23

24

25

2.4

2 PROCEEDINGS:

THE COURT: RCN.

MR. GOFFMAN: Good morning, your

Honor. Jay Goffman of Skadden, Arps on behalf of

RCN, the debtors. We are very happy to report

today that the only matters on the calendar are

uncontested. We have essentially two retention

matters and one continued motion for the first day.

The first motion to be would be the motion with respect to our order for setting procedures for trading equity interest. As your Honor will recall, that order was entered on an interim basis, and as part of our first day orders it was continued month to month on an interim basis based upon an initial objection by Andrews and Kurth on behalf of Wells Fargo. We have now worked out the final issues which involved with Wells Fargo. There have been some slight modifications to the proposed order to accommodate those concerns, and I'm happy to report that that order is now fully consensual to go forward.

THE COURT: Okay. On a final basis.

MR. GOFFMAN: Final basis.

THE COURT: All right. Well, in

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

light of that and there being no other objections 3 to it, I'll grant that motion.

MR. GOFFMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

The other matters we have today are really simply retention orders. I am also happy to report that they are also consensual. Again, they are the retentions of Alix Partners Services LLC as crises managers, and the retention of Blackstone as our financial advisors.

Again, as your Honor may recall, objections were filed on the first day, again, by Belkin and Wells Fargo, by Andrews and Kurth. have not filed any further objections in connection with the further revised objection dates, and as I recall, you felt that they were not coming to prosecution with their objections.

The orders have been negotiated with the creditors' committee. There are certain modifications which have been negotiated; I'm going to allow the creditors' committee to present on the record those modifications. Revised orders have been submitted to your Honor. I would note, your Honor, that we made some additional modifications last evening to the Alix Partners' order to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

basically conform it to the some changes that had been in the Blackstone order, and I'll describe them and let counsel for the committee also. But basically it was designed to make it clear that the circumstances under which Alix Partners might or might not be able to request a success fee from There were certain instances where this court. they couldn't, and in the prior order they talk about liquidations or conversions to Chapter 7. And we make it clear that what we are talking about is in the major case as opposed to if we confirm a successful major case and ultimately liquidated certain shells. And then there have been certain final modifications that have been going on in the hallway this morning on the Blackstone litigation agreement, but they have, I am told, resulted in agreed upon language that will be presented to the court.

THE COURT: Okay.

21 MR. GOFFMAN: And with that, your

Honor, I'd like to turn it over.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DUNNE: Good morning, your

25 Honor. Dennis Dunne from Milbank Tweed on behalf

2.4

2 of the official creditors' committee.

retention application first. That has fewer comments. Basically Alix Partners is seeking both monthly fees at an hourly rate subject to 330 review, and a success transaction structure fee, call it what you will. We have agreed that they continue to get paid on a monthly basis pursuant to the hourly rate set out in their application and 330 application, and that with respect to any fee, that's deferred completely until a future application. No consumption will be granted --

MR. DUNNE: Yes, success fee. No consumption, will be granted or denied, we will all reserve our rights to deal with it at the end of the case.

Success fee.

THE COURT: All right.

THE COURT:

MR. DUNNE: That takes care of Alix Partners. With respect to Blackstone, there have been a number of changes negotiated in the hall this morning. And let me just walk through those, and then we will submit an order this afternoon after we can all vet the language with our clients.

2.4

Basically we've reduced the magnitude of the success fee down to 7.8 million. That success fee will not be tied to any particular services whether it ends up being a lot of exit financing work at Blackstone, or an M and A process, or just a structuring fee for the equitization of the bonds. However you slice it, it still comes out to 7.8 million dollars; it's both cap and set at 7.8 million dollars.

There will be no fee in the event of a liquidation of the estate, no success fee in the event of a liquidation of the estate provided that more than 50 percent of the assets are sold as a going concern, there may be. And let me get to that, which is if there is a trustee in Chapter 11 appointed or a conversion to Chapter 7 and a trustee thereafter appointed, Blackstone will no longer have to do any work for the estate, their engagement terminates. But since they have tail provision in their engagement letter they can seek the payment of the tail if the triggers to it are met; however, we are reserve our rights to review of that under 330 for reasonableness, et cetera, based on the facts and circumstances of the case at

2.4

2 the time. In shorthand, they are not getting the 3 328 protection in those circumstances.

THE COURT: Where a trustee is appointed.

MR. DUNNE: Correct. And that being said, if we sell the assets, substantially all the assets as a going concern prior to the appointment of a trustee or conversion, their success fee of 7.8 million will be earned then.

THE COURT: So that doesn't count as liquidation.

MR. DUNNE: Correct. But not payable until we actually ether have an effective date of a Chapter 11 plan or distributions commence under the a Chapter 7 plan. And with that, that's a fair amount of language changes in the order. Conceptually, I think that's it. Mr. Ziman I'm sure will correct, clarify, hog tie.

MR. ZIMAN: Good morning, your

Honor. Kenneth Ziman of Simpson Thacher and

Bartlett on behalf of the Blackstone Group LP. I

think Mr. Dunne actually got it all right so

there's no need to hog tie him.

THE COURT: Okay.

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. ZIMAN: Essentially, as I said,

Blackstone is prepared to work for the estate and

earn it's fee in the circumstances that Mr. Dunne

outlined, where he basically doesn't earn the fee,

it's basically not given.

THE COURT: So when you look at the engagement letter, it refers to various types of fees; it's now just a fee.

MR. ZIMAN: It's a flat 7.8 success

11 fee your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well. And all the other provisions about the U.S. Trustee's reservation of rights, the indemnification provisions in the order, those are all the same.

MR. ZIMAN: Yes.

MR. DUNNE: Correct.

THE COURT: Well, that all appears to be an improvement, as far as the estate is concerned. So subject to your drafting that and being comfortable with it yourselves and submitting it to court, I will approve it. You don't need a further hearing.

MR. DUNNE: Thank you.

MR. ZIMAN: Thank you.

2.4

MR. GOFFMAN: The only other thing, your Honor, is we had on presentment was the debtor's motion to obtain Winston and Strawn as special counsel on 327(e). Again, the language has been worked out with the creditors' committee. We would have just put it on by presentment, but we understand the creditors' committee would like to at least make a statement with respect to it to make sure all the language is all agreed upon.

THE COURT: Well, when you say the

presented is agreed upon or is there a new order?

MR. GOFFMAN: It was the order that was sent down yesterday, your Honor.

language agreed upon, the language in what was

THE COURT: So we have a copy.

MR. GOFFMAN: The language has not been changed from what we sent down yesterday.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DUNNE: Your Honor Dennis Dunn on behalf of the committee. Again, just a few points, just for the record. The committee has agreed in the spirit of cooperation to the retention of Winston and Strawn as counsel to the board of directors. We have made a couple of

2.4

changes in the order. One is we've agreed to narrow the scope to avoid any duplication with other professionals retained. We reviewed their fees to date; we've asked for a bunch of that and it turned out to be unworkable, so we are going to rely on the normal channels of 330 review for their fees.

Last week -- there's a new paragraph which basically deals with the potential for future adversity. We understand that currently Winston and Strawn doesn't represent any of the directors in any litigation, but there is the possibility that some action is brought against the board in the future; we've all reserved our right on that. There's a paragraph at the end of the order simply saying that if that occurs we can come back to your Honor and take a look at that adversity, and then disqualified on a perspective basis or not.

Winston reserved their right to argue if not, and if their service hasn't developed, we will be back in front of you, but we hope that doesn't happen.

And I think that's it.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GOFFMAN: With that, your Honor,

2 | if we may hand up the orders.

THE COURT: Yes, that's fine.

4 MR. GOFFMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

5 And as your Honor knows, we've

6 adjourned the motion with respect to the protocol.

We hope and expect to work that out over the next

8 few days.

THE COURT: Okay, very good.

MR. GOFFMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

MR. DUNNE: Thank you, your Honor.

13 1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 STATE OF NEW YORK } ss.: 4 COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER } 5 I, Denise Nowak, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public within and for 6 7 the State of New York, do hereby certify: 8 That I reported the proceedings in the within entitled matter, and that the 9 10 within transcript is a true record of such 11 proceedings. I further certify that I am not 12 13 related, by blood or marriage, to any of 14 the parties in this matter and that I am 15 in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. 16 17 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have 18 hereunto set my hand this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2004. 19 20 2.1 DENISE NOWAK 2.2 23 24 25