```
1
1
     UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2
     SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3
4
                   In the Matter
5
6
                        οf
                                   Case No.
                                    04-13637
7
            HOT SPOTS PRODUCTIONS, INC.
                                 Debtor.
8
9
                     June 2, 2004
10
                     United States Custom House
                     One Bowling Green
11
                     New York, New York 10004
12
     Motion for Joint Administration, Motion to
     approve motion for order (I) authorizing the
13
     Debtors' continued use of existing bank account
     and cash management system and (II) authorizing
14
     intercompany transactions; Motion to authorize
     motion for interim order (I) authorizing the
15
     use of lenders' cash collateral, (II) granting
     adequate protection and (III) scheduling a
16
     final hearing; Debtors' application for order
     authorizing retention of Bankruptcy Services
17
     LLC as claims and noticing for the Debtors;
     Debtors' application for order authorizing
18
     retention of Innisfree M&A Incorporated as
     noticing, voting, and information agent for the
19
     Debtors; Debtors' application for order
     authorizing retention of Skadden, Arps, Slate,
20
     Meagher & Flom LLP as attorneys for the Debtors
     and (B) scheduling final hearing; Debtors'
21
     application for order (A) authorizing retention
     of Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP as
22
     special regulatory counsel to the Debtors and
     (B) scheduling final hearing; Debtors'
23
     application for order (A) authorizing retention
     of Price Waterhouse Coopers LLP as auditors for
24
     the Debtors and (B) scheduling final hearing;
     Debtors' application for order (A) authorizing
25
```

```
2
1
     financial advisors for the Debtors and (B)
2
     scheduling final hearing; Debtors' application
     for order (A) authorizing retention of AP
3
     Services, LLC as crisis managers for the
     Debtors and (B) scheduling final hearing;
     Motion for interim and final orders (A)
     establishing notification and hearing
5
     procedures for trading in equity interests and
     (B) Re: Doc #17; notice of hearing.
6
7
     BEFORE:
                 HON. ROBERT D. DRAIN,
8
                            Bankruptcy Judge.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		3
1	HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.	
2	APPEARANCES:	
3		
4	SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &	
5	FLOM, LLP	
6	Attorneys for Debtors	
7	Four Times Square	
8	New York, New York 10036	
9		
10	BY: JAY GOFFMAN, ESQ.	
11	-and-	
12	FREDERICK D. MORRIS, ESQ.	
13	-and-	
14	MAXWELL M. MILLER, ESQ.	
15		
16		
17	MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & McCLOY,	
18	LLP	
19	Attorneys for Ad Hoc Committee	
20	One Chase Manhattan Plaza	
21	New York, New York 10005	
22		
23	BY: DENNIS F. DUNNE, ESQ.	
24		
25		

	and the second s	_
	4	
1	HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.	
2	APPEARANCES: (Cont'd)	
3		
4	ANDREWS KURTH, LLP	
5	Attorneys for Wells Fargo	
6	450 Lexington Avenue	
7	New York, New York 10017	
8		
9	BY: PETER S. GOODMAN, ESQ.	
10	-and-	
11	DEIRDRE ANN SULLIVAN, ESQ.	
12		
13		
14	SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT, LLP	
15	Attorneys for JP Morgan and	
16	its agents	
17	425 Lexington Avenue	
18	New York, New York 10017	
19		
20	BY: PETER V. PANTALEO, ESQ.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	A STATE OF THE STA	_

		-
		5
1	HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.	
2	APPEARANCES: (Cont'd)	
3		
4	RCN CORPORATION	
5	105 Carnegie Center	
6	Princeton, New Jersey 08540	
7		
8	BY: DEBORAH M. ROYSTER, ESQ. U.S.	
9		
10		
11	DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	
12	OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES	
13	TRUSTEE	
14	33 Whitehall Street	
15	New York, New York 10004	
16		
17	BY: PAUL SCHWARTZBERG, ESQ.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

6 HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC. 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 3 THE COURT: Mr. Goffman. 4 MR. GOFFMAN: Thank you, your 5 Jay Goffman of Skadden Arps on behalf 6 of the debtors. 7 First of all, your Honor, thank you 8 for taking the time this afternoon to hear us 9 on this first day application and motions. 10 As your Honor is aware, there are 11 five debtors in these cases. The first is Hot 12 Spots, Hot Spots Production, Inc. That was the 13 first case that was filed and that was the 14 first name on the caption. 15 RCN Incorporation was then filed, 16 followed by three other RCN subsidiaries: RCN 17 Finance, LLC; RLH Property Incorporation and 18 TEC Air. Each of the Chapter 11 petitions were 19 filed on May 27, 2004. This is a central 20 prearranged Chapter 11 case. 21 Again, we appreciate your Honor 22 making the time this afternoon to hear us for 23 these first day motions and applications. 24 I'm very happy to report that after 25

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

months and months of difficult negotiations, the debtors, the secured lender represented by able counsel to my right, and an ad hoc committee senior noteholders also represented by able counsel to my far right, have received an agreement upon a consensual restructuring of all of the RCN debt and equity in this case.

As we will discuss in greater detail, the key elements for this restructuring are basically repayment in full of the senior secured credit facility to be provided by Deutsche Bank, approximately \$460 million, that will be used to pay off the existing senior secured credit facility, and the conversion of all of our outstanding senior notes of the parent holding company level of approximate \$1.1 billion into the equity of the reorganized company.

By reaching this agreement, we have been able to avoid at this time the filing of any of the major operating companies and the attendant impact, negative impact that it could have on the employees and businesses.

These are the keys to the consensual

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8

1	HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.	
	restructuring we brought to the court and we	
	believe it will allow this company to emerge	
4	fairly quickly from Chapter 11 with a very	

healthy balance sheet poised for a successful future.

The motions and applications that we ask the court to consider today are designed to simply facilitate the process.

Before we talk about today's first day motion, I would first to like make some introductions.

Since today, the first day of this hearing, I think there are certain people that are extremely important to these case that the Court will see time and time again that your Honor needs to know of.

The first would be Mr. John Dubel.

Mr. Dubel is the current president and chief

operating officer of RCN corporation.

He's a principal at Alix Partners,
which is firm that provides turnaround in
crisis management services, financial advisory
services, management consulting services,
information system services and claims

1 HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.
2 management services.

Your Honor, I can't say enough about the job that Mr. Dubel and his team have done here.

When Mr. Dubel and the Alix partners team were brought in in February, this restructuring process was at a crossroads.

Negotiations were very difficult. Our relationships with our lenders and bond holders were very tenuous, and I can honestly say that the leadership and the efforts that Mr. Dubel brought to this restructuring I believe are the primary reason that we are here today with a consensual restructuring.

Mr. Dubel has over 20 years of experience providing turnaround crisis management and restructuring services and significant restructuring experience in the large and mid size corporations.

His background includes operational reorganizations and cost reductions, financial department restructurings, strategic repositioning and divestitures. His industry experience includes telecom and high tech,

21

22

23

24

25

10 HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC. 1 2 travel, retail and apparel, manufacture, publishing, financial services and oil and gas. 3 Immediately prior to this assignment, Mr. Dubel was the chief executive 5 officer of Cable and Wireless of America, and 6 formally he served as the chief restructuring 7 officer of Turner Corporation and the chief Я financial officer of WorldCom, Inc., in their 9 Chapter 11 case. 10 Prior to joining Jay Alix and 11 Associates, he ran his own turnaround firm 12 where his roles included chief restructuring 13 officer and chief operating officer at CellNet 14 Data systems, Inc., chief financial officer and 15 executive committee member of Barney's New York 16 and chief financial officer of the Leslie Fay 17 18 Company. 19

Prior to forming his own company, Mr. Dubel was a partner at a big five accounting firm where he was a founding member of their corporate recovery services practice.

Next I would like to introduce Mr. Anthony Horvat. Mr. Horvat has been Mr. Dubel's right-hand man since Mr. Dubel joined

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC. 1 2 the company. Mr. Horvat's title is chief 3 restructuring officer of RCN Corporation and 4 he's also an employee of AP Services, an 5 affiliate of Alix Partners. 6 Mr. Horvat has been employed by RCN 7 since February of 2004 and before joining Alix 8 Partners, Mr. Horvat was employed by U.S. 9 Filter Corporation, which he helped grow from a 10 40 million to a five billion dollar Fortune 500 11 12 company. Mr. Horvat is a certified insolvency 13 and restructuring advisor, a member of the 14 American Bankruptcy Institute and a member of 15 the Association of Insolvency and Restructuring 16 Advisors. He has an MBA from the Wharton 17 School at the University of Pennsylvania. 18 Next I would like to introduce Miss 19 Deborah Royster. Miss Royster is general 20 counsel of RCN Corporation. 21 Since joining RCN in 1999, Miss 22 Royster has also served as general counsel of 23 Starpower Communication, which is a joint 24 venture in which RCN is a 50 percent joint 25

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	venture member. Miss Royster has also served
	as the senior vice president of regulatory and
	external affairs for RCN Corporation; and
5	before joining RCN, Miss Royster served as

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

executive director of the office of Cable 6 Television and Telecommunication in the

District of Columbia from 1998 to 1999. 8

She's a member of the District of Columbia Bar and a graduate of the University of Virginia law school.

I'm not sure if Mr. Coleman is with us, but I would like to take a minute just to mention that we have retained Blackstone Corporation also as our financial advisors. Mr. Coleman is our lead person there, and we will be talking about them in greater detail when we get to the their application, and I think I will leave that discussion until we get there.

You know Mr. Peter Pantaleo as counsel to the banks. I would like to express my appreciation for all his professionalism in helping us get to where we are today, and I believe you know Mr. Dunne of Milbank Tweed,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC. counsel to the ad hoc committee noteholders, who I would also like to express my appreciation for working with us to get the consensual restructuring.

In both cases, we have had many disagreements throughout this case and it is very difficult times but we are pleased to have gotten where we are today.

Your Honor, leading up to today's hearings, it's been a little different because when we filed these cases, we weren't filing the operation companies. We filed them in anticipation of these prearranged filings.

On May 26th, we served drafts, the original motions, and applications on the U.S. Trustee, on counsel to the banks and counsel to the noteholders. We received certain comments from each of the parties and on that basis made certain changes.

On May 27th, when we filed the actual petitions, we then served the revised applications and motions on counsel to the banks, counsel to the noteholders, U.S.

Trustee, the FCC, the SEC, the IRS, the United 25

14

	14
HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.	
States Attorney, and the 17 general unsecured	
creditors listed in the petition.	
An affidavit of service to that	
effect has been filed with the court.	
As of two hours ago, we received one	
objection from Wells Fargo to three of the	
applications, and I'll address that at the	
appropriate time. That's the only objection	
that I'm aware of.	
THE COURT: I'm sorry. Did you	
serve the other preferred shareholders?	
MR. GOFFMAN: We didn't serve the	
other preferred shareholders, although I will	
tell you that there are two preferred	
shareholders, one of whom sits on the company's	
board and, so, that voted in favor of the	
filings. The other one of which had actually	
fairly recently stepped off the board. So they	
did not get the papers.	
The second one did not get the	
papers but I do not believe we had served the	
other one.	
MR. GOODMAN: Peter Goodman on	
	States Attorney, and the 17 general unsecured creditors listed in the petition. An affidavit of service to that effect has been filed with the court. As of two hours ago, we received one objection from Wells Fargo to three of the applications, and I'll address that at the appropriate time. That's the only objection that I'm aware of. THE COURT: I'm sorry. Did you serve the other preferred shareholders? MR. GOFFMAN: We didn't serve the other preferred shareholders, although I will tell you that there are two preferred shareholders, one of whom sits on the company's board and, so, that voted in favor of the filings. The other one of which had actually fairly recently stepped off the board. So they did not get the papers but I do not believe we had served the other one.

behalf of the preferred shareholders. We were

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC. 1 2 not served with any of the pleadings. THE COURT: I think Mr. Goffman 3 acknowledged that. 4 MR. GOFFMAN: If I could, I will 5 6 take a brief moment to give you an overview of the company and the cases and then we will get 7 right to the motion. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 MR. GOFFMAN: RCN Corporation, 10 again, is primarily a holding corporation for 11 12 certain direct and indirect subsidiaries whose primary business is delivering bundle 13 14 communication services, including long distance and local telephone, video programming and data 15 16 services primarily for residential customers over a broadband network. 17 We have 19 nondebtor affiliates and 18 we provide services in the Boston area and 18 19 20 surrounding communities around Boston, in the New York City area, in the suburbs of 21 22 Philadelphia, the Lehigh Valley Area, in 23 Pennsylvania, Chicago, San Francisco and two 24 communities in Los Angeles. We have approximately 2600 employees all of who are in 25

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC. the subsidiaries that have not filed.

We compete for the most part in incumbent service providers, and since our inception in September of 1997, RCN relied extensively on access in the capital markets to enhance development of a high speed fiber optic broadband network.

In short, RCN financed a significant portion of its growth, including corporation acquisition, and purchased certain assets through secured credit facilities from the banks and through the issuance of debt securities and preferred and common stock.

Through a number of events that this court is more than familiar with over the last few years, the access to the capital markets has disappeared for these type of companies.

As a result of that, RCN curtailed its business expansion plan beginning by 2002.

RCN changed its capital acquisition plan in an attempt to live within the capital constraints that it had. Unfortunately, by the fall of 2003, it had become clear to RCN and many of its stakeholders that notwithstanding

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

its efforts to live within the capital letters it had, that the only way to maximize for the company to survive over the long term was to restructure its balance; therefore, beginning in the fall of 2003, the company with its financial advisors and legal advisors began pursuing a restructuring plan for the banks and the noteholders.

The banks were advised by both counsel and financial advisors and an Ad Hoc Committee of senior noteholders was formed and they were represented by both counsel and financial advisors. The company, as is normally in this situation, paid all the relevant fees in those circumstances.

In the course of these discussions, we, because at different times did have the two largest members of equity on the board or with strong relationships with the company, did have continuing conversations with those equity members, also. Unfortunately, the values of the company are what they are and that's what brought us here today.

THE COURT: Does the Ad Hoc

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.			
Committee consist of majority of the bond debt			
and majority of the bondholders or is it a			
smaller sub?			
MR. GOFFMAN: It has been			

represented to us that the Ad Hoc Committee represents approximately 50 -- can I say the number? -- 57 percent of the outstanding bonds. 57 of the 1.1 billion.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GOFFMAN: We believe that in the course -- what became apparent over the course of the negotiations of the past several months was that in order to come up with a restructure that would truly place the company in a position so that it would be able to prosper once the restructuring was completed we needed to come up with an amortization schedule for our existing secured debt that the company could clearly and easily satisfy going forward.

That left us with a couple of choices, to either try to negotiate that with our existing lenders, which we were doing, or see if we could replace that from outside sources.

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

Fortunately, through the efforts of Mr. Dubel and his team and through the efforts of Blackstone Group, we were able to take advantage of the financing markets and we talked to numerous potential lenders.

And after a couple of months of negotiations with originally seven, narrowing it down to four lenders, and then finally down to the winning bidder here, which is Deutsche Bank, we have come up with a proposed new senior lender that will provide the company with a capital structure that when we emerge will position this company to not only survive but to prosper on a going forward basis, and, therefore, will allow the equity that's going to be created by converting over 1.1 billion of bond equity to become valuable.

Today, your Honor, what we are presenting are simply a handful of first day motions and applications, which, for the most part, I think will be nonconfrontational. I will try to start with the easiest one first.

The first one is just a motion for an order, and before I start that, let me first

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

mention that we have filed the affidavit of Mr. Horvat. Again, he's the chief restructuring officer.

It provides the factual and evidentiary basis for all of the motions and applications that we file, and we would submit that it provides the evidentiary basis for the request we are seeking.

Mr. Horvat is in court today and will be available for crossing, if that were necessary, and on that basis I will proceed.

First we have a motion for an order directing joint administration. Again, a very simple normal order. It simply doesn't change any substantive rule. It simply says that the five debtors will be a joint administration type process.

THE COURT: Is there a reason to have the caption be Hot Spots instead of RCN?

MR. GOFFMAN: The only reason was that it was the first company filed and it has always been my practice whoever is the first one would be the one. If your Honor would prefer it be RCN.

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

THE COURT: I think given that there doesn't seem to be any party interest in Hot Spots, it would be better to have it RCN.

MR. GOFFMAN: Certainly. We have to change it, if it is okay.

THE COURT: Other than that, I reviewed the motion and I will approve it.

MR. GOFFMAN: If your Honor would like, we can handwrite in the change and submit the order now.

THE COURT: You can submit the orders at the end of the hearing.

MR. GOFFMAN: Very good, your

Honor. The second one is simply a motion for
an order establishing certain notice, case
management and administrative procedures.

Again, just an administrative order seeking to
establish the case management procedure here.

It is going to limit certain notice procedures in these Chapter 11 cases. It is going to designate the parties upon whom notice should be served. It is going to direct that all matters should be heard at certain prescheduled omnibus hearings which we are

22

1	HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.
2	going to ask your Honor for report dates.
3	There is in our experience routine
4	in large cases and allows for an orderly
5	administration of the case. We have seen the
6	same type of orders in, certainly WorldCom,
7	Adelphia, Enron and other such cases.
8	THE COURT: I've reviewed this also
9	and I'll grant it.
10	As far as the omnibus hearing dates,
11	I do have some dates, dates for that, too.
12	MR. GOFFMAN: The most important one
13	do you want to take the dates now?
14	THE COURT: Well, I think we mind as
15	well at this point.
16	I think with respect to a fair
17	amount of the relief that is sought today, you
18	are seeking it on an interim basis and I have
19	time either on Wednesday, June 16th, or
20	Tuesday, June 22nd for the final hearing and
21	then as far as omnibus dates, I have time on
22	either the 29th or the 30th of July. The 30th
23	is probably better, and that would probably be
24	the date for the Blackstone hearing, too.

There being more news for that.

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

And then August, any time between the 23rd and the 27th, so you can just pick a date then. September 22nd to October 1st you can pick one of those dates. October 25th through the 29th. You can pick one of those dates at 10, for each of the dates it would be at 10 in the morning.

MR. GOFFMAN: So I have the 22nd of June. I have the 30th.

THE COURT: Of July. In August it could be any time between the 23rd or the 27th, and September from any time between the 22nd and October 1st and then for October any time between the 25th to the 29th. So you can just pick a date for each of those last three months.

MR. GOFFMAN: Can I ask that at the end of this hearing we go through our calenders.

THE COURT: That's fine and then you can just hand it up later.

I take it that literally, since this is a holding company bankruptcy, you really only have 17 unsecured nonbondholder creditors;

24 HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC. 1 2 is that right? 3 MR. GOFFMAN: I think that's right, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Normally in these I have 5 the notice until the committee is formed doing б the 20 largest, but since it seems they are 7 only owed relatively small amounts, I think it 8 is fine as is. 9 MR. GOFFMAN: The only issue that 10 I'm aware of has to do with, obviously, I think 11 the 22nd will work certainly for the final 12 hearing for the banks cash collateral. We will 13 need to have the Deutsche Bank financing 14 approved within the first 30 days. I expect to 15 be able to file that motion by the end of the 16 week. So if the hearing is going to be the 17 22nd, I may need to shorten notice by a couple 18 of days. 19 THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. 20 Just for your planning, I'm going to be out for 21 basically the first three weeks of July so 22 that's -- you can get another judge if you have 23

an emergency then.

24

25

MR. GOFFMAN: I will try not to do

11 O M	00000	BBABHABTAH	T 37 C
HOT	SPOTS	PRODUCTION.	INC.

anything then. By the way, as long as I mentioned the Deutsche Bank motion, when we file the Deutsche Bank motion, there are going to be certain items in there with respect to fee letter and other things that we are going to need to file in seal, and we will serve that certainly upon the U.S. Trustee, counsel for the committee, counsel for the banks and the other parties, but I think --

THE COURT: If you have any, feel free to call my chambers on the procedure for doing that.

MR. GOFFMAN: Next we have a motion for an order authorizing the continued use of the existing bank account and cash management system and authorizing intercompany transaction.

Again, I think a fairly standard order here, really just keeps the existing cash management system in place. It is a benefit to the debtors.

We have a cash management system that optimizes all the uses of cash for all the debtors and nondebtors. Since the lenders lend

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

to both debtors and nondebtors, we need to make sure that the intercompany system works. Our debtors are not note lenders.

To the extent that there are any intercompany transfers, they are, in fact, borrowers or takers in some fashion from the other company so there is no negative impact, if there were any impact, upon the debtors.

We would ask as part of this order the normal things, such as, well, the office of the U.S. Trustee has obviously established certain guidelines for debtor in possession administration, closing all existing bank accounts and opening new ones and establishing certain debtors in possession accounts and separate debtor in possession accounts.

Under cash collateral under the existing circumstances, we established an account with PNC Bank. PNC is a fully recognized bank. The only money that is going to be in this account is approximately \$5 million that will be funded from nondebtors upon approval of the cash collateral order and upon approval of this order. It will be there

1	OT	SPOTS	PROL	DUCTIO)N,	INC.	
essentially	to	cover	the	fees	and	expenses	of

3 this case.

We believe that PNC is a substantial financial institution, that the bank is FDI insured up to the applicable limit and we believe that the account is fully collateralized.

On that basis, we would seek a waiver of the U.S. Trustee account and the bank account be closed and a new one be open. We would have all new checks that were issued be labeled debtor in possession account so that it would be obvious that these are debtor in possession checks, but we would submit there is no good purpose served to close of account and just open up a new one.

Again, we think it makes sense to continue using the same centralized cash management. It is really the best way for us to continue to operate the company to make sure the debtors have access to the funds they need to pay the U.S. Trustee fees and pay the expenses of the estate.

THE COURT: Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

MR. DUNNE: Dennis Dunne, Milbank, Tweed, Hadley and McCloy on behalf of the Ad Hoc Committee of noteholders. We have no objection to the entry of the order with one reservation of rights.

I think Mr. Goffman accurately described how this mechanisms works. essence, the nondebtor operating entities are funding the holding company parent bankruptcy and we, as a result, they will have no administrative expense priority claims against these debtor estates for reimbursement of those borrowings, if you will.

We have no objection to accounting for those items as such with the reservation of rights as to whether they are truly ad min claims in the sense, if there was a worse case scenario here of melt down of these entities, there would be argument of something about consolidation of tracing the bond funds down to certain accounts at the operating levels and whether, in essence, it truly is a borrowing of somebody else's property as opposed to property that truly belonged to RCN Corporation.

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

With that reservation of rights, we have no objection.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GOFFMAN: I spoke to Mr. Dunne about this issue this morning as part of our desire to continue to maintain the peace. We said he can reserve his rights in all these issues in the unlikely event that it is done.

THE COURT: The second paragraph in the order says that the debtor is authorized to engage in intercompany transactions which is relative to the rule.

Do you mind me inserting in the debtor ordinary course of business, which is what I think was intended.

MR. GOFFMAN: Certainly.

THE COURT: And then there's the last, I'm sorry, the 7th paragraph of the order prohibits PNC from offsetting, freezing or otherwise impeding the use of funds, and then there is a proviso that it doesn't prejudice PNC's right to seek relief from the estate to accomplish any of the foregoing.

Do you mind if I add or, B,

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC. 1 authorize the debtors use of any cash 2 collateral of PNC such as permitted by code? 3 MR. GOFFMAN: I'm sorry. 4 THE COURT: Or authorize provided, 5 however, that nothing herein shall prejudice 6 PNC's ability to seek relief to accomplish any 7 of the foregoing or, B, authorize the debtors 8 use of any cash collateral of PNC except as 9 permitted by the Bankruptcy Code. 10 MR. GOFFMAN: I have no problem. 11 MR. GOODMAN: It is probably more 12 the bank's cash collateral than PNC. 13 THE COURT: This suggestion is to 14 apply to PNC. Okay. All right. With those 15 changes, I will grant it. 16 MR. GOFFMAN: I will next turn to 17 the motion for interim use of cash collateral. 18 As I said, we have reached an agreement with 19 RCN secured lenders on the use of consensual 20 use of cash collateral. 21 This includes an agreement by the 22 senior secured lenders not only to allow us to 23 use cash collateral for the debtors here, but 24 also to allow the continuing use of cash of the 25

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

nonaffiliate debtors and their agreement not to propose any assets there as a result of what would otherwise be across the fall horizon from the Chapter 11 filings.

The terms are set forth in great detail in the motion. I won't try to summarize them all since I won't try to get them wrong.

But the key terms that I think do need to go on the record are as follows: That the debtors should only use the cash collateral for the payment of the costs and expenses associated with these Chapter 11 cases as specified on a budget.

The senior secured lenders will receive replacement liens and all of the rights, title and interest of the debtors including after acquired property, which include all cash contained in any account of the debtors and the proceeds of all causes of action, including proceeds and cause of action arising in Section 544, 545, 547, 548 and 550 of the Bankruptcy Code, but all such liens are subject to a carve-out of payments, including fees of professionals in these cases in amounts

2

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

32

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC. agreed to in the order. The debtor shall pay the fee and 3 expense of the senior secured lenders including such lenders professionals. The debtor shall pay interest on any amounts outstanding under the facility of the nondefault rate, and the 7 debtor's right to use cash collateral shall terminate on the earliest to occur of 9 consummation of a plan agreement of reorganization in these Chapter 11 cases unless extended by the administrative agent 45 days after the petition date if a final cash collateral order has not been entered by the

With that, your Honor, we would ask that the Court approve all the order.

of any of the events described in the motion.

after the occurrence and during the continuance

Court, or upon written notice to the debtors

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DUNNE: Your Honor, I'm not going to burden the court with the issues that we have currently pending between the ad hoc committee and the banks. We hope to resolve a bunch of them for the final hearing, but for

33

1	HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.
2	the purposes of today we have no objection to
3	the entry of the order.
4	MR. GOODMAN: Your Honor, the bank
5	has no objection obviously. This is a
6	consensual order. I just want to clarify one
7	thing. Mr. Goffman made a reference to the
8	bank's agreement not to foreclose or take
9	action with respect to the nondebtors
10	subsidiary.
11	I think what he's agreeing to, this
12	is just for the record, i the credit agreement
13	and automatic acceleration on any one
14	bankruptcy filing. And so before the holding
15	company runs the bankruptcy, we need a waiver,
16	and the waiver basically said the automatic
17	feature of the default was waived and that it
18	wouldn't be any acceleration until or unless
19	there was a natural vote of the acquired
20	lenders.
21	Our expectation is that inasmuch as
22	we have a prearranged consensual deal here, it
23	won't be any action taken against the
24	nondebtors but there is no formal agreement in

any binding sense that we would ever exercise

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC. 1 2 the realm, your Honor. 3 MR. GOFFMAN: That's fine. THE COURT: Okay. U.S. Trustee. 4 5 MR. SCHWARTZBERG: My name is Paul Schwartzberg with the U.S. Trustee's office. 6 7 As I indicated to counsel prior to the hearing a few days ago, we do have a 8 concern regarding the lien on the Chapter 5 9 recoveries from the interim hearing until final 10 hearing. Although I know there is an ad hoc 11 committee, they don't represent all the 12 13 unsecured creditors. Although Milbank represents that committee, there is no 14 15 guarantee they are going to represent the official committee when it gets appointed, so 16 17 we feel it is appropriate for the U.S. Trustee to step up at this point and protect those 18 rights of the unsecured creditors may want to 19 20 put forth at the final hearing. 21 So, at this point, I think it is inappropriate and premature to allow the 22 23

secured creditors to have liens on the recovery actions.

24

25

THE COURT: Okay.

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

MR. SCHWARTZBERG: And, actually, your Honor, I would like an unusual and extraordinary circumstance it is not usually granted in the Southern District.

THE COURT: I had the same comment, particularly in the case where you are going to get paid in full. I think on an interim basis, you can seek it at the final hearing but I think it should be excluded for the interim.

MR. GOODMAN: That's fine. What we will do, we will make it clear that paragraph is subject to the entry of the final order and unless that happens, then we will have that.

THE COURT: And then I have a question, this is on Mr. Dunne's wish list, but one of the events of default on page 17, paragraph 7(C) is the failure of the debtors to comply with any covenant or agreement specified in the loan documents with some exceptions tied to the commencement of the Chapter 11 case.

I, obviously, haven't seen the loan documents, but particularly when I look at paragraph 8, which seems, although it is not clear to me, maybe you need to clarify this,

36

1.	HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.
2	if, in fact, there is a breach of the order,
3	the secured lenders have on five days notice
4	the right to foreclose on their collateral.
5	I'm nervous about putting it in the
6	event of default that I haven't seen.
7	Have Skadden and Milbank reviewed
8	the credit agreement to see that there is not a
9	risk of getting tripped up between now and the
10	final hearing on this?
11	MR. GOFFMAN: We have reviewed it
12	and we have spent a considerable amount of time
13	with it. It was a lot of give and take and
14	back and forth in the negotiations, and I
15	think
16	THE COURT: It is an easy shorting
17	of it. If there are things in there that you
18	would otherwise be putting in as a specific
19	event of default but sometimes credit
20	agreements get out of
21	MR. GOFFMAN: There were certain
22	items where we thought there have been
23	instances over the last few months where we
24	identified that we might trip up a financial

covenant. We have gotten waivers on those.

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

To the best of our knowledge, we have identified all of the potential covenant breaches that could arise over the next 20 days and have dealt with them.

MR. GOODMAN: My understanding, your Honor, is that this was meant to be a shorthand way of putting into an order other protections that we might have. We are going to go on a stand alone basis just to put in.

When we negotiated talk about some of the covenants, clearly financial covenants and did try to incorporate to the extent any updates need to occur, that updating in the way in which the order was granted so our expectation was that this was livable and fit within the parameters of what the budget and what business had anticipated and, you know, is not meant to create a surprise. Obviously, they are covenants.

MR. GOFFMAN: One of the reasons we do have a five-day period is if we all got surprised, if we missed something and it turned out there was a breach of a covenant and it appeared that we could work it out quickly

1	HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.
2	enough, it would give us sufficient time to be
3	back in court and ask for relief on the issue.
4	THE COURT: Maybe I'm reading
5	paragraph 8 wrong, is the five day period
6	solely, I mean, the right that the lenders have
7	after the expiration of the five day period, is
8	it solely as stated in the fifth line above
9	that page 19 in order to protect the collection
10	obligations, or do the banks have the right to
11	go beyond that and basically collect on
12	everything? If it is just the added protection
13	obligation, this is not a big point. It is not
14	that much concern.
15	MR. GOFFMAN: I don't think it could
16	apply.
17	THE COURT: Could it apply to all
18	435 million?
19	MR. GOFFMAN: I don't think it was
20	intended to apply beyond adequate protection
21	obligations.
22	MR. GOODMAN: I think it applies to
23	everything, your Honor, including as against
24	the nondebtors. Again, there is no stay or
25	injunction with respect

```
HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.
1
                THE COURT: As against the
2
     nondebtors, that's not really my focus.
3
                MR. GOODMAN: And so as a debtor as,
4
     as practical matter, it is just the added
5
     protection obligations because I'm not quite
6
     sure of this.
7
                THE COURT: I quess you don't have a
8
     lien on the stock?
9
                MR. GOODMAN: We do have a lien on
10
     some stock, yes.
11
                THE COURT: But not the parent
12
13
     company stock?
                MR. GOODMAN: Not stock that is
14
     ultimately held a by third-party in effect. We
15
     do have lower tier.
16
                THE COURT: That's what I meant.
17
                MR. GOFFMAN: The parent owns other
18
     subsidiaries so you would force us to get
19
     báck.
20
                THE COURT: If you trip over a
21
     covenant, maybe I be have more receptive for a
22
     motion for an injunctive than I might normally
23
24
     be.
                MR. GOFFMAN: And that was always
25
```

TD:Skadden Ares

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC. 1 2 our view; that we thought we had covered all the covenants. In the unlikely event something 3 came up based upon our experience so far, we thought we would work it out; and if we didn't 5 work it out, we thought it gave sufficient time 6 to come and seek an injunction. 7 THE COURT: That's fine. 8 MR. GOODMAN: The way we structured 9 this, your Honor, is similar to a dip where you 10 11 have your covenants and you have your remedies. You have your default and your 12 13 notice period, even though it is not new money, it is money. So it is intended to work the 14 15 same way. Next I have a motion for an interim 16 17 filing order establishing notification procedures or trading and equity interest, and 18 before I walk through it, I would like to 19 introduce my partner, Max Miller. 20 Mr. Miller is a partner in our tax 21 group. He has been working ostensibly on the 22 RCN case, is fully versed on the tax and LCN 23 issues here and I will try to present this, but 24 to the extent there are more technical 25

		4.
	HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.	
	questions you Honor might have, Mr. Miller is	
	here to answer them.	
	The purpose of this motion is to set	
	up a procedure that will help preserve very	
	valuable assets of the debtors estates, the net	
	operating losses.	
	The restrictions on trading and the	
	procedural mechanisms we are seeking here are	
	necessary to prevent the debtors from	
	potentially undergoing an ownership change for	
	U.S. federal income tax purposes outside of a	
	confirmed Chapter 11 plan.	
ı	The debtors approach is that if we	
	undergo an ownership change pursuant to a	
	Chapter 11 plan, we will be able to preserve	

the vast amount of our net operating loss carried forward and our other tax benefits.

Currently, we estimate that we have over \$2.4 billion of net operating losses and a substantial amount of losses with respect to current assets.

We recognize that under any plan, a substantial amount of those net operating losses will be lost as a result of cancellation

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

of indebtedness. Nevertheless, it is our
expectation that at the, during all the
calculations, conclusion of these cases, it is
still going to be over a billion net operating
losses.

Those net operating losses, if properly preserved, even at a 35 percent corporate tax rate, represents \$350 million in value that will be available to the reorganized entity. That's an important asset that we need to try to preserve.

THE COURT: Or potential value.

MR. GOFFMAN: Potential value.

what we are trying to do is set up a mechanism that's as least restrictive as possible and really what we are asking for is a notice procedure. We are not asking for an any restrictions on debt trading. We are simply asking for a notice procedure so that we can know before equity trades hands what tradespeople are seeking so that we can make a determination if the trades that are being sought could potentially force us to lose the NOLs.

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

Specifically, abandonment trading or transfer of RCN stock could adversely affect RCNs losses if too many 5 percent or greater blocks of equity interests are created or too many shares are added to or sold from such blocks such that altogether with previous trading by 5 percent shareholders during the preceding three-year period an ownership change within the meaning of Section 382 of the Internal Revenue code is triggered prior to consummation and outside the context of the Chapter 11 plan.

Again, it's one thing if the change happens pursuant to a plan. It is another thing if it happens prior to.

THE COURT: You are comfortable that this hasn't happened already?

MR. GOFFMAN: We are comfortable that this hasn't happened already. We have been monitoring all the trades for quite some period of time.

Our capital structure, and I will go through it briefly among the equity, there is a public equity and then there were two primary

В

preferre	d groupings.	There was	preferred
shares he	eld by Hicks	Muses and t	then there were
preferre	d shares held	d by Vulcan	Investments
which was	s a pull-out	investment	vehicle. Those

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

6 were our only two preferred shareholders.

In December of last year, Vulcan, I believe, transferred a portion of its shares to Wells Fargo for a couple of million dollars for tax purposes but those are only two entities. So we have been able to track that and we have had people that have done nothing but monitor. We believe that we need to hold on to that.

A significant majority of the common stock of RCN will be distributed to creditors holding the outstanding notes to RCN in exchange for the debt under the plan.

Accordingly, upon consummation of the plan, there will be an ownership change for purposes of Section 382 because the percentage of RCN stock that will be owned by holders of claim will have increased by more than 50 percent over the lowest percentage of the stock of RCN held by such persons during the three year testing period.

ì

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

As described in the motion, we intend to avail ourselves when that happens of one of the two tax bankruptcy exceptions that exist, 382(L)(5) or 382(L)(6).

Without trying to put on the record the differences between the two, essentially, 382(L)(5) and 382(L)(6) allow us to retain the NOLs in different amounts and use them in different fashions, but the key is to make sure that in both circumstances that we don't blow and lose the NOLs prior to consummation of the plan.

The relief we are requesting is procedural. It is narrowly tailored to meet our needs because it is not borrowing all the trading in RCN stock. We are only seeking to establish procedures that let us monitor types of stock trading that poses serious risks to us under Section 382 so that if a trade is proposed that could cause us to lose the NOLs, we have the ability to come back to the court and seek an injunction at that time.

The procedures that we referenced will permit most stock trading to continue

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC. subject only to the applicable securities or bankruptcy and other laws.

Unless we get interim immediate relief today, your Honor, it is possible that by the time we return to this court, trades could occur that would have caused us to lose the NOLs by the time we come back here. That's why we are asking for the relief now.

In many of these case, this type of relief is sought on a first day basis without notice, but we thought it was more appropriate since there wasn't, since we weren't seeking first day hearings on any of the other motions that we did this and that's why we have done this in this fashion.

THE COURT: There really was no note to the shareholders?

MR. GOFFMAN: You are right, your Honor. Let me address that for one second because we did get the Wells Fargo objection, and the motion itself was not served upon Wells Fargo, but I take extreme issue with the notion that Wells Fargo is not a party to these proceeding; that they didn't know what was

3

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

47

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

2 going on.

THE COURT: They filed an

4 | objection. They obviously and --

5 MR. GOFFMAN: It is more than that.

6 For the last two months, your Honor, we have

7 been negotiating for new financing. We have

8 had narrowed it down to seven parties and then

to four parties. Within those four parties was

10 Wells Fargo.

Wells Fargo, pursuant to a confidentiality agreement with the company, has been negotiating and meeting with the company with Mr. Dubel and management, with Blackstone and through their counsel, other counsel, I will notice with Skadden for the last two months.

They know everything about the company. They know everything about the restructuring. They know everything about the net operating losses. They knew about the fact that we were going to be proceeding in this fashion to get this type of motion to preserve the NOLs.

The question came up in their due

25

for hearing from us.

		48
1	HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.	
2	diligence as to whether or not we were going to	
3	try to get this type of motion, because if they	
4	were going to be the successful lender here,	
5	they certainly wanted to make sure that the NOL	
6	would be preserved.	
7	So this notion that Wells Fargo	
8	didn't know about this, they had no notice,	
9	that they were surprised by this, I will accept	
10	maybe Mr. Goodman didn't know about it and	
11	maybe his clients didn't tell him about it.	
12	But Wells Fargo has been talking to us, meeting	
13	with us and knowing everything about what's	
14	been going on here, including what was going to	
15	be brought in front of this court for the last	
16	two months. So I don't accept the fact that	
17	this is news to Wells Fargo.	
18	The restrictions we are seeking here	
19	are narrow. They are procedural in nature.	
20	They are not changing the substantive rights	
21	and they are necessary to preserve a very	
22	valuable asset of this estate.	
23	THE COURT: Mr. Goodman.	

MR. GOODMAN: Your Honor, thank you

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

I would just like to correct one statement made by Mr. Goffman. My statement was that we were not served with the pleadings.

Insofar as what my client knows or does not know about the restructuring process, the officers that I have spoken to about the matter told me that they were surprised about the bankruptcy. I think they expected a bankruptcy case. They themselves, the two officers I spoke to, didn't know anything about the NOLs.

In fact, I advised them yesterday afternoon when I was retained about the restrictions on trading with respect to the NOLs which directly affects Wells Fargo's rights.

We believe that the restrictions on trading sought by the debtor on an interim basis are premature. We don't believe that the debtor has demonstrated that there is any evidence that a change in control is eminent or about to occur or that one has not already incurred.

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

In the meantime, what this process does is for a 30 day period restricts my client's ability to transfer his property, which are 225,000 shares of seriously preferred stock. We don't believe that the debtor has proved irreparable harm, likelihood of success on the merits or that the balance of the equities are in favor.

There was no notice to our client of these, of the interim relief sought. I think it is unusual to get this type of relief as a first day order.

I would note that under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedures there is, first of all, there is aspects of injunctive relief in this order because what it does is it requires us, if we want to dispose or sell of our stock, to notify the debtors and the debtors have really a time period to object.

I think the time period -- excuse me if I don't know the exact dates. Again, I was just retained. There are several motions that I had reviewed in a short time but I think it holds up the sale for a 30 day period.

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, I	INC.
-------------------------	------

Now, as I was alluding to earlier, if you are asking for a TRO, or temporary restraining order, under the Federal Rules that lasts only 10 days.

Here without notice we are being restrained up until 30 days, and then at some point they will, hopefully, set this interim order for a final order and final hearing where these issues can be vetted out with more time, more due process and more notice.

So, at this point, your Honor, I would ask this court not to the approve these restrictions. I think they are onerous. I think they are premature and I think it is highly unusual to have these types of restrictions implemented on a first day basis without any notice.

THE COURT: As a practical matter, how soon can you notify the shareholders of this?

MR. GOFFMAN: Your Honor, I assume we can send out --

THE COURT: If I schedule the hearing on this like June, within 10 days,

1.4

HOT	SPOTS	PRODUCTION,	INC.
-----	-------	-------------	------

would you be able to give them notice? You probably won't, but maybe I don't know your gamut of shareholders.

MR. GOFFMAN: The issue, your Honor, we certainly know very large holders and we can certainly notify them very quickly.

But as far as the public where the stock is held in the street name, you have to go through a process in order to make sure they get the notice and there is no way, as a practical matter, if we did that, they would actually get the notice in time to actually be heard. I would be happy to deal with this on the 22nd, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. The objection also says that there is a difference between the motion definition of substantial shareholders and the actual people who you really have to protect against and the Internal Revenue code.

Is that true? I mean, is there any meaningful difference there?

MR. GOFFMAN: Mr. Miller.

MR. MILLER: The limitations in the

1	HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.
2	motion are set to be slightly below five below
3	the share holdings of common stock and are set
4	to cover any holder of preferred stock of the
5	limitations in the Internal Revenue Code for
6	anyone who owns 5 percent of the equity of the
7	company.
8	So what the company is trying to
9	find out is the what the company is trying
10	to do is give itself a little bit of margin for
11	error with respect to understanding.
12	THE COURT: Preferred because they
13	are first on the food chain?
14	MR. MILLER: Yes.
15	THE COURT: You are including?
16	MR. MILLER: It is always more
17	complicated for RCN than other economies
18	because an ownership change is measured by
19	percentage of the value of the company that you
20	own, and when there are four classes of stock,
21	it is complicated to figure out what value a
22	particular number of shares of a particular
23	class has as a percentage of the total.
24	THE COURT: And why does that have
25	to be 30 days?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

54

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

MR. MILLER: 30 days is a relatively common amount of time that you see in these kinds of orders.

THE COURT: What, maybe Mr. Dunne knows this, is the new form of proposed order that the debt trader associations is proposing? Do you know how many days they have in it? You don't.

MR. DUNNE: I thought it was 15.

THE COURT: I thought it was 15,

too. Would 15 pose that much of a burden, 12

especially if you are taking this? 13

> MR. MILLER: I think 15 would be more of a burden but I think the company would develop --

THE COURT: This is an unusual context in that it implicates both 362 and injunctive relief.

Based on potentially if someone blew the NOL that could be a violation of the stay. So I think given that fact and the difficulty of getting notice as proposed to the shareholder, I think having this be effective through June 22nd is appropriate.

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

It seems to me it is, indeed, a valuable asset even given the ownership change under the plan as entailed in the motion, and with the change to 15 days, it does appear to be narrowly tailored to preserve the debtors rights.

It seems to me that if there is going to be any substantial trade here, it is not going to happen and 15 days won't cause it to happen, given where the debtors are at this point. So I'll approve it without change.

MR. GOFFMAN: Thank you, your Honor. That brings us to our retention application.

The first one, your Honor, is our application to retain Bankruptcy Services LLC as claims and noticing agent.

BSI, we would seek to retain them as claims and noticing agent for the debtors to do a variety of things, mail notice to the estates creditors and partners of interest, provide computerized claims and database service and provide expertise, consultation, assistance in the claims processing and other administration

P.56/64

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC. 1 2 information with respect to the debtors bankruptcy case. 3 THE COURT: Does anyone have 4 anything to say on this motion? I reviewed it 5 and I will approve that. 6 MR. GOFFMAN: Thank you, your 7 Honor. The second one is also a fairly 8 standard one, debtors retention application to 9 retain Innisfree M&A Incorporated as noticing, 10 voting and information agent for the debtors. 11 Again, we would seek to engage 12 Innisfree to disseminate certain notices to 13 holders of RCN senior notes and stock to 14 provide assistance to the debtors and their 15 advisors in connection with all aspects of 16 voting, timing issues, distribution, 17 solicitation and the like. It is again a 18 fairly standard motion. 19 THE COURT: Does anyone have any 20 comments on this one? I will approve this one, 21 also. 22 MR. GOFFMAN: Next I get to the 23 debtors retention applications to retain 24

Skadden Arps.

25

б

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

Skadden Arps has been counsel to the debtors for several years. We have filed the appropriate applications. Our retention for the debtors goes back to as far as 1977, September of 1977.

In my retention affidavit, we disclose all connections with the debtors and all other parties in interest. And I believe we have shown, I believe I said 1977, 1997, where we started representing the debtors.

In my retention affidavit we disclosed all possible connections to the debtors, creditors, holders and other parties of interest.

When we served the application, the only party that expressed a concern was the U.S. Trustee, and the U.S. Trustee expressed the following concern. It said that with respect two entities that were identified in my retention affidavit, what I will call Deutsche Bank and JP Morgan Chase, there was a question as to whether or not we should bring in conflict counsel to deal with them.

And what the U.S. Trustee said at

б

the time was in my affidavit as part of full disclosure, rather than simply say that limit my disclosure to the specific JP Morgan Bank entity that provided the loan and a specific Deutsche Bank entity that's providing the loan, we wanted to make full disclosure, and so I provided information about all relationships we had with all the JP Morgan entities and all the Deutsche Bank entities and the U.S. Trustee was concerned that we had accepted the JP Morgan entities and the Deutsche Bank entities each represented more than one percent of the firms revenue in the year 2003, and possibly we might need conflicts counsel.

Without arguing with the U.S.

Trustee whether or not this so-called one
percent rule really is applicable, really makes
sense, what we have done is we met with the
U.S. Trustee. We've gone back and we have
scrubbed the numbers and it's very clear to us,
and I will be filing a further affidavit, that
the JP Morgan Bank that provided the loan, to
RCN, is not a client of our firm that provided
greater than one percent of revenue to us in

TMC

NOT DEGLE THE DOLL OF	, 110.
2003 and the Deutsche Bank enti	ty that is
providing the loan commitment to	o us to RCN is
also not a client of the firm the	hat provided
greater than one percent of reve	enue.

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION.

Although I don't have a sign-off certainly from the U.S. Trustee, it is our hope that by the time we get to the final hearing on this, that based upon that analysis, that the U.S. Trustee will agree with our concept.

We had good discussions yesterday and I'm hopeful it will go in that direction, so we would ask for the retention on an interim basis in that fashion.

I will be filing a follow-up affidavit that will clarify those two points and, hopefully, it will be fully consensual by the time we get to the final hearing.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SCHWARTZBERG: As Mr. Goffman said, it is correct we have concerns regarding the retention of Skadden. We are waiting further disclosure. I don't know if we formulated a position whether they can unbundle the entities and separate percentage out or

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC. 1 not. We will wait for disclosure and probably 2 further discussions with Skadden. 3 THE COURT: I'm prepared to approve 4 this on an interim basis based on the record as 5 it stands before me. I'm sure that Skadden 6 will be sensitive if more of a conflict appears 7 or arises, but I'm prepared to approve this, 8 and I guess we will have the final hearing, 9 only if there is an objection, on the 22nd. So 10 I guess objection should be in two or three 11 days before then. 12 MR. GOFFMAN: Thank you, your 13 14 Honor. THE COURT: You are going to submit 15 an order. 16 MR. GOFFMAN: Yes, we will. 17 THE COURT: Either way. 18 MR. GOFFMAN: We will submit an 19 Next we turn to the debtors application 20 order. to retain Swindler Berlin as special regulatory 21 counsel. Swindler has been the debtors primary 22 regulatory counsel for many years. They 23 regularly advise the company on all regulatory 24 issues regarding the FCC issues, state and 25

1 HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.
2 local regulatory issues.

They have been working with the company throughout this entire process.

Obviously everything we are doing in this restructuring is interrelated with the regulatory process.

Their involvement in an on going forward basis is important and, therefore, they have filed the appropriate applications and, therefore, we would ask that they also be retained on an interim basis. No objections have been filed.

THE COURT: Okay. I will approve
Swidler Berlin's retention, also on an interim
basis. For purposes of the notice for these
motions or applications, the objections should
be submitted by 4 p.m. on the 18th of June.

MR. GOFFMAN: Thank you, your Honor. Next I turn to the debtors application to retain Price Waterhouse Coopers as auditors for the debtors.

As with any other major company, the debtors need auditors. Price Waterhouse Coopers have been the debtors auditors for the

62

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC. past seven years. They are familiar with the 2 debtors business and financial affairs. 3 are familiar with Chapter 11 cases and how to 4 proceed on the auditing process during the 5 Chapter 11 cases. 6 They have filed all the appropriate 7 applications and affidavit. They have also 8 agreed to charge 80 percent of their standard 9 rates for the upcoming order of the quarterly 10 reviewed services, and based upon the 11 application and no objection being filed, we 12 would ask that your Honor sign the order. 13 THE COURT: This is an hourly rate 14 arrangement? 15 MR. GOFFMAN: Yes, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: So there would be 330 17 and 331? 18 MR. GOFFMAN: Yes, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Hearing no objections, I 20 will approve this on an interim basis with the 21 same objection deadline and hearing date. If 22 there is an objection. 23 Next I turn to the MR. GOFFMAN: 24 debtors application for retaining the 25

25

		0,5
1	HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.	
2	Blackstone Group as financial advisors.	
3	Blackstone was retained by the	
4	debtors in these cases in March of this year.	
5	Since that time, Blackstone has developed a	
6	deep understanding of the debtors financial and	
7	business operations.	
8	Before let me talk a little bit	
9	about what Blackstone has done since they have	
10	been involved in this case.	
11	Blackstone has done an outstanding	
12	job. From the day that they were retained in	
13	these cases, Tim Coleman and his entire team	
14	have worked nonstop day after day around the	
15	clock to help make this restructuring work.	
16	The work that the Blackstone Group,	
17	along with the work of the company led by Mr.	
18	Dubel, Mr. Horvat, Ms. Royster has been	
19	exceptional.	
20	When Blackstone and Mr. Dubel first	
21	came in, we were truly at a crossroads. We	
22	were at a difficult point in the case. Our	
23	relationships with our senior secured lenders	
24	and noteholders were not very good, and it was	

through their efforts that we were able to turn

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.
a very difficult situation into a very good
one, a very successful consensual
restructuring. They worked round the clock and
they have done a remarkable job.
Blackstone is an extremely qualified
organization. They are one of the preeminent
financial restructuring advisors in the
industry. They have worked on many, if not
most of the major Chapter 11 cases in this
country.
The Blackstone compensation
basically is as follows: They will receive a
monthly advisory fee of \$200,000 a month. They
receive a restructuring fee of somewhere
between 7 to \$8 million subject to resumption

16 between 7 to \$8 million subject to resumption
17 of between zero to 50 percent of any
18 transaction fee as defined in the engagement

19 agreement depending upon when the transactions

20 is completed.

Upon the consummation of a transaction as defined, they may also receive a transaction fee payable in cash out of the proceeds equal to 2 percent of the consideration but there's an overall cap on the

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

total fees. The sum of the transaction fee

plus the restructuring fee cannot exceed

4 10-and-a-half million dollars.

THE COURT: Is the transaction, does that include a plan or is it some other type of transaction like an M &A transaction? It wasn't that clear to me in their letter.

MR. GOFFMAN: Bear with me one second. Let me double check.

THE COURT: I can cut it short. It strikes me that in large measure to regularize these types of retentions and address the concerns of the U.S. Trustee, as well as the Court's, that what's developed in the Southern District is a process whereby there is an interim retention for someone that seeks to be retained and compensated under Section 328, subject to pretty wide notice and a longer period for the final hearing, and consistent with that, I think that pending that final hearing, the compensation should be subject to 330 or 331, particularly in a case like this.

Are you going to confirm a plan before July 30?

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

MR. GOFFMAN: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: So I don't think that they are at risk, in other words, on what they have negotiated with the company so that they should be prepared to answer that question I guess at the final hearing.

But I think the order should just say in paragraph 4 prior to the entry of an order approving the application on the final basis and then continue on all compensation, reimbursement, expenses shall be paid to Blackstone and approved by the Court in accordance with the requirements of Sections 330 and 331 and then paragraph 5 will be deleted from the interim order, which is the paragraph that says if subject to the limited standard of Section 328. The notice shall make it clear that they are seeking approval of the fee arrangement under 328, except for the conduct of the U.S. Trustee.

MR. GOFFMAN: We will make that clear, your Honor, and the only reason I went into great detail, I was aware that this was the process and that we were going to proceed

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

in this fashion.

I didn't have the opportunity at the beginning of this case to talk about Blackstone's role, and even though they have only been involved for a relatively short period of time, I thought it was important the Court was aware that their efforts have paid huge dividends.

THE COURT: You are still using them?

MR. GOFFMAN: Absolutely.

objected to this motion, but I think that it is more appropriate, given how I just ruled for that objection, to be considered if it is not resolved beforehand at this final hearing.

Although I do want to make it clear for the record, I think that although I can conceive of situations where this is not the cases, generally I don't think it is fair for a financial advisor to be deprived of a fee that it earns simply because a substantial portion, perhaps the majority of its work, was done prepetition, and I'm just not that sympathetic

б

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

to that type of objection.

But here it appears that they are also working considerably post-petition, so the point may be mute anyway, but I think with that change, I will approve it. Mr. Dunne wants to get up and say something.

MR. DUNNE: Your Honor, I think with that change, we are fine as well. Our comments, let me just say this for the record, the Ad Hoc Committee will reiterate some of what Mr. Goffman said, that we do believe that Blackstone appearing on the scene and Alix Partners was a breath of fresh air. They have our consensus and cooperation and my client wants to see them appropriately and fairly compensated for that.

They are expecting to have a dialogue on the terms of success fees and aggregate compensation for that work and, hopefully, before we return to this court, we will have an agreement with all the parties that we could present up to the court.

THE COURT: Okay. Again, that's the reason for the longer notice and the like

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

since, given the wording of Section 328, you have to have the dialogue now as opposed to at the end of the case.

MR. GOODMAN: Your Honor, speaking for the banks, there is a continuing need in this case, despite all of the work that Blackstone and I would even say J. Alix did together, to get us to the point where we are today and it was quite a bit of very fine work for the reasons that Mr. Goffman has given,.

From the bank's point of view, there is very much a reason to keep them active in the case because there is a difference, as your Honor knows, between agreement and a closing, and in between the agreement and a closing, we still have a company that is a very young company and a very competitive business that still needs a lot of hands on care and feeding, and the lenders at least are looking to both Blackstone and J. Alix to continue to provide substantial guidance when we get to closing, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GOFFMAN: Now I turn to our

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

final application of the day, which is our application to retain AP Services which is an affiliate of Alix Partners. Again, it's being sought on an interim basis only.

John Dubel as a representative to the debtors to serve the debtors president and chief executive officer. It is providing Mr. Horvat to serve as chief restructuring officer. It is providing whatever other persons are necessary to the debtors for the debtors operations and to complete these restructuring procedures.

They filed their appropriate application.

Their services up-to-date and throughout this case are without question indispensable. Without their efforts, we wouldn't be where we are today. Without their continuing efforts, we will not get to the finish line.

This is as crucial a motion in our application as we sought today. It is on an interim basis and we reserve the reservation of rights and we would ask, with all of that record, that your Honor enter the order.

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC.

THE COURT: As I read the draft order, which actually is a little different than the application, you don't have the same type of need to resolve the success fee upfront that you would have until we modify the order for Blackstone. So I think this is really a fairly easy application to grant. So I will approve it.

MR. GOFFMAN: Very good. Thank you, your Honor. With that, I think we are done. All we have left is to consult with each other to pick the dates for the omnibus hearings.

THE COURT: Okay. And then you can hand up, if you have the disks, or you can e-mail them down to me.

MR. GOFFMAN: We have the disks.

HOT SPOTS PRODUCTION, INC. CERTIFICATE I, MICHAEL WILLIAMS, a Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New York do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the within proceedings, to the best of my ability. MICHAEL WILLIAMS, CSR