



**EXHIBIT "A"**

Hearing Date: December 2, 2004 at 10:00 a.m.  
Objection Deadline: November 30, 2004 at 4:00 p.m.

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036-6522  
(212) 735-3000  
D. J. Baker (DB 0085)  
(A Member of the Firm)  
Frederick D. Morris (FM 6564)

Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X  
In re : Chapter 11  
: :  
RCN CORPORATION, et al., : Case No. 04-13638 (RDD)  
: :  
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)  
: :  
----- X

**NOTICE OF DEBTORS' MOTION FOR AN ORDER UNDER 11 U.S.C.  
§§ 105 AND 363 AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF EXPENSES OF TEJAS  
SECURITIES GROUP, INC. IN CONNECTION WITH POTENTIAL  
PURCHASE OF CONVERTIBLE SECOND-LIEN NOTES**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on November 10, 2004, RCN Corpora-  
tion ("RCN") and certain of its subsidiaries, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the  
above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), filed the Debtors' Motion for an  
Order under 11 U.S.C. §§ 105 and 363 Authorizing Payment of Expenses of Tejas

Securities Group, Inc. in Connection with Potential Purchase of Convertible Second-Lien Notes (the "Motion").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that if timely written objections are filed, served and received in accordance with this notice, the Bankruptcy Court will hold a hearing on **December 2, 2004 at 10:00 a.m.** to consider granting the relief requested in the Motion (the "Hearing").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that if no written objections to the Motion are timely filed, served and received, the proposed order filed with the Motion will be submitted for signature to the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge for the Southern District of New York, Alexander Hamilton Customs House, One Bowling Green, New York, NY 10004-1408 on December 1, 2004.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that objections to the Motion, if any, must be in writing, must conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and must be filed with the Bankruptcy Court in accordance with General Order M-242 (as amended) – registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's case filing system must file electronically, and all other parties in interest must file on a 3.5 inch disk (preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect or any other Windows-based word processing format); submitted in hard-copy form directly to the chambers of the

Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge; and served upon (i) RCN Corporation, 105 Carnegie Center, Princeton, NJ 08540, Attention: General Counsel; (ii) Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, counsel to the Debtors, 4 Times Square, New York, NY, 10036-6522, Attention: D. Jan Baker, Esq. and Frederick D. Morris, Esq.; (iii) the Office of the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New York, 33 Whitehall Street, 21st floor, New York, NY 10004, Attention: Paul K. Schwartzberg, Esq.; (iv) Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP, counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors, 1 Chase Manhattan Plaza, New York, NY 10005, Attention: Dennis Dunne, Esq. and Deirdre Sullivan, Esq.; (v) counsel to any other statutory committee(s) appointed in these cases; (vi) Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP, counsel to the agent for the Debtors' prepetition credit facility, 425 Lexington Avenue, New York, NY 10017-3954, Attention: Peter V. Pantaleo, Esq. and Elisha D. Graff, Esq.; and (vii) Kelley, Drye & Warren LLP, counsel to the indenture trustee for the Debtors' outstanding debt securities, 101 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10178, Attention: David E. Retter, Esq. and Debra SuDock, Esq., in each case so as to be **received** no later than 4:00 p.m. Eastern time on **November 30, 2004** (the "Objection Deadline").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that only those objections made in writing and timely filed and received by the Objection Deadline will be considered by the Bankruptcy Court at the Hearing, and that if no objections to the Motion are timely filed and served in accordance with the procedures set forth herein, the Bankruptcy Court may enter an order granting the Motion **without further notice**.

Dated: New York, New York  
November 10, 2004

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER  
& FLOM LLP

/s/ D.J. Baker

D. J. Baker (DB 0085)  
(A Member of the Firm)  
Frederick D. Morris (FM 6564)  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036-6522  
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Debtors and  
Debtors-in-Possession

Hearing Date: December 2, 2004 at 10:00 a.m.  
Objections Due: November 30, 2004 at 4:00 p.m.

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036-6522  
(212) 735-3000  
D. J. Baker (DB 0085)  
(A Member of the Firm)  
Frederick D. Morris (FM 6564)

Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X  
In re : Chapter 11  
RCN CORPORATION, et al., : Case No. 04-13638 (RDD)  
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)  
----- X

**MOTION FOR AN ORDER UNDER 11 U.S.C. §§ 105 AND  
363 AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF EXPENSES OF TEJAS SECURITIES  
GROUP, INC. IN CONNECTION WITH POTENTIAL PURCHASE  
OF CONVERTIBLE SECOND-LIEN NOTES**

RCN Corporation ("RCN") and certain of its direct and indirect subsidiaries, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), hereby move for entry of an order under 11 U.S.C. §§ 105 and 363(b) authorizing the Debtors to pay \$200,000 to Tejas Securities Group, Inc.

("Tejas") to cover the out-of-pocket costs and expenses incurred by Tejas in connection with its potential purchase of Convertible Second-Lien Notes (as defined below) to be issued by reorganized RCN as part of its exit financing upon confirmation of its joint plan of reorganization. In support of this motion, the Debtors respectfully represent as follows:

### BACKGROUND

1. On May 27, 2004, RCN and certain other Debtors filed voluntary petitions in this Court for reorganization relief under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code").<sup>1</sup> RCN Cable TV of Chicago, Inc., an affiliate of RCN, commenced its chapter 11 case on August 5, 2004. Certain additional Debtors commenced their chapter 11 cases on August 20, 2004.<sup>2</sup>

2. The Debtors continue to manage and operate their business as debtors-in-possession pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 1107 and 1108.

3. No trustee or examiner has been appointed in these chapter 11 cases. On June 10, 2004, the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New

---

<sup>1</sup> In addition to RCN, TEC Air, Inc., RLH Property Corporation, RCN Finance, LLC and Hot Spots Productions, Inc., all affiliates of RCN, commenced their chapter 11 cases on May 27, 2004.

<sup>2</sup> RCN Telecom Services of Virginia, Inc., RCN Entertainment, Inc., 21st Century Telecom Services, Inc. and ON TV, Inc., all affiliates of RCN, commenced their chapter 11 cases on August 20, 2004.



York appointed the Committee of Unsecured Creditors. No other official committees have been appointed or designated in these chapter 11 cases.

4. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2).

5. The statutory predicates for the relief requested herein are Bankruptcy Code sections 105(a) and 363(b) and Rules 2002 and 6004 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.

#### **RELIEF REQUESTED**

6. By this motion, the Debtors request entry of an order authorizing the Debtors to pay \$200,000 to Tejas to cover the out-of-pocket costs and expenses reasonably expected to be incurred by Tejas in connection with its potential purchase of Convertible Second-Lien Notes.

#### **BASIS FOR RELIEF**

##### **A. The Deutsche Bank Exit Facility**

7. Prior to commencement of these chapter 11 cases, during the course of negotiations among the Debtors and their various creditor constituencies, the Debtors and their advisors determined that a new financing facility, designed to replace the Debtors' existing senior secured financing facility, afforded one of the most viable means for a successful restructuring. The additional working capital the

Debtors would seek in such new funding also would be critical to stabilizing and maintaining the Debtors' operations upon emergence from the restructuring process.

8. In furtherance of that goal, prior to commencing these chapter 11 cases, the Debtors' financial advisors approached numerous financial institutions, including traditional asset-based lenders, investment banks, and commercial banks, regarding the furnishing of a commitment letter to the Debtors to replace the existing senior credit facility. The Debtors and their investment bankers received proposals from four financial institutions, including a proposal from Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc. and Deutsche Bank AG Cayman Islands Branch (together, "Deutsche Bank").

9. Those negotiations resulted in the execution on May 24, 2004 of a commitment letter and related documents that set forth Deutsche Bank's commitment to provide a new senior exit financing facility to the Debtors (the "Deutsche Bank Commitment Letter"). Pursuant to the Deutsche Bank Commitment Letter, Deutsche Bank would provide an exit facility (the "Exit Facility") comprised of two components: (i) a senior first-lien secured credit facility in the principal amount of \$285 million plus a \$25 million letter of credit facility (the "Senior First-Lien Financing") and (ii) second-lien floating rate notes in the principal amount of \$150 million (the "Second-Lien Notes"). Shortly after commencement of these chapter 11

cases, this Court entered an order authorizing, approving, and ratifying the Deutsche Bank Commitment Letter and the Debtors' payment of the related fees and expenses.<sup>3</sup>

**B. Alternative Exit Financing**

10. On October 13, 2004, this Court entered an order approving the Debtors' disclosure statement with respect to their proposed joint plan of reorganization (the "Disclosure Statement"). In the Disclosure Statement, the Debtors stated that they were considering alternative exit financing structures which, if implemented, would alter certain terms of the Exit Facility. One alternative exit financing scenario, described in the Disclosure Statement, that the Debtors have explored since August 2004 entails the issuance of second-lien notes that are convertible into common stock of reorganized RCN in lieu of the Second-Lien Notes contemplated by the Exit Facility (the "Convertible Second-Lien Notes").

11. Up to \$150 million principal amount of Convertible Second-Lien Notes would be issued to a financial institution acceptable to the Debtors and the Creditors' Committee, who would serve as initial purchaser and/or placement agent with respect to the Convertible Second-Lien Notes. The Debtors contemplated that the Convertible Second-Lien Notes would be offered by the placement agent to "accredited investors," as that term is defined pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933,

---

<sup>3</sup> The Debtors have filed a motion requesting authority to increase the total amount of the Senior First-Lien Financing from \$285 million to \$305 million, with the additional financing to be utilized by the Debtors to purchase certain membership interests in Starpower Communications, LLC.

in a transaction intended to qualify as a private placement under section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

12. This alternative was first brought to the Debtors' attention during late July 2004 in the form of a proposal from D.E. Shaw Laminar Lending 2, Inc. ("Laminar"), a significant financial institution who is not a creditor of these estates. The proposal contemplated Laminar's acquisition of a majority of the Convertible Second-Lien Notes, with the balance to be purchased by other investors. In the following weeks, the Debtors had discussions with Laminar about its proposal, and also with members of the Creditors' Committee about the possibility of their agreeing to purchase Convertible Second-Lien Notes offered to, and not purchased by, other creditors of the Debtors.

13. In late October, Tejas contacted the Debtors and also expressed significant interest in serving as placement agent with respect to, and purchasing, the Convertible Second-Lien Notes. Tejas submitted a preliminary indication of interest based on the terms of the Notes outlined in the Disclosure Statement. Thereafter, the Debtors, with significant assistance from their financial advisors, engaged in a series of intense negotiations with representatives of Laminar and Tejas in an effort to obtain the best terms practicable for the Convertible Second-Lien Notes.

14. Ultimately, the Debtors determined that the commitment provided by Laminar, in conjunction with certain members of the Creditors' Commit-

tee, constituted the best proposal for the Convertible Second-Lien Notes under the circumstances. Accordingly, the Debtors filed a motion with this Court requesting approval of such commitments on an expedited basis. However, the Debtors understand that Tejas is continuing its efforts to provide a higher and better proposal for purchase of the Convertible Second-Lien Notes. Consistent with their fiduciary duties to maximize value for the benefit of all constituencies, the Debtors will consider any such proposal up to and including the hearing on the motion to approve the Laminar and Committee commitments.

15. In order to ensure Tejas's participation in this process, Tejas requested payment of its out-of-pocket costs and expenses, including with respect to its outside counsel, in an amount up to \$200,000. The Debtors have concluded that this request is customary and reasonable in relation to the amount of financing involved and other comparable financing situations. Moreover, without an agreement by the Debtors to assist in defraying Tejas's expenses, Tejas likely would decide to remove itself from the process, thereby reducing, if not eliminating, the level of competition for the Convertible Second-Lien Notes to the potential disadvantage of these estates. Based on the foregoing, the Debtors remitted \$200,000 to Tejas's counsel to be held pending approval by this Court, absent which such amount will be returned to the Debtors. The Debtors have been advised that the Creditors' Committee supports payment of this amount.

## APPLICABLE AUTHORITY

16. Bankruptcy Code section 363(c)(1) authorizes a debtor-in-possession to use property of the estate in the ordinary course of business without court approval. Bankruptcy Code section 363(b) permits a debtor-in-possession to use property of the estate "other than in the ordinary course of business" after notice and a hearing. Additionally, Bankruptcy Code section 105(a) allows a court to "issue any order, process, or judgment that is necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions of [the Bankruptcy Code]."

17. Court's in this district and elsewhere consistently have held that transactions pursuant to 363(b) should be approved if the debtor demonstrates a sound business justification for implementing it. See In re Lionel Corp., 722 F.2d 1063, 1071 (2d Cir. 1983); In re Delaware Hudson Ry. Co., 124 B.R. 169, 179 (Bankr. D. Del. 1991). Once the debtor articulates a valid business justification, "[t]he business judgment rule 'is a presumption that in making a business decision the directors of a corporation acted on an informed basis, in good faith and in the honest belief that the action taken was in the best interests of the company.'" In re Integrated Resources, Inc., 147 B.R. 650, 656 (S.D.N.Y. 1992) (quoting Smith v. Van Gorkom, 488 A.2d 858, 872 (Del. 1985)).

18. As noted by this Court, the business judgment standard under Bankruptcy Code section 363(b), at least in the Second Circuit, differs slightly from

the standard in other contexts because "it is the bankruptcy judge's business judgment that is supposed to be applied." In re RCN Corp., Case No. 04-13638 (RDD), June 22, 2004 Hr'g Tr. ¶¶ 50:24–50:2, at 46. But this Court also noted that in certain circumstances, the bankruptcy judge will give significant deference to a debtor's business judgment:

[B]ankruptcy judges and district judges in this district have been quick to, in the proper circumstances, defer in large part to the debtors' business judgment, particularly where the creditors' committee and the majority of the parties in interest support that judgment . . . particularly . . . where the action that's being proposed to be taken by the debtor was the result of a competitive market-driven bidding process.

In re RCN Corp., June 22, 2004 Hr'g Tr. ¶¶ 51:3–12, at 46.

19. Finally, under section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Court has broad authority under its equitable powers to fashion any order or decree that would preserve or protect the value of the debtor's assets. See, e.g., Adelpia Communs. Corp. v. Rigas, 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 9349, at \*12 (S.D.N.Y. 2003) ("Section 105 of Title 11 provides the bankruptcy courts with a broad range of equitable powers over cases within its jurisdiction"); Griffin v. Bonapfel (In re All American of Ashburn, Inc.), 805 F.2d 1515, 1517 (11th Cir. 1986) (per curiam) (noting that section 105(a) provides authority for bankruptcy courts to protect estate property).

20. In sum, there is ample authority to support the payment of the \$200,000 to Tejas here. There is value to the Debtors in ensuring that Tejas continues to participate in the process of formulating the terms for the Convertible Second-Lien Notes. The Debtors have determined, in their business judgment, that the amount to be paid is reasonable under all the circumstances and is in the best interests of all creditors. Accordingly, the Debtors request that the Tejas be authorized to apply the \$200,000, currently being held by its counsel, against its reasonable out-of-pocket costs and expenses, including the fees and expenses of counsel.

21. The Debtors submit that no new or novel issue of law is presented with respect to the matters contained herein. Because the relevant authorities in support of the requested relief are cited in this motion, the Debtors request that the requirement of the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law under Local Bankr. R. 9013-1(b) be deemed satisfied.



WHEREFORE, the Debtors respectfully request that the Court enter an order  
(i) authorizing the Debtors to pay up to \$200,000 to Tejas as provided for herein and  
(ii) granting such other and further relief as is just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York  
November 10, 2004

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER  
& FLOM LLP

/s/ D.J. Baker

D. J. Baker (DB 0085)  
(A Member of the Firm)  
Frederick Morris (FM 6564)  
Four Times Square  
New York, New York 10036-6522  
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Debtors and  
Debtors-in-Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X  
In re : Chapter 11  
RCN CORPORATION, et al., : Case No. 04-13638 (RDD)  
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)  
----- X

**ORDER UNDER 11 U.S.C. §§ 105 AND 363 AUTHORIZING  
PAYMENT OF EXPENSES OF TEJAS SECURITIES GROUP, INC.  
IN CONNECTION WITH POTENTIAL PURCHASE  
OF CONVERTIBLE SECOND-LIEN NOTES**

Upon the motion (the "Motion")<sup>1</sup> of the Debtors for entry of an Order under 11 U.S.C. §§ 105 and 363 Authorizing Payment of Expenses of Tejas Securities Group, Inc. in Connection with Potential Purchase of Convertible Second-Lien Notes; and the Court having reviewed the Motion, and the Court being satisfied that it is necessary and in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, creditors, and parties in interest; and it appearing that notice of the Motion was good and sufficient under the particular circumstances and that no other or further notice need be given; and upon the record herein; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is hereby

---

<sup>1</sup> Unless otherwise defined herein, capitalized terms used but not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The Motion is GRANTED.
2. The Debtors' decision to utilize estate funds to pay the Diligence Fee is reasonable and appropriate under the circumstances, and the Debtors' payment of the Diligence Fee, in accordance with the Motion, is authorized hereby.
3. The requirements of Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York for the filing of a memorandum of law is waived.

Dated: New York, New York  
\_\_\_\_\_, 2004

\_\_\_\_\_  
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

**EXHIBIT "B"**

Name	Address
828 S. WABASH, LLC	40 E. 9TH ST. UNIT 1516 CHICAGO IL 60605
ALL RACK	361 WEST 36TH STREET NEW YORK NY 10018
ANDREWS KURTH LLP	ATTN: PETER S. GOODMAN, ESQ. (COUNSEL TO WELLS FARGO AND COMPANY) 450 LEXINGTON AVENUE NEW YORK NY 10017
ARNALL GOLDEN GREGORY LLP	ATTN: FRANK N. WHITE, ESQ., DARRYL S. LADDIN, ESQ. (COUNSEL TO VERIZON OPERATING TELEPHONE COMPANIES) 171 17TH STREET NW, SUITE 2100 ATLANTA GA 30363-1031
BLACKWELL SANDERS PEPPER MARTIN LLP	ATTN: RICHARD M. BEHELER 2300 MAIN STREET, SUITE 1000 KANSAS CITY MO 64108
BLANK ROME LLP	ATTN: MICHAEL S. SIMON, ESQ (COUNSEL FOR HUDSON TELEGRAPH ASSOCIATES, L.P.) 405 LEXINGTON AVENUE NEW YORK NY 10174
CARL SANDBURG VILLAGE CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION II	1455 N. SANDBURG TERRACE CHICAGO IL 60610
CHARLES, CHRISTOPHER	3018 AVE I BROOKLYN NY 11210
CHICAGO ACCESS CORPORATION (AREA 2)	322 SOUTH GREEN STREET ATTN: BARBARA POPOVIC CHICAGO IL 60607
CITY OF CHICAGO	ATTN: ESTHER E. TRYBAN TELSER CITY OF CHICAGO DEPARTMENT OF LAW 30 N. LASALLE; ROOM 900 CHICAGO IL 60602
CITY OF CHICAGO	THE CABLE ADMINISTRATOR (AREA 1) 33 NORTH LASALLE STREET CHICAGO IL 60602
CITY OF CHICAGO	THE CABLE ADMINISTRATOR (AREA 2) 33 NORTH LASALLE STREET CHICAGO IL 60602
CITY OF CHICAGO	ATTN: MARA GEORGES, DIANE PEZANOKSI, WESTON HANSCOM, ESTHER TRYBAN-TELSE, 30 NORTH LASALLE STREET, SUITE 900 CHICAGO IL 60602
DHL EXPRESS (USA), INC.	PO BOX 905143 CHARLOTTE NC 28290
DWYER, SMITH, GARDNER, LAZER, POHREN, ROGERS &	FORREST, LLP (COUNSEL TO AFFINITAS CORPORATION) ATTN: CLAY M. ROGERS, GRANT A. FORSBERG 8712 W. DODGE ROAD, SUITE 400 OMAHA NE 68114-3431
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION	445 12TH STREET, SW WASHINGTON DC 20554
GOTHAM SOUND & COMMUNICATIONS	330 W. 38TH ST NEW YORK NY 10018
GREENBERG TRAUIG, LLP	ATTN: RICHARD MILLER & THOMAS WEBER THE MET LIFE BUILDING 200 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK NY 10166
GREENBERG TRAUIG, LLP	ATTN: ANDREW ENSCHEDÉ 77 WEST WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 2500 CHICAGO IL 60601
HALPERIN & ASSOCIATES	ATTN: ALAN D. HALPERIN, ESQ., ETHAN D. GANC, ESQ. 555 MADISON AVENUE - 9TH FLOOR NEW YORK NY 10022
HSBC BANK USA	ATTN: MS. SANDRA E. HORWITZ 452 FIFTH AVENUE NEW YORK NY 10018-2706
HSBC BANK USA, AS INDENTURE TRUSTEE	ATTN: ISSUER SERVICES 452 FIFTH AVENUE NEW YORK NY 10018
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE	INSOLVENCY UNIT 290 BROADWAY, 5TH FLOOR NEW YORK NY 10007
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION	C/O STEVEN W. MEYER, ESQ. OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY LLP 3300 PLAZA VII 45 SOUTH SEVENTH ST MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402
INTERNATIONAL FAMILY ENTERTAINMENT INC/ ABC FAMILY	10960 WILSHIRE BLVD LOS ANGELES CA 90024
JOHN CLIFFORD PHOTOGRAPHY	54 WEST 18TH STREET #16J NEW YORK NY 10011
KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP	ATTN: DAVID E. RETTER, ESQ., DEBRA SUDOCK, ESQ. (COUNSEL TO HSBC BANK USA, AS INDENTURE TRUSTEE) 101 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK NY 10178
KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP	ATTN: MARK R. SOMERSTEIN, ESQ., ANNE H. PAK, ESQ. (COUNSEL TO HSBC BANK USA, AS COLLATERAL AGENT) 101 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK NY 10178
LOVELLS	ATTN: ERIC D. STATMAN, ESQ. (COUNSEL TO NORTEL NETWORKS, INC.) 900 THIRD AVENUE, 16TH FLOOR NEW YORK NY 10022
LOWENSTEIN SANDLER PC	(ATTORNEYS FOR AT&T) ATTN: VINCENT A. D'AGOSTINO, ESQ. 65 LIVINGSTON AVENUE ROSELAND NJ 07068
MICHAEL A. CORDOZO	CORPORATION COUNSEL OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK ATTN: GABRIELA P. CACUCI, ESQ. 100 CHURCH STREET NEW YORK NY 10007
MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & MCCLOY LLP	ATTN: DENNIS DUNNE, ESQ. 1 CHASE MANHATTAN PLAZA NEW YORK NY 10005
MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & MCCLOY LLP	ATTN: DEIDRE A. SULLIVAN, ESQ. 1 CHASE MANHATTAN PLAZA NEW YORK NY 10005
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	ATTN: JASON C. DIBATTISTA, ESQ. (COUNSEL TO A&E TELEVISION NETWORKS) 1290 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK NY 10104
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP	ATTN: BEN H. LOGAN, ESQ., EMILY CULLER, ESQ. (COUNSEL TO VULCAN VENTURES CAPITAL) 400 SOUTH HOPE STREET LOS ANGELES CA 90071-2899
PATTERSON, BELKNAP, WEBB & TYLER LLP	ATTN: DAVID W. DYKHOUSE (COUNSEL TO DOLP 1133 PROPERTIES LLC) 1133 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK NY 10036-6710
PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP	ATTN: MICHAEL K. CHERNICK, ESQ. 75 E. 55TH STREET, FIRST FLOOR NEW YORK NY 10022
PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP	ATTN: HARVEY A. STRICKON, ESQ. (COUNSEL TO EVERGREEN FUNDS) 75 EAST 55TH STREET NEW YORK NY 10022-3205
PHANTOM POWER, GRIP & ELECTRIC	29605 LORAIN ROAD NORTH OLMDSTED OH 44070
PHOTOBITION BONDED SERVICES	504 JANE ST. FORT LEE NJ 07024
PROFESSIONAL SOUND SERVICES	311 WEST 43RD ST NEW YORK NY 10036
RCN CORPORATION	ATTN: GENERAL COUNSEL 105 CARNEGIE CENTER PRINCETON NJ 08540
REED SMITH LLP	ATTN: ELENA LAZAROU, ESQ (COUNSEL FOR GENERAL ELECTRIC CAPITAL CORPORATION) 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE NEW YORK NY 10022
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION	233 BROADWAY, SUITE 600 NEW YORK NY 10279
SHIP-IT	732 W BROADWAY FULTON NY 13069
SIMPSON, THACHER & BARTLETT LLP	ATTN: PETER V. PANTALEO, ESQ. 425 LEXINGTON AVENUE NEW YORK NY 10017-3954
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP	ATTN: FREDERICK MORRIS, ESQ. FOUR TIMES SQUARE NEW YORK NY 10036-6522
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP	ATTN: JAY M. GOFFMAN, ESQ. FOUR TIMES SQUARE NEW YORK NY 10036-6522
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP	ATTN: NICHOLAS H. MANCUSO, RM 47-102 FOUR TIMES SQUARE NEW YORK NY 10036-6522
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP	ATTN: ADRIANA SALAZAR, RM 26-413 FOUR TIMES SQUARE NEW YORK NY 10036-6522

Name	Address
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP	ATTN: BRIAN P. KELLY, RM 35-220 FOUR TIMES SQUARE NEW YORK NY 10036-6522
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP	ATTN: BENNETT S. SILVERBERG FOUR TIMES SQUARE, 26-412 NEW YORK NY 10036
TAYLOR PLACE APARTMENTS	901 SOUTH ASHLAND APTN: JIM ADDAUTE CHICAGO IL 60607
THE 5000 SOUTH CORNELL CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION	5000 SOUTH CORNELL CHICAGO IL 60615
THE 535 NORTH MICHIGAN AVE CONDOMINIUM ASSOC	535 N. MICHIGAN AVE CHICAGO IL 60611
THE CHESTNUT PLACE ASSOCIATES	850 N. STATE ST. CHICAGO IL 60610
THE DREXEL TOWERS APARTMENTS	4917 S. DREXEL CHICAGO IL 60615
THE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE	ATTN: PAUL K. SCHWARTZBERG, ESQ. 33 WHITEHALL STREET, 21ST FLOOR NEW YORK NY 10004
THE SIEGE PERILOUS LLC	108 CALYER STREET #4R BROOKLYN NY 11222
THE WEEKS-LERMAN GROUP, LLC	58-38 PAGE PL. PO BOX O MASPEETH NY 11378
TOWN MANAGEMENT CORP	8430 GROSS POINT RD. SKOKIE IL 60077
TUDOR INVESTMENT CORP.	ATTN: DARRYL L. SCHALL, ANALYST 1275 KING STREET GREENWICH CT 06831
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY FOR THE	UNITED STATES ATTY OFF USDOJ OFFICE 36 CHAMBERS ST 3 NEW YORK NY 100071826
UNIVERSAL SERVICE ADMINISTRATIVE COMPANY	D. SCOTT BARASH V.P. & GENERAL COUNSEL 2000 L STREET, NW, SUITE 200 WASHINGTON DC 20036
US FUND FOR UNICEF	681 MAIN ST PO BOX 346 LUMBERTON NJ 08048
WEINER & LAURIN, LLP	ATTN: PAUL J. LAURIN, ESQ. (COUNSEL TO FOX CABLE NETWORKS GROUP) 15760 VENTURA BLVD., SUITE 1727 ENCINO CA 91436-2152
YORK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT	ATTN: ERIC EDIDIN 390 PARK AVENUE, 15TH FLOOR NEW YORK NY 10022

Total Number of Records Printed 67