepared chicken products and has become a recognized industry leader in this market. Accordingly, PPC focused its sales efforts on the foodservice industry, principally chain restaurants and food processors. More recently, PPC also focused its sales efforts on retailers seeking value-added products.

2. Assets and Capacity Utilization

As of September 1, 2009, PPC operates 28 poultry processing plants located in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia. PPC's U.S. chicken processing plants have weekly capacity to process 36.9 million broilers and are expected to operate at 85% of capacity in 2009. In the U.S., the processing plants are supported by 34 hatcheries, 27 feed mills, eight rendering plants and three pet food plants. The hatcheries, feed mills, rendering plants and pet food plants are expected to operate at 79%, 73%, 37% and 58% of capacity, respectively, in fiscal year 2009. Capacity utilization for PPC's rendering plants is very low because a fire in late 2008 left one of its larger plants inoperable for the first half of fiscal 2009. This rendering plant returned to its pre-fire utilization level in May 2009.

PPC has three chicken processing plants in Mexico that have a combined capacity to process 3.27 million broilers per week and are expected to operate at 73% of capacity in 2009. These

plants are supported by six hatcheries, four feed mills and two rendering facilities. The Mexico hatcheries, feed mills and rendering facilities are expected to operate at 94%, 77% and 65% of capacity, respectively, in 2009.

PPC has one chicken processing plant in Puerto Rico with the capacity to process 0.3 million broilers per week based on one eight-hour shift per day. This plant is supported by one hatchery and one feed mill, which are expected to operate at 82% and 80% of capacity, respectively, in 2009. For segment reporting purposes, PPC includes Puerto Rico with its U.S. operations.

PPC also operates nine prepared food production facilities. These plants are located in Alabama, Georgia, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas and West Virginia. These plants have the capacity to produce approximately 1.24 billion pounds of further-processed product per year. In fiscal year 2009, these plants are expected to operate at approximately 85% of capacity.

3. Lines of Business

PPC operates in two business segments as (i) a producer and seller of chicken products and (ii) a seller of other products.

4. Product Types—U.S.

PPC's chicken products consist primarily of:

(1) *Fresh Chicken*: Fresh chicken products, which are refrigerated (non-frozen) whole or cut-up chickens sold to the foodservice industry either pre-marinated or non-marinated. Fresh chicken also includes prepackaged case-ready chicken, which includes various combinations of freshly refrigerated, whole chickens and chicken parts in trays, bags or other consumer packs labeled and priced ready for the retail grocer's fresh meat counter.

PPC's fresh chicken business is a significant component of its sales and accounted for \$3,591.8 million, or 50.7%, of its total U.S. chicken sales for fiscal 2008. In addition to maintaining sales of mature, traditional fresh chicken products, PPC's strategy is to shift the mix of its U.S. fresh chicken products by continuing to increase sales of faster-growing products, such as marinated whole chicken and chicken parts, and to continually shift portions of this product mix into the higher-value prepared chicken category. Most fresh chicken products are sold to established customers, based upon certain weekly or monthly market prices reported by the U.S. Department of Agriculture ("USDA") and other public price reporting services, plus a markup, which is dependent upon the customer's location, volume, product specifications and other factors. PPC believes its practices with respect to sales of fresh chicken are generally consistent with those of its competitors. The majority of these products are sold pursuant to agreements with varying terms that either set a fixed price for the products or set a price according to formulas based on an underlying commodity market, subject in many cases to minimum and maximum prices.

(2) *Prepared Chicken*: Prepared chicken products, which are products such as portion-controlled breast fillets, tenderloins and strips, delicatessen products, salads, formed nuggets and patties and bone-in chicken parts. These products are sold either refrigerated or frozen and may be fully cooked, partially cooked or raw. In addition, these products are breaded or non-breaded and either pre-marinated or non-marinated. During fiscal 2008, \$2,522.1 million of PPC's U.S. chicken sales were in prepared chicken products to foodservice customers and retail distributors, as compared to \$1,861.7 million in fiscal 2004. These numbers reflect the impact of PPC's historical strategic focus for growth in the prepared chicken markets and its acquisition of Gold Kist. The market for prepared chicken products has

experienced, and PPC believes will continue to experience, greater growth and higher average sales prices than fresh chicken products. Also, the production and sale in the U.S. of prepared chicken products reduce the impact of the costs of feed ingredients on our profitability. Feed ingredient costs are the single largest component of PPC's total U.S. cost of sales, representing approximately 38.1% of its total U.S. cost of sales for fiscal 2008. The production of feed ingredients is positively or negatively affected primarily by the global level of supply inventories, demand for feed ingredients, the agricultural policies of the U.S. and foreign governments and weather patterns throughout the world. As further processing is performed, feed ingredient costs become a decreasing percentage of a product's total production cost, thereby reducing their impact on PPC's profitability. Products sold in this form enable PPC to charge a premium, reduce the impact of feed ingredient costs on its profitability and improve and stabilize its profit margins.

PPC establishes prices for its prepared chicken products based primarily upon perceived value to the customer, production costs and prices of competing products. The majority of these products are sold pursuant to agreements with varying terms that either set a fixed price for the products or set a price according to formulas based on an underlying commodity market, subject in many cases to minimum and maximum prices. Many times, these prices are dependent upon the customer's location, volume, product specifications and other factors.

(3) *Export and Other Chicken Products:* Export and other chicken products, are primarily parts and whole chicken, either refrigerated or frozen for U.S. export or domestic use, and prepared chicken products for U.S. export. PPC's export and other products consist of whole chickens and chicken parts sold primarily in bulk, non-branded form, either refrigerated to distributors in the U.S. or frozen for distribution to export markets, and branded and non-branded prepared chicken products for distribution to export markets. In fiscal 2008, approximately \$933.2 million, or 13.2%, of PPC's total U.S. chicken sales were attributable to U.S. chicken export and other products. These exports and other products, other than the prepared chicken products, have historically been characterized by lower prices and greater price volatility than PPC's more value-added product lines.

5. Markets for Chicken Products—U.S.

PPC's chicken products are sold primarily to foodservices customers, retail customers and export and other product customers.

Foodservice: The foodservice market principally consists of chain restaurants, food processors, broad-line distributors and certain other institutions located throughout the continental U.S. PPC supplies chicken products ranging from portion-controlled refrigerated chicken parts to fully-cooked and frozen, breaded or non-breaded chicken parts or formed products.

PPC believes it is positioned to be the primary or secondary supplier to national and international chain restaurants who require multiple suppliers of chicken products. Additionally, PPC believes it is well suited to be the sole supplier for many regional chain restaurants. Regional chain restaurants often offer better margin opportunities and a growing base of business.

PPC believes it has operational strengths in terms of full-line product capabilities, high-volume production capacities, research and development expertise and extensive distribution and marketing experience relative to smaller and non-vertically integrated producers. While the overall chicken market has grown consistently, PPC believes the majority of this growth in recent years has been in the foodservice market. According to the National Chicken Council, from 2003 through 2007, sales of chicken products to the foodservice market grew at a compounded annual growth rate of approximately 7.5%, versus 6.6% growth for the chicken industry overall. Foodservice growth, outside of any

temporary effects resulting from the current recessionary impacts being experienced in the U.S., is anticipated to continue as food-away-from-home expenditures continue to outpace overall industry rates.

Foodservice-Prepared Chicken: PPC's prepared chicken sales to the foodservice market were \$2,033.5 million in fiscal 2008 compared to \$1,647.9 million in fiscal 2004, a compounded annual growth rate of approximately 5.4%. In addition to the significant increase in sales created by the acquisition of Gold Kist, PPC attributes this growth in sales of prepared chicken to the foodservice market to a number of factors. First, there has been significant growth in the number of foodservice operators offering chicken on their menus and in the number of chicken items offered. Second, foodservice operators are increasingly purchasing prepared chicken products, which allow them to reduce labor costs while providing greater product consistency, quality and variety across all restaurant locations.

There is a strong need among larger foodservice companies for a limited-source supplier base in the prepared chicken market. A viable supplier must be able to ensure supply, demonstrate innovation and new product development and provide competitive pricing. PPC has been successful in becoming a supplier of choice by being the primary or secondary prepared chicken supplier to many large foodservice companies for various reasons. Through vertical integration, PPC manages the breeding, hatching and growing of chickens. PPC also manages the processing, preparation, packaging, sale and distribution of its product lines, which PPC believes has made it one of the highest quality, lowest-cost producers of chicken in North America. PPC's further processing facilities, with a wide range of capabilities, are particularly well-suited to the high-volume production as well as low-volume custom production runs necessary to meet both the capacity and quality requirements of the foodservice market. In addition, PPC has established a reputation for dependable quality, highly responsive service and excellent technical support. As a result of the experience and reputation developed with larger customers, PPC has increasingly become the principal supplier to mid-sized foodservice organizations.

PPC's in-house product development group follows a customer-driven research and development focus designed to develop new products to meet customers' changing needs. PPC's research and development personnel often work directly with institutional customers in developing products for these customers. PPC is a leader in using advanced processing technology, which enables it to better meet its customers' needs for product innovation, consistent quality and cost efficiency.

Foodservice-Fresh Chicken: PPC produces and markets fresh, refrigerated chicken for sale to U.S. quick-service restaurant chains, delicatessens and other customers. These chickens have the giblets removed, are usually of specific weight ranges and are usually pre-cut to customer specifications. They are often marinated to enhance value and product differentiation. By growing and processing to customers' specifications, PPC is able to assist quick-service restaurant chains in controlling costs and maintaining quality and size consistency of chicken pieces sold to the consumer. PPC's fresh chicken products sales to the foodservice market were \$2,550.3 million in fiscal 2008 compared to \$1,328.9 million in fiscal 2004, a compounded annual growth rate of approximately 17.7%.

Retail: The retail market consists primarily of grocery store chains, wholesale clubs and other retail distributors. PPC concentrates its efforts in this market on sales of branded, prepackaged cut-up and whole chicken and chicken parts to grocery store chains and retail distributors. For a number of years, PPC has invested in both trade and retail marketing designed to establish high levels of brand name awareness and consumer preferences.

PPC utilizes numerous marketing techniques, including advertising, to develop and strengthen trade and consumer awareness and increase brand loyalty for consumer products marketed under the Pilgrim's Pride® brand. PPC's co-founder and senior chairman, Lonnie "Bo" Pilgrim, is the featured spokesperson in its television, radio and print advertising, and a trademark cameo of a person

wearing a Pilgrim's hat serves as the logo on all of PPC's primary branded products. As a result of this marketing strategy, Pilgrim's Pride® is a well-known brand name in a number of markets. PPC believes its efforts to achieve and maintain brand awareness and loyalty help to provide more secure distribution for its products. PPC also believes its efforts at brand awareness generate greater price premiums than would otherwise be the case in certain markets. PPC also maintains an active program to identify consumer preferences. The program primarily consists of discovering and validating new product ideas, packaging designs and methods through sophisticated qualitative and quantitative consumer research techniques in key geographic markets.

Due to internal growth and the impact of both the Gold Kist and ConAgra Chicken acquisitions, PPC's sales to the retail market from fiscal 2004 through fiscal 2008 grew at a compounded annual growth rate of 15.8% and represented 22.0% of the net sales of its U.S. chicken operations in fiscal 2008.

Retail-Prepared Chicken: PPC sells retail-oriented prepared chicken products primarily to grocery store chains located throughout the U.S. PPC's prepared chicken products sales to the retail market were \$518.6 million in fiscal 2008 compared to \$213.8 million in fiscal 2004, a compounded annual growth rate of approximately 24.8%. PPC believes that its growth in this market segment will continue as retailers concentrate on satisfying consumer demand for more products that are quick, easy and convenient to prepare at home.

Retail-Fresh Chicken: PPC's prepackaged retail products include various combinations of freshly refrigerated, whole chickens and chicken parts in trays, bags or other consumer packs labeled and priced ready for the retail grocer's fresh meat counter. PPC's retail fresh chicken products are sold in the midwestern, southwestern, southeastern and western regions of the U.S. Its fresh chicken sales to the retail market were \$1,041.4 million in fiscal 2008 compared to \$653.8 million in fiscal 2004, a compounded annual growth rate of approximately 12.3% resulting primarily from its acquisition of Gold Kist in 2007. PPC believes the retail prepackaged fresh chicken business will continue to be a large and relatively stable market, providing opportunities for product differentiation and regional brand loyalty.

Export and Other Chicken Products: PPC's export and other chicken products, with the exception of its exported prepared chicken products, consist of whole chickens and chicken parts sold primarily in bulk, non-branded form either refrigerated to distributors in the U.S. or frozen for distribution to export markets. In the U.S., prices of these products are negotiated daily or weekly and are generally related to market prices quoted by the USDA or other public price reporting services. PPC sells U.S.-produced chicken products for export to Eastern Europe, including Russia; the Far East, including China; Mexico; and other world markets.

Historically, PPC has targeted international markets to generate additional demand for its dark chicken meat, which is a natural by-product of its U.S. operations given PPC's concentration on prepared chicken products and the U.S. customers' general preference for white chicken meat. PPC also has begun selling prepared chicken products for export to the international divisions of its U.S. chain restaurant customers. PPC believes that U.S. chicken exports will continue to grow as worldwide demand increases for high-grade, low-cost meat protein sources. Also included in this category are chicken by-products, which are converted into protein products and sold primarily to manufacturers of pet foods.

6. Markets for Other Products—U.S.

PPC's other products consist of: (a) other types of meat protein along with various other staples purchased and sold by PPC's distribution centers as a convenience to its chicken customers who

purchase through the distribution centers; and (b) the production and sale of table eggs, commercial feeds and related items, live hogs and proteins.

The following table sets forth, for the periods beginning with fiscal 2004, net sales attributable to each of PPC's primary product lines and markets served with those products. PPC based the table on its internal sales reports and its classification of product types and customers.

	Fiscal 2008 (52 weeks)	Fiscal 2007(a) (52 weeks)	Fiscal 2006 (52 weeks) (In thousands)	Fiscal 2005 (52 weeks)	Fiscal 2004(a) (53 weeks)
U.S. chicken:					
Prepared chicken:					
Foodservice	\$ 2,033,489	\$ 1,897,643	\$ 1,567,297	\$ 1,622,901	\$ 1,647,904
Retail	518,576	511,470	308,486	283,392	213,775
Total prepared chicken	2,552,065	2,409,113	1,875,783	1,906,293	1,861,679
Fresh chicken:					
Foodservice	2,550,339	2,280,057	1,388,451	1,509,189	1,328,883
Retail	1,041,446	975,659	496,560	612,081	653,798
Total fresh chicken	3,591,785	3,255,716	1,885,011	2,121,270	1,982,681
Export and other:					
Export:					
Prepared chicken	94,795	83,317	64,338	59,473	34,735
Fresh chicken	818,239	559,429	257,823	303,150	212,611
Total export(c)	913,034	642,746	322,161	362,623	247,346
Other chicken by-products	20,163	20,779	15,448	21,083	(b)
Total export and other	933,197	663,525	337,609	383,706	247,346
Total U.S. chicken	7,077,047	6,328,354	4,098,403	4,411,269	4,091,706
Mexico chicken	543,583	488,466	418,745	403,353	362,442
Total chicken	7,620,630	6,816,820	4,517,148	4,814,622	4,454,148
Other products:					
U.S.	869,850	661,115	618,575	626,056	600,091
Mexico	34,632	20,677	17,006	20,759	23,232
Total other products	904,482	681,792	635,581	646,815	623,323
Total net sales	\$ 8,525,112	\$ 7,498,612	\$ 5,152,729	\$ 5,461,437	\$ 5,077,471
Total prepared chicken	\$ 2,646,860	\$ 2,492,430	\$ 1,940,121	\$ 1,965,766	\$ 1,896,414

(a) The Gold Kist acquisition on December 27, 2006, and the ConAgra Chicken acquisition on November 23, 2003, have been accounted for as purchases.

(b) The Export and other category historically included the sales of certain chicken by-products sold in international markets as well as the export of chicken products. Prior to fiscal 2005, by-product sales were not specifically identifiable within the Export and other category. Accordingly, a detailed breakout is not available prior to such time; however, PPC believes that the relative split between these categories as shown in fiscal 2005 would not be dissimilar in fiscal 2004.

(c) Export items include certain chicken parts that have greater value in the overseas markets than in the U.S.

The following table sets forth, beginning with fiscal 2004, the percentage of net U.S. chicken sales attributable to each of PPC's primary product lines and the markets serviced with those

products. PPC based the table and related discussion on its internal sales reports and its classification of product types and customers.

	Fiscal 2008		Fiscal 2007(a)		Fiscal 2006		Fiscal 2005		Fiscal 2004(a)	
Prepared chicken:										
Foodservice	28.8	%	30.1	%	38.2	%	36.8	%	40.3	%
Retail	7.3	%	8.1	%	7.5	%	6.4	%	5.2	%
Total prepared chicken	36.1	%	38.2	%	45.7	%	43.2	%	45.5	%
Fresh chicken:										
Foodservice	36.0	%	36.0	%	33.9	%	34.2	%	32.5	%
Retail	14.7	%	15.4	%	12.1	%	13.9	%	16.0	%
Total fresh chicken	50.7	%	51.4	%	46.0	%	48.1	%	48.5	%
Export and other:										
Export:										
Prepared chicken	1.3	%	1.3	%	1.6	%	1.3	%	0.8	%
Fresh chicken	11.6	%	8.8	%	6.3	%	6.9	%	5.2	%
Total export(c)	12.9	%	10.1	%	7.9	%	8.2	%	6.0	%
Other chicken by-products	0.3	%	0.3	%	0.4	%	0.5	%	(b)	
Total export and other	13.2	%	10.4	%	8.3	%	8.7	%	6.0	%
Total US chicken	100.0	%	100.0	%	100.0	%	100.0	%	100.0	%
Total prepared chicken as a percent of U.S. chicken	37.4	%	39.5	%	47.3	%	44.5	%	46.3	%

(a) The Gold Kist acquisition on December 27, 2006, and the ConAgra Chicken acquisition on November 23, 2003, have been accounted for as purchases.

(b) The Export and other category historically included the sales of certain chicken by-products sold in international markets as well as the export of chicken products. Prior to fiscal 2005, by-product sales were not specifically identifiable within the Export and other category. Accordingly, a detailed breakout is not available prior to such time; however, PPC believes that the relative split between these categories as shown in fiscal 2005 would not be dissimilar in fiscal 2004.

(c) Export items include certain chicken parts that have greater value in the overseas markets than in the U.S.

PPC has regional distribution centers located in Arizona, Texas and Utah that are primarily focused on distributing its own chicken products; however, the distribution centers also distribute certain poultry and non-poultry products purchased from third parties to independent grocers and quick-service restaurants. PPC's non-chicken distribution business is conducted as an accommodation to its customers and to achieve greater economies of scale in distribution logistics. Chicken sales from the Debtors' regional distribution centers are included in the chicken sales amounts contained in the above tables; however, all non-chicken sales amounts are contained in the Other Products sales in the above tables.

PPC markets fresh eggs under the Pilgrim's Pride® brand name, as well as under private labels, in various sizes of cartons and flats to U.S. retail grocery and institutional foodservice customers located primarily in Texas. PPC has a housing capacity for approximately 2.1 million commercial egg laying hens which can produce approximately 42 million dozen eggs annually. U.S. egg prices are determined weekly based upon reported market prices. The U.S. egg industry has been consolidating over the last few years, with the 25 largest producers accounting for more than 65% of the total number of

egg laying hens in service during 2008. PPC competes with other U.S. egg producers primarily on the basis of product quality, reliability, price and customer service.

PPC produces and sells livestock feeds at its feed mill in Mt. Pleasant, Texas, and at its farm supply store in Pittsburg, Texas, to dairy farmers and livestock producers in northeastern Texas. PPC engages in similar sales activities at its other U.S. feed mills.

PPC also has a small pork operation that it acquired through the Gold Kist acquisition that raises and sells live hogs to processors.

7. Product Types—Mexico

The Mexico market represented approximately 6.8% of PPC's net sales in fiscal 2008. PPC is the second-largest producer and seller of chicken in Mexico. PPC believes that it is one of the lower-cost producers of chicken in Mexico.

While the market for chicken products in Mexico is less developed than in the U.S., with sales attributed to fewer, more basic products, PPC has been successful in differentiating its products through high-quality client service and product improvements such as dry-air chilled, eviscerated products. The supermarket chains consider PPC the leader in innovation for fresh products. The market for value-added products is increasing. PPC's strategy is to capitalize on this trend through its vast U.S. experience in both products and quality and its well-known service.

8. Markets for Chicken Products—Mexico

PPC sells its chicken products primarily to wholesalers, large restaurant chains, fast food accounts, supermarket chains and direct retail distribution in selected markets. PPC has national presence and is currently present in all but four of the 32 Mexican States, which in total represent 95% of the Mexican population.

9. Competition

The chicken industry is highly competitive and PPC is one of largest producers of chicken in the U.S., Mexico and Puerto Rico. PPC's recent liquidity constraints have had a negative effect on its competitive position, relative to its competitors that are less leveraged. In the U.S., Mexico and Puerto Rico, PPC competes principally with other vertically integrated poultry companies.

In general, the competitive factors in the U.S. chicken industry include price, product quality, product development, brand identification, breadth of product line and customer service. Competitive factors vary by major market. In the U.S. retail market, PPC believes that product quality, brand awareness, customer service and price are the primary bases of competition. In the foodservice market, competition is based on consistent quality, product development, service and price. There is some competition with non-vertically integrated further processors in the U.S. prepared chicken business. PPC believes vertical integration generally provides significant, long-term cost and quality advantages over non-vertically integrated further processors.

In Mexico, where product differentiation has traditionally been limited, product quality, service and price have been the most critical competitive factors. In July 2003, the U.S. and Mexico entered into a safeguard agreement with regard to imports into Mexico of chicken leg quarters from the U.S. Under this agreement, a tariff rate for chicken leg quarters of 98.8% of the sales price was established. This tariff was imposed because of concerns that the duty-free importation of such products

as provided by the North American Free Trade Agreement would injure Mexico's poultry industry. This tariff rate was eliminated on January 1, 2008. As a result of the elimination of this tariff, PPC expects greater amounts of chicken to be imported into Mexico from the U.S. This could negatively affect the profitability of Mexican chicken producers, including PPC's Mexico operations.

PPC is not a significant competitor in the distribution business as it relates to products other than chicken. PPC distributes these products solely as a convenience to its chicken customers. The broad-line distributors do not consider PPC to be a factor in those markets. The competition related to PPC's other products such as table eggs, feed and protein are much more regionalized and no one competitor is dominant.

10. Key Customers

PPC's two largest customers accounted for approximately 16% of its net sales in fiscal 2008, and its largest customer, Wal-Mart Stores Inc., accounted for 11% of its net sales.

11. Regulation and Environmental Matters

The chicken industry is subject to government regulation, particularly in the health and environmental areas, including provisions relating to the discharge of materials into the environment, by the USDA, the Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") and the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") in the U.S. and by similar governmental agencies in Mexico. PPC's chicken processing facilities in the U.S. are subject to on-site examination, inspection and regulation by the USDA. The FDA inspects the production of PPC's feed mills in the U.S. PPC's Mexican food processing facilities and feed mills are subject to on-site examination, inspection and regulation by a Mexican governmental agency that performs functions similar to those performed by the USDA and FDA. PPC believes that it is in substantial compliance with all applicable laws and regulations relating to the operations of its facilities.

PPC anticipates increased regulation by the USDA concerning food safety, by the FDA concerning the use of medications in feed and by the EPA and various other state agencies concerning discharges to the environment. Currently PPC does not anticipate any regulations having a material adverse effect upon it, however, changes in laws or regulations or the application thereof may lead to government enforcement actions and the resulting litigation by private litigants. Additionally, unknown matters, new laws and regulations, or stricter interpretations of existing laws or regulations may materially affect PPC's business or operations in the future.

C. Employees and Employee Compensation and Benefit Programs

As of September 1, 2009, the Debtors employed approximately 36,800 persons in the U.S. and approximately 4,600 persons in Mexico. There are 10,400 employees at various facilities in the U.S. who are members of collective bargaining units. In Mexico, approximately 2,600 employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements. The Debtors have not experienced any work stoppage at any location in over five years.

The Debtors have a variety of employee compensation and benefit programs, including the programs summarized on Schedule 1.34 of the Plan. The Plan contemplates assumption of the Compensation and Benefit Programs. With respect to assumption of their pension plans, the Debtors plan to continue to meet the minimum funding requirements under the Pilgrim's Pride Pension Plan for Legacy GoldKist Employees, the Pilgrim's Pride Retirement Plan for Union Employees and the Pilgrim's Pride Retirement Plan for El Dorado Union Employees, which currently is anticipated to be through cash.

D. Debtors' Significant Indebtedness

1. The Credit Agreements

Prior to the Commencement Date, Pilgrim's Pride's primary sources of funding was: (i) a revolving credit facility, dated as of February 8, 2007 (as amended, restated, amended and restated, supplemented or otherwise modified from time to time, the "[Prepetition BMO Credit Agreement](#)"), by, among others, PPC and its debtor subsidiaries To-Ricos, Ltd. and To-Ricos Distribution, Ltd., as borrowers, Bank of Montreal, Chicago Branch ("[BMO](#)"), as administrative agent, and certain other banks thereto (such bank parties, collectively with BMO, the "[BMO Lending Group](#)"); and (ii) a revolving credit facility and term loan, dated as of September 21, 2006 (as amended, restated, amended and restated, supplemented or otherwise modified from time to time, the "[Prepetition CoBank Credit Agreement](#)"), by, among others, PPC, as borrower, CoBank ACB ("[CoBank](#)"), as administrative agent, and the other agents and syndication parties signatory thereto (collectively with CoBank, the "[CoBank Lending Group](#)").

The [Prepetition](#) BMO Credit Agreement provided for a revolving credit facility of \$300 million and was secured by inventory, farm products and accounts receivable of PPC whether owned or existing or thereafter created, including all claims and rights of PPC against any of its growers. The [Prepetition](#) BMO Credit Agreement is to mature on February 8, 2013. As of Commencement Date, [and as set forth in the DIP Financing Order](#), the aggregate principal amount outstanding under the BMO Agreement was approximately \$311 million. [Pursuant to the DIP Financing Order, the Debtors waived and released any challenges to and admitted the terms of the Lien Finding \(as defined in the DIP Financing Order\), subject to any committee appointed in the Chapter 11 Cases or any party with standing to challenge such Lien Finding within ninety \(90\) days after the appointment of such committee \(the "Lien Finding Challenge Period"\). No challenge to the Lien Finding was asserted by any of the Committees prior to the expiration of the Lien Finding Challenge Period.](#)

The [Prepetition](#) CoBank Credit Agreement provided for a Revolving Credit Facility of \$550 million and a term loan of \$750 million secured by substantially all of PPC's real property interests, furniture, fixtures and equipment located at, or used in connection with, the poultry hatching, raising, slaughtering, processing, packaging, and shipping operations and facilities located in the U.S. The [Prepetition](#) CoBank Credit Agreement is to mature on September 16, 2011. As of the Commencement Date, [and pursuant to the DIP Financing Order](#), the aggregate principal amount outstanding under the [Prepetition](#) CoBank Credit Agreement was approximately \$1.127 billion.

One-half of the outstanding indebtedness under the [Prepetition](#) CoBank Credit Agreement and the [Prepetition](#) BMO Credit Agreement was guaranteed by Pilgrim Interests, Ltd. pursuant to the Amended and Restated Guaranty of Pilgrim Interests, Ltd. to the Lender Group and CoBank, ACB, as Agent, dated as of September 21, 2006 (the "[CoBank Guarantee Agreement](#)") and the Pilgrim's Pride Corporation Second Amended and Restated Guaranty Agreement, dated as of February 8, 2007 (the "[BMO Guarantee Agreement](#)" and together with the CoBank Guarantee Agreement, the "[Guarantee Agreements](#)"), respectively. Pilgrim Interests, Ltd. is an entity controlled by Lonnie "Bo" Pilgrim and his family. Pursuant to the Agreement between PPC and Pilgrim's Interests, Ltd., effective as of June 11, 1999, PPC is obligated to pay Pilgrim Interests, Ltd. a quarterly fee equal to 1/4 of a percent multiplied by average daily balance of the principal amount of the debt guaranteed by Pilgrim's Interests, Ltd. pursuant to the Guarantee Agreements. By their terms, the obligations under the Guarantee Agreements continue in full force and effect only so long as obligations under the [Prepetition](#) CoBank Credit Agreement and the [Prepetition](#) BMO Credit Agreement are outstanding.

2. Purchase Receivables

PPC was also party to (i) the Amended and Restated Receivables Purchase Agreement, dated as of September 26, 2008 (as amended, restated, amended and restated, supplemented or otherwise modified from time to time, the "Amended and Restated Receivables Purchase Agreement"), by and among PPC, as servicer, Pilgrim's Pride Funding Corporation, a non-debtor subsidiary of PPC ("PPFC"), as seller, BMO Capital Markets, as administrator, and various purchasers and purchaser agents from time to time parties thereto and (ii) the Purchase and Contribution Agreement, dated as of June 26, 2008 (as amended, restated, amended and restated, supplemented or otherwise modified from time to time, the "Purchase and Contribution Agreement"), by and between PPC and PPFC. Pursuant to the Purchase and Contribution Agreement, PPC would sell a pool of accounts receivable from customers, on a revolving basis, to PPFC. Pursuant to the Amended and Restated Receivables Purchase Agreement, PPFC would then sell undivided interests in the receivables to an outside conduit, which committed, under certain circumstances and subject to certain conditions, to purchase undivided interests in those receivables. PPC would retain servicing responsibility over servicing all receivables subject to the Amended and Restated Receivables Purchase Agreement. The Amended and Restated Receivables Purchase Agreement also, among other things, gave BMO Capital Markets certain control over lock-box and collection accounts established in connection with such agreement. The Amended and Restated Receivables Purchase Agreement was terminated on December 3, 2008, and all receivables thereunder were repurchased with the proceeds of borrowings under the DIP Credit Agreement.

3. Indentures

PPC is the issuer of the following notes under the following indentures: (i) approximately \$400 million aggregate principal amount outstanding of 7 5/8% Senior Notes, maturing May 1, 2015 (the "Senior Notes"), pursuant to the Senior Debt Securities Indenture, dated as of January 24, 2007, by and between PPC and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association ("Wells Fargo") and the First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 24, 2007, by and between PPC and Wells Fargo; (ii) approximately \$250 million aggregate principal amount outstanding of 8 3/8% Senior Subordinated Notes, maturing May 1, 2017 (the "Subordinated Notes"), pursuant to the Senior Subordinated Debt Securities Indenture, dated as of January 27, 2007, by and between PPC and Wells Fargo and the First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 24, 2007, by and between PPC and Wells Fargo; and (iii) approximately \$6.996 million aggregate principal amount outstanding of 9 1/4% Senior Subordinated Notes, due November 15, 2013 (the "Senior Subordinated Notes," and, together with the Senior Notes and the Subordinated Notes, the "Notes"), pursuant to the Subordinated Indenture, dated as of November 21, 2003, between PPC and The Bank of New York ("BNY"). None of PPC's subsidiaries is a guarantor under any of the Notes. The Senior Notes are unsecured senior obligations of PPC and rank equally with all of PPC's other senior indebtedness and are effectively subordinated to PPC's existing and future secured obligations and to the indebtedness of PPC's subsidiaries. The Subordinated Notes are unsecured senior subordinated obligations of PPC, are subordinated to PPC's senior obligations and are effectively subordinated to PPC's existing and future secured obligations and the indebtedness of PPC's subsidiaries. The Subordinated Notes rank pari passu with the Senior Subordinated Notes. HSBC Bank USA, National Association, is the successor Indenture Trustee to Wells Fargo with respect to the Senior Notes. BNY is the successor trustee to Wells Fargo with respect to the Subordinated Notes.

4. Industrial Revenue Bond Debt

In June 1999, the Camp County Industrial Development Corporation issued \$25 million of variable-rate environmental facilities revenue bonds supported by letters of credit obtained by PPC under the Prepetition BMO Credit Agreement. The revenue bonds were scheduled to become due in 2029. Prior to the Commencement Date, the proceeds were available for PPC to draw from over the

construction period in order to construct new sewage and solid waste disposal facilities at a poultry by-products plant in Camp County, Texas. The original proceeds from the issuance of the revenue bonds would continue to be held by the trustee of the bonds until PPC drew on the proceeds for the construction of the facility. PPC had not drawn on the proceeds or commenced construction of the facility prior to the Commencement Date. The filing of the chapter 11 Cases constituted an event of default under the revenue bonds. As a result of the event of default, the trustee had the right to accelerate all obligations under the bonds such that they would become immediately due and payable, subject to an automatic stay of any action to collect, assert or recover a claim against PPC and the application of applicable bankruptcy law. In December 2008, the holders of the bonds tendered the bonds for remarketing, which was not successful. As a result, the trustee, on behalf of the holders of the bonds, drew upon the letters of credit supporting the bonds. The resulting reimbursement obligation was converted to borrowings under the [Prepetition](#) BMO Credit Agreement and secured by PPC's domestic chicken inventories. On January 29, 2009, PPC obtained approval from the Bankruptcy Court to use the original proceeds of the bond offering held by the trustee to repay and cancel the revenue bonds. PPC received the proceeds of the bond offering from the trustee in March 2009 and immediately repaid and cancelled the revenue bonds.

In addition, PPC is also a party to a number of lease agreements backing certain industrial revenue bonds ("[IRBs](#)") issued by various municipalities. The IRBs were issued to fund construction of facilities in these municipalities, which in turn were leased to PPC. The lease payments on the facilities satisfy the amounts due on the bonds. As of the Commencement Date, PPC had at least \$39.2 million outstanding pursuant to IRBs held by third parties.¹

5. Trade Debt

As of the Commencement Date, the Debtors' books and records reflected approximately \$200 million of accrued and outstanding claims related to prepetition purchases of goods and services in the ordinary course of business, including claims of the Debtors' growers, vendors, common carriers, catchers and haulers, sales brokers and other providers of goods and services.

E. Common Stock

As of the Commencement Date, PPC had over 74 million shares of common stock outstanding. Through two limited partnerships and related trusts and voting agreements, Lonnie "Bo" Pilgrim, his wife Patricia Pilgrim, and his son, Lonnie Ken Pilgrim, control 62.225% of the voting power of PPC's outstanding common stock as of the Commencement Date.

IV.

OVERVIEW OF CHAPTER 11 CASES

A. Significant Events Leading to the Commencement of the Chapter 11 Cases

During the 12 months prior to the Commencement Date, the underlying economics of the poultry industry had deteriorated dramatically. Profitability in the chicken industry was materially

¹ As of the Commencement Date, these IRBs were supported by letters of credit. In addition, as of the Commencement Date, PPC had approximately \$138.05 million outstanding pursuant to certain IRBs held by certain of PPC's wholly owned subsidiaries. PPC does not account for these obligations on its balance sheet and does not factor in the intercompany IRB debt in its aggregate amount of outstanding secured debt.

affected by the commodity prices of feed ingredients. The Debtors' financial difficulties were attributable to a number of different factors, each of which is discussed below.

1. *Increase in Corn and Soybean Meal Prices*

The cost of corn and soybean meal, the Debtors' primary feed ingredients, increased significantly in the year prior to the Commencement Date as a result of, among other things, increasing demand for these products around the world and because of the passage of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007. That act requires a gradual increase in the production of biofuels, including ethanol (which is predominantly made from corn in the U.S.) from 9 billion gallons in 2008 to 36 billion gallons by 2022. As a result, the demand for, and price of, corn has increased. The price of corn has historically been in the \$2 to \$3 per bushel range, but rose as high as \$7.63 per bushel in late June of 2008, resulting in significantly higher feed expenses for the Debtors which in turn, contributed to significant financial losses. The Debtors' attempt to hedge their feed ingredients costs against an increase in commodity prices during the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2008 resulted in increased losses when the price of corn abruptly reversed course from its record highs and began to decline in July and throughout the remainder of the summer and into the fall of 2008.

2. *Increase in the Cost of Energy*

The cost of energy had also significantly increased. The Debtors operate nearly three dozen processing plants and their infrastructure includes production and manufacturing equipment, as well as associated transportation delivery costs. Due to higher energy prices, it became necessary for the Debtors to allocate more of their budget to fund fuel and other energy costs to ensure the smooth functioning of the Debtors' operations.

3. *Oversupply in the Poultry Industry*

In addition to the increases in the cost of feed ingredients and the cost of energy, the supply of chicken products had continued to exceed profitable demand, leading to an oversupply in the industry. Further, the chicken industry experienced increased competition from other meat proteins (*i.e.*, beef and pork) as these meat proteins producers liquidated livestock to mitigate the adverse effects of soaring feed ingredient costs. In addition, the U.S. chicken industry, like other industries, has been negatively affected by the downturn in the nation's economy. This has resulted in reduced demand for chicken products in general, including Pilgrim's Pride's products, and has made it more difficult for the Debtors to increase product pricing to offset their higher costs for feed and energy. Market pricing for chicken breast meat during the summer months – historically a time of peak demand – proved much weaker than expected in the summer of 2008.

4. *Competitive Environment*

In addition to the steady sales declines, the Debtors were also operating in an extremely competitive environment and industry.

5. *The Need for a Financial Restructuring*

After pursuing operational and strategic restructuring initiatives, the Debtors came to recognize that a financial restructuring would also be necessary. On September 25, 2008, PPC announced that, based on preliminary results, it had notified its lenders that PPC expected to report a significant loss in the fiscal fourth quarter ending September 27, 2008. As a result of this expected loss, PPC informed its lenders that it did not expect to be in compliance with the fixed charge coverage ratio covenant under its

principal credit facilities as of the fiscal year ending September 27, 2008. After discussions with the BMO Lending Group, the CoBank Lending Group, and BMO Capital Markets, the Debtors executed temporary waivers of the covenant default.

Specifically, with respect to the [Prepetition](#) CoBank Credit Agreement, PPC entered into a Limited Duration Waiver of Potential Defaults and Events of Default under the Credit Agreement (the "[First CoBank Waiver](#)") with the CoBank Lending Group. Pursuant to the First CoBank Waiver, the CoBank Lending Group agreed for the period beginning September 26, 2008 and ending October 28, 2008 (the "[First CoBank Waiver Period](#)") to waive potential defaults and events of defaults arising from PPC's failure to maintain a certain minimum fixed charge coverage ratio under the [Prepetition](#) CoBank Credit Agreement. As part of the First CoBank Waiver, during the First CoBank Waiver Period, PPC agreed to maintain aggregate undrawn commitments under the [Prepetition](#) CoBank Credit Agreement and the [Prepetition](#) BMO Credit Agreement of at least \$100 million.

Similarly, with respect to the [Prepetition](#) BMO Credit Agreement, PPC, To-Ricos, Ltd. and To-Ricos Distribution, Ltd. entered into a Limited Duration Waiver Agreement (the "[First BMO Waiver](#)") with the BMO Lending Group. Pursuant to the First BMO Waiver, the BMO Lending Group agreed for the period beginning September 28, 2008 and ending October 28, 2008 (the "[First BMO Waiver Period](#)") to waive the default arising from the failure of the applicable Debtors to maintain a certain minimum fixed charge coverage ratio under the [Prepetition](#) BMO Credit Agreement. The First BMO Waiver also required the borrower to maintain aggregate undrawn commitments under the [Prepetition](#) CoBank Credit Agreement and the [Prepetition](#) BMO Credit Agreement of at least \$100 million.

In connection with the First CoBank Waiver and the First BMO Waiver, PPC and PPFC entered into a Limited Duration Waiver Agreement (the "[First RPA Waiver](#)") with BMO Capital Markets and Fairway Finance Company, LLC ("[Fairway](#)") related to the Amended and Restated Receivables Purchase Agreement. Pursuant to the First RPA Waiver, BMO Capital Markets and Fairway granted PPC a waiver during the First CoBank Waiver Period of its non-compliance with its covenant to maintain a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio under the Amended and Restated Receivables Purchase Agreement. Pursuant to the First RPA Waiver, in addition to maintaining aggregate undrawn commitments under the [Prepetition](#) CoBank Credit Agreement and the [Prepetition](#) BMO Credit Agreement of at least \$100 million, PPC was required to enter into new lockbox agreements no later than October 15, 2008.

A contraction of trade credit in September and October of 2008 further exacerbated Pilgrim's Pride's liquidity issues and put additional stress on the banking relationships.

On October 26, 2008, PPC entered into another Limited Duration Waiver of Potential Defaults and Events of Default under Credit Agreement (the "[Second CoBank Waiver](#)") with the CoBank Lending Group. Pursuant to the Second CoBank Waiver, the CoBank Lending Group agreed for the period beginning October 26, 2008 and ending November 26, 2008 (the "[Second CoBank Waiver Period](#)") to waive defaults and potential events of default under the [Prepetition](#) CoBank Credit Agreement arising from PPC's failure to maintain a certain minimum fixed charge coverage ratio and PPC's failure to maintain a certain leverage ratio. As part of the Second CoBank Waiver, during the Second CoBank Waiver Period, PPC agreed, among other things, to maintain aggregate undrawn commitments under the [Prepetition](#) CoBank Credit Agreement and the [Prepetition](#) BMO Credit Agreement of at least \$35 million. Any payments by PPC of interest on the Senior Notes or the Subordinated Notes would result in termination of the Second CoBank Waiver Period.

On October 26, 2008, PPC, To-Ricos, Ltd. and To-Ricos Distribution, Ltd. entered into a Limited Duration Waiver Agreement (the "[Second BMO Waiver](#)") with the BMO Lending Group.

Pursuant to the Second BMO Waiver, the BMO Lending Group agreed for the period beginning October 28, 2008 and ending on November 26, 2008 (the "Second BMO Waiver Period") to waive the defaults under the Prepetition BMO Credit Agreement arising from the failure of the applicable Debtors to maintain a certain minimum fixed charge coverage ratio and a certain minimum leverage ratio. Any payments by PPC of interest on the Senior Notes or the Subordinated Notes would result in termination of the Second BMO Waiver Period.

In connection with the Second CoBank Waiver and the Second BMO Waiver, PPC and PPFC entered into a Limited Duration Waiver Agreement (the "Second RPA Waiver") with BMO Capital Markets and Fairway. Pursuant to the Second RPA Waiver, BMO Capital Markets and Fairway granted PPC a waiver during the period beginning October 28, 2008 and ending on November 26, 2008 (the "Second RPA Waiver Period") of its non-compliance with its covenants to maintain a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio and a certain minimum leverage ratio under the Amended and Restated Receivables Purchase Agreement. Any payments by PPC of interest on the Senior Notes or the Subordinated Notes would result in termination of the Second RPA Waiver Period.

6. Prepetition Restructuring Efforts

In response to the continued imbalance between supply and demand and in an effort to reduce the impact of the aforementioned market difficulties, the Debtors took a number of proactive steps in 2008 to strengthen their competitive position and restore profitability. Beginning in early 2008, the Debtors conducted a thorough review of all their production facilities to ensure their operations were functioning as efficiently as possible and to identify opportunities for improvement. These proactive steps included: the sale of the Debtors' turkey business; the closure of three processing facilities and seven distribution centers; the shortening of annual fixed-price sales contracts to generally 90-day periods; production cutbacks; reduced capital spending; increased focus on exports; and increases focus on production of prepared food products with greater profit margin. In mid-July 2008 the Debtors announced their intent to transfer their tray-packing operations in El Dorado, Arkansas, to six other sites. In the aggregate, the Debtors' restructuring efforts during the preceding 12 months leading up to the Chapter 11 filing resulted in the elimination of more than 4,500 positions and the closure of three plant facilities and seven distribution centers.

In addition, on May 16, 2008, PPC announced that it had completed a public offering of 7.5 million shares of its common stock for net aggregate consideration to the Debtors of approximately \$177 million. PPC used these funds to reduce burdensome indebtedness under two of its revolving credit facilities and to fund general corporate purposes.

In the months prior to the bankruptcy filing, the Debtors considered various out-of-court restructuring alternatives. They retained Bain Corporate Renewal Group, LLC and Lazard Freres & Co. LLC ("Lazard") to work as their advisors in connection with operational and balance sheet restructuring alternatives. In November 2008, PPC also appointed William K. Snyder, managing partner of CRG Partners Group, LLC ("CRG Partners"), as chief restructuring officer ("CRO") to assist PPC in capitalizing on cost reduction initiatives, developing restructuring plans, and exploring opportunities to improve PPC's long-term liquidity. However, due to the worldwide credit crisis, no viable out-of-court balance sheet restructuring alternative materialized. As the Debtors' liquidity position continued to worsen, and the end of the applicable waiver periods approached, the Debtors determined that the only method to protect the interests of all stakeholders was to seek protection under the Bankruptcy Code.

B. Commencement of Chapter 11 Cases and First Day Orders

On December 1, 2008 (the “Commencement Date”), PPC and six (6) of its subsidiaries; Pilgrim’s Pride Corporation of West Virginia, Inc., PPC Marketing, Ltd., PPC Transportation Company, To-Ricos Distribution, Ltd., To-Ricos, Ltd. and PFS Distribution Company (collectively, the “Debtors”) filed voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the Bankruptcy Court. The Chapter 11 Cases have been jointly administered under a single case heading and number, *In re: Pilgrim’s Pride Corporation, et al.*, Case No. 08-45664 (DML), before the Honorable D. Michael Lynn. Since the Commencement Date, the Debtors have continued to operate their businesses and manage their property as debtors-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.

As part of the filing of the Chapter 11 Cases, the Debtors filed typical “first day” motions seeking relief designed to minimize disruption to the Debtors’ businesses and to facilitate reorganization. Those first day motions and the orders entered by the Bankruptcy Court are discussed generally below. Although the motions and orders are described as “first day,” not all of the relief was actually granted on the first day of the Chapter 11 Cases.

1. Case Administration

The Bankruptcy Court entered a number of procedural orders to streamline and simplify the administration of the Chapter 11 Cases. These orders: (a) authorized the joint administration of the Chapter 11 Cases, allowing most documents to be filed in the lead case; (b) granted an extension of time to file the Debtors’ schedules of assets and liabilities and statements of financial affairs; (c) established notice procedures for sending notices to parties-in-interest; (d) authorized the Debtors’ to employ Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP as general counsel, Lazard as investment banker, Baker & McKenzie LLP as special counsel, Kurtzman Carson Consultants as claims agent, Gardere Wynne Sewell LLP as special counsel, CRG Partners as financial advisor, and William Snyder as CRO; and (e) authorized the Debtors to continue using other professionals in the ordinary course of their businesses under defined circumstances.

2. Critical Obligations

To allow the Debtors to maintain there operations during the Chapter 11 Cases, the Bankruptcy Court authorized certain payments on pre-petition obligations. The Bankruptcy Court allowed the Debtors to satisfy certain outstanding pre-petition obligations including those related to: (a) wages, compensation, and employee benefits; (b) sales, use, property and other types of taxes; (c) growers, haulers, catchers, feed ingredient suppliers, and sales brokers; (d) goods and services ordered pre-petition but delivered post-petition; (e) critical trade vendors; (f) customers and customer programs; and (g) common carrier fees, logistics coordinator fees, warehouse fees, freight forwarding fees and repairmen fees.

3. Business Operations

The Bankruptcy Court granted the Debtors the authority to continue certain business operations. Among other things, the Bankruptcy Court (a) authorized the Debtors’ to continue certain workers’ compensation and other insurance policies and (b) prohibited the Debtors’ utilities service providers from altering, refusing or discontinuing service upon the establishment of certain procedures for determining adequate assurance of payment.

4. Financial Operations

The Bankruptcy Court authorized the Debtors to maintain their existing bank accounts and forms and to continue their centralized cash management system.

C. **Debtor-in-Possession Financing**

On December 31, 2008, the Bankruptcy Court granted final approval authorizing the debtors to enter into the DIP Credit Agreement. The DIP Credit Agreement provided aggregate funding of up to \$450 million on a revolving basis, which was subsequently reduced to \$350 million in connection with the third amendment thereto. The obligations under the DIP Credit Agreement bore interest at 8% plus the greater of prime rate, average federal funds rate plus 0.5%, or the London Interbank Offered Rate plus 1%. The borrowings under the DIP Credit Agreement have been repaid in full during the course of the Chapter 11 Cases, but the Borrowers may still draw upon the commitments under DIP Credit Agreement until the termination of the DIP credit facility.

Throughout the Chapter 11 Cases, the Bankruptcy Court has entered certain orders approving amendments to the DIP Credit Agreement. On April 14, 2009, the Bankruptcy Court approved the first amendment to the DIP Credit Agreement, in connection with the idling of certain of the Debtors' facilities. On June 15, 2009, the Bankruptcy Court approved a second amendment to the DIP Credit Agreement, in connection with certain technical amendments to the DIP Credit Agreement and to enable the Debtors to enter into a postpetition surety facility, including posting of additional collateral. On August 11, 2009, the Bankruptcy Court approved a third amendment to the DIP Credit Agreement to permit the Debtors to enter into certain hedging transactions and to invest in certain interest bearing accounts and government securities. The term of the DIP Credit Agreement currently extends through December 1, 2009.

D. **Appointment of Statutory Committees and Fee Review Committee**

1. Creditors' Committee

On December 7, 2008, the United States Trustee appointed the Creditors' Committee, which retained the law firm of Andrews Kurth, LLP as its counsel and Moelis & Company LLC ("Moelis") as its financial advisor. The current members of the Creditors' Committee are: AlaTrade Foods, LLC, The Bank of New York Mellon Trust, Calamos Advisors LLC, HSBC Bank USA, National Association, International Paper Company, ~~Kornitzer Capital Management/Great Plains Trust Company Buffalo Funds,~~ Newly Weds Foods, Inc., Oaktree Capital Management, L.P., ~~and,~~ Pension Benefit Guaranty Corp., and the United Food & Commercial Workers International Union and its various local affiliates, including the Retail, Wholesale, and Department Store Union (collectively, the "UFCW").

2. Equity Committee

In February 2009, an *ad hoc* shareholders group (which included the members of the Equity Committee) requested that the United States Trustee appoint an official committee of equity security holders in the Debtors' cases. This request was declined, and the *ad hoc* shareholders group filed a motion with the Bankruptcy Court under section 1102 of the Bankruptcy Code seeking an order compelling the United States Trustee to appoint an official committee of equity security holders. Despite opposition by the Creditors' Committee and the United States Trustee, and after a full day evidentiary hearing, the motion to compel appointment of an official equity committee was granted by order of the Bankruptcy Court. The Creditors' Committee initially appealed the Bankruptcy Court's order, but subsequently dismissed the appeal upon the United States Trustee's appointment of the Equity Committee

(described below). The professionals for the *ad hoc* shareholders group (including current legal counsel for the Equity Committee) have advised the Debtors that they intend to seek payment for their services as a “substantial contribution” under section 503(b)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code, which allows for compensation to be paid for professional services in certain circumstances. The Debtors have been advised that the total compensation that will be sought by the professionals for the *ad hoc* shareholders group may exceed \$700,000. The Debtors have not yet evaluated the merits of the request and reserve the right to challenge any such request.

On June 18, 2009, the United States Trustee appointed the Equity Committee, which retained the law firm of Brown Rudnick LLP as co-counsel, Kelly Hart & Hallman, LLP as co-counsel and Houlihan Lokey Howard & Zukin Capital, Inc. as its financial advisor. The current members of the Equity Committee are M & G Investment Management Ltd. and Michael Cooper.

3. Fee Review Committee

On April 28, 2009, the Bankruptcy Court issued an order appointing Dean Nancy B. Rapoport (“Dean Rapoport”) of the University of Nevada-Las Vegas School Law as the Bankruptcy Court’s expert with respect to professional fees and expenses in the Chapter 11 Cases. Dean Rapoport was empowered to serve as chairperson of a fee review committee which is also composed of representatives of the Debtors, the BMO Lending Group, the CoBank Lending Group, the Committees, and the United States Trustee.

E. Restructuring Efforts During Bankruptcy

Since filing for relief under the Bankruptcy Code on December 1, 2008, PPC has made a series of significant operational changes to reduce costs and operate more efficiently. The operational changes have been directed in two phases. Phase I focused on preserving cash and mitigating losses through tactical moves. The main actions in Phase I involved shift reductions and associated headcount reductions along with other lean manufacturing initiatives. Phase II reduced PPC’s production footprint and served to mitigate capacity utilization and efficiency issues created by previously enacted across-the-board production cuts.

Phase I changes included:

- Consolidating or eliminating second shifts at Live Oak, Florida; Athens, Georgia; and Nacogdoches and Waco, Texas.
- Realigning operations into four geographic regions to flatten the organization, speed decision-making and reduce costs.
- Expanding focus on lean manufacturing to reduce waste and gain additional value from existing processes.
- Strengthening the management team by hiring senior-level industry veterans to oversee sales, marketing and business development. Jerry Wilson joined the Company in early March 2009 as executive vice president of sales and marketing. He was previously vice president of sales and marketing for Keystone Foods. Greg Tatum joined the Company in February 2009 as senior vice president of business development. He previously served as chief financial officer of Claxton Poultry and served in a business development role previously at Seaboard Corporation.

- Total estimated savings from Phase I are projected to be approximately \$80 million per year.

Phase II changes included:

- Closing/idling processing facilities in El Dorado, Arkansas; Douglas, Georgia; and Farmerville, Louisiana, which produced mostly low-value commodity chicken. The Farmerville facility was subsequently sold to Foster Poultry Farms for approximately \$72.3 million in May 2009. These three plants employed a total of approximately 3,000 people – or approximately 7 percent of the Company's total U.S. workforce. Approximately 500 independent contract growers who supply birds to these three plants also were affected.
- Closing its protein salad operation in Franconia, Pennsylvania, and shifting production to its further-processing facility in Moorefield, West Virginia.
- Closing its chicken processing plant in Dalton, Georgia, and consolidating production at the Company's processing facility in Chattanooga, Tennessee.
- Total estimated savings from Phase II are projected to be approximately \$110 million per year.

In addition, PPC is realizing other business improvements and efficiency gains from ongoing actions and more favorable product mix. These ongoing improvements include reductions in Selling, General and Administrative (“SG&A”) expenses through administrative headcount reductions; supply chain and margin improvements; savings from contract rejections; and additional improvements.

The majority of PPC's customers and suppliers have continued to do business with PPC through its reorganization. In addition, PPC has gained new business from a number of customers. This is a direct result of the strong relationships the Company has with so many of its business partners.

On July 24, 2009, the Debtors announced plans to idle two additional facilities located in Athens, Georgia and Athens, Alabama in order to obtain additional savings. The two plants ~~are scheduled to cease~~ceased production in early October. Production from the Athens, Alabama plant will be consolidated into two other PPC's complexes, bringing those facilities to full capacity. Production from the Athens, Georgia, plant will be consolidated with several PPC complexes in north Georgia, bringing those facilities to full capacity. The Debtors do not expect that the collective closures will impair PPC's ability to service any customers.

F. Material Asset Sales

Section 363 of the Bankruptcy Code grants the Debtors the power, subject to approval of the Bankruptcy Court, to use, sell or lease property of the Debtors' estates outside of the ordinary course of their business. During the Chapter 11 Cases, the Debtors received approval from the Bankruptcy Court to sell the following assets:

1. ADM Joint Venture

On February 23, 2009, with Bankruptcy Court approval, PPC sold a 50% interest in ADM/Gold Kist LLC, an entity which holds four grain elevators in the Midwest, to Archer-Daniels-Midland Company (which had owned the other 50%). The sale price was approximately \$5 million.