UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re	:	Chapter 11
RCN CORPORATION, et al.,	:	Case No. 04-13638 (RDD)
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

STATE OF NEW YORK)
) ss.:
COUNTY OF NEW YORK)

TIRZAH GORDON, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

1. I am over the age of eighteen years and employed by Bankruptcy Services LLC, 757 Third Avenue, New York, New York and I am not a party to the above-captioned action.

2. On February 28, 2005, I caused to be served true and correct copies of the "Objection of Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors to Application by AP Services, LLC for Approval and Payment of Contingent Success Fee", dated February 28, 2005, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A", enclosed securely in separate postage pre-paid envelopes, to be delivered by first class mail to those parties listed on the annexed Exhibit "B".

Tirzah Gordon

Sworn to before me this
1st day of March, 2005

Notary Public

BRIDGET K. GALLERIE
Notary Public, State Of New York
No. 01GA6056813
Qualified In New York County
Commission Expires April 2, 2007

EXHIBIT "A"

MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & M^{c}CLOY LLP
One Chase Manhattan Plaza
New York, New York 10005-1413
Dennis F. Dunne (DD 7543)
Susheel Kirpalani (SK 8926)
Lena Mandel (LM 3769)
(212) 530-5000

Attorneys for Official Committee
of Unsecured Creditors of RCN Corp., et al.

Hearing Date: March 3, 2005 at 10:00 a.m.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:	:	Chapter 11
	:	Case No. 04-13638 (RDD)
RCN CORPORATION, et al.,	:	Jointly Administered
	:	
Reorganized Debtors.	:	

OBJECTION OF OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS TO APPLICATION BY AP SERVICES, LLC FOR APPROVAL AND PAYMENT OF CONTINGENT SUCCESS FEE

TO THE HONORABLE ROBERT D. DRAIN,
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

The Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "Committee")[1] of RCN Corporation and its affiliated debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the "Debtors") hereby objects to the application of AP Services, LLC for approval and payment of the contingent success fee, dated February 4, 2005 (the "Application"), and respectfully represents as follows:

[1] Pursuant to the Joint Plan of Reorganization of RCN Corporation and Certain Subsidiaries confirmed on December 8, 2004 (the "Plan"), the Committee continues in existence to, among other things, "interpose and prosecute objections to Professional Claims" (as defined in the Plan). See Section XIV.O of the Plan.

Background

1. In anticipation of the Debtors' filing for bankruptcy protection, AP Services, LLC ("APS") negotiated an engagement letter setting forth the terms of their proposed future retention in these cases (this letter, as amended, and as attached to the Application as Exhibit C, the "Engagement Letter"). Among other things, the Engagement Letter provided for the payment of (a) hourly rates for the services of APS's employees, and (b) a "Success Fee" triggered by certain milestones achieved in the bankruptcy cases. Specifically, the Engagement Letter provides for a $4 million Success Fee in the event that the Plan is confirmed prior to February 15, 2005.

2. However, when the Debtors requested this Court's approval of the terms of APS's retention, upon the objection of the Committee, the Court declined to approve the Success Fee. Indeed, the Court's final order authorizing APS's retention (the "Final Order") specifically stated that "all references to the 'Contingent Success Fee' in the engagement letter and the Application shall be deemed stricken." See Final Order (attached to the Application as Exhibit B) at ¶ 3.

3. The Final Order does allow APS to ***request*** a "success or similar fee" in its final fee application, but specifically provides that the Court's authorization to retain APS creates no presumption with respect to granting or denying such request. The Final Order also provides that all objections to such an application would be preserved. See Final Order at ¶ 4.

4. Against this backdrop, the Application requests the payment of the $4 million Success Fee (a sum greater than all of the fees incurred by APS during these chapter 11 cases), citing the terms of the Engagement Letter as sole and sufficient

justification for its entitlement to such fee as if this aspect of the Engagement Letter had been approved by the Court and as if the Engagement Letter was controlling or even relevant. No attempt is made to provide any other justification for APS's alleged entitlement to a success fee, let alone a $4 million success fee.[2] The Committee respectfully submits that the Application should be denied in its entirety.

Objection

5. The Application stresses the fact that APS is "neither a law firm nor an accounting firm," but rather a type of firm ("such as turnaround firms, management restructure consulting firms, and investment banking firms") that has a different compensation model, where "performance fees are normal parts of compensation." See Application at ¶ 8.

6. Indeed, the Committee acknowledges that different types of professional firms traditionally have different compensation models. On the one hand, law firms and accounting firms are usually compensated on an hourly basis, and fee enhancements for these types of professionals are extremely rare rather than the rule. On the other hand, investment bankers and similar financial advisors are usually compensated on the basis of a flat monthly or quarterly retainer plus an agreed-upon fee enhancement tied to specific targets. See, e.g., In re Drexel Burnham Lambert Group, Inc., 133 B.R. 13, 25 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1991) (discussing these two compensation models). However, APS wants to cherrypick the best components of both compensation models, without the downside of either. Rather than agree to a flat retainer and take the

[2] In addition to the Success Fee, by a separate application, APS is requesting final approval of over $3.5 million in fees. APS also received payments of approximately $2.8 million for work performed prior to the Petition Date.

risk that the actual hours expended will not be fully compensated by a flat fee, but with the potential for a success fee if certain goals are obtained, APS chose to be compensated on an hourly basis (like lawyers and accountants, for whom success fees are a rare exception). It has taken no risk that its hours spent on this case are not adequately compensated. Nevertheless, they expect a success fee as a matter of course. Whatever the propriety of such a structure generally, the Committee respectfully submits that such compensation structure is not warranted by the facts of these cases.

7. Once APS elected to be compensated on an hourly basis, it implicitly agreed to the Court's review of the reasonableness of its compensation requests based on the same criteria as the Court would use to assess compensation requests of other bankruptcy professionals that are compensated on the same basis.[3]

8. Such criteria are well established. To determine the reasonableness of compensation sought by the "hourly" professionals, the courts use the lodestar amount, i.e., the number of hours reasonably expended multiplied by a reasonable hourly rate. There is a "strong presumption" that payment of the bankruptcy professional's standard hourly rates constitutes "reasonable compensation." Meronk v. Arter & Hadden, LLP (In re Meronk), 249 B.R. 208, 213 (9th Cir. BAP 2000), aff'd, 2001 WL 1563694 (9th Cir. Dec. 6, 2001) . Overcoming this strong presumption requires "specific" and "powerful" evidence demonstrating both that the results achieved in the case were extraordinary (i.e., beyond all original expectations), that the professional in question was instrumental in obtaining such results, and that such results "were not

[3] The principles for compensation of financial advisors are no different than those for the compensation of other bankruptcy professionals. See, e.g., Drexel, 133 B.R. at 24 ("Much of what we have said about [reasonableness of compensation of] attorneys and accountants is applicable to investment bankers/advisors.")

reflected in either [the professional's] standard hourly rate or the number of hours allowed." Id.

9. Because the lodestar amount already reflects (a) the novelty and complexity of the issues, (b) the special skill and experience of the professional, (c) the quality of its services, and (d) the results obtained, these factors normally cannot serve as bases for increasing compensation awards above the lodestar amount. See, e.g., Pennsylvania v. Delaware Valley Citizens' Council for Clean Air, 478 U.S. 546, 566 (1986); Houlihan Lokey Howard & Zukin Capital v. Unsecured Creditors' Liquidating Trust (In re Commercial Fin. Servs., Inc.), 298 B.R. 733, 747-48 (10th Cir. BAP 2003); Novelly v. Palans (In re Apex Oil Co.), 960 F.2d 728, 731-732 (8th Cir. 1992); Burgess v. Klenske (In re Manoa Fin. Co.), 853 F.2d 687, 690 (9th Cir. 1988); In re Ames Dept. Stores, Inc. Debenture Litigation, 835 F. Supp. 147, 150 (S.D.N.Y. 1993); In re McLean Indus., Inc., 88 B.R. 36, 39-40 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.). The professional that "contracts at a standard hourly rate is obliged to perform to the best of [its] ability and to produce the best possible results commensurate with [the professional's] skill and the client's interests. Thus, when an award is made for all hours charged and at [the professional's] full hourly rate, there is 'very little room for enhancing the award' . . . ." Meronk, 249 B.R. at 213 (quoting Pennsylvania v. Delaware Valley).

10. The Committee acknowledges that, in a proper case, enhancements to the hourly-based lodestar compensation are permissible. However, they require "close scrutiny," Drexel, 133 B.R. at 27, and are normally reserved for those few cases that are "rare and exceptional," where "extraordinary" circumstances have resulted in the hourly rates failing to fairly compensate the professional, and where the result of the case

"exceeded the reasonable expectations of the parties." See In re Nucentrix Broadband Networks, Inc., 314 B.R. 574, 578 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. 2004) (and all cases cited therein). See also In re Gillett Holdings, Inc., 137 B.R. 452, 459 (Bankr. D. Colo. 1991) (success fees should be awarded only based on such criteria as "exceptional performance, extraordinary or unexpected benefit conferred on creditors of the estate, unusually effective and successful nature of Chapter 11 proceedings, and time frame within which such successful results are accomplished"). The professional seeking such enhancement "must do more than establish outstanding service and results. The applicant also must establish that the quality of service rendered and the results obtained were superior to what one reasonably should expect in light of the hourly rates charged and the number of hours expended." Apex Oil, 960 F.2d at 732.

11. APS has not attempted to meet its high burden of proof by providing evidence with respect to either the "extraordinary" or "unexpected" results of this chapter 11 case or its own crucial role in achieving such results.[4] The Application's sole "justification" for APS's alleged entitlement to the Success Fee is the statement that "[p]erformance fees are a normal part of compensation for firms" such as APS, and that "[t]herefore, this Court should approve" the Success Fee. See Application at ¶ 12. The Declaration of John S. Dubel filed in support of the Application fails to provide any such support other than the conclusory statement that "[t]the services of APS were important to the effective administration of the estate and recovery of value to certain parties in interest . . [and] were essential in preparing, negotiating and receiving confirmation" of

[4] In all the cases cited by APS in the Application regarding the compensation of bankruptcy professionals, the professional in question did, indeed, provide such evidence, and the relevant court specifically found such evidence to be sufficient.

the Plan. See Dubel Declaration at ¶ 4. Without more, such a statement does not justify a success fee.

Success Fee Not Warranted On Facts of this Case

12. The Committee submits that, on the facts of these cases, APS cannot meet its burden even if it attempted to supplement its Application at the hearing.

13. First, most of the terms of the Plan had been finalized prior to APS's retention, including a commitment for an Exit Facility from Deutsche Bank. Moreover, given that the Plan provided for a simple debt-for-equity swap, minimal negotiation was involved, and no particular sophistication or expertise was required to have such plan finalized and confirmed.

14. Second, the results achieved in these cases were neither extraordinary nor unexpected.[5] While it is true that these cases required substantial efforts to secure exit financing and the consummation of the Plan, it was another of the Debtors' advisors, the Blackstone Group L.P. ("Blackstone"), that had arranged such financing.[6] Moreover, the most difficult part of the exit financing – the last-out, second lien tranche -- was provided by bondholders. Again, APS played no role in this aspect of these cases.

15. Third, even in the areas of operational restructuring and cost cutting measures, for which APS was specifically retained, its performance will be

[5] Some courts have found that all creditors of the estate must be paid in full before allowing a success fee in addition to the payment of hourly rates to a bankruptcy professional. See, e.g., In re Morris Plan Co. of Iowa, 100 B.R. 451, 454 (Bankr. N.D. Iowa 1989); In re D.W.G.K. Restaurants, 106 B.R. 194, 197 (Bankr. S.D. Cal. 1989).

[6] Blackstone also seeks a success fee, to which the Committee does not object.

sufficiently compensated by the payment of its hourly rates and, in this case, does not warrant an additional success fee. The Committee expected to receive timely and detailed analyses of the Debtors' operations and contractual relationships necessary to quantify achievable cost-cutting measures as well as the potential benefits of filing additional operating subsidiaries. To obtain these analyses, the Committee asked its own financial advisors, Capital & Technology Advisors LLC ("CTA"), to expend significantly more time on these issues than was originally anticipated. While significant cost-cuts were achieved in the chapter 11 case (as is common in most bankruptcy cases given the unique opportunity to reject and renegotiate contracts and leases), the reorganized Debtors are currently dealing with various items that were not resolved during the case. As an example, APS was specifically asked to negotiate a favorable termination of a lease of an office building in New York City, which, while vacant as of the Petition Date, was costing the estate over $1.6 million a year. Despite months of efforts to negotiate such lease termination during the pendency of these cases without success, the reorganized Debtors are devoting significant time to resolving these and other issues.

16. Moreover, while APS originally was retained by the Debtors to manage the restructuring and operations of the company, in August, the Debtors felt it necessary to retain PDA Group, LLC as an operations expert. See Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 105 and 363(b) Authorizing The Retention of PDA Group, LLC To Perform Consulting Services For RCN Corporation, dated September 8, 2004 (Docket No. 207) (authorizing the retention of PDA Group, LLC on terms set out in the engagement letter, dated August 19, 2004). A success fee for APS is not warranted on this record.[7]

[7] To the extent the Court so desires, the Committee is prepared to present evidence on the issues set forth in this Objection at the hearing on the Application.

17. Finally, when more than one professional claims responsibility for the results achieved in a particular case, the court should bear this in mind as well, and adjust the compensation awards accordingly. See, e.g., In re Burlington Motor Holdings, Inc., 217 B.R. 711, 715 (Bankr. D. Del. 1997). Here, at least three firms have taken credit (and seek to be rewarded) for the less than spectacular results achieved in these cases: in addition to APS, Blackstone is seeking a success fee of $7.8 million (which, having been previously negotiated and approved, is likely to be allowed), and the Debtors' prepetition financial advisor, Merrill Lynch, Pierce & Smith, Inc., has filed a proof of claim claiming that it is entitled to a $9.8 million restructuring fee.

WHEREFORE, the Committee respectfully requests that the Application be denied in its entirety.

Dated: New York, New York
February 28, 2005

MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & McCLOY LLP

By: /s/ Dennis F. Dunne
Dennis F. Dunne (DD 7543)
Susheel Kirpalani (SK 8926)
Lena Mandel (LM 3769)
One Chase Manhattan Plaza
New York, New York 10005-1413
(212) 530-5000

Attorneys for the Official Committee of
Unsecured Creditors of RCN Corp. et al.

EXHIBIT "B"

828 S. WABASH, LLC	40 E. 9TH ST., UNIT 1516, CHICAGO, IL 60605
ALL RACK	361 WEST 36TH STREET, NEW YORK, NY 10018
ANDREWS KURTH LLP	ATTN: PETER S. GOODMAN, ESQ., (COUNSEL TO WELLS FARGO AND COMPANY), 450 LEXINGTON AVENUE, NEW YORK, NY 10017
ARNALL GOLDEN GREGORY LLP	ATTN: FRANK N. WHITE, ESQ., DARRYL S. LADDIN, ESQ., (COUNSEL TO VERIZON OPERATING TELEPHONE COMPANIES), 171 17TH STREET NW, SUITE 2100, ATLANTA, GA 30363-1031
BLACKWELL SANDERS PEPER MARTIN LLP	4801 MAIN ST 1000, KANSAS CITY, MO 641122551
BLANK ROME LLP	ATTN: MICHAEL S. SIMON, ESQ, (COUNSEL FOR HUDSON TELEGRAPH ASSOCIATES, L.P.), 405 LEXINGTON AVENUE, NEW YORK, NY 10174
CARL SANDBURG VILLAGE CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION II	1455 N. SANDBURG TERRACE, CHICAGO, IL 60610
CHARLES, CHRISTOPHER	3018 AVE I, BROOKLYN, NY 11210
CHICAGO ACCESS CORPORATION (AREA 2)	322 SOUTH GREEN STREET , ATTN: BARBARA POPOVIC, CHICAGO, IL 60607
CITY OF CHICAGO	ATTN: ESTHER E. TRYBAN TELSER, CITY O F CHICAGO DEPARTMENT OF LAW, 30 N. LASALLE; ROOM 900, CHICAGO, IL 60602
CITY OF CHICAGO	THE CABLE ADMINISTRATOR (AREA 1), 33 NORTH LASALLE STREET, CHICAGO, IL 60602
CITY OF CHICAGO	THE CABLE ADMINISTRATOR (AREA 2), 33 NORTH LASALLE STREET, CHICAGO, IL 60602
CITY OF CHICAGO	ATTN: MARA GEORGES, DIANE PEZANOKSI,, WESTON HANSCOM, ESTHER TRYBAN- TELSER,, 30 NORTH LASALLE STREET, SUITE 900, CHICAGO, IL 60602
DHL EXPRESS (USA), INC.	PO BOX 905143, CHARLOTTE, NC 28290
DWYER, SMITH, GARDNER, LAZER, POHREN, ROGERS &	FORREST, LLP (COUNSEL TO AFFINITAS CORPORATION), ATTN: CLAY M. ROGERS, GRANT A. FORSBERG, 8712 W. DODGE ROAD, SUITE 400, OMAHA, NE 68114-3431
E! ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION, INC.	5750 WILSHIRE BLVD., 4TH FLOOR, ATTN: MITCH KARP, ESQ., LOS ANGELES, CA 90048
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION	445 12TH STREET, SW, WASHINGTON, DC 20554
GOTHAM SOUND & COMMUNICATIONS	330 W. 38TH ST, NEW YORK, NY 10018
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP	ATTN: RICHARD MILLER & THOMAS WEBER, THE MET LIFE BUILDING, 200 PARK AVENUE, NEW YORK, NY 10166
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP	ATTN: ANDREW ENSCHEDE, 77 WEST WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 2500, CHICAGO, IL 60601
GSN, BUSINESS AND LEGAL AFFAIRS	B. MARK NORDMAN, 2150 COLORADO AVE., SANTA MONICA, CA 90404
HALPERIN & ASSOCIATES	ATTN: ALAN D. HALPERIN, ESQ., ETHAN D. GANC, ESQ., 555 MADISON AVENUE - 9TH FLOOR, NEW YORK, NY 10022
HSBC BANK USA	ATTN: MS. SANDRA E. HORWITZ, 452 FIFTH AVENUE, NEW YORK, NY 10018-2706
HSBC BANK USA, AS INDENTURE TRUSTEE	ATTN: ISSUER SERVICES, 452 FIFTH AVENUE, NEW YORK, NY 10018
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE	INSOLVENCY UNIT, 290 BROADWAY, 5TH FLOOR, NEW YORK, NY 10007
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHIN ES CORPORATION	C/O STEVEN W. MEYER, ESQ., OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY LLP, 3300 PLAZA VII 45 SOUTH SEVENTH ST, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402
INTERNATIONAL FAMILY ENTERTAINMENT INC/ ABC FAMILY	10960 WILSHIRE BLVD, LOS ANGELES, CA 90024
JOHN CLIFFORD PHOTOGRAPHY	54 WEST 18TH STREET #16J, NEW YORK, NY 10011
KAYE SCHOLER LLP	3 FIRST NATIONAL PLAZA, SUITE 4100 70 WEST MADISON STREET, ATTN: DANIEL A. ZAZOVE, ESQ., CHICAGO, IL 60602
KAYE SCHOLER LLP	1999 AVENUE OF THE STARS, 17TH FLOOR, ATTN: STEVEN F. WERTH, ESQ., LOS ANGELES, CA 90067
KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP	ATTN: DAVID E. RETTER, ESQ., DEBRA SUDOCK, ESQ., (COUNSEL TO HSBC BANK USA, AS INDENTURE TRUSTEE), 101 PARK AVENUE, NEW YORK, NY 10178
KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP	ATTN: MARK R. SOMERSTEIN, ESQ., ANNE H. PAK, ESQ., (COUNSEL TO HSBC BANK USA, AS COLLATERAL AGENT), 101 PARK AVENUE, NEW YORK, NY 10178
LOVELLS	ATTN: ERIC D. STATMAN, ESQ., (COUNSEL TO NORTEL NETWORKS, INC.), 900 THIRD AVENUE, 16TH FLOOR, NEW YORK, NY 10022
LOWENSTEIN SANDLER PC	(ATTORNEYS FOR AT&T), ATTN: VINCENT A. D'AGOSTINO, ESQ., 65 LIVINGSTON AVENUE, ROSELAND, NJ 07068
MICHAEL A. CORDOZO	CORPORATION COUNSEL OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ATTN: GABRIELA P. CACUCI, ESQ., 100 CHURCH STREET, NEW YORK, NY 10007
MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & MCCLOY LLP	ATTN: DENNIS DUNNE, ESQ., 1 CHASE MANHATTAN PLAZA, NEW YORK, NY 10005
MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & MCCLOY LLP	ATTN: DEIDRE A. SULLIVAN, ESQ., 1 CHASE MANHATTAN PLAZA, NEW YORK, NY 10005
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	ATTN: JASON C. DIBATTISTA, ESQ., (COUNSEL TO A&E TELEVISION NETWORKS), 1290 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10104
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP	ATTN: BEN H. LOGAN, ESQ., EMILY CULLER, ESQ., (COUNSEL TO VULCAN VENTUES CAPTIAL), 400 SOUTH HOPE STREET, LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2899
PATTERSON, BELKNAP, WEBB & TYLER LLP	ATTN: DAVID W. DYKHOUSE, (COUNSEL TO DOLP 1133 PROPERTIES LLC), 1133 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NY 10036-6710
PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP	ATTN: MICHAEL K. CHERNICK, ESQ., 75 E. 55TH STREET, FIRST FLOOR, NEW YORK, NY 10022
PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP	ATTN: HARVEY A STRICKON, ESQ., (COUNSEL TO EVERGREEN FUNDS), 75 EAST 55TH STREET, NEW YORK, NY 10022-3205
PHANTOM POWER, GRIP & ELECTRIC	29605 LORAIN ROAD, NORTH OLMSTED, OH 44070
PHOTOBITION BONDED SERVICES	504 JANE ST., FORT LEE, NJ 07024
PROFESSIONAL SOUND SERVICES	311 WEST 43RD ST, NEW YORK, NY 10036
RCN CORPORATION	ATTN: GENERAL COUNSEL, 105 CARNEGIE CENTER, PRINCETON, NJ 08540
REED SMITH LLP	ATTN: ELENA LAZAROU, ESQ, (COUNSEL FOR GENERAL ELECTRIC CAPITAL CORPORATION), 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, NEW YORK, NY 10022
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION	233 BROADWAY, SUITE 600, NEW YORK, NY 10279
SHIP-IT	732 W BROADWAY, FULTON, NY 13069
SIMPSON, THACHER & BARTLETT LLP	ATTN: PETER V. PANTALEO, ESQ., 425 LEXINGTON AVENUE, NEW YORK, NY 10017-3954
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP	ATTN: BENNETT S. SILVERBERG, FOUR TIMES SQUARE, 26-412, NEW YORK, NY 10036
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP	ATTN: FREDERICK MORRIS, ESQ., FOUR TIMES SQUARE, NEW YORK, NY 10036-6522
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP	ATTN: JAY M. GOFFMAN, ESQ., FOUR TIMES SQUARE, NEW YORK, NY 10036-6522
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP	ATTN: KRIS AGARWAL, FOUR TIMES SQUARE, NEW YORK, NY 10036-6522
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP	ATTN: ADRIANA SALAZAR, RM 26-413, FOUR TIMES SQUARE, NEW YORK, NY 10036-6522
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP	ATTN: BRIAN P. KELLY, RM 35-220, FOUR TIMES SQUARE, NEW YORK, NY 10036-6522
TAYLOR PLACE APARTMENTS	901 SOUTH ASHLAND, ATTN: JIM ADDAUTE, CHICAGO, IL 60607
THE 5000 SOUTH CORNELL CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION	5000 SOUTH CORNELL, CHICAGO, IL 60615
THE 535 NORTH MICHIGAN AVE CONDOMINIUM ASSOC	535 N. MICHIGAN AVE, CHICAGO, IL 60611
THE CHESTNUT PLACE ASSOCIATES	850 N. STATE ST., CHICAGO, IL 60610
THE DREXEL TOWERS APARTMENTS	4917 S. DREXEL, CHICAGO, IL 60615
THE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE	ATTN: PAUL K. SCHWARTZBERG, ESQ., 33 WHITEHALL STREET, 21ST FLOOR, NEW YORK, NY 10004
THE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE	33 WHITEHALL STREET, 21ST FLOOR, ATTN: PAUL K. SCHWARTZBERG, NEW YORK, NY 10004

THE SIEGE PERILOUS LLC	108 CALYER STREET #4R, BROOKLYN, NY 11222
THE WEEKS-LERMAN GROUP, LLC	58-38 PAGE PL., PO BOX O, MASPETH, NY 11378
TOWN MANAGEMENT CORP	1550 N NORTHWEST HWY STE 209, PARK RIDGE, IL 600681459
TUDOR INVENSTMENT CORP.	ATTN: DARRYL L. SCHALL, ANALYST, 1275 KING STREET, GREENWICH, CT 06831
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY FOR THE	UNITED STATES ATTY OFF USDOJ OFFICE, 86 CHAMBERS ST 3, NEW YORK, NY 100071826
UNIVERSAL SERVICE ADMINISTRATIVE COMPANY	D. SCOTT BARASH, V.P. & GENERAL COUNSEL, 2000 L STREET, NW, SUITE 200, WASHINGTON, DC 20036
US FUND FOR UNICEF	681 MAIN ST, PO BOX 346, LUMBERTON, NJ 08048
WEINER & LAURIN, LLP	ATTN: PAUL J. LAURIN, ESQ., (COUNSEL TO FOX CABLE NETWORKS GROUP), 15760 VENTURA BLVD., SUITE 1727, ENCINO, CA 91436-2152
WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER LLP	787 SEVENTH AVENUE, ATTN: STEVEN WILAMOWSKY, ESQ., NEW YORK, NY 10019
YORK CAPTIAL MANAGEMENT	ATTN: ERIC EDIDIN, 390 PARK AVENUE, 15TH FLOOR, NEW YORK, NY 10022

Total Records Printed ==> 73